Chemotaxis in *Borrelia burgdorferi*
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*Borrelia burgdorferi* is a motile spirochete which has been identified as the causative microorganism in Lyme disease. The physiological functions which govern the motility of this organism have not been elucidated. In this study, we found that motility of *B. burgdorferi* required a chemotactic environment similar to that found in vivo (e.g., pH 7.6 and 0.15 M NaCl). Several methods were used to detect and measure chemotaxis of *B. burgdorferi*. A number of chemical compounds and mixtures were surveyed for the ability to induce positive and negative chemotaxis of *B. burgdorferi*. Rabbit serum was found to be an attractant for *B. burgdorferi*, while ethanol and butanol were found to be repellents. Unlike some free-living spirochetes (e.g., *Spirochaeta aurantia*), *B. burgdorferi* did not exhibit any observable chemotaxis to common sugars or amino acids. A method was developed to produce spirochete cells with a self-entangled end. These cells enabled us to study the rotation of a single flagellar bundle in response to chemotaxants or repellents. The study shows that the frequency and duration for pausing of flagella are important for chemotaxis of *B. burgdorferi*.

*Borrelia burgdorferi* is a spirochete which has been shown to be the causative agent for Lyme disease (22, 25–27). It normally lives in ixodes ticks which feed on mice or deer. The bacteria are transmitted to humans by tick bites. Infection may spread in the skin, causing the distinctive lesion (erythema migrans). *B. burgdorferi* then enters the bloodstream and invades a variety of host tissues. Some studies indicate that motility of *B. burgdorferi* may be important for pathogenesis of this organism (23, 24).

The motility of *B. burgdorferi* has been well studied (5, 8, 9, 16, 20). Like many spirochetes, *B. burgdorferi* has multiple internal periplasmic flagella (axial filaments) attached at each cell end that overlap in the cell center (19). Wild-type *B. burgdorferi* cells swim, reverse, and flex (16). It is believed that the direction of rotation of the two flagellar bundles at two cell ends results in different swim patterns. During smooth swimming, flagellar bundles at both ends may rotate in opposite directions as viewed from the center of the cell. A reversal of direction can occur when both flagellar bundles switch synchronously. A flexing movement can result when the two flagellar bundles rotate in the same direction (9, 16). Some motility and chemotaxis genes of *B. burgdorferi* have been identified. They exhibit homology with motility and chemotaxis genes of *Escherichia coli* and Bacillus subtilis (12–14). At present, there is scant understanding of chemotaxis of *B. burgdorferi*. In this study, we adapted several known chemotaxis assays to analyze the chemotactic behavior of *B. burgdorferi*.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Bacterial strain, media, and growth conditions.** The avirulent *B. burgdorferi* strain ATCC B31 was used in this study. The bacteria were grown in BSK II medium (4) supplemented with 5% young rabbit serum (Pel-Freez Biologicals) at 34°C as described by Foley et al. (10). Log-phase cells (optical density at 605 nm [OD605] = 0.04 to 0.06) were used for the experiments. Chemotaxis buffer consists of 0.15 M NaCl, 10 mM NaH2PO4 (pH 7.6), 10 μM EDTA, and 10 mg of bovine serum albumin (BSA) per ml. Sometimes methylcellulose was added to a final concentration of 1% to increase the viscosity of the chemotaxis buffer (7).

**Spatial chemotaxis assays.** (i) Capillary assay. The capillary assay for measuring chemotaxis is similar to those described by Adler (1) and Greenberg and Canale-Parola (17). To assay chemotaxis, a capillary tube containing a solution of attractant or repellent is inserted into a suspension of motile *B. burgdorferi* cells (~109 cells/ml) resuspended in the chemotaxis buffer. After incubation at 25°C for 2 h, the contents of the capillary tube are transferred to 0.2 ml of chemotaxis buffer, and bacteria are counted in a Petroff-Hauser counting chamber. A Petroff-Hauser chamber is used because colony counting for *B. burgdorferi* is difficult. To reduce the inaccuracy, the results are based on averages of triplicates on each of two separate capillary assays. A capillary tube containing buffer alone is used for a background control.

(ii) Cuvette assay. The cuvette assay is a modified chemotaxis assay based on the method described by Zhulin et al. (29). A solution of attractant or repellent is mixed with an equal volume of 2% melted agarose solution and placed into the bottom of the cuvette chamber (about 4-mm thickness). After the agarose has solidified, chemotaxis buffer containing bacterial suspension is carefully layered on top of the agar. Black paper is used to direct the light beam through the cuvette 3 mm above the surface of the solidified agarose. The OD605, measured with a Milton Roy Co. model Spectronic 21D spectrophotometer, changes over time due to the positive or negative chemotaxis to chemicals in the bottom agarose. Agarose with buffer alone was used as a control.

(iii) Plug assay. The plug assay is very similar to the one described by Tso and Adler (28). A plug of hard agarose (1.5%), about 5 mm in diameter, containing the chemical to be tested is placed in the center of a petri dish. Bacteria grown in BSK II medium are harvested by low-speed centrifugation (3,000 rpm) and resuspended with the chemotaxis buffer in a smaller volume that gives visible turbidity (OD605 = 0.2, ~107 cells/ml). Then the bacterial solution is mixed with an equal volume of 0.4% agarose in chemotaxis buffer (p preferrred at 45°C) and poured into the petri dish. A clear zone around the hard agar plug can be observed in 2 h if the plug contains repellents.

**Temporal chemotaxis assay.** Using the methods described by Greenberg and Canale-Parola (17) and Goldstein et al. (16), we studied cellular behavior of *B. burgdorferi* via videomicroscopy. Motility is observed with a Zeiss phase-contrast microscope (Axiohot) with a 40× objective. The microscopic images are captured by a Zeiss videocamera (ZVS-47DEC) and recorded by a videocassette recorder (VCR; Panasonic AG-6040) to examine bacterial motility.

**Analyzing the gyration of cells with a self-entangled end.** Cells with a self-entangled end were produced by resuspending cells in chemotaxis buffer at pH 9.0. These cells only have one active flagellar bundle at the nontangled end. When the free end rotates CCW (counterclockwise) (as viewed from the entangled end), it produces a long-wave-length helical wave and pushes the cell backward. When the free end rotates CW (clockwise), it produces a short-wave-length helical cylinder and pulls the cell forward. When the free end rotates CW (clockwise), it produces a long-wave-length helical wave and pushes the cell backward.

**RESULTS**

Motility of *B. burgdorferi*. Under the microscope, we observed that *B. burgdorferi* cells grown in BSK II medium (with 5% rabbit serum) between 20 and 37°C exhibited motility. When the growth temperature was >37°C, both the rate of...
growth and motility were reduced. *B. burgdorferi* cells grown at 37°C were actively motile from early log phase (OD₆₀₀ < 0.01, ~10⁶ cells/ml) to late log phase (OD₆₀₀ = 0.08 to 0.1). As the cells grew into stationary phase (OD₆₀₀ > 0.1), a marked reduction in motility was observed.

Under a microscope, *B. burgdorferi* cells moved at speeds of 2 to 10 μm/s in the growth medium. As reported by Goldstein et al. (16), unstimulated *B. burgdorferi* cells swam forward or backward, paused, and flexed.

One interesting question is whether *B. burgdorferi* prefers the use of one particular cell end to swim forward. To answer this question, we used videomicroscopy to follow 20 bacteria cells for a total of 2 h and found that all of them spent about equal amounts of time swimming forward by using either end (Table 1). These data indicate no difference in motility for the two cell ends of *B. burgdorferi*.

Chemotaxis assays require a defined buffer which allows bacterial motility. One widely used chemotaxis buffer is phosphate buffer (pH 7.0; 10⁻² M) containing potassium EDTA (10⁻⁴ M). This buffer has been successfully used for chemotaxis studies of *E. coli* and *Spirochaeta aurantia* (1, 17). However, in the present study this buffer did not support motility for *B. burgdorferi*. We formulated an alternative buffer which contained 0.15 M NaCl, 10 mM NaH₂PO₄ buffer (pH 7.6), 10⁻⁴ M EDTA, and 10 mg of BSA per ml. *B. burgdorferi* cells were observed to be motile in this buffer for many hours. It is interesting that 0.15 M NaCl and pH 7.6 mimic the conditions for interstitial fluid in the human body (18), a natural environment for *B. burgdorferi*. A high concentration of NaCl is needed to make *B. burgdorferi* motile in the chemotaxis buffer. We varied the concentration of NaCl in the buffer and found that 0.1 M NaCl was minimally required for motility whereas 0.15 M NaCl resulted in the greatest motility. When 0.15 M NaCl was replaced with 0.15 M KCl, 0.15 M LiCl, 0.1 M MgCl₂, or 0.1 M CaCl₂, motility was greatly diminished, suggesting that factors other than the ionic strength or osmolarity...
of 0.15 M NaCl modulate the motility of *B. burgdorferi*. The optimal pH for *B. burgdorferi* is 7.6, although *B. burgdorferi* cells exhibit some motility in solutions with pHs ranging from 4.0 to 9.0. Heavy metal ions such as Ni$^{2+}$, Co$^{2+}$, and Cu$^{2+}$ were very toxic to *B. burgdorferi* motility (data not shown); therefore, EDTA (10$^{-4}$ M) was added to chelate these heavy metal ions. The addition of BSA, a major protein in serum, was found to help *B. burgdorferi* cells retain motility for a longer time. It has been reported that addition of 1% methylcellulose to medium facilitates spirochetal motility (9, 16). We found that *B. burgdorferi* cells were very motile in chemotaxis buffer with addition of 1% methylcellulose; however, the removal of 1% methylcellulose had no observable effect on motility. Therefore, most of the experiments described below were done in chemotaxis buffer without methylcellulose.

Motility of bacteria can be driven either by proton motive force or by sodium motive force (3, 15). Since a high concentration of NaCl was required for motility of *B. burgdorferi*, we tested whether a sodium-driven flagellar motor played a role in motility of *B. burgdorferi*. Proton motive force inhibitors such as 2,4-dinitrophenol (1 mM) and dicyclohexylcarbodiimide (1 mM) strongly inhibited motility, while 1 mM amiloride, a known inhibitor of sodium motive force, had no effect on motility. These data suggest that the flagellar motor of *B. burgdorferi* may be driven by the proton motive force.

**Chemotaxis of *B. burgdorferi***. Selected spatial chemotaxis assays consisting of a capillary assay, a cuvette assay, and a plug assay (see Materials and Methods) were used to survey the chemotactic properties of a number of chemical compounds and mixtures. The capillary assay is a very sensitive assay which enables the detection of chemotraction. Usually after 2 h, there are 70 to 200 bacteria in a capillary containing chemotaxis buffer alone, while there are more than 1,000 cells in the capillary containing chemotaxants. Chemicals which attract five or more times the bacteria as the control are considered attractants. The cuvette assay is a very simple and effective way to study chemotaxis of *B. burgdorferi*. Unfortunately, it is not very sensitive; the biggest change in OD$$_{605}$$ recorded was about threefold (in response to undiluted serum). Furthermore, cell precipitation and cell lysis may also affect the results. The plug assay works particularly well for negative chemotaxis: repellents in the hard agar plug repel bacteria, creating a clear zone around the plug.

Table 2 lists the chemicals tested. BSK II medium (with 5% rabbit serum) was found to be an attractant for *B. burgdorferi*. Further analyzing the components of BSK II medium, we found that the major chemotraction resulted from the rabbit serum (Table 2). Serum diluted 200-fold (0.5%) still showed chemotraction (Table 2), indicating that the attractant(s) in the serum must be able to act at a very low concentration. Some of the known components of serum, such as Fe$^{2+}$ and hemin, were tested and found not to be attractants (data not shown). Sugars have been found to be good attractants for many other bacteria such as *E. coli* and *S. aurantia* (2, 17). A number of sugars (glucose, lactose, maltose, galactose, and sucrose) were tested and found not to induce chemotaxis of *B. burgdorferi* (Table 2). Many amino acids such as serine and aspartate are excellent attractants for bacteria such as *E. coli* (21). In this study, single amino acids as well as complex mixtures like peptone and yeast extract were also tested, and none were found to attract *B. burgdorferi* (Table 2).

The plug assays showed that *B. burgdorferi* moved away from certain chemicals (negative chemotaxis). Ethanol and other small alcohols were found to be excellent repellents (Table 2). Plugs with pHs less than 6.5 or higher than 8.5 repelled bacteria (Table 2). H$_2$O$_2$ and high concentrations of KCl were also found to have a repellent effect on *B. burgdorferi* (Table 2). None of these chemicals lysed *B. burgdorferi* cells at the con-
centrations tested (data not shown); therefore, the clear zones around the plugs were most likely due to negative chemotaxis.

**Cellular response to chemicals and adaptation.** Videomicroscopy was used to study the cellular behavior in response to chemotactants and repellents. Unstimulated cells swim forward and backward, flex, and pause. Usually a bacterium moving fast (>5 μm/s) swims longer (5 to 9 s) and then pauses and flexes less (1 to 2 s); a bacterium moving slowly (<5 μm/s) swims for a shorter period (3 to 5 s) and spends more time (2 to 4 s) pausing and flexing. Unstimulated bacteria usually spent 80 to 85% of the time for smooth swimming and 15 to 20% of the time for flexing and pausing (Fig. 1). After a chemottractant (e.g., 1% rabbit serum) was added, the bacteria became almost exclusively smooth swimming, with rare reversing, pausing, or flexing (Fig. 1a). After a repellent (e.g., 100 mM ethanol) was added, the bacteria spent most of the time flexing and pausing, with very little time spent smooth swimming (Fig. 1b). In both cases, after a certain period of time (depending on the strength of the stimulus), the bacteria adapted to the stimuli and gradually returned to the regular time ratio for swimming and flexing-pausing, a behavior called adaptation (Fig. 1).

**Chemotactic behavior of cells with a self-entangled end.** *B. burgdorferi* has one flagellar bundle at each cell end; the two bundles overlap in the cell center. The observed behavior of swimming, flexing, and pausing is the combined movement of both flagellar bundles. To understand how the cellular behavior is affected by rotation of flagella, it is important to study the behavior of a single flagellar bundle in response to chemotactants or repellents. To this end, we used a newly developed method to produce spirochetes which contain only one active flagellar bundle.

When *B. burgdorferi* was placed in a solution with a pH of >8.5, the cell surface seemed to become very sticky. When cells were resuspended at high density, many of them adhered to form large cell clumps (Fig. 2a). Cells in the clumps were still alive and motile. When cells were resuspended at low density, the ends of a small portion (~1 to 5%) of them became self-entangled. Cells with both ends self-entangled were found to be nonmotile (data not shown), indicating that tangling of cell ends with the cell body prevents normal functioning of the flagellar bundle. Cells with one self-entangled end were studied (Fig. 2b). These cells have only one active flagellar bundle located at the nontangled end; the gyration of this free end indicates the direction of rotation of the single flagellar bundle. When the nontangled end rotates CCW (as viewed from the entangled end), it produces a short-wavelength helical cylinder and pulls the cell forward. When the free end rotates CW, it produces a long-wavelength helical wave and pushes the cell backward. We studied the chemotactic behavior of these cells. Without any stimulus, the free end rotated CCW (pulling cells forward) or CW (pushing cells backward) or stopped rotation (pausing). With addition of an attractant (e.g., 1% rabbit serum), the free end rotated exclusively CCW or CW with almost no pausing (Fig. 3a). When a repellent (e.g., 100 mM ethanol) was added, the free end was found to have much increased frequency of pausing, and the duration of each period of pausing was much longer than for unstimulated cells (Fig. 3b).

**DISCUSSION**

Unique cellular anatomy and distinctive motility enable spirochetes to move effectively in highly viscous bodily fluids. Therefore, it is likely that motility and chemotaxis of spirochetes play a role in pathogenesis. In this work, we studied the chemotactic behavior of *B. burgdorferi*, a known motile pathogenic spirochete. We found that *B. burgdorferi* did not exhibit chemotaxis toward sugars or amino acids. Instead, it moved toward serum, which could enable *B. burgdorferi* to move toward the bloodstream from tissues. *B. burgdorferi* avoided high concentrations of KCl, which could serve as a mechanism for *B. burgdorferi* to stay in the interstitial fluids (since there is a high concentration of KCl inside cells) and penetrate through the cellular junctions. *B. burgdorferi* tried to avoid hydrogen peroxide, a chemical released by neutrophils and macrophages to kill bacteria. This could serve as a protective mechanism for *B. burgdorferi* in its interaction with the immune system. It is also interesting that motility of *B. burgdorferi* requires a high concentration of NaCl and pH 7.6, which are the normal physiological conditions for interstitial fluids. In any case, our data suggest that chemotaxis may indeed be important for the pathogenesis of *B. burgdorferi*. What we report here is a classic example of chemotaxis very similar to those studied in other bacteria; however, through understanding the conditions for motility and chemotaxis of *B. burgdorferi* and the chemical nature of attractants and repellents, we learned a lot about how nature has modified similar systems for different purposes. In this case, the bacterium is one which is fully motile inside the human body and may use its chemotaxis mechanism to find target tissues.

Despite many similarities, there are some fundamental differences between the chemotaxis of *E. coli* and that of *B.
burgdorferi, which holds considerable fascination for those interested in questions regarding the motility and chemotaxis of bacteria. One of these questions is how chemotaxis is achieved at the cellular level. In the case of E. coli, chemotaxis is achieved by regulating the direction of rotation of the flagellar bundle. In response to attractants, E. coli flagella rotate CCW, which forms a bundle at the posterior end and pushes cells swimming ahead; in response to repellents, E. coli flagella rotate CW, which makes the bundle fall apart and bacteria tumble. Therefore, chemotaxis of E. coli is achieved by switching the direction of rotation of the flagellar bundles. However, for B. burgdorferi and other spirochetes, in order to swim forward or backward, the flagellar bundles at two ends of the cell have to rotate in different directions (6, 9); therefore, simply switching the rotation direction of flagellar bundles as in E. coli will not produce a similar effect. Instead, coordination of the two flagellar bundles seems to be important for achieving chemotaxis at the cellular level (11). The data presented on chemotaxis of B. burgdorferi are consistent with those of previous studies of chemotaxis in other spirochetes (11). In addition, the studies of B. burgdorferi cells with a self-entangled end suggested that the frequency and duration of pausing of the flagella are also important in the chemotactic response. In response to attractants, both of the flagellar bundles of a spirochete rotate without pausing, which could make them coordinate and swim in one direction. In response to repellents, the flagellar bundles pause frequently and extensively. This would disrupt the coordination between two flagellar bundles and cause cells to flex and pause, preventing cells from going into the repellent area.
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