Chemical Mechanism of the Gram Stain and Synthesis of a New Electron-Opaque Marker for Electron Microscopy Which Replaces the Iodine Mordant of the Stain
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Crystal violet (hexamethyl-para-rosaniline chloride) interacts with aqueous KI-I2 during the Gram stain via a simple metathetical anion exchange to produce a chemical precipitate. There is an apparent 1:1 stoichiometry between anion (I−) and cation (hexamethyl-para-rosaniline+) during the reaction and, since the small chloride anion is replaced by the bulkier iodide, the complex formed becomes insoluble in water. It is this same precipitate which forms in the cellular substance of bacteria (both gram-positive and gram-negative types) and which initiates the Gram reaction. Potassium trichloro(η2-ethylene)-platinum(II), as an electron-opaque marker for electron microscopy, was chemically synthesized, and it produced an anion in aqueous solution which was compatible with crystal violet for the Gram stain. It interacted with crystal violet in a similar manner as iodide to produce an insoluble complex which was chemically and physically analogous to the dye-iodide precipitate. This platinum anion therefore allows the Gram staining mechanism to be followed by electron microscopy.

One of the fundamental principles of diagnostic microbiology is the manner by which bacteria stain during the Gram reaction. Most bacteria respond reliably to the Gram reaction; i.e., some retain the crystal violet-iodide (CV-I) complex (gram-positive), whereas others are decolorized by the ethanol treatment and can be counterstained (gram-negative). This reaction divides the eu-bacteria into two fundamental groups according to their stainability and is one of the basic foundations on which bacterial identification is built. Yet, after almost 100 years as a diagnostic method, the exact chemical mechanism of the Gram reaction and its effect on cellular structure is imperfectly understood (4). It is the purpose of this paper to outline the chemical nature of the reaction and to design an electron-opaque chemical probe to study the reaction by electron microscopy at the subcellular level. The following paper (Beveridge and Davies [5]) applies this information and technique to Bacillus subtilis and Escherichia coli to identify the structural and biochemical alterations to these bacteria during the Gram stain.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The CV-I precipitate. Crystal violet (CV) (0.6199 g, 1.5 mmol) was dissolved in distilled water (50 ml) by using a sonic bath to aid dissolution. Dropwise addition of the iodine-potassium iodide developing solution (1.0 g of iodine + 2.0 g of KI in 300 ml of distilled water) caused immediate formation of a golden-colored precipitate. Addition was continued until precipitation was complete. The precipitate was separated from the fluid by filtration, allowed to air dry, and then held under vacuum at room temperature for 3 h. This procedure gave a yield of 0.2413 g of precipitate. All chemicals were from Fisher Scientific Co.

Chemical synthesis of the potassium TPT electron-opaque probe. Potassium trichloro(η2-ethylene)-platinum(II) (potassium TPT) was prepared from K3(PtCl6) and ethylene, with an anhydrous SnCl2 catalyst as described by Chock et al. (6).

The CV-TPT precipitate. CV (0.6199 g, 1.5 mmol) in distilled water (50 ml) was prepared as above, and a solution of K[PtCl4(C2H4)] (0.5529 g, 1.5 mmol) in distilled water (25 ml) was added dropwise with continued stirring. A green precipitate formed immediately. After 15 min of stirring, the solution was filtered and dried as above. This gave a yield of 0.7413 g of precipitate.

Chemical and physical examinations. CV (alone), CV-I, and CV-TPT were each examined by conductivity measurements, IR, UV, and visible spectroscopy, and proton nuclear magnetic resonance analyses (1H-NMR).

Conductivity measurements were made for 10−3 M acetonitrile solutions by using a Wayne Kerr universal bridge operating at 1.592 Hz ± 1%, equipped with an Ostwald conductivity cell containing platinum electrodes. Solutions were equilibrated to 25.0 ± 0.1°C for
15 min in a water bath equipped with an Omega 4200 control unit. IR spectra were obtained over the range 4,000 to 625 cm⁻¹ as nujol mulls by using NaCl optics on a Perkin Elmer 621 spectrometer. UV and visible spectra were obtained over the range 880 to 220 nm as acetonitrile solutions by using matched Infrasil cells of 1-cm path length on a Beckman DU8 spectrophotometer. ¹H-NMR spectra were obtained as D₂O or acetone-d₆ solutions in 5-mm tubes at ambient temperature with a Joel FX90Q spectrometer operating in the Fourier transformation mode at 90 MHz. Chemical shifts were given relative to external tetramethylsilane by using the convention that more positive spectral shifts represent deshielding.

Electron microscopy and EDS. Bacteria were grown to an exponential growth phase (for Bacillus subtilis, the optical density at 600 nm was 0.60; for Escherichia coli, the optical density at 600 nm was 0.63) in 200 ml of nutrient broth or LB broth (3; both broths, Difco Laboratories). The cells were harvested by centrifugation (4,000 × g) and washed twice in 50 ml 0.05 M HEPES (N-2-hydroxyethylpiperazine-N'-2-ethanesulfonic acid) (pH 6.8) containing 1 mM MgCl₂. They were then processed according to the Gram regimen as outlined in Fig. 1. After this process, the cells were equilibrated back to 0.05 M HEPES (pH 6.8)–1 mM MgCl₂ and fixed for 1 h in 5% (vol/vol) glutaraldehyde in buffer. They were then washed three times in buffer and processed into Durcupan (Fluka AG, Buchs SF, Switzerland), a water-miscible plastic, to circumvent dehydration effects on the CV-I or CV-TPt complexes. This plastic appeared to increase the Pt detection signal (in energy dispersive X-ray analysis [EDS]) twofold over more conventional Epon 812 embeddings. Although iodine has the ability to scatter electrons (Z_i = 53), it is highly volatile under the electron beam. Platinum (Z_Pt = 78), and hence TPt, is much more stable and has proven to be an easily monitored probe.

Electron microscopy was performed on a Philips EM400T equipped with a scanning transmission electron microscope (STEM) module, a cryotransfer unit, a low-electron-dose module, and an EDAX 9100/40 energy dispersive system. Point EDS analyses were performed at 20 kV by using a spot size of 20.0 nm; counting times were typically 300 s (live time). Elemental distribution maps were generated by interfacing the EDS system with the STEM module, and the cells were scanned with a 10.0-nm beam. In an effort to preserve the iodine signal in the CV-I material, specimen temperatures of −100 to −196°C were used.

Atomic absorption spectroscopy. Material containing the platinum probe was hydrolyzed in fuming HNO₃ containing 250 μg of CsCl per ml to counteract ionization effects and analyzed with a Perkin-Elmer model 2380 atomic absorption spectrophotometer working in the C₂H₆-N₂O flame mode.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Mechanism of the Gram stain. Since the early 1900’s, there have been a number of attempts to elucidate the mechanism of the Gram staining response. There are, of course, two separate camps (1): those who suspect a specific cellular substance to be responsible (Table 2 is representative), and those who feel that the permeability difference exists between cell types. Today, most believe that it is a fundamental difference between the molecular architectures of the envelopes of gram-positive and -negative cells which determines the staining response (4). Certainly gram-positive bacteria relinquish their capacity to retain the CV-I complex when their envelope is perturbed by mechanical crushing (2) or by lysozyme digestion (23, 26). These same cells stain gram-negative after protoplast formation (11). Salton has clearly demonstrated that more
cellular $^{32}$P was released from gram-negative than from gram-positive cells during the decolorization (ethanol) step (22). Unwittingly, Christian Gram has provided us with a clue to cell wall structure and chemistry (12).

CV (hexamethyl-para-rosaniline chloride) is a stable carboxylation dye of the structure seen in Fig. 2a, where $X = \text{Cl}$. The $^1$H-NMR spectrum of this compound confirms this structure. Unlike the structure Gurr has proposed in Encyclopedia of Microscopic Stains (13), which is only a minor resonance form of the carbocation, there are (in real time) no double bonds available for chemical substitution in this molecule.

A D$_2$O solution of CV gives rise to a singlet resonance at 3.00 ppm of integrated intensity 3.0, owing to the equivalent N-methyl protons and an AB pattern centered at 7.11 ppm of integrated intensity 2.3, owing to the protons of the 1,4-disubstituted aromatic rings. The IR spectrum exhibits absorptions as expected for a 1,4-disubstituted aromatic structure (1,581, 1,227, 1,162, 1,061, 832, and 806 cm$^{-1}$). Weak bands at 1,302 and 1,191 cm$^{-1}$ may be attributable to the presence of C$^{-}$N bonds. Conductivity measurements of a $10^{-3}$ M solution of CV in acetonitrile yielded a value for the equivalent conductivity ($\Lambda_E$) of 176.1 ohm$^{-1}$ cm$^2$ mol$^{-1}$. This value is typical of 1:1 electrolytes with reported values ranging from 92 to 199 ohm$^{-1}$ cm$^2$ mol$^{-1}$, and values of 160 ohm$^{-1}$ cm$^2$ mol$^{-1}$ have been typically observed (10). The electronic spectrum in acetonitrile solution showed the absorptions characteristic of this type of chromophore ($\lambda_{\text{max}} = 580, 510$ [sh.], 445, 400, 310, and 250 nm).

A comparison of the above data with those for the CV-I and CV-TPt complexes is shown in Table 1. More detailed information is available in the Appendix. It is apparent from these results that there is a close resemblance between all three chemical forms and that CV-I and CV-TPt are chemically and physically analogous structures. They are, therefore, directly comparable to one another.

Furthermore, CV reacts with the iodide (either $I^-$ or $I_3^-$ are possible) anion of Gram's iodine (a solution of iodine and potassium iodide) via a metathetical anion exchange. This replaces the small chloride anion of the original

![FIG. 2. (a) Model of the CV molecule. (b) Dimensional and shape aspects of the molecule. The measurements are in angstroms.](http://jb.asm.org/Downloadedfrom)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criterion</th>
<th>CV</th>
<th>CV-I</th>
<th>CV-TPt</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\Lambda_E$ (ohm$^{-1}$ cm$^2$ mol$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>176.1</td>
<td>181.6</td>
<td>174.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IR (cm$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>1,581, 1,227, 1,162, 1,061, 832, and 806</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\lambda_{\text{max}}$ (nm)</td>
<td>580, 510 (sh.), 445, 400, 310, and 250</td>
<td>585, 505 (sh.), 375, 355, 300, and 250</td>
<td>590, 510 (sh.), 375, 350, 295, and 250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^1$H-NMR</td>
<td>Equivalent N-methyl protons</td>
<td>Too insoluble in D$_2$O or acetonedi$^d$ for H-NMR study</td>
<td>Equivalent N-methyl protons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Equivalent 1,4-disubstituted aromatic rings</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reaction</td>
<td>1 cation to 1 anion</td>
<td></td>
<td>1 cation to 1 anion</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The above results make it clear that the CV-I and CV-TPt complexes are chemically analogous to the original CV molecule.
CV salt with the bulkier I\(^-\) or I\(_3^-\) anions to form a chemical complex which precipitates from aqueous solution. Unlike the dye-to-iodide ratio of 1.2 reported by Wensinck and Boeve (29), there is an apparent 1:1 stoichiometry between anions and cations, according to conductivity measurements (Table 2). This exact same mechanism is at work when the iodide of the Gram reaction is replaced by the bulky TpT anion. Accordingly, this electron-dense, platinum probe can be used without disturbing or altering the mechanism of the Gram stain; i.e., *B. subtilis* stains gram positive (Fig. 3), unless the cell wall is perturbed (Fig. 4 shows lysozyme digestion), and *E. coli* stains gram negative (Fig. 5).

This information suggests that the Gram stain depends on the simple chemical mechanism of metathetical anion exchange. CV is a water-soluble molecule which dissociates into CV\(^+\) and Cl\(^-\) on dissolution; the carboxylation is shaped like a three-bladed propeller. Each of the three N-methylated, aromatic rings in its structure forms a blade of the propeller, is ca. 0.94 nm long and 0.65 nm thick, and joins at a central carbon (Fig. 2b). This cation (and presumably the 0.362-nm diameter Cl\(^-\)) is able to penetrate through the enveloping layers of both gram-positive and -negative bacteria to the cytoplasmic substance. (Holes ca. 1.88 nm in diameter must exist.) When Gram’s iodine is added, I\(^-\) or I\(_3^+\) (diameter, 0.432 or 0.607 nm) encounters and interacts with CV\(^+\) to form a CV-I precipitate. This happens, presumably, both within (21, 25) and without the cell. The addition of ethanol (as a decolorizing fluid) washes away the precipitate from around and outside the cell. CV-I is too large to escape from the cytoplasmic substance unless the enveloping layers of the bacterium are perturbed (Fig. 3 and 4, and see accompanying paper [5] for further details). It seems unlikely that the CV\(^+\) interaction with constituent cellular anions contributes to the Gram stain since the addition of iodide (or TpT) is necessary to give an unequivocal staining response (23).

Use of TpT as an electron-opaque marker for electron microscopy to study the Gram stain. To study the cellular response accurately during the Gram stain, we felt it necessary to design and use a probe suitable for electron microscopy which would identify the dye substance. In this way, the dye could be followed through cellular space, and its eventual location could be determined. In addition, electron microscopy would allow the elucidation of cellular damage during the staining regimen.

Initially, we believed that it was feasible to incorporate a heavy metal directly into the CV molecule since the available information suggested that reactive chemical sites existed within its structure (13). Our own data suggest the structural form seen in Fig. 2a and all our attempts to label this molecule failed. Next, we felt that it may be possible to follow the iodide during the staining reaction since its mass (Z\(_i\) = 53) is suitable for electron microscopy. In our hands, this anion proved too volatile, even at very low specimen temperatures (down to \(-196^\circ\text{C}\)), to be preserved under the electron beam (Fig. 6a, b, and c).

Our expertise with organic platinum compounds led us to identify TpT as a possible candidate to probe the Gram reaction; it was chemically synthesized and used to replace iodide during the staining reaction. All our data (Table 2, Fig. 3 to 5) indicated that this anion was suitable for the Gram stain. The TpT anion is even larger than the I\(^-\) (an ellipsoid, 0.823 by 0.615 nm); it efficiently precipitated the CV\(^+\).

**TABLE 2. Specific substances to which the Gram response has been attributed**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substance</th>
<th>Researcher (yr)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lipoproteins</td>
<td>Stearn and Stearn (1924, 1930)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lipids</td>
<td>Eisenberg (1910); Schumacher (1928)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nucleic acids and nucleoproteins</td>
<td>Deussen (1921), Dubos and MacLeod (1938), Henry, Stacey and Teece (1945), Henry and Stacey (1946), Webb (1948)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbohydrates</td>
<td>Webb (1948)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glycerophosphates</td>
<td>Schumacher (1928), Mitchell and Moyle (1950, 1951, 1954)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyamines</td>
<td>Herbst, Weaver, and Keister (1958)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg-ribonucleates</td>
<td>Henry, Stacey, and Teece (1945)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glycerol and ribitol phosphate esters</td>
<td>Mitchell and Moyle (1958)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Unstained thin sections of bacteria (both *E. coli* and *B. subtilis* treated sequentially with CV\(^+\) and TpT\(^-\) to form CV-TpT revealed electron-opaque areas within the cells (Fig. 7b and 8a) which produced distinct Pt lines during EDS analysis (Fig. 7d and 8d). In fact, there was
FIG. 3. Light micrograph of gram-positive cells of *B. subtilis*, gram stained with TPT.

FIG. 4. Light micrograph of TPT-stained gram-positive cells of *B. subtilis* which had been treated with 50 µg of lysozyme ml⁻¹ for 15 min before staining. They now stain gram-negative since the dye-retaining barrier of the wall has been broken down.

FIG. 5. Light micrograph of TPT-stained *E. coli* staining gram negative (as they should). Figures 3, 4, and 5 are of the same magnification. Bar in Fig. 3 represents 500 nm.

enough TPT in these cells to produce dot maps of its distribution throughout the cell substance of individual bacteria (Fig. 7e and 8e). CV (alone) did not add electron-scattering power to the cells (Fig. 7a). Atomic adsorption analyses revealed that the TPT content of the cells was typically 2.0 to 2.5 nmol mg (dry weight)⁻¹. Ethanol treatment severely reduced this TPT concentration in *E. coli* (Fig. 8b), but most of the TPT was retained in cells of *B. subtilis* (Fig. 7b). This electron-dense marker, therefore, seemed suitable for studying the Gram stain mechanism at the ultrastructural level.

**APPENDIX**

Specific chemical and physical data on the CV-I and CV-TPT precipitates. A comparison of the CV data with that obtained with precipitates formed with KI-I₂ and with K[PtCl₃(C₂H₄)] showed that these products have structures identical to Fig. 2a, except that the anion has been metathetically replaced by I⁻ (X = I) or by [PtCl₃(C₂H₄)]⁻ (X = [PtCl₃(C₂H₄)]). These compounds were precipitated from aqueous solution because the small chloride anion was replaced by the bulkier I⁻ or [PtCl₃(C₂H₄)]⁻ anions. Both behaved as typical 1:1 electrolytes in acetonitrile solution (Æₓ = 181.6 ohm⁻¹ cm² mol⁻¹ for CV-I and 174.3 ohm⁻¹ cm² mol⁻¹ for CV-TPT). These products appeared to remain ionic after metathesis. Their IR and electronic spectra remained almost identical to CV alone, and there were no IR absorptions due to ν (C=O) modes of the coordinated olefin present in the TPT anion. Such bands are often of low intensity, however, and may be obscured by bands which are due to the CV⁺ cation (Table 2), since in K[PtCl₃(C₂H₄)] itself, these bands occurred at 1,515 cm⁻¹ and 1,243 cm⁻¹, close to the very intense 1,581 cm⁻¹ and 1,227 cm⁻¹ bands of CV⁺. However, the presence of coordinated ethylene was confirmed unequivocally by ¹H-NMR data (see IR data). The electronic spectroscopic data (λmax = 585,
FIG. 6. (a) and (b) are thin sections of *B. subtilis* stained by the CV-I method. To add contrast, the sections were stained with uranyl acetate and lead citrate. (a) is a section which was held at -100°C and was imaged by low-dose electron microscopy (ca. 500 C/100 μm²). The arrows point to electron-dense areas that contain the CV-I complex. (b) is of a similar cell after 15 s of exposure to the same electron beam. The high energy of the electron beam has sublimed the iodine; arrows point to voids in the cell substance that originally contained the iodide precipitate. EDS of these areas (c) definitely shows that the iodide was sublimed away under the electron beam. The M₄ and L₅ iodine lines are identified by the arrows. Other elements are identified under their respective lines in the spectrum. Copper is from the copper grid, chlorine is from the plastic of the thin section, and the uranium and lead are from the stains used on the section to add additional contrast to the specimen.

505 [sh], 375, 355, 300, and 250 nm for CV-I and 590, 510 [sh], 375, 350, 295, and 250 nm for CV-TPt) demonstrated that the chromophore is largely unperturbed by metathesis.

The ¹H-NMR spectrum of CV-TPt in acetone-d⁶ confirmed the presence of the platinum(II) anion in the metathesis product. Resonances due to the carbocation [p-phenyl] = 7.23, AB pattern, integrated intensity 2.0; [N-methyl] = 3.33, singlet, integrated intensity 2.7) were accompanied by a peak at 4.18 ppm, owing to the protons of the coordinated olefin, exhibiting coupling to platinum (¹⁹⁵Pt has I = 1/2 and is 33.8% abundant) resulting in a ratio 1:4:1 pattern with J(¹⁹⁵Pt, ¹H) = 63.7 Hz. The integrated intensity of the olefinic proton resonance confirmed the ratio of one cation to one anion. For comparison, the ¹H-NMR spectrum of an acetone-d⁶ solution of K[PtCl₃(C₂H₄)] exhibited a resonance at 4.23 ppm with J(¹⁹⁵Pt, ¹H) = 64.2 Hz. Attempts to obtain a satisfactory signal-to-noise ratio in the ¹H-NMR spectrum of CV-I with D₂O or acetone-d⁶ solvents were frustrated by the extremely limited solubility of this metathesis product in these solvents.

Clearly, the IR, visible and ¹H-NMR spectroscopic data, in conjunction with the conductivity measurements, confirmed that CV-I reacts with KI-I₄ or K[PtCl₃(C₂H₄)] in aqueous media via a metathetical anion exchange. As such, products obtained by these routes are chemically related, varying only in the anion and possessing identical chromophores, enabling a direct comparison of their staining properties to be made.
FIG. 7. Thin sections of *B. subtilis* stained by the CV-TPt method. No other contrasting agents have been used. (a) A cell before ethanol treatment and stained with CV only (no platinum); (b) a CV-TPt cell after ethanol treatment. The arrows point to CV-TPt aggregates. Bars in (a) and (b) represent 100 nm. (c) A bright-field STEM image of CV-TPt cells. The contrast has been electronically heightened to emphasize the position of the platinum and these same cells formed the Pt elemental distribution map in (e); bar, 500 nm. (d) An EDS spectrum of an ethanol-treated cell which clearly shows the Pt peak of the TPt. In this instance, uranyl acetate and lead citrate were used to contrast the cell, hence the U and Pb peaks, and the Cu peak is from the copper EM grid and Cl is from the plastic. (e) Is the corresponding elemental distribution map for (c) which shows the Pt (TPt) distribution within the cell. Each dot represents a high concentration of TPt. The arrows in (c) and (e) point to the same area of the cell for easy comparison.
FIG. 8. Thin section of E. coli stained by the CV-Tpt method. No other contrasting agents have been used. 
(a) A cell stained with CV-Tpt before ethanol decolorization. The arrows point to CV-Tpt aggregates. (b) A cell 
similar to that in (a), but after ethanol treatment. Very little CV-Tpt remains to scatter electrons. The arrows 
point to the cell periphery, and the bars in (a) and (b) represent 100 nm. (c) A bright-field STEM image of a cell 
before ethanol treatment. The contrast has been electrically heightened, and the bar represents 500 nm. (d) An 
EDS spectrum of a cell similar to that of (c) which shows the M_{o,p} and L_{p} Pt lines. In this case U and Pb were not 
used as staining agents, and the small peaks separating the M and L lines are the normal lines present in the 
background of the continuum. (e) The Pt distribution map of (c). The arrows in (c) and (e) point to the same area 
of the cell for easy comparison.
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