Imunochemical Characterization and Taxonomic Evaluation of the O Polysaccharides of the Lipopolysaccharides of *Pseudomonas syringae* Serogroup O1 Strains
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The O polysaccharide (OPS) of the lipopolysaccharide (LPS) of *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. atrofaciens IMV 7836 and some other strains that are classified in serogroup O1 was shown to be a novel linear α-d-rhamnan with the tetrasaccharide O repeat (→3)-α-d-Rhap-(1→3)-α-d-Rhap-(1→2)-α-d-Rhap-(1→2)-α-d-Rhap-(1→ (chemotype 1A). The same α-d-rhamnan serves as the backbone in branched OPSs with lateral (α1→3)-linked d-Rhap, (β1→4)-linked d-GlcNAc, and (α1→4)-linked d-Fuc residues (chemotypes 1B, 1C, and 1D, respectively). Strains of chemotype 1C demonstrated variations resulting in a decrease of the degree of substitution of the backbone 1A with the lateral d-GlcNAc residue (chemotype 1C-1A), which may be described as branched regular ↔ branched irregular → linear OPS structure alterations (1C ↔ 1C-1A → 1A). Based on serological data, chemotype 1D was suggested to undergo a 1D ↔ 1D-1A alteration, whereas chemotype 1B showed no alteration. A number of OPS backbone-specific monoclonal antibodies (MAbs), Ps(1-2)a, Ps(1-2)a1, Ps1a, Ps1a1, and Ps1a3, as well as MAbs Ps1b, Ps1c, Ps1c2, Ps1d, Ps(1-2)d, and Ps(1-2)d3, specific to epitopes related to the lateral sugar substituents of the OPSs, were produced against *P. syringae* serogroup O1 strains. By using MAbs, some specific epitopes were inferred, serogroup O1 strains were serotyped in more detail, and thus, the serological classification scheme of *P. syringae* was improved. Screening with MAbs of about 800 strains representing all 56 known *P. syringae* pathovars showed that the strains classified in serogroup O1 were found among 15 pathovars and the strains with the linear OPSs of chemotype 1A were found among 9 of the 15 pathovars. A possible role for the OPS of *P. syringae* and related pseudomonads as a phylogenetic marker is discussed.

More than 50 infraspecies taxa, so-called pathovars, of *Pseudomonas syringae* have been described on the basis of their distinctive pathogenicity to one or more host plants (67). Known phenotypic and genomic characters of *P. syringae* strains yield much information on the homogeneity of pathovars and their relatedness but cannot define the pathovar status of most strains (9, 12, 18, 35, 38, 41, 53, 59). Some progress in classification of *P. syringae* and related phytopathogenic pseudomonads has been achieved by DNA-DNA hybridization and ribotyping that resulted in delineation of nine genomospecies (12–14, 21, 40, 49, 50, 62). However, these genomospecies cannot be differentiated systematically by phenotypic tests, and therefore, new phenotypic characters are necessary for this purpose and for more accurate allocation of strains to *P. syringae* pathovars. We suggest that the chemical structure and immunological specificity of the lipopolysaccharides (LPSs) of *P. syringae* could be reliable characters of this sort. The suggestion is based on the unique chemical structure, molecular biology, and biochemistry of the LPS molecule (see Discussion) (4, 20, 40, 49, 50, 62).

The LPSs of most gram-negative bacteria, including pseudomonads, are composed of three independently synthesized moieties: lipid A, core oligosaccharide, and O polysaccharide (OPS), with the structural conservatism decreasing in the order lipid A > core >> OPS (20, 40). A cascade of strongly conjoining genetic and biochemical events are related to LPS synthesis, transport, polymerization, and folding (4, 49, 50, 62). Thus, any replacement, gain, or loss of a sugar substituent and any change of a glycosidic linkage within the LPS structure has to be preceded by profound changes within the LPS-encoding genes. Therefore, the chemotypes and, correspondingly, serotypes of *P. syringae* LPSs may be suggested as a conservative phenotypic character (phylogenetic marker) having a high taxonomic impact.

Strains of different pathovars of *P. syringae* produce LPSs with linear or branched OPSs having 1-, 2-, or both 1- and 2-rhamnose (Rha) residues in the backbone and different lateral substituents (24–26, 58, 68). A number of branched *P. syringae* OPSs of chemotypes 1B, 1C, and 1D have the backbone 1A, composed of oligosaccharide repeating units (O repeats) with four α-d-Rhap residues (the structures of the chemical O repeats are shown in Table 1). However, until recently, no linear OPS of chemotype 1A had been described. Some other *P. syringae* OPSs are linear, irregular branched, or regular branched, composed of an O repeat backbone with three α-d-Rha residues (chemotype 2A) and a lateral (α1→4)-linked d-fucose residue (chemotype 2D) (references 29 and 58 and our unpublished data).

