Toxicity of Linoleic Acid Hydroperoxide to Saccharomyces cerevisiae: Involvement of a Respiratory-Related Process for Maximal Sensitivity and Adaptive Response
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Linoleic acid hydroperoxide (LoaOOH) formed during free radical attack on long-chain unsaturated fatty acids is an important source of biomembrane damage and is implicated in the onset of atherosclerosis, hepatic diseases, and food rancidity. LoaOOH is toxic to wild-type Saccharomyces cerevisiae at a very low concentration (0.2 mM) relative to other peroxides. By using isogenic mutant strains, the possible roles of glutathione (gsh1 and gsh2), glutathione reductase (gtr1), respiratory competence ([rho]0 petite), and yap1p-mediated expression (yap1) in conferring LoaOOH resistance have been examined. Respiratory-related processes were essential for maximal toxicity and adaptation, as evidenced by the fact that the [rho]0 petite mutant was most resistant to LoaOOH but could not adapt. Furthermore, when respiration was blocked by using inhibitors of respiration and mutants defective in respiratory-chain components, cells became more resistant. An important role for reduced glutathione and yap1 in the cellular response to LoaOOH was shown, since the yap1 and grr1 mutants were more sensitive than the wild type. In addition, total glutathione peroxidase activity increased following treatment with LoaOOH, indicating a possible detoxification role for this enzyme. Yeast also showed an adaptive response when pretreated with a nonlethal dose of LoaOOH (0.05 mM) and subsequently treated with a lethal dose (0.2 mM), and de novo protein synthesis was required, since adaptation was abolished upon treatment of cells with cycloheximide (25 μg ml−1). The wild-type adaptive response to LoaOOH was independent of those for the superoxide-generating agents paraquat and menadione and also of those for the organic hydroperoxides cumene hydroperoxide and tert-butyli hydroperoxide. Pretreatment with LoaOOH induced resistance to hydrogen peroxide, while pretreatment of cells with malondialdehyde (a lipid peroxidation product) and heat shock (37°C) gave cross-adaptation to LoaOOH, indicating that yeast has effective overlapping defense systems that can detoxify fatty acid hydroperoxides directly or indirectly.

Within cells, oxidative stress can lead to potential harm by causing nucleic acid damage, protein oxidation, and lipid peroxidation (17). Reactive oxygen species (ROS) that can induce such a stress response include the superoxide anion (O2−), the hydroxyl radical (OH·), hydrogen peroxide (H2O2), and lipid hydroperoxides (17, 25). Incomplete reduction of molecular oxygen to water in the respiratory electron transport chain leads to increased production of ROS during aerobic metabolism. ROS production can also increase following the exposure of cells to certain environmental conditions (17). Oxidative stress is significant both environmentally and medically, as it has been strongly implicated in diseases such as asthma, cancer (17), and atherosclerosis (51), in the aging process (2), and in AIDS (38).

One major target of ROS attack is unsaturated lipids, leading to autocatalytic lipid peroxidation (25). This is a significant source of membrane damage, which may contribute to atherosclerosis (51). During lipid peroxidation, reactive lipid and fatty acid hydroperoxides are formed, and these contribute to ongoing autooxidation (25). Lipid hydroperoxides also play a key role in the development of eye cataracts and hepatic disease, and they act as substrates for pathways that yield leukotrienes and lipoxins as part of the inflammatory response (2, 51). Fatty acid hydroperoxides have been shown to be more toxic than phospholipid hydroperoxides to endothelial cells (29), and it has been shown that phospholipid hydroperoxides are broken down to the fatty acid hydroperoxide moiety to exert their toxic effects (28). Under certain conditions, linoleic acid hydroperoxide (LoaOOH) can form a delocalized lipid radical (L·) which self-reacts to form dienoic dimers (L′L) or reacts with another hydroperoxide to form the peroxy radical (LOO·) (16). High levels of lipid hydroperoxides are also found in plants following environmental stress or physical injury (5), and these compounds are important in food rancidity and aging (2, 42).

