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INTRODUCTION

Carotenoids compose a widely distributed class of structurally and functionally diverse yellow, orange, and red natural pigments. Prokaryotes and eukaryotes synthesize an estimated 10^8 tons of carotenoids yearly (54), composed of at least 600 structurally distinct compounds (90). These pigments typically consist of a C40 hydrocarbon backbone in the case of carotenones, often modified by various oxygen-containing functional groups to produce cyclic or acyclic xanthophylls (21, 40). The degree of conjugation and the isomerization state of the backbone polyene chromophore determine the absorption properties of each carotenoid. Compounds with at least seven conjugated double bonds, such as ζ-carotene, absorb visible light. Some carotenoids occur naturally not only as all trans isomers but also as cis isomers (17, 40).

Carotenoids are derived from the general isoprenoid biosynthetic pathway, along with a variety of other important natural substances (see Fig. 1) (16, 20, 71, 83, 89). The conversion of two molecules of geranylgeranyl pyrophosphate (GGPP) to phytoene, a compound common to all C40 carotenogenic organisms, constitutes the first reaction unique to the carotenoid branch of isoprenoid metabolism (21, 40). Anoxynogenous photosynthetic bacteria, nonphotosynthetic bacteria, and fungi desaturate phytoene either three or four times to yield neurosporene or lycopene, respectively (see Fig. 2). In contrast, oxygen photosynthetic organisms (cyanobacteria, algae, and higher plants) convert phytoene via ζ-carotene to two distinct sets of reactions (15, 17, 48). At the level of neurosporene or lycopene, the carotenoid biosynthesis pathways of different organisms branch to generate the tremendous diversity of carotenoids found in nature.

In photosynthetic organisms and tissues, the lipophilic carotenoid and bacteriochlorophyll (Bchl) or chlorophyll (Chl) pigment molecules associate noncovalently but specifically with integral membrane proteins (22, 56). In nonphotosynthetic organisms and tissues, carotenoids, often protein bound, occur in cytoplasmic or cell wall membranes, oil droplets, crystals, and fibrils (21, 31, 40). Carotenoids provide crucial protection against photooxidative damage resulting from the combination of visible or near-UV light, singlet oxygen, and endogenous lipophilic photosensitizers, such as Bchl, Chl, heme, and protoporphyrin IX (22, 41, 92). This protective function explains the ubiquitous synthesis of carotenoids in photosynthetic organisms and their widespread distribution among nonphotosynthetic bacteria and fungi (21, 40). During photosynthesis, carotenoids also absorb light and transfer the energy to Bchl and Chl, dissipate excess radiant energy, and preserve the structural integrity of pigment-protein complexes (22, 56). In mammals, the cleavage products of several dietary carotenoids, particularly β-carotene, fulfill essential roles in nutrition (vitamin A), vision (retinal), and development (retinoic acid) (21, 35). Metabolism of certain cyclic epoxy-xanthophylls in higher plants yields abscisic acid, an important hormone (81). In addition, carotenoids and their derivatives provide pigmentation to many birds, fish, and crustaceans (21).

GENETICS OF CAROTENOID BIOSYNTHESIS IN EUBACTERIA

Studies performed with a few species of purple nonsulfur anoxygenic photosynthetic bacteria (Rhodobacter capsulatus and Rhodobacter sphaeroides), nonphotosynthetic bacteria (Erwinia herbicola, Erwinia uredovora, and Myxococcus xanthus), and cyanobacteria (Synechococcus sp. strain PCC7942, Synechocystis sp. strain PCC6803, Anabaena sp. strain PCC7120) have contributed enormously to our molecular-genetic understanding of carotenoid biosynthesis. To illustrate the rapid advances in this field, nucleotide sequences of carotenoid biosynthesis genes were first reported in R. capsulatus in 1989 (4, 12), E. herbicola and E. uredovora in 1990 (3, 67), Synechococcus sp. strain PCC7942 in 1991 (26), and M. xanthus in 1993 (33). The biosynthetic pathways used by these organisms, major carotenoid pigments accumulated, and assignments of gene and gene product functions are summarized here (Fig. 1 and 2 and Table 1) and are discussed in further detail elsewhere (2, 43, 46). To complement earlier surveys of biochemical and classical genetic experiments (20, 40), this minireview will focus on developments within the last five years from a molecular-genetic standpoint.

The crt nomenclature (Table 1) proposed in 1976 for the R. capsulatus genetic loci required for carotenoid biosynthesis (93) has been maintained in subsequent studies with Rhodobacter species, Erwinia species, and Thermus thermophilus. Genetic loci involved in carotenoid biosynthesis in M. xanthus have been designated car in a parallel nomenclature from 1987 (10). In cyanobacteria, a proposal to replace the current nomenclature that originated in 1991 with the crt nomenclature has recently been made (43). The new proposed gene designations (Table 1) will be employed throughout this minireview to reflect the similarities and differences between cyanobacteria and other eubacteria.
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FIG. 1. General isoprenoid biosynthetic pathway. Branches in the pathway depend on intermediates common to carotenoid biosynthesis are indicated on the right. The bold typeface highlights important compounds found in some or all eubacteria, while substances produced in eukaryotes appear in normal typeface. Abbreviations not given in the text are as follows: DMAPP, dimethylallyl pyrophosphate; GPP, geranyl pyrophosphate; IPP, isopentenyl pyrophosphate; PPPP, an unstable intermediate in the synthesis of phytoene. The genetic loci associated with specific biosynthetic conversions are discussed in the text and listed in Table 1.

Rhodobacter capsulatus and Rhodobacter sphaeroides

The first classical genetic studies of eubacterial carotenoid biosynthesis were conducted with R. sphaeroides in the 1950s (41, 42). This facultative phototroph and its close relative R. capsulatus photosynthesize anaerobically, obviating the need for carotenoids and thus facilitating the isolation of biosynthetic mutants. Low light intensities or oxygen tensions stimulate photosynthetic membrane formation and carotenoid pigment accumulation in Rhodobacter species (2). Research in the subsequent 40 years, summarized below, has revealed that carotenoid biosynthesis requires the products of seven clustered genes (crtA, crtB, crtC, crtD, crtE, crtF, and crtI) (Table 1). The corresponding enzymes convert farnesyl pyrophosphate (FPP) to spheroidene in strictly anaerobic cultures or to spheroidenone in the presence of oxygen (Fig. 1 and 2).

Taking advantage of a genetic recombination system in R. capsulatus, mapping of distinct classes of photopigment mutants demonstrated the tight genetic linkage of crt and Bchl a (bch) biosynthetic loci (88, 93). Analysis by conjugation-mediated marker rescue and transposon and interposon mutagenesis of a cloned 46-kb photosynthesis gene supercluster produced an integrated genetic-physical map of the clustered crtA, crtB, crtC, crtD, crtE, crtF, and crtI genes and the physically separated crtJ gene (7, 38, 39, 60, 91, 95). Nucleotide sequencing of the R. capsulatus crt gene cluster (4) (Fig. 3) revealed the presence of an additional open reading frame (ORF) that was designated crtK on the basis of an earlier mutational analysis (39). R. sphaeroides was also shown to contain a similarly organized crt gene cluster (29, 36, 74), which has been partially characterized molecularly (37, 53). In contrast to earlier indications, recent mutational analyses demonstrate that neither crtJ (18) (now termed ORF 469) nor crtK (53) (now termed ORF 160) participate directly in Rhodobacter carotenoid biosynthesis. ORF 469, which may be involved in suppressing Bchl and carotenoid levels (76), encodes a product with some sequence features found in known bacterial transcription factors (1, 2).

Inhibitor and mutant studies led to proposals for a Rhodobacter carotenoid biosynthetic pathway from phytoene to the end products (Fig. 2) (38, 40, 88). Analysis of R. capsulatus crtB and crtE mutants blocked in phytoene accumulation demonstrated that both mutations permitted the synthesis of Bchl in vivo and accumulation of GGPP in vitro, indicating an active isoprenoid biosynthetic pathway through the latter compound (Fig. 1) (7). Partly on the basis of these data, functions were suggested for CrtB in phytoenopyrophosphate synthesis and CrtE in phytoene synthesis. Subsequent proposals that eubacterial CrtB and CrtE might instead be the phytoene and GGPP synthases, respectively (23, 57, 64), were confirmed in vivo complementation studies with Erwinia and Synechococcus crt genes in an Escherichia coli host (25, 64, 85) and with a tomato phytoene synthase cDNA in an R. capsulatus crtB mutant (14). Interestingly, all Rhodobacter crtE mutants, including those containing gross gene disruptions, synthesize Bchl-containing pigment-protein complexes (7, 39, 95). Thus, the effective branchpoint between Bchl a and carotenoid biosynthesis may occur even earlier than previously thought (Fig. 1), and carotenoid- and Bchl a-specific pools of GGPP may exist.

Of the Rhodobacter crt genes, crtB and crtEF form multigene operons (Fig. 3), the latter of which also contributes to a superoperon that includes bch and other photosynthesis genes (4, 5, 7, 29, 36, 39, 94). The levels of the R. capsulatus crtA, crtC, crtD, crtE, and crtF mRNAs and the activities of the crtA and crtEF promoters increase moderately and transiently in response to anaerobiosis, while the crtB operon is unaffected. As in gene expression may reflect an increased demand for carotenoid biosynthesis (2). (Over)expression of R. capsulatus CrtI in a crt mutant restores the normal carotenoid complement but has no quantitative effect on carotenoid levels (12). Although the R. capsulatus crt genes do not direct carotenoid synthesis in E. coli (60), introduction of the R. sphaeroides crt gene cluster into phylogenetically related noncarotenogenic eubacteria (Paracoccus denitrificans, Agrobacterium tumefaciens, Agrobacterium radiobacter, and Azotomonas insolita) leads to carotenoid production (75).
FIG. 2. Major carotenoid biosynthesis pathways. The normal carotenoids accumulated in various classes of organisms are indicated. β-Carotene, for example, occurs as a major pigment in cyanobacteria, plants, and fungi. Structures are presented as all-trans isomers for convenience. R₁, glucose fatty acid ester; R₂, rhamnose; R₃, glucose. The dotted lines indicate postulated conversions involving an undefined number of reactions. The genetic loci associated with specific eubacterial biosynthetic conversions are discussed in the text and listed in Table 1. Parentheses indicate unique cyanobacterial \( \textit{crt} \) genes that replace the \( \textit{crt} \) genes present in other eubacteria.

\textit{Erwinia herbicola} and \textit{Erwinia uredovora}

\( \textit{crt} \) genes from yellow-pigmented nonphotosynthetic \textit{Erwinia} species, \textit{Erwinia herbicola} and \textit{Erwinia uredovora}, have been identified by their expression in \textit{E. coli}, a normally noncarotenogenic host (49, 58, 67, 77). The main pigments produced both in these \textit{Erwinia} species and \textit{E. coli} correspond to β-cryptoxanthin monoglucoside and zeaxanthin mono- and diglucosides (Fig. 2) (50, 67). Accumulation of carotenoids in \textit{E. coli} carrying the \textit{E. herbicola} Eho10 \( \textit{crt} \) genes requires cyclic AMP and is repressed by glucose (77, 92).

Nucleotide sequencing, mutagenesis, and identification of carotenoid intermediates accumulated in the \textit{E. coli} host have defined six clustered genes (\( \textit{crtB}, \textit{crtE}, \textit{crtI}, \textit{crtX}, \textit{crtY}, \) and \( \textit{crtZ} \)) (Table 1) involved in the biosynthetic pathway from FPP to the carotenoid glycosides (3, 49, 50, 64, 67, 85). \textit{E. herbicola} Eho10 and \textit{E. uredovora} contain almost identical \( \textit{crt} \) gene clusters, with the exception of an intervening ORF in \textit{E. herbicola} (49) (Fig. 3). A minimum of two operons, \( \textit{crtZ} \) and \( \textit{crtE} \)/\( \textit{ORF} \) 6)XYIB, thus encode carotenoid biosynthetic enzymes.

(Of)expression of \textit{Erwinia} \( \textit{crtB}, \textit{crtE}, \textit{crtI}, \textit{crtX}, \textit{crtY}, \) and \( \textit{crtZ} \) in \textit{E. coli} or \textit{A. tumefaciens} has confirmed their proposed biosynthetic activities (Table 1) (34, 51, 52, 64, 85). Purified \textit{E. uredovora} \( \textit{CrtI} \) can convert 15-cis-phytoene to all-trans-lycopene, suggesting that cis-trans isomerization of carotenoids in vivo occurs nonenzymatically (34). An \textit{E. herbicola} \( \textit{crtI} \) gene transferred to an \textit{R. sphaeroides} \( \textit{crtI} \) mutant directed the synthesis of novel xanthophylls, presumably because \textit{R. sphaeroides} \( \textit{CrtI} \) would normally generate neurosporene rather than lycopene (Fig. 2) (9). Expression of \textit{E. uredovora} \( \textit{crt} \) genes in noncarotenogenic \textit{Zymomonas mobilis} and \textit{A. tumefaciens} has been used to produce β-carotene accumulation in these eubacteria (68).

\textit{Myxococcus fulvus} and \textit{Myxococcus xanthus}

The nonphotosynthetic bacterium \textit{Myxococcus fulvus} synthesizes 4-ketotorulene, and fatty acid esters of the carotenoid glucosides myxobacton and myxobactin as its major red pigments (Fig. 2) (55). The \textit{M. fulvus} biosynthetic pathway, postulated on the basis of carotenoids accumulated in wild-type and chemically inhibited bacterial cultures, also functions in \textit{M. xanthus} (84).

Classical genetic studies with \textit{M. xanthus} have identified two phenotypic classes of pigmentation mutants, namely, constitutive carotenoid-producing strains and completely carotenoid-deficient strains, and defined several unlinked loci, \textit{carB}, \textit{carC}, \textit{carD}, and \textit{carR} associated with these phenotypes (46). \textit{carB} encodes an enzyme involved in phytoene synthesis (63), and \textit{carC} encodes phytoene desaturase (33). The linked \textit{carB} loci have been cloned and this region is being analyzed molecularly (84). Thus far, biosynthetic genes encoding GGPP synthase, phytoene synthase, hydroxyneurosporene synthase, and hydroxyneurosporene desaturase have been identified (Table 1) (70). The total number of genes involved in \textit{M. xanthus} carotenoid biosynthesis remains to be established. In contrast to the \textit{Rhodobacter} and \textit{Erwinia} \( \textit{crt} \) gene clusters, in \textit{M.}
xanthus at least two physically unlinked operons, carBA and carC, encode biosynthetic enzymes (Fig. 3).

The carA, carD, and carR loci exert regulatory functions (46). The transcriptionally coupled and positively light-regulated carQ, carR, and carS regulatory genes have recently been cloned and sequenced from the carR region (65). A series of elegant genetic experiments has led to a model for a complex regulatory circuit that controls the blue light-induced accumulation of carotenoids in M. xanthus (46). Induction of carotenoid accumulation in M. xanthus may involve the generation of singlet oxygen by photoactivated membrane-localized protochlorophyll IX. Singlet oxygen is thought to interact with CarR, which in turn initiates a regulatory cascade involving CarQ, CarS, and CarD that ultimately activates the respective 20- and 400-fold light-inducible carBA and carC promoters. CarA represses the carBA promoter in the dark and stimulates the carC promoter in the light. Interestingly, light induces the carC promoter only under conditions of carbon starvation (33).

**Cyanobacteria**

Cyanobacteria typically synthesize β-carotene, zeaxanthin, echinenone, and myxoxanthophyll as their major carotenoid pigments (Fig. 2) (27, 40). crt genes encoding the enzymes that convert GGPP to β-carotene (crtB, crtL, crtP, and crtQ) (Table 1) have been analyzed by a combination of genetic and molecular techniques (43). Cyanobacterial crtL and the combination of crtP and crtQ replace the functions encoded by crtY.
and crtI, respectively, in other eubacteria. The *Synechococcus* sp. strain PCC7942 and *Synechocystis* sp. strain PCC6803 crtP genes and the physically linked crtB genes were cloned by identifying mutant DNA sequences that conferred resistance to norflurazon (25–27, 61, 62). This bleachingicide inhibits its phytoene desaturation and causes photolabile cell death in Chl-containing organisms (22). A lycopene cyclase inhibitor-resistant mutant was similarly used to clone the *Synechococcus* *crtL* gene (30). Heterologous in vivo complementation of *E. coli* carrying eubacterial *crt* genes has recently been used to identify the *Anabaena* sp. strain PCC7120 *crtB* gene for ζ-carotene desaturase and to characterize *CrtQ* (59). This method has also been employed to confirm the enzymatic functions of cyanobacterial *CrtB*, *CrtF*, and *CrtL* (25, 30, 61). The physical arrangement of the cyanobacterial *crtP* and *crtB* genes mirrors that observed for *Rhodobacter* and *Erwinia* *crtB* (Fig. 3), although *Synechococcus* *crtP* and *crtB* are not cotranscribed (25, 26, 61, 62). Whether either *crtL* or *crtQ* is physically linked to *crtP* and *crtB* has not been reported.

**EVOLUTIONARY CONSERVATION OF EUKARYOTIC CAROTENOID BIOSYNTHESIS ENZYMES**

Carotenoid biosynthetic pathways found in eubacteria, in particular *Erwinia* species, overlap significantly with those of fungi and higher plants (Fig. 1 and 2). Comparison of the predicted amino acid sequences of eubacterial and putative eukaryotic carotenoid biosynthesis enzymes (2, 6, 15, 23, 57, 59, 64, 73) and heterologous hybridization with cyanobacterial DNA probes (15, 73) have helped to identify eukaryotic cDNAs or genes encoding GGPP synthase, phytoene synthase, and phytoene desaturase. In vivo complementation with eukaryotic cDNAs of *R. capsulatus* mutants or *E. coli* strains carrying *Erwinia* *crt* genes has been used to study the conservation of enzyme functions (11, 13–15, 73, 86). Conversely, tobacco and noncarotenogenic yeast cells have served as hosts for expression of *Erwinia* *crt* genes (9, 69).

Two distinct types of evolutionarily conserved prenyltransferases, CrtE and CrtB, mediate the early reactions of carotenoid biosynthesis from FPP to phytoene (Fig. 1). Structurally, eubacterial CrtE (GGPP synthase) belongs to a group of eubacterial, archaeabacterial, and eukaryotic isoprenyl pyrophosphate synthases that includes other GGPP, as well as FPP and hexaprenylpyrophosphate synthases (2, 6, 8, 28). Eukaryotic CrtE homologs include AI-3 in *Neurospora crassa* (23, 86) and Ggps in bell pepper (57). The genomes of the *Cyanophora paradoxa* cyanelle (66) and the *Porphyra purpurea* red algal plastid (80) encode gene products that may represent homologs of CrtE or rather of structurally related but functionally distinct isoprenyl pyrophosphate synthases (6). Comparing the eubacterial and eukaryotic enzymes, *E. herbicola* Eho10 CrtE can use FPP as an aliphatic substrate (64), while AI-3 accepts dimethylallyl pyrophosphate (86) and Ggps can convert dimethylallyl pyrophosphate, geranyl pyrophosphate, or FPP into GGPP (Fig. 1) (57).

Eubacterial CrtB (phytoene synthase) corresponds structurally and functionally to Psy in higher plants (2, 3, 6, 13, 14, 19, 78, 79, 82). The sequences of CrtB proteins display 25 to 30% identity with that of the tomato pTOM5 protein (3, 6), encoded by a fruit ripening-associated cDNA (78). Biochemical analysis of transgenic tomato plants expressing antisense pTOM5 mRNA (19) and in vivo complementation of an *R. capsulatus* *crtB* mutant with a pTOM5-related cDNA confirmed the role of this protein as a phytoene synthase (14). CrtB also shares conserved residues with eukaryotic squalene synthase (64), which condenses two molecules of FPP to produce squalene for sterol biosynthesis (Fig. 1) in a reaction resembling that catalyzed by CrtB.

Phytoene desaturases in eubacteria can be divided into two structurally and functionally distinct groups (Table 1): CrtI type (including CrtI and CarC) and CrtP type. CrtI- and CrtP-type phytoene desaturases are homologous to Al-1 in *N. crassa* (11, 87), and Pds in algae and higher plants, respectively (15, 48, 73). The two enzyme classes, which are thought to have evolved independently (73), differ with respect to their specificities for substrates and products and sensitivities to chemical inhibitors. Crt-type enzymes synthesize neurosporene or lycopene from phytoene but cannot accept substrate (11, 24, 34), while CrtP-type desaturases produce ζ-carotene from phytoene (15, 48, 73). The differential inhibition of CrtI- and CrtP-type enzymes by norflurazon has been exploited to create herbicide-resistant tobacco by introduction of the gene encoding norflurazon-insensitive *E. uredovora* CrtI (69). The primary structures of eubacterial CrtD (methoxyneurosporene desaturase) and CrtQ (ζ-carotene desaturase) also display significant similarity to those of the CrtI-type enzymes, despite their differences in substrate specificities (Fig. 2 and Table 1) (4, 6, 11, 59). One small region conserved in all carotenoid desaturases corresponds to a βββ dinucleotide-binding fold predicted to interact with flavin adenine nucleotide (FAD) or NADP (2, 3, 6, 11, 15, 48, 73). In support of this observation, a mutation in this region destroys the activity of *R. capsulatus* CrtD (1, 3). FAD also stimulates the activity of purified *E. uredovora* CrtQ (34), and bell pepper Pds contains bound FAD (48).

*Erwinia* CrtY (49, 52, 67) and *Synechococcus* CrtL (30) represent two separate classes of lycopene cyclases on the basis of the deduced sequences (44), although both catalyze β ring cyclizations (Fig. 2). Furthermore, a distinct lycopene cyclase probably catalyzes the synthesis of the d-ring of 6-carotene (20, 22). DNA-DNA hybridization suggests the existence of algal and higher plant homologs of CrtL (30).

Several other eubacterial carotenoid biosynthesis enzymes not found in eukaryotes also share conserved sequence motifs with other proteins. *R. capsulatus* CrtF catalyzes an S-adenosylmethionine-dependent methylation reaction restricted to a few species of anoxygenic photosynthetic bacteria (Fig. 2) (40, 88). The primary sequences of a number of noncarotenogenic eubacterial, plant, and animal O-methyltransferases display significant identity with that of CrtF, including conservation of a putative S-adenosylmethionine-binding site (2). *E. herbicola* Eho10 CrtX shares a conserved region that may be a UDP-binding site with noncarotenogenic eukaryotic enzymes that also interact with UDP-glucosyl moieties (51).

**SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS**

The opportunities to understand eubacterial carotenoid biosynthesis and apply the lessons learned in this field to eukaryotes have improved dramatically in the last several years. On the other hand, many questions remain. Although the pigments illustrated in Fig. 2 represent only a small fraction of the carotenoids found in nature, the characterization of eubacterial genes required for their biosynthesis has not yet been completed. Identifying those eukaryotic carotenoid biosynthetic mutants, genes, and enzymes that have no eubacterial counterparts will also prove essential for a full description of the biochemical pathways (81). Eubacterial *crt* gene regulation has not been studied in detail, with the notable exceptions of *M. xanthus* and *R. capsulatus* (5, 33, 39, 45, 46, 84). Determination of the rate-limiting reaction(s) in carotenoid biosynthesis has thus far yielded species-specific results (12, 27, 47, 69),...
and the mechanisms of many of the biochemical conversions remain obscure. Predicted characteristics of some carotenoid biosynthesis gene products await confirmation by studying the purified proteins.

Despite these challenges, (over)expression of eubacterial or eukaryotic carotenoid genes in heterologous hosts has already created exciting possibilities for the directed manipulation of carotenoid levels and content. Such efforts could, for example, enhance the nutritional value of crop plants or yield microbial production of novel and desirable pigments. In the future, the functional compatibility of enzymes from different organisms will form a central theme in the genetic engineering of carotenoid pigment biosynthetic pathways.
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