Imunochemical studies of *P. syringae* LPSs with known OPS structure by using monoclonal antibodies (MAbs) revealed a correlation between the OPS structure and the immunospecificity and allowed the inference of some group- and type-specific epitopes within OPSs (44–46). Strains with the
TABLE 1. Structures of linear and regular branched OPSs of \textit{P. syringae} serogroups O1 and O2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OPS</th>
<th>P. syringae pathovar and strain</th>
<th>Structure of the O repeat</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1A</td>
<td>atrofaciens IMV 7836</td>
<td>((\alpha-1,3))-(\alpha-1,6)-D-Rha(D-Rha)(_n)(1(\rightarrow)2)-(\alpha-1,3)-D-Rha(D-Rha)(_m)(1(\rightarrow)4)-(1(\rightarrow)3)(\beta-1,6)-D-GlcN(_a)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1B</td>
<td>atrofaciens IMV K-1025</td>
<td>((\alpha-1,3))-(\alpha-1,6)-D-Rha(D-Rha)(_n)(1(\rightarrow)2)-(\alpha-1,3)-D-Rha(D-Rha)(_m)(1(\rightarrow)4)-(1(\rightarrow)3)(\beta-1,6)-D-GlcN(_a)</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1C</td>
<td>atrofaciens IMV 4394</td>
<td>((\alpha-1,3))-(\alpha-1,6)-D-Rha(D-Rha)(_n)(1(\rightarrow)2)-(\alpha-1,3)-D-Rha(D-Rha)(_m)(1(\rightarrow)4)-(1(\rightarrow)3)(\beta-1,6)-D-GlcN(_a)</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1D</td>
<td>phaseicola IMV 120a, tagetis ICMP 6570</td>
<td>((\alpha-1,3))-(\alpha-1,6)-D-Rha(D-Rha)(_n)(1(\rightarrow)2)-(\alpha-1,3)-D-Rha(D-Rha)(_m)(1(\rightarrow)4)-(1(\rightarrow)3)(\beta-1,6)-D-GlcN(_a)</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2A</td>
<td>morsprunorum GPSPB 883</td>
<td>((\alpha-1,3))-(\alpha-1,6)-D-Rha(D-Rha)(_n)(1(\rightarrow)2)-(\alpha-1,3)-D-Rha(D-Rha)(_m)(1(\rightarrow)4)-(1(\rightarrow)3)(\beta-1,6)-D-GlcN(_a)</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2D</td>
<td>morsprunorum CFBP 1650</td>
<td>((\alpha-1,3))-(\alpha-1,6)-D-Rha(D-Rha)(_n)(1(\rightarrow)2)-(\alpha-1,3)-D-Rha(D-Rha)(_m)(1(\rightarrow)4)-(1(\rightarrow)3)(\beta-1,6)-D-GlcN(_a)</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

backbone O repeats 1A and 2A were classified in serogroups O1 and O2, respectively, as a variety of serotypes (45, 46).

Recently, we described some peculiar immunological features of the LPS from \textit{P. syringae} pv. atrofaciens IMV 7836 (46). In particular, this LPS (i) did not cross-react with any \textit{Mab} specific to the lateral substituents of \textit{P. syringae} OPSSs, (ii) induced synthesis of antibodies that cross-reacted with branched OPSSs having the backbone O repeat 1A and different lateral substituents, and (iii) induced production of MABs which were specific to the homologous OPSS only. Based on these findings, we suggested that this strain had a hitherto-unknown linear \(\alpha\)-D-rhamnan OPSS of chemotype 1A (Table 1).

It was also suggested that in some \textit{P. syringae} strains branched OPSSs with the 1A backbone are irregular due to the presence of both linear and branched O repeats in various ratios.

Now we report the elucidation of the primary structure of the OPSS of \textit{P. syringae} pv. atrofaciens IMV 7836 and some other strains, which has confirmed our previous suggestion. We also report the results of serological and immunochemical studies of \textit{P. syringae} OPSSs with known OPSS structure by the use of a panel of MABs.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bacterial strains and growth conditions. A total of around 800 \textit{P. syringae} strains belonging to all 56 known pathovars (aceris, actinidiae, aesculi, amygdali, antirrhini, apii, avenae, atranorii, aurantiaca, avellanae, \textit{Pseudomonas avellanae}, berberidis, cannabinae, ciceriandicae, coronicaeae, \textit{Pseudomonas coronicaeae}, delphinii, dendronanis, disyloix, eriobotryae, ferrugineae, glycinea, \textit{Pseudomonas glycinea}, helianthi, japonica, lachrymans, lapa, maculica, meliae, mella, mires, morsprunorum, myricae, oryzae, panicis, papulans, passiflorae, persicae, phaseicola, \textit{Pseudomonas phaseicola}, philadelphe, photiniae, pisii, porri, primulae, rubiaca, savastanoi, \textit{Pseudomonas savastanoi}, sesami, striafaciens, syringae, tabaci, tagetis, theae, tomato, tremae, ulmi, viburni, and zizanieae), 82 \textit{P. syringae} strains of unknown pathovars, and 59 strains of other species, including \textit{Pseudomonas} (\textit{Pseudomonas aeruginosa}, \textit{Pseudomonas cichorii}, \textit{Pseudomonas fluorescens}, \textit{Pseudomonas marginalis}, and \textit{Pseudomonas viridiflava}), \textit{Burkholderia} (\textit{Burkholderia cepacia}, \textit{Burkholderia gladioli}, and \textit{Burkholderia glauca}), \textit{Raltsonia solanacearum}, \textit{Agrobacterium tunefaciens}, and \textit{Xanthomonas campestris}, were studied. The pathotype strains with all listed pathovars of \textit{P. syringae} and related pseudomonads were screened.

The bacteria were obtained from different collections of plant-pathogenic microorganisms (ATCC, CFBP, GPSP, ICMP, IMV, IPGR, IPaVe, NCPPB, and PD). Despite the fact that not all of the pathovars listed above are valid (67), they are listed in a recent paper describing DNA relatedness among the pathovars of \textit{P. syringae} and related pseudomonads (14) and therefore are used in this paper as well. A number of the strains used in this study have been characterized serologically and by some other phenotypic as well as genonomic characters (2, 9, 21, 32, 35, 41, 42, 44–46, 54, 56, 61, 65).

The bacteria were cultivated on solid potato dextrose agar (Difco Laboratories, Detroit, Mich.) at 20 to 22°C for 24 h.

Preparation of LPSs, OPSSs, and Smith-degraded and synthetic polysaccharides. For immunoassays, the LPSs were prepared as described previously (44, 56, 61, 65). The bacteria were cultivated on solid potato dextrose agar (Difco Laboratories, Detroit, Mich.) at 20 to 22°C for 24 h.

Preparation of LPSs, OPSSs, and Smith-degraded and synthetic polysaccharides. For immunoassays, the LPSs were prepared as described previously (44, 46). For structural analysis, LPSs were produced in the preparative scale. The bacterial mass was harvested from solid medium with 0.5% NaCl solution containing 0.01% phenol, washed three times with the same solution, and separated by centrifugation. The washed cells were extracted with Tri-EDETA buffer (0.02 M Tris-HCl [pH 7.5 to 8.0], 0.0025 M EDTA, 3% NaCl, 0.1% NaN3) by stirring at 8,000 rpm for 4 h at room temperature. Insoluble material was removed by centrifugation, and the supernatant was intensively dialyzed against distilled water. The LPS and LPS-Ops complexes were precipitated by solid ammonium sulfate at 50% saturation.