Enzymes involved in the breakdown of lipid hydroperoxides may include phospholipases, glutathione peroxidase and phospholipid hydroperoxide glutathione peroxidase, which is specific to phospholipid hydroperoxides (35). thiorodoxin reductase (6), and cytochrome P-450 enzymes (46). The lipooxygenase enzyme, which is used to generate lipid hydroperoxides in vitro, can also use them as a substrate (16).

The model eukaryote Saccharomyces cerevisiae is ideal for investigating oxidative-stress responses, since not only is it genetically well defined but its defense systems against ROS are well characterized, including enzymes such as superoxide dismutase and catalase, as well as nonenzymic antioxidants (34). One major nonenzymic antioxidant in yeast is glutathione (20), which is a low-molecular-weight thiol present at millimolar levels in the cell (36) and which may be important in detoxifying cellular lipid hydroperoxides. Glutathione is the substrate for enzymes such as glutathione peroxidase, which has been...
shown to be important for the response to lipid hydroperoxides in *Hansenula marikii* (27). In addition, some toxic compounds are conjugated to glutathione by glutathione S-transferase for transport out of the cell (26).

In this study, the effects of LoaOOH will be examined by using a wild-type yeast and isogenic mutants affected in known antioxidant defense systems. Additionally, the role of respiration-related processes in both defense and adaptation to fatty acid hydroperoxides will be investigated by treating isogenic respiration-incompetent petite mutants with LoaOOH, and results will be compared to those seen with other oxidants. The possible role of glutathione in the cellular response to LoaOOH will also be determined.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Yeast strains and growth conditions. Studies were performed with the wild-type yeast strain CY4 (DY150 Anmrad) (MATa ura3-52 leu2-3,112 ade2-1 his3-101 ade2-1 his3-11 can1-100), which was the parent strain for the isogenic derivatives CY7 (glt1::TRP1, CY9 (glt1::LEU2), CY29 (ura4::HIS3), CY102 (cot1::HIS3), CY103 (cot1::HIS3), CY97 (cot2::HIS3)), and the [rho0] petite mutant CY4p (19, 21, 23, 24), and also with the wild-type yeast strain W303-1B, which was the parent strain of its isogenic cot1 and cot2 mutants (10) and the [rho0] petite mutant (generated by using ethidium bromide). All mutants were obtained by standard gene disruption techniques. Cells were grown in YEPD medium (1% [wt/vol] yeast extract, 2% [wt/vol] Bacto Peptone, 2% [wt/vol] D-glucose) at 30°C in a shaking water bath unless otherwise stated. Growth on nonfermentable carbon sources was tested on YEPG medium (YEPD medium with glycerol replaced by 3% [vol/vol] glycerol).

Synthesis of LoaOOH. LoaOOH was generated in vitro similarly to a method previously described (41), by incubating linoleic acid (0.3 mM) with soybean lipoygenase (4,000 U) in 0.1 M tetra-sodium borate buffer (pH 9) at room temperature with vigorous stirring for 30 min. The enzyme catalyzes abstraction of the H-11 hydrogen, which leads to the specific formation of Loa-13-OOH (45). The reaction mixture was loaded onto an end-capped C8 reverse-phase column (Sepak cartridge), and the LoaOOH was eluted in 1.5 ml of methanol. The solution was stored at 20°C and was stable for several months. The concentration of LoaOOH was determined spectrophotometrically (ε = 234 nm; ε = 25,000 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹). High-pressure liquid chromatographic analysis (C8 column RPME4A, 2 ml min⁻¹ flow rate; 85% [vol/vol] acetone; 15% [vol/vol] 0.2% acetic acid; 234 nm) was used to show that the resultant product was at least 95% pure and contained only trace amounts of linoleic acid (data not shown).

LoaOOH treatment and adaptation experiments. Cells were grown to exponential phase (2 × 10⁷ cells ml⁻¹) in YEPD medium, and to 3-ml aliquots of the culture was added LoaOOH to a range of concentrations (0 to 0.5 mM) for 1 h. Samples from each treatment were diluted in fresh YEPD medium and spotted in triplicate on YEPD in order to obtain viable counts and generate the respective dose-response curves. Adaptation was measured by pretreating cells with a nonlethal dose of LoaOOH (0.05 mM) for 1 h, followed by treatment with a lethal dose equivalent to the lethal dose of LoaOOH. Samples were then diluted and plated for viability counts as previously described.

Glutathione and glutathione peroxidase assays. Glutathione levels were determined by a microtitre plate assay described previously (48). Total, Se-dependent, and Se-independent glutathione peroxidase activity was determined by using the previously described coupled assay (31) in which oxidized glutathione, produced by the hydroperoxide-dependent oxidation of reduced glutathione, is reduced by glutathione reductase. NADPH is consumed during this reaction, and a decrease in absorbance is measured. Crude cell extract from cultures grown to exponential phase (optical density at 600 nm [OD600] = 1) was used. Specific activity is defined as nanomoles of NADPH oxidized per minute per milligram of protein.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Yeast membranes do not normally contain polyunsaturated fatty acids; instead, 30 to 50% of the phospholipid bilayer consists of palmitoleic acid (9-hexadecenoic acid), which is monounsaturated (25). However, polyunsaturated fatty acids (such as linoleic acid) in the medium are incorporated into the yeast membrane (8). Lipid peroxidation can be initiated with any unsaturated fatty acid, including the monounsaturated fatty acids oleic and palmitoleic acids, resulting in products that are similar to those which occur in the presence of polyunsaturated fatty acids (25). For these reasons, it was desirable to determine the effect of a lipid peroxidation intermediate, such as LoaOOH, on *S. cerevisiae*, since yeast are exposed to lipid hydroperoxides in their natural environment.

LoaOOH is toxic to yeast. The possible toxicity of LoaOOH to the wild-type yeast strain CY4 was determined by treating cells with different doses of LoaOOH. Different concentrations of LoaOOH were added to cultures in the same growth medium (YEPD), and incubation continued with vigorous aeration at 30°C for 1 h. Concentrations greater than 0.05 mM caused a decrease in cell viability such that after 0.2 mM treatment there was less than 10% survival (Fig. 1A). Appropriate controls were run simultaneously with these experiments, and no effect was observed (Fig. 1A). These included treatment of cells with linoleic acid, which was not toxic at a concentration equivalent to the lethal dose of LoaOOH. LoaOOH is toxic at concentrations much lower than those for hydrogen peroxide or other organic peroxides. To obtain <10% survival with this strain under the same conditions, higher concentrations of H₂O₂ (6 mM) (21), tert-butyl hydroperoxide (15 mM), and cumene hydroperoxide (4 mM) (results of this study) are required.

To determine the kinetics of the loss of cell viability, the wild-type strain was treated with different concentrations of LoaOOH and samples were taken from the culture at 20-min intervals over 1 h (Fig. 1B). The results indicate that, unlike the exponential death obtained when cell populations were treated with H₂O₂ (11), there was an initial rapid loss of cell viability followed by a marked decrease in the rate of kill. This may have resulted from the presence of two populations with differing sensitivities to LoaOOH in the starting culture. More than 90% of the survivors after 1 h were shown to be respiration deficient by the TTC reduction test and also by their failure to grow on nonfermentable carbon sources (data not shown). This indication that petite mutants may be more resistant to LoaOOH is discussed later. In subsequent experiments, treatment of yeast with 0.2 mM LoaOOH for 1 h was
chosen as a lethal dose and treatment with 0.05 mM LoaOOH for 1 h was chosen as the sublethal pretreatment dose, since it resulted in approximately 80% survival.

Yeast can adapt to LoaOOH. Given that lipid hydroperoxides may be formed in aerobic cells with unsaturated fatty acids in their membrane lipids, the question arises whether cells have a mechanism to respond to the presence of these compounds and detoxify them. Yeast cells are able to adapt to a range of oxidants and free radical-generating agents (11, 15) as well as to the lipid peroxidation product MDA (47). Adaptation is noted when cells display increased tolerance to a lethal dose of a particular compound following pretreatment with a sublethal dose, and this is indicated by a higher percentage of cell survival relative to survival of the non-pretreated control. The number of viable cells in the untreated control after the same time period was considered 100% cell survival. The adaptive response was observed when CY4 cells were pretreated with a sublethal dose of LoaOOH (0.05 mM; 1 h) and found to be more resistant to a subsequent treatment with a lethal dose (0.2 mM; 1 h) than those which received no pretreatment (Fig. 2). This result indicates that there may be an inducible system which allows yeast either to detoxify LoaOOH directly or to mount a cellular defense against this compound. Either mechanism would likely involve alteration in the expression of several genes and/or modification of proteins, and this can be partly determined by using inhibitors of protein synthesis.

De novo protein synthesis is required for adaptation. To determine if ongoing cytoplasmic protein synthesis was required to elicit the adaptive response, cells of the same culture were pretreated with 25 \( \mu \)g of the inhibitor cycloheximide (CHX) ml\(^{-1}\), 0.05 mM LoaOOH, or both of these together (Fig. 2). These cells were subsequently treated with the lethal concentration of LoaOOH, as was the non-pretreated control. Control LoaOOH-pretreated cells displayed the usual adaptive response. Interestingly, CHX pretreatment alone led to protection of the cells (to almost the same degree as LoaOOH); this has been observed for other stress responses and indicates that cells may have some posttranslational change that confers resistance to LoaOOH, or that recovery of cells from LoaOOH treatment can be improved by CHX pretreatment, since it may lead to induction of repair processes once the CHX and LoaOOH are removed. It has been reported that CHX can lead to increased transcription of several genes (32), which, through action during the recovery phase, may account for the adaptive response seen. Given the above results, it is also interesting that those cells pretreated with both CHX and LoaOOH together were found to be more sensitive than the non-pretreated control (Fig. 2), which indicated that de novo protein synthesis in the cytoplasm is necessary for LoaOOH adaptation, while the cells are exposed to LoaOOH.

Presence of oxygen is required for maximal toxicity. Lipid peroxidation is an autocatalytic process which requires the involvement of molecular oxygen. Hence, to examine the effect of oxygen on LoaOOH toxicity, cells were treated with different concentrations of LoaOOH in the presence of pure oxygen or argon (see Materials and Methods). With the wild-type grande strain, resistance to LoaOOH decreased markedly in the presence of oxygen and increased in the presence of argon (Fig. 3); the petite strain behaved similarly to the grande strain under the same conditions (data not shown). These results indicate that oxygen contributes significantly to the increased toxicity of LoaOOH. Lipid hydroperoxides can also break...
down to produce toxic carbonyl compounds, including MDA, and the question arises whether this product of lipid peroxidation can induce the defense system against LoaOOH.

**Cross-adaptation to MDA and heat shock.** Pretreatment of cells with a sublethal dose of MDA (1 mM; 1 h) conferred resistance to a subsequent treatment with 0.2 mM LoaOOH (Fig. 4A). The adaptive response with MDA pretreatment was significantly greater than that seen when cells were pretreated with LoaOOH, which indicates that an efficient system dealing with LoaOOH is triggered upon MDA exposure. However, when the cells were pretreated with 0.05 mM LoaOOH, no increased resistance to MDA was detected (data not shown). This is interesting, and a probable explanation lies with the concentrations that are required to lead to toxic effects. LoaOOH is toxic at concentrations 10-fold lower than those for MDA, and as a weak acid, MDA accumulates within cells at concentrations above those added exogenously (47). This also indicates that there may be at least two defense systems dealing with the products of lipid peroxidation and that these may overlap to some degree. Furthermore, it could be postulated that with time a breakdown product of LoaOOH may induce resistance to MDA and that this was not seen in the time course of this experiment, or that MDA induces various membrane transporters (such as PDR5 [4]), which leads to multidrug resistance and therefore to removal of LoaOOH or its breakdown products.

Many different stress response proteins are induced following heat shock treatment (33), including those that are able to degrade or repair damaged proteins (33). Exponentially growing cells were given a mild heat shock (37°C for 1 h) and were subsequently found to be more resistant to a 1-h treatment with 0.2 mM LoaOOH, thereby indicating that some part of the heat shock response may play a role in the defense against, or detoxification of, fatty acid hydroperoxides (Fig. 4B), or that LoaOOH may be modifying cellular protein levels.

**Pretreatment with LoaOOH confers resistance to H₂O₂ but not to superoxide anions or other organic hydroperoxides.** Previous work has shown that there is a complex set of interactions between different forms of oxidative stress in terms of their abilities to induce cross-protection against other compounds, and therefore, distinct detoxification and adaptive responses may exist. Pretreatment with the sublethal dose of LoaOOH (0.05 mM) did not induce further resistance to organic hydroperoxides, but it did confer increased resistance to H₂O₂ (Fig. 4C). It is possible that the activities of enzymes involved in the detoxification of H₂O₂, such as catalase (34), cytochrome c peroxidase (40), and glutathione peroxidase (37) increase following treatment with LoaOOH. Of these enzymes, glutathione peroxidase activity was measured, since it has also been shown to detoxify lipid hydroperoxides under certain conditions (35). Total and Se-dependent glutathione peroxidase activity was determined as described for Fig. 1 and compared to that of a control which received LoaOOH treatment only. Data are means of triplicates from a representative experiment.

**FIG. 3.** The presence of oxygen is required for maximal sensitivity to LoaOOH. Pure oxygen or argon was bubbled through culture aliquots for 15 min prior to addition of different concentrations of LoaOOH for 1 h. Cell viability was determined as described for Fig. 1 and compared to that of a control which received LoaOOH treatment only. Data are means of triplicates from a representative experiment.

**FIG. 4.** Cross-adaptation to other stresses indicates overlapping response systems. (A) Adaptation of wild-type cells to a lethal dose of LoaOOH (0.2 mM; 1 h) following pretreatment with a sublethal dose of MDA (1 mM; 1 h). (B) Adaptation of wild-type cells to a lethal dose of LoaOOH (0.2 mM; 1 h) following pretreatment with a mild heat shock (37°C; 1 h). (C) Fold adaptation, following pretreatment with a sublethal dose of LoaOOH (0.05 mM; 1 h), to the organic hydroperoxides cumene hydroperoxide (COOH; 4 mM) and tert-butyl hydroperoxide (tBOOH; 12 mM), the superoxide-generating agents paraquat (PQ; 15 mM) and menadione (MD; 6 mM), MDA (5 mM), and H₂O₂ (5 mM). An asterisk indicates that no adaptive response was detected. Data are means of triplicates from a representative experiment.
peroxidase may therefore be the basis of the increased resistance to H$_2$O$_2$. Putative glutathione peroxidase genes have been identified within the S. cerevisiae genome, as have glutathione S-transferases, which are also known to have glutathione peroxidase activity (39), and it is the aim of future studies to determine the role of these genes in the LoaOOH response.

Pretreatment with nonlethal concentrations of the superoxide-generating agents paraquat and menadione did not confer resistance to a subsequent 0.2 mM LoaOOH treatment (data not shown). This indicates that the superoxide response does not play a definitive role in the defense against fatty acid hydroperoxides and that cells treated with a low dose of superoxide-generating agent may not form enough lipid peroxide to induce subsequent resistance in the time course of this experiment. In addition, resistance to LoaOOH was not conferred following pretreatment with the lipid-soluble organic hydroperoxides tert-butyl hydroperoxide and cumene hydroperoxide. This result is interesting because it indicates that it is not just the hydroperoxide moiety which induces the adaptive response and that the yeast cells specifically adapt to LoaOOH.

**Glutathione and the transcriptional activator yAP-1 play important roles in cellular defense against LoaOOH.** Glutathione is an abundant intracellular thiol found to be important in the response to other types of oxidative stress (20). To determine if glutathione plays a role in the cellular defense against LoaOOH, the sensitivity of a mutant unable to synthesize glutathione was tested. CY9 lacks GSH1, which encodes γ-glutamylcysteine synthetase, which is the first and rate-limiting step in glutathione synthesis (36). Surprisingly, CY9 was more resistant to LoaOOH than the wild type (Fig. 5). Since the gsh1 mutant is phenotypically petite in that it lacks mitochondrial function, it is important to compare its resistance to that of the [rho$^{0}$] petite mutant generated from the wild-type parent (21) (Fig. 5). CY9 (gsh1) was more sensitive than CY4p ([rho$^{0}$]), and therefore glutathione may play some role in the cellular defense against LoaOOH. The respirational-competent gsh2 mutant (CY97), which cannot form glutathione but is able to synthesize the dipeptide γ-glutamylcysteine (22), showed no difference in sensitivity from the wild type when treated with LoaOOH (Fig. 5). This finding indicates that the dipeptide can effectively substitute for glutathione in this response, and this is the first report of such a role in response to lipid hydroperoxides. From these results, it might be expected that cellular glutathione levels alter following LoaOOH treatment; hence, cells were treated as described previously and total free-glutathione levels were assayed, as well as the ratio of oxidized to reduced glutathione, which reflects the redox status of the cell (48).

Free intracellular glutathione levels decreased on treatment of cells with LoaOOH (Table 1). This was unexpected, since oxidation of glutathione would not affect the total content measured in this assay. There are a number of possibilities to account for this decrease: free glutathione may be reacting directly with LoaOOH within the cell, or it may become protein bound when enzymes which use it as a substrate are induced following treatment, or, through the activity of glutathione S-transferases, glutathione may be conjugated to toxic compounds formed following treatment and may thus be exported from the cell. In all of these situations, any bound glutathione within the cell would go undetected in the assay used. Additionally, LoaOOH treatment is likely to cause membrane damage resulting in increased membrane porosity, and thus the cell could be rapidly losing low-molecular-weight molecules, such as glutathione. This would also account for the dramatic loss of volume, such as the sevenfold reduction seen with a lethal dose of LoaOOH (0.2 mM). The proportion of cells losing volume increased as the dose of LoaOOH increased (data not shown). When cells were treated with 0.5 mM LoaOOH, cell volume was greatly reduced and flocculation resulted (data not shown).

Glutathione reductase catalyzes the formation of reduced glutathione from the oxidized form (19). The isogenic mutant CY7, in which the glutathione reductase gene (GLR1) is disrupted, was treated with different concentrations of LoaOOH. A yap1 mutant (CY29) was also tested in this way, since YAP-1 is known to regulate the genes involved in glutathione synthesis (GSH1) (50) and reduction (GLR1) (19), as well as other related genes, such as TRX2, which have a role in oxidative stress (30). These strains were found to be very sensitive to low concentrations of LoaOOH compared to the wild type (Fig. 5). The increased sensitivities of the gsh1 mutant (compared to the [rho$^{0}$] petite mutant) and the glr1 and yap1 mutants (compared to the wild type) indicate that there is a role for Yap-1-inducible genes, such as those involved in the glutathione system. This Yap-1-mediated response further supports the possible induction of membrane transporters mentioned earlier, since Yap-1 is a transcriptional activator of multidrug resistance genes (18). Overall, these results indicate

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LoaOOH concn (mM)</th>
<th>GPX activity (nmol min$^{-1}$ mg$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Total glutathione$^c$</th>
<th>GSH/GSSG (nmol/mg cells)</th>
<th>SH/GSH ratio</th>
<th>Total Se dependent activity$^b$ (nmol NADPH oxidized per minute per milligram of protein)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>123 ± 4.2</td>
<td>96 ± 10</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>6:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>139 ± 7.1</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>7:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>611 ± 22</td>
<td>419 ± 24</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>3:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>593 ± 18</td>
<td>562 ± 20</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>11:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>55:1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ Abbreviations: GPX, glutathione peroxidase; GSSG, oxidized glutathione; GSH, reduced glutathione; ND, not determined.

$^b$ Activity is expressed as nanomoles of NADPH oxidized per minute per milligram of protein. Data are means of duplicates from a representative experiment.

$^c$ Expressed as nanomoles of GSH plus 2× GSSG per 10$^7$ viable cells for the wild-type strain.

![FIG. 5. Sensitivities of the wild type and oxidative-stress mutants to LoaOOH. Yeast strains CY4 (wild type), CY4p ([rho$^{0}$] petite mutant), CY9 (gsh1 petite mutant), CY97 (gsh2), CY7 (glr1), and CY29 (yap1) were grown to exponential phase and treated with various concentrations of LoaOOH for 1 h. Samples were diluted and plated on YEPD to monitor cell viability. Data are means of triplicates from a representative experiment.](http://jb.asm.org/Downloaded from)
an important role for glutathione in the defense and protection against LoaOOH.

**Respiration-deficient cells are resistant, and inhibition of respiration can increase resistance to LoaOOH.** Previously it was found that treatment of a wild-type culture with LoaOOH led to the selection of petite mutants as survivors. This is surprising, since previous studies in yeast have shown that petite strains are generally more sensitive than the wild type to different types of stress, including oxidant exposure (11, 15, 23, 47). The finding that petite mutants were more resistant to LoaOOH raises the question of what role the mitochondrion plays in the toxicity of LoaOOH, especially since mutations in the mitochondrial genome can enhance oxidative stress (40). It is unlikely that mitochondrial DNA is a target for LoaOOH, since the frequency of petite-mutant generation did not increase during the treatments used. To investigate the role of mitochondrial function, the sensitivity of an isogenic \( \text{rho}^0 \) petite mutant (CY4p) was compared to that of the wild-type grande strain. Petite mutants do not lack all mitochondrial functions, since nuclear-encoded proteins can continue to be imported into the petite-mutant mitochondrion (3) and petite mutants can grow on minimal medium, for which some mitochondrial metabolism is required; however, \( \text{rho}^0 \) petite mutants lack mitochondrial DNA, which encodes the apoprotein of cytochrome \( b \), some subunits of cytochrome \( c \) oxidase, and the \( F_1-\text{ATPase} \) (14), and thus are impaired in respiration, mitochondrial ATP generation, and related cellular processes. The \( \text{rho}^0 \) petite mutant was found to be more resistant to LoaOOH than the wild type (Fig. 5), tolerating concentrations threefold higher than the dose of LoaOOH that was lethal for the grande strain under the same conditions (data not shown). This high intrinsic resistance indicates that mitochondrial function plays an important role in eliciting the cytotoxic effect of LoaOOH.

Since petite strains are defective in respiration, several different respiratory inhibitors were used with the wild type to determine what role respiration may play in the LoaOOH response. Flavone inhibits NADH dehydrogenase, which is complex I of the respiratory chain in *S. cerevisiae* (12). Antimycin inhibits the cytochrome reductase complex between cytochromes \( b \) and \( c \), while both potassium cyanide (KCN) and sodium azide (\( \text{NaN}_3 \)) inhibit at complex IV via binding to the cytochrome \( a_3 \) heme prosthetic group (44). Oligomycin removes the \( F_0 \) subunit of the mitochondrial ATPase, which blocks the proton pump through this system and affects electron flow along the respiratory chain in tightly coupled mitochondria (1), and thus cells are not able to synthesize mitochondrial ATP (9). Exponentially growing cells were pretreated with a range of concentrations of the appropriate inhibitor (0.05 to 0.5 mM) at 30°C in YEPD medium, and after 1 h, a lethal concentration of LoaOOH (0.2 mM) was added to the culture, which was incubated for an additional 1 h. The results were compared to aliquots of the same culture that were untreated or treated with LoaOOH alone (no pretreatment) (Fig. 6A). The efficacy of the inhibitors was tested by growth on different carbon sources in the presence of each inhibitor. At the concentrations used, respiration was blocked (no growth on YEPD containing the inhibitor), while there was no serious loss of viability on YEPD medium (data not shown).

Prior inhibition of respiration by flavone or antimycin led to a marked increase in the resistance of the wild type to LoaOOH (Fig. 6A). This resistance was similar to that obtained for the \( \text{rho}^0 \) petite mutant (CY4p) treated with the same dose of LoaOOH (data not shown). Different results were, however, obtained following pretreatment with the inhibitors KCN and \( \text{NaN}_3 \). The low resistance of the wild type to LoaOOH did not change following treatment with \( \text{NaN}_3 \), and KCN had little effect beyond a slight increase in resistance at 0.1 mM (Fig. 6A). Similar results were obtained when these inhibitors were used at 1 mM (data not shown). The results with flavone and antimycin indicate that a block in the respiratory chain can lead to a significant increase in resistance to LoaOOH. Those with cyanide and azide are interesting because they show that inhibition of the respiratory chain after cytochrome reductase (complex III) and before the final reduction of oxygen to water by cytochrome \( c \) oxidase (complex IV) does not increase viability, thus indicating that a component of the respiratory chain between complex III and complex IV is involved in the toxicity of LoaOOH. It is also possible that KCN and \( \text{NaN}_3 \) are inhibiting other heme-containing proteins of the cell, as has been noted for catalase (44). Inhibition of ATP synthesis by oligomycin also increased resistance to LoaOOH (Fig. 6A). Another approach to analyzing the role of respiration is to use mutants specifically defective in components of the respiratory chain or in ATP synthesis.

**Effect of mutations affecting the respiratory chain on cellular resistance and adaptation to LoaOOH.** Ubiquinone-defi-
cient mutants are sensitive to linoleic acid at concentrations higher than those used as controls in this study, possibly due to the subsequent formation of lipid peroxidation products (13). A range of mitochondrial mutants were tested under the conditions for LoaOOH treatment previously described. These included the coy3 mutant (which lacks ubiquinone), the coy6 mutant (which lacks cytochrome oxidase subunit 6), and the atp2 mutant (which lacks the β subunit of the mitochondrial F$_{1}$-ATPase). The effect of each mutation was compared to the results for both the isogenic wild type and the isogenic [rho]− petite mutant, since all of the above nuclear pet mutants are phenotypically petite. In the CY4 strain background, mutations of coy6 and coy3 led to increased resistance approaching that of the [rho]− petite mutant (Fig. 6B). These results agree with those obtained with the respiratory inhibitors. In the W303-1B background, the atp2 mutant gave results similar to those for the isogenic coy3 mutant and the [rho]− petite mutant (data not shown), and these were in agreement with the result obtained with oligomycin. Inhibition of mitochondrial ATP synthesis also led to resistance to the toxic effects of LoaOOH. The results described above indicate that mitochondrial function(s) associated with respiration is needed to elicit full toxicity of LoaOOH. One possibility is that reduced cytochrome c oxidase can donate an electron to LoaOOH and that, in the presence of oxygen, a lipid peroxy radical is formed which can initiate the chain reaction of lipid peroxidation. This is supported by the oxygen effect on sensitivity. The intrinsic resistance of the coy3 and coy6 mutants, which is similar to that of the [rho]− petite mutant, also supports this hypothesis.

Alternative explanations for these results include the possibility that mitochondrial generated ATP may be used to potentiate the toxic effects of LoaOOH. The results with KCN and NaN$_{3}$ are not consistent with this explanation; however, these inhibitors can affect heme-containing systems in the cell other than respiration (44, 46). Another possibility is that autotcatalytic lipid peroxidation is initiated by a mitochondrial protein with lipoxigenase activity using LoaOOH as the substrate, and this may not function in the absence of respiration. A protein with lipoxigenase activity has been assayed and purified from the mitochondria of S. cerevisiae, but its corresponding gene has not been identified (43).

To determine if mitochondrial function was also required for cells to adapt to LoaOOH, the isogenic petite strains CY4p and CY9 were tested as previously described. Both of these strains were unable to adapt (data not shown), which indicates that there may be a mitochondrial function required for adaptation; for example, adaptation to LoaOOH may require an active system(s) whereby energy is required at levels higher than those obtained via fermentation alone. An intriguing possibility is that petite mutants are already maximally adapted to the toxic effects of LoaOOH due to loss of a mitochondrial function. For example, the capacity of a petite strain to remove oxygen from the cytoplasm may be lower than that of the large strain, and since oxygen is involved in toxicity, this could lead to the triggering of a adaptive response. This cannot be generally true for oxidants however, since petite mutants are more sensitive than the wild type to other ROS tested (11, 15, 47).

The results of this study have laid foundations for a more thorough understanding of how eukaryotic cells cope with lipid peroxidation stress, and this has implications for both the medical and industrial fields, since lipid peroxidation plays a major role in both atherosclerosis and the racnidity of foods. Analysis has revealed several important features of the response to LoaOOH in yeast: oxygen is important for the elicitation of the compound’s cytotoxicity; the absence of mitochondrial function and specifically of respiration-related processes leads to increased resistance to LoaOOH; and glutathione and its related enzymes play key roles in the cellular defense against LoaOOH. It is the aim of future research to further elucidate the cellular response to LoaOOH.
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