TABLE 2. Murine MAbs against \textit{P. syringae} LPSs with linear and branched OPSSs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MAb</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Isotype</th>
<th>Produced against \textit{P. syringae} pathovar and strain:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ps(1-2)a</td>
<td>Ps1a</td>
<td>IgG1</td>
<td>atrofaciens IMV 7836</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ps(1-2)a</td>
<td>Ps1a</td>
<td>IgG3</td>
<td>atrofaciens IMV 7836</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ps1a</td>
<td>IgG1</td>
<td>IgG3</td>
<td>atrofaciens IMV 7836</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ps1a</td>
<td>IgG1</td>
<td>IgG3</td>
<td>atrofaciens IMV 7836</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ps1a</td>
<td>IgG3</td>
<td>IgM</td>
<td>atrofaciens IMV K-1025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ps1b</td>
<td>IgM</td>
<td>IgM</td>
<td>atrofaciens IMV K-1025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ps1c</td>
<td>IgG3</td>
<td>IgG3</td>
<td>morsprunorum GPSPB 883</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ps1c</td>
<td>IgG3</td>
<td>IgG3</td>
<td>morsprunorum GPSPB 883</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ps1d</td>
<td>IgG1</td>
<td>IgG1</td>
<td>morsprunorum GPSPB 883</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ps1d</td>
<td>IgG1</td>
<td>IgG1</td>
<td>morsprunorum GPSPB 883</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ps2a</td>
<td>IgG3</td>
<td>IgG3</td>
<td>morsprunorum GPSPB 883</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ps2d</td>
<td>IgG2a</td>
<td>IgG2a</td>
<td>morsprunorum CFBP 1650</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* As described in our previous report (4).
* Ig, immunoglobulin.
with internal acetone (δ_H, 2.225; δ_C, 31.45). A mixing time of 250 ms was used in a rotating-frame nuclear Overhauser effect (ROESY) experiment.

Production and characterization of MAbs. *P. syringae* strains with linear and branched OPSs of LPSs were used as immunogens to produce MAbs in mice (Table 2). Immunization, generation of hybridomas, selection of particular cell lines, and defining of immunoglobulin classes and subclasses of MAbs were performed as previously described (44, 46). Initially, a number of hybridoma cell lines which synthesized MAbs reactive in enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) with the homologous antigen were produced. Based on the specificities and affinities of MAbs in different immunoassays with different antigens, including LPSs and OPSs with known structures of O repeats, a few suitable clones were selected which might vary slightly in affinity. After repeated recloning, most stable specific cell lines retaining the ability to produce MAbs were finally selected. Some cell lines with similar affinities and specificities were chosen based on the production of MAbs of different murine immunoglobulin classes and subclasses.

MAbs (i.e., hybridomas) were designated as follows: Ps, *P. syringae*; 1, 2, (1-2), 3, and 4 indicate the O serogroup specificity [(1-2) indicates that the epitope is shared by serogroups O1 and O2]; a, a1, and a2 are epitopes localized within the OPS backbone; b, c, c1, d, and d1 are epitopes that include lateral sugar substituents (44, 46). The symbols 2 and 1 show weak and strong exposure of the epitope in ELISA. The designations of some MAbs produced earlier (46) were changed (Table 2).

**RESULTS**

Structural studies of a linear O polysaccharide of chemotype 1A. Sugar analysis of a chemotype 1A OPS from LPS of *P. syringae* pv. atrofaciens IMV 7836, including determination of the absolute configuration, revealed α-rhamnose as the only OPS component. Methylation analysis resulted in identification of equal amounts of 2,4-di-O-methylrhamnose and 3,4-di-O-methylrhamnose. Hence, OPS is linear, all rhamnose residues are in the pyranose form, and half of them are 3 substituted and half are 2 substituted.

The 1H NMR spectrum of OPS (Fig. 1) contained, inter alia, signals for four anomeric protons at δ 4.96 to 5.17. Accordingly, the 13C NMR spectrum contained signals for four anomeric carbons at δ 102.1 to 103.4. Therefore, the O repeat of OPS contains four rhamnose residues, which were enumerated according to their sequence in the O repeat (RhaI to RhaIV; see below).

The 1H and 13C NMR spectra of the OPSs were assigned by

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sugar residue</th>
<th>Chemical shift (ppm) for:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>→3)-α-l-Rhap^3^-(1→</td>
<td>4.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>→3)-α-l-Rhap^4^-(1→</td>
<td>5.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>→2)-α-l-Rhap^1^-(1→</td>
<td>5.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>→2)-α-l-Rhap^IV^-(1→</td>
<td>5.11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
using two-dimensional correlation spectroscopy and H-detected \(^{1}H,^{13}C\) heteronuclear multiple-quantum coherence experiments, respectively (Table 3). The positions of the signals for H-5 at \(\delta\) 3.72 to 3.87 and C-5 at \(\delta\) 70.5 to 70.7 demonstrated that all rhamnose residues are \(\alpha\) linked (compare the H-5 chemical shift \(\delta 3.86\) in \(\alpha\)-Rhap but \(\delta 3.39\) in \(\beta\)-Rhap [22] and the C-5 chemical shift \(\delta 70.0\) in \(\alpha\)-Rhap but \(\delta 72.3\) in \(\beta\)-Rhap [34]).

Downfield displacements of the signals for C-3 of Rha\(^{1}\) and Rha\(^{2}\) and C-2 of Rha\(^{3}\) and Rha\(^{4}\) to \(\delta 79.1\) to 79.3, compared with their positions in the spectrum of nonsubstituted \(\alpha\)-Rhap at \(\delta 71.3\) to 71.6 (34), demonstrated the substitution pattern of the rhamnose residues.

A two-dimensional ROESY experiment (the spectrum is not shown) revealed the following interresidue correlations between transglycosidic protons: Rha\(^{1}\) H-1 and Rha\(^{3}\) H-3, Rha\(^{2}\) H-1 and Rha\(^{4}\) H-2, Rha\(^{3}\) H-1 and Rha\(^{4}\) H-2, Rha\(^{3}\) H-1 and Rha\(^{4}\) H-2, and Rha\(^{3}\) H-1 and Rha\(^{4}\) H-5, all typical of (\(\alpha\)1→2)-linked rhamnose disaccharides. Intraresidue H-1-H-2 cross peaks, but no H-1-H-3, H-5 cross peaks, were present for all rhamnose residues, thus confirming their \(\alpha\) configuration.

Therefore, the ROESY data were in accord with the methylation and \(^{13}C\) NMR chemical shift data and finally confirmed that OPS of \(P. syringae\) atiformos IMV 7836 is a linear OPS of chemotype 1A. The \(^{1}H\) NMR spectra of OPSs of \(P. syringae\) pv. glycinea GSPB 1991, \(P. syringae\) pv. hilieni CFBP 2149, and \(P. syringae\) pv. hilieni CFBP 1732 (NCPPB 2639) were identical to that of strain IMV 7836, and therefore, the OPSs have the same structure. It is worth noting that \(P. syringae\) pv. atiformos IMV 7836 was originally isolated and characterized serologically as having a chemotype 1C-1A OPS, indicating that chemotype 1C is unstable (see below).

**Structural studies of irregular branched OPS of chemotype 1C-1A.** Three strains were selected for structural studies of OPSs, namely, \(P. syringae\) pv. glycinea CFBP 3192 (United States, 1990), NCPPB 1783 (CFBP 3211) (Yugoslavia, 1962), and NCPPB 3318 (CFBP 3215) (Italy, 1983). The OPSs of these strains were described by the serological formulae \(\text{O1}[(1-2)a,1c,1c1],[1-2]a1c,1c1\), \(\text{O}[(1-2)a,(1-2)a1]_{1}, [1-2]a1c,1c1\), \(\text{O}[(1-2)a,(1-2)a1]_{1}, [1-2]a1c,1c1\), \(\text{O}[(1-2)a1c,1c1]_{1}, [1-2]a1c,1c1\), and \(\text{O}[(1-2)a1c,1c1]_{1}, [1-2]a1c,1c1\), respectively, indicating the lack of strict regularity in the last two. The structural heterogeneity of the OPSs could be observed most clearly in the anomeric regions of the \(^{1}H\) NMR spectra, which are shown in Fig. 2, whereas less sensitive \(^{13}C\) NMR spectroscopy could not reliably reveal minor structures.

The \(^{1}H\) NMR spectrum of OPS of \(P. syringae\) pv. glycinea CFBP 3192 (Fig. 2, bottom) was practically identical to that of \(P. syringae\) pv. atiformos IMV 4394, which was reported to have a branched structure of chemotype 1C with the backbone 1A and the lateral (\(\beta1\rightarrow4\))-linked \(\pi\)-GlcNAc residue (Table 1) (27). The \(^{1}H\) NMR spectrum of OPS of \(P. syringae\) pv. glycinea NCPPB 1783 contained signals of the chemotype 1C O repeats as the major series, including those for H-1 of four Rha residues at \(\delta 5.04\) (2H), 5.08, and 5.14 (all broadened singlets) and one GlcNAc residue at \(\delta 4.59\) (d, \(J_{\alpha\alpha} 8 \text{ Hz}\) (Fig. 2, middle)). The H-1 signals for four Rha residues of the chemotype 1A O repeats formed a minor series in this spectrum; the content of the minor O repeats could be estimated as 15% of the total. A smaller amount of the same minor series (\(<5\%\)) could be detected in OPS of \(P. syringae\) pv. glycinea GSPB 3192 (Fig. 2, bottom). On the other hand, the spectrum of OPS of \(P. syringae\) pv. glycinea NCPPB 3318 contained signals for four Rha residues from the 1A O repeats as the major series in particular, signals for H-1 at \(\delta 4.99, 5.06, 5.12,\) and 5.18 (Fig. 2, top) and those from the 1C O repeats as the minor series, with the content of the latter estimated at about 10%.

Therefore, in addition to OPSs of “pure” chemotypes 1A and 1C that are characterized by the regular linear and regular branched O repeats, respectively, \(P. syringae\) strains can produce OPSs of a mixed (or transitional) chemotype, 1C-1A, which contain both types of O repeats in different ratios.

**Characterization of MAbS and inferring of OPS-specific epitopes.** The MAbS selected for the current study are listed in Table 2. They had the following features in common: (i) they agglutinated heat-killed homologous bacterial cells, (ii) they reacted in ELISA with homologous purified OPSs and crude and deproteinized LPSs, and (iii) they revealed ladder-like profiles with smooth LPSs in Western immunoblotting. None of the polysaccharides that were released from LPSs by acidic hydrolysis reacted with MAb Pscor1, specific to the LPS core moiety (44), whereas each corresponding nondegraded LPS did. Specific epitopes recognized by these MAbS, except for epitope (1-2)a1, were sensitive to Smith degradation. A number of MAbS, which were designated by lowercase a, reacted with the homologous linear OPSs (Table 4), and hence, their specific epitopes are located within the backbone 1A (Table 1).

MAbS to the backbone 1A also showed specific binding activity to heterologous branched LPSs and OPSs having an \(\alpha\)-D-rhamnan backbone (Table 4) but not to those with \(\beta\)-rhamnan or mixed \(\alpha\)- and \(\beta\)-rhamnan backbones (data not shown). Of these, MAb Ps(1-2)a demonstrated the widest spectrum of cross-reactivity. It reacted with LPSs and OPSs of both regular branched and mixed chemotypes 1B, 1C, 1D, 1A-1C, and 1A-1D in ELISA and, except for chemotypes 1C and 1D, in Western immunoblotting. MAb Ps(1-2)a also displayed a marked reactivity in ELISA with a synthetic polysaccharide, 2A, (60), as well as with LPSs and OPSs of chemotype 2A and mixed chemotype 2A-2D; a weaker reactivity was observed with the crude OPS of chemotype 2D (Table 4). The crude LPSs of chemotypes 2A and 2A-2D reacted slightly in Western immunoblotting as well. These data showed that epitope (1-2)a occurs within both linear \(\alpha\)-D-rhamnan backbones 1A and 2A containing four or three Rha residues in the O repeat. Therefore, ELISA with crude LPS extracts and MAb Ps(1-2)a may serve as a tool for identification of \(P. syringae\) serogroup O1 and O2 strains having an \(\alpha\)-D-rhamnan OPS backbone. The wide reactivity and the liability towards Smith degradation suggested that MAb Ps(1-2)a recognizes a trisaccharide, \(\alpha\)-D-Rhap-(1→2)-\(\alpha\)-D-Rhap-(1→3)-\(\alpha\)-D-Rhap, which corresponds to the fragments Rha\(^{3}\)H-(1→2)-Rha\(^{4}\)H-(1→3)-Rha\(^{1}\) within the O repeats 1A and 2A, respectively. The lateral Fuc residue which is attached to Rha\(^{1}\) almost completely masked epitope (1-2)a in chemotype 2D but only partially in chemotype 1D. Other lateral substituents, \(\pi\)-Rha and \(\pi\)-GlcNAc, affect the exposure of epitope (1-2)a in chemotypes 1B and 1C insignificantly.

MAb Ps(1-2)a, cross-reacted in ELISA with LPSs and OPSs of chemotypes 1B, 1C-1A, 2A, and 2D-2A but not with those of chemotypes 1C, 1D, 1D-1A, and 2D (Table 4). It recognized neither regular branched chemotype 1D nor mixed chemotype 1D-1A OPS (e.g., in \(P. syringae\) pv. phaseolicola GSPB 1552). This could be accounted for by masking of the Rha\(^{1}\) residue within epitope (1-2)a1 by the lateral \(\pi\)-Fuc residue in chemotype 1D and too low a content (\(<5\%\)) of the 1A linear O repeats in chemotype 1D-1A. We could not chemically confirm the presence of the minor 1A linear O repeats in the OPS of strain \(P. syringae\) pv. phaseolicola GSPB 1552, but we inferred chemotype 1D-1A serologically (see below). On the other hand, epitope (1-2)a1 is strongly exposed by both chemotypes.
This is consistent with the previous findings that the content of the 2A linear O repeats in the mixed chemotype 2D-2A OPS is significant and could be demonstrated both serologically (46) and chemically (29). Epitope (1-2)α₁ is only slightly exposed in ELISA, and not in Western immunoblotting, in OPSs of mixed chemotype 1C-1A with a low content of the 1A linear O repeats (e.g., in P. syringae pv. glycinea NCPPB 1783) and hence is also affected by the lateral α-GlcNAc residue attached to Rha¹ (chemotype 1C). Epitope (1-2)α₁ is not influenced by the lateral α-Rha which is attached to RhaIII (chemotype 1B). Remarkably, unlike MAb Ps(1-2)α, MAb Ps(1-2)α₁ did not react with the synthetic polysaccharide 2Α₁ (60) (Table 4) and a chemotype 2A OPS of Xanthomonas campestris pv. phaseoli var. fuscans GSPB 271 (unpublished data). This finding suggested that MAb Ps(1-2)α₁ is specific to a region of the linkage between the OPS and the LPS core, which is suggested to be the same in all P. syringae O1 and O2 LPSs but different in X. campestris GSPB 271 LPS and absent from the synthetic polysaccharide 2Α₀. This region should be stable to periodate oxidation, since, unlike other backbone-located epitopes, epitope (1-2)α₁ is resistant to Smith degradation.
MAb Ps1a cross-reacted both in ELISA and Western immunoblotting with LPSS and OPSs of chemotypes 1A and 1B, as well as those of mixed chemotypes 1C-1A and 1D-1A (Table 4). Unlike epitope (1-2)α1, the epitope 1α is exposed by OPS of chemotype 1D-1A with a low content of 1A linear O repeats. No LPS of regular branched chemotypes 1C and 1D reacted with MAb Ps1a in any immunoassay. MAb Ps1a is most useful for discrimination between strains with OPSs having the backbone 1A and 2A. It could be suggested that epitope 1α is associated with an O repeat fragment containing two consecutive 2-substituted Rha residues, Rha11-1-(1-2)-Rha11-1-(1-2)-Rha4β, which is present in the backbone 1A but absent from the backbone 2A.

MAb Ps1a2 showed strong reactivity in ELISA with some LPSS and OPSs and a slight reactivity in Western immunoblotting with crude LPS of linear chemotype 1A (e.g., with those of the *P. syringae* pathovars atrofaciens IMV 7836 and helianthi NCPPB 2639 but not helianthi CFBP 2149). In addition, it reacted similarly with LPSS and OPSs of mixed chemotype 1C-1A with a high content of the linear O repeat 1A (e.g., *P. syringae* pv. glycinea NCPPB 1783 and NCPPB 3318) but did not react with those of chemotypes 1B, 1C, and 1D. Thus, epitope 1α2 is influenced by any lateral substituent. MAb Ps1a2 reacted in ELISA with LPSSs, but not with OPSs, of chemotype 1A only. Hence, this epitope is completely masked by any lateral substituent. Like epitope 1α, epitopes 1α1 and 1α2 are not exposed by any OPSs with the backbone 2A, whether linear or branched, and are destroyed by Smith degradation. Remarkably, despite the fact that the 1A O repeats of OPSs of *P. syringae* pv. atrofaciens IMV 7836 and *P. syringae* pv. helianthi CFBP 2149 were shown to be chemically identical (see above), epitopes 1α1 and 1α2 are exposed only in the former (Table 4). These findings suggested that the exposure of epitopes 1α1 and 1α2 depends not only on the primary O repeat structure but also on the whole OPS conformation.

MAb Ps1b was produced against *P. syringae* pv. atrofaciens IMV K-1025 with an OPS of chemotype 1B (Table 4) (30). This MAB is specific to an epitope which includes the lateral (α1-3)-linked β-Rha as the immunodominant sugar residue and has been characterized previously (46).

MAb Ps1c reacted strongly in any immunoassay with LPSS and OPSs of chemotypes 1C and 1C-1A independent of the content of 1A linear O repeats (Table 4). This finding confirmed the inference of epitope 1C as specific to the lateral (β1-4)-linked β-D-GlcNAc residue (27, 46). The newly produced MAb Ps1c showed strong reactivity with OPS of chemotype 1C and weak reactivity with OPS of chemotype 1C-1A with a low content of 1A linear O repeats. Hence, like epitope 1c, epitope 1c1 is related to the lateral β-D-GlcNAc residue but, unlike epitope 1c, it alternates with epitopes (1-2)α1, 1a1, and 1a2. The reactivity of epitope 1c2 in Western immunoblotting was affected by treatment of LPS by proteinase K, suggesting that its exposure depends on the presence of LPS-associated proteins. These findings suggested that epitope 1c1 includes not only the lateral β-D-GlcNAc residue but also one or more β-Rha residues of the backbone 1A and is more influenced by the protein-dependent conformation of the OPS chain than epitope 1c.

MAbs Ps1d and Ps2d have been produced previously (46) and shown to be related to the lateral (α1-4)-linked β-Fucf residue in OPSs of chemotypes 1D (28) and 2D (29), respectively (Tables 1 and 4). The newly produced MAb Ps(1-2)d reacted strongly with LPSSs of chemotypes 1D and 1D-1A. Epitope (1-2)d is coexposed with epitope 1d in all LPSSs of chemotypes 1D and 1D-1A (Table 4) and with epitopes 2d and...
(1-2)d₁ in some LPSs of chemotypes 2D, 2D-2A, and 1D (data not shown).

Another new Mab, Ps(1-2)d₁, was produced against *P. syringae* pv. tagetis ICMP 6370, which belongs to serotype O1[(1-2)a₁(1-2)d₁,1a₁] and has OPS of chemotype 1D. It reacted with LPS of chemotype 1D in ELISA and Western immunoblotting. In the latter immunoassay, MAb Ps(1-2)d₁ revealed ladder-like profiles of smooth LPS identical to those revealed by MAb Ps1d (data not shown), indicating that their epitopes are located within the same LPS molecules. Epitopes (1-2)d₁ and (1-2)d₂ strictly alternate in LPSs of strains of *P. syringae* pv. tagetis. Differences in the specificity of D-Fuc-containing epitopes may have the same nature as those of epitopes 1c and 1c₁ (see above).

**Western immunoblotting.** Proteinase K-digested LPSs from *P. syringae* pv. glycinea NCPPB 1783 and NCPPB 3318 having irregular OPSs of mixed chemotype 1C-1A with ~15 and ~90% 1C O repeats, respectively, were analyzed by Western immunoblotting with MAb Ps1c specific to the lateral (β1→4)-linked D-GlcNAc residue and backbone-specific MAbs. As can be seen from Fig. 3, each of the LPSs showed practically identical ladder-like banding patterns with both types of MAbs. This finding suggested that in chemotype 1C-1A OPSs both O repeats 1C and 1A enter into the same polysaccharide chain.

**OPS chemotypes of strains classified in serogroup O1 and their distribution in *P. syringae* pathovars.** The structure of the LPS core oligosaccharide of *P. syringae* pathovars and related phytopathogenic pseudomonads has not been elucidated yet. However, most of the bacteria listed in Materials and Methods cross-reacted with a panel of core-specific MAbs (44, 45), indicating structural similarities of their LPS core moieties. Since the core structure is known as a conservative part of LPS (19), this finding indicated a close relatedness of these bacteria. A serological screening of *P. syringae* strains with OPS-specific MAbs showed that strains with linear and branched OPSs having the backbone 1A (Table 1) emerged among pathovars actinidiae, aptata, atrofaciens, avellanae, glycinea, helianthi, japonica, panici, phaseolicola, philadelphi, pisi, primulae, tagetis, striafaciens, and syringae (Table 5 [only the most representative pathovars are shown]). No strain of other *P. syringae* pathovars, related pseudomonads, or other bacterial species tested cross-reacted with any serogroup O1-specific MAb, and therefore, they are not shown in Table 5.

Different numbers of strains with the linear OPS of chemotype 1A (Table 1) were found within pathovars actinidiae, aptata, atrofaciens, avellanae, glycinea, helianthi, philadelphi, pisi, and syringae (Table 5). However, despite the fact that their OPSs were inferred to have the same chemotype, 1A, serologically they are not identical. Some strains of pathovars glycinea and helianthi were characterized by two serotypes, O1[(1-2)a,(1-2)a₁,1a₁,1a₂] and O1[(1-2)a,(1-2)a₁,1a], whereas some strains of pathovars atrofaciens and syringae belonged to the former serotype only. Remarkably, when isolated, strains *P. syringae* pv. atrofaciens IMV 7836 and *P. syringae* pv. glycinea CFBP 3187, CFBP 3190, and CFBP 3360 exposed epitope 1c (54), characteristic of branched OPSs with the lateral D-GlcNAc residue (chemotypes 1C and 1C-1A). Later, during cultivation under laboratory conditions, they altered to the linear OPS chemotype 1A, as was also proved chemically for strain IMV 7836 (see above).

In contrast, OPS chemotype 1B (Table 1) showed no alteration. Strains of the corresponding serotype O1[(1-2)a,(1-2)a₁,1a₁,1b] were found mainly in *P. syringae* pv. atrofaciens and to a lesser extent in pathovars japonica, striafaciens, and syringae. Since pathovars japonica and striafaciens are no longer

---

**TABLE 5. Serotyping in ELISA of strains of different *P. syringae* pathovars classified in serogroup O1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>O1 serogroup</th>
<th>actinidiae (12)</th>
<th>aptata (41)</th>
<th>atrofaciens (94)</th>
<th>avellanae (61)</th>
<th>glycinea (80)</th>
<th>helianthi (19)</th>
<th>pisi (31)</th>
<th>phaseolicola (73)</th>
<th>tagetis (5)</th>
<th>syringae (73)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1-2)a₁,(1-2)a₁,1a₁,1a₂</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1-2)a₁,(1-2)a₁,1a</td>
<td>45</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1-2)a₁,1a₁,1c₁</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1-2)a₁,1a₁,1c₁</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>27</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1-2)a₁,1a₁,1c₁,1c</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1-2)a₁,1a₁,1a₁,1a₂,1c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rough</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Epitopes 1b, 1c, and 1d correspond to serogroups SYR1, AP/TPS, and PHA, respectively; serotypes O1[(1-2)a₁,(1-2)a₁,1a₁,1a₂] and O1[(1-2)a₁,(1-2)a₁,1a] correspond to serogroups HEL1 and HEL2 of the classification scheme of Saunier et al. (54).

Only the strains classified in serogroup O1 are shown. A limited number of strains from pathovars panici, philadelphi, primulae, and striafaciens were classified in serogroup O1, and therefore they are not shown. The total number of strains in each pathovar is shown in parentheses.
valid (67), we suggest reclassifying the corresponding strains in pathovar atrofaciens.

OPSs of chemotype 1C and mixed chemotype 1C-1A (Table 1) are broadly spread among P. syringae pathovars classified in serogroup O1. They emerged to different extents in pathovars aptata, atrofaciens, glycinea, japonica, panici, pisi, and syringae (Table 5). A common feature of the corresponding strains is their tendency to alter the OPS chemotype from branched regular 1C to linear 1A via a cascade of transitional serotypes corresponding to mixed chemotype 1C-1A with different ratios of the O repeats 1C and 1A (Tables 1 and 4). The extent and completeness of the alteration varies from pathovar to pathovar. Thus, strains of pathovars aptata, atrofaciens, and pisi exhibited a restricted cascade of the transitional serotypes O1[(1-2)a,1c,1c1], O1[(1-2)a,1a,1c,1c1], and O1[(1-2)a,(1-2)a1,1a,1c,1c1], the most typical being serotype O1[(1-2)a,1a,1c,1c1]. Strains of P. syringae pv. glycinea demonstrated the widest spectrum of transitional serotypes, which can be described as follows: O1[(1-2)a,1a,1c,1c1]→O1[(1-2)a,1a,1c,1c1]→O1[(1-2)a,(1-2)a1,1a,1a,1c,1c1]→O1[(1-2)a,(1-2)a1,1a,1a,1a,1a,1c,1c1]. Strains of P. syringae pv. glycinea demonstrated the widest spectrum of transitional serotypes, which can be described as follows: O1[(1-2)a,1a,1c,1c1]→O1[(1-2)a,1a,1c,1c1]→O1[(1-2)a,(1-2)a1,1a,1a,1c,1c1]→O1[(1-2)a,(1-2)a1,1a,1a,1a,1a,1a,1c,1c1]. Most strains of P. syringae pv. glycinea exhibited a restricted cascade of the transitional serotypes O1[(1-2)a,1a,1c,1c1], and O1[(1-2)a,(1-2)a1,1a,1a,1c,1c1], the most typical being serotype O1[(1-2)a,1a,1c,1c1].

The data obtained also allowed a better understanding of the immunospecificity of P. syringae OPSs on the molecular level. Some backbone-associated epitopes depend strictly on the primary structure of the OPS O repeat. They are tightly colocalized or even overlapped within backbones 1A and 2A but seem to have different immunodominant rhamnose residues. Other epitopes may be conformation dependent or located in an intermediate region of the attachment of the OPS to the core of LPS. In branched OPSs, the immunodominant groups are the lateral sugar substituents. In OPSs of chemotypes 1B and 1C, these are (α-D-Rha linked-D-Rha and (β1→4)-linked-D-GlcNAc, which define epitopes 1b and 1c (1c), respectively (45, 46). Epitopes (1→2)d, (1→2)d, 1d, and 2d in OPSs of basic chemotypes 1D and 2D are associated with the lateral (α1→4)-linked-D-Fuc residue but seem to also include different neighboring rhamnose residues of the backbone that could be immunodominant for the particular epitopes. The possibility that some of these epitopes appeared as a result of postpolymerization modifications in LPS cannot be excluded.

Immunochemoal studies of branched and linear OPSs by using MAbs demonstrated 1C (regular branched)→1C-1A (irregular branched)→1A (linear) chemotype alterations which correlated with the appearances of the backbone-located epitopes in the order (1→2)a→1a→(1→2)a1→1a2. Chemical studies showed that these serological variations are related to changes in the degree of substitution of the backbone 1A with the lateral D-GlcNAc residues. The same mechanism was proposed for 1D→1D-1A chemotype alterations in branched OPSs with the lateral D-Fuc residues. However, the 1D→1D-1A alterations were demonstrated only serologically and could not be confirmed chemically. More detailed chemical studies of serotype O1[(1-2)a,(1-2)d,1a,1c,1d] OPS (suggest ed chemotype, 1D-1A) from P. syringae pv. phaseolicola GSPB 1552 are in progress. A similar phenomenon of nonstoichiometric glycosylation has been described for OPSs of some members of the family Enterobacteriaceae (17, 20, 43). It has
been shown that the D-glucose residues are transferred to the OPS chain after polymerization and that the corresponding genes are located in the bacterial chromosome distant from the \textit{wzb} (formerly \textit{rbr}) cluster (16, 39, 43, 50).

A wide spectrum of \textit{P. syringae} pathovars and some related phytopathogenic pseudomonads (14, 21, 67) from genomospecies 1 (pathovars aptata, atrofaciens, japonica, panici, and \textit{syngenia}), 2 (pathovars glycinea and phaseolicola), 7 (pathovars helianthi and tagetis), and 8 (pathovars avellanae and theae) were classified in serogroup O1. All of these bacteria revealed a cross-reactivity with a panel of core-specific MAbs, indicating a significant structural similarity of the LPS core moieties (44, 45). Furthermore, independent of the chemotype (1A, 1B, 1C, or 1D), all OPSs of this group possess identical backbone 1A and react with backbone-specific MAbs. Such relatedness of the LPS phenotypes of strains classified in serogroup O1 implies the relatedness of the corresponding LPS-encoding genes (20, 40, 49, 50). Even closer relatedness of these genes could be expected for the bacteria classified in each individual serotype within serogroup O1. Four basic serotypes, O1([1-2]a,[1-2]a,1a), O1([1-2]a,[1-2]a,1a,1b), O1([1-2]a,1c,1c), and O1([1-2]a,1c,1c,1d), were described within this serogroup, which correspond to OPS chemotypes 1A, 1B, 1C, and 1D, respectively. Independently of the pathovar and even the genomospecies (14), OPSs of chemotypes 1C and 1C-1A may undergo branched regular \rightarrow branched irregular \rightarrow linear chemotype alterations. The OPS alteration to chemotype 1A may either reflect a natural mechanism of OPS diversification or be a case of “atavism” at the OPS structure level. Chemotype 1D undergoes only a branched regular \rightarrow branched irregular alteration to chemotype 1D-1A. Chemotype 1B, which is characteristic mainly of pathovar atrofaciens (67), included in genomospecies 1 (14), did not show any alteration and thus may be considered most conservative.

A related homopolymer of \(\alpha\)-D-rhamnose with a 2A trisaccharide O repeat (Table 1) also occurs widely in LPSs from different \textit{P. syringae} pathovars; the corresponding strains are classified in serogroup O2. Remarkably, a linear OPS having the same structure of the chemical repeating unit (biological O repeats are unknown) has been found in LPSs from some strains of \textit{Burkholderia} (formerly \textit{Pseudomonas}) cepacia (7), \textit{Stenotrophomonas maltophilia} (64), and \textit{X. campesira} (30a). Moreover, it has also been described as a common, LPS-associated antigen called \textit{A-band polysaccharide O6 repeat (1, 31, 66)} in \textit{P. aeruginosa} strains of different serotypes, which are defined by another LPS-associated antigen, \textit{B-band polysaccharide} or \textit{O-antigen (23, 36, 37)}. It is worth noting that in \textit{P. aeruginosa} A-band and B-band polysaccharides are synthesized by two different pathways, one characteristic of homopolysaccharides (the \textit{Wzy} [formerly \textit{Rfc}]-independent pathway) and the other characteristic of heteropolysaccharides (the \textit{Wzy}-dependent pathway) (5, 10, 52). A-band polysaccharide is assembled at the cytoplasmic face of the plasma membrane, and its polymerization is thought to occur by sequential sugar transfers by three \(\alpha\)-D-rhamnosyl-transferases, WbpX, WbpY, and WbpZ, to a lipid intermediate (51). ATP-binding cassette transporter (or traffic ATPase) then translocates the polymerized polysaccharide across the plasma membrane prior to its ligation to core lipid A at the periplasmic space (52). The chromosomal genes \textit{wbpX}, \textit{wbpY}, and \textit{wbpZ} are located in the A-band biosynthetic gene cluster (51). The genes encoding transferases for B-band LPS are localized in a different gene cluster. B-band O repeats (blocks) are synthesized on the cytoplasmic face of the inner membrane and then translocated by \textit{Wzx} (formerly \textit{RfbX}) to the periplasmic space, where they are polymerized by \textit{Wzy} polymerase (the \textit{Wzy}-dependent pathway). The B-band OPS length is modulated by \textit{Wzz} (Rol, regulator of O-antigen length) (5, 6, 10). Recently, one gene, \textit{wbpL}, for transferase \textit{WbpL}, that has been suggested to be required for initiation of both A-band and B-band LPS synthesis has been elucidated (6, 52).

Since B-band OPSs of \textit{P. aeruginosa} (23) on the one hand and OPSs of \textit{P. syringae} and related phytopathogenic pseudomonads (24–26, 68) on the other hand are structurally different, there is no reason to expect a close similarity in the genes encoding glycosyltransferases. In contrast, the A-band polysaccharide of \textit{P. aeruginosa} is identical or similar to the OPS backbones of \textit{P. syringae} strains classified in serogroups O2 and O1 with three and four \(\alpha\)-D-Rha residues in the backbone O repeat (chemotypes 2A and 1A, respectively). Therefore, one can expect relatedness of the genes involved in biosynthesis of the corresponding LPSs in these two groups of bacteria. In future, it will be important to establish (i) by which basic pathway (\textit{Wzy} independent or \textit{Wzy} dependent) the synthesis of OPSs from serogroups O1 and O2 proceeds in \textit{P. syringae}, (ii) whether there is any relatedness among the corresponding genes and enzymes, (iii) how close to each other the gene encoding assembly and transport of OPSs of \textit{P. syringae} O1 and O2 are, and (iv) by which mechanism the lateral substitutions (Table 1) are transferred to the chemotype 1A and 2A OPS backbones.

The answers to these questions will shed light on the phylogenetic relatedness of the bacteria and on the origin of the A-band polysaccharide in \textit{P. aeruginosa} and \(\alpha\)-D-rhamnan-based OPS in \textit{P. syringae}. For instance, could A-band polysaccharide be an ancient OPS which has been preserved during the evolution of \textit{P. aeruginosa} strains and coexists now with diverse B-band polysaccharides as an example of molecular atavism? Could it be that OPS chemotype 1A in \textit{P. syringae}, and later a number of branched OPS chemotypes 1B to 1D (Table 1), originated from OPS chemotype 2A as a result of vertical divergent evolution of LPS-encoding genes? A number of strains of \textit{P. syringae} pathovars and some related phytopathogenic pseudomonads that have recently been delineated in different genomospecies (14) belong to serogroups O1 and O2 and synthesize OPSs of chemotypes shown in Table 1. If their LPS-encoding genes are related, the appearance of the corresponding phenotypes within different genomospecies could be a result of a horizontal transfer of the corresponding genes. Finally, it should be mentioned that the evolution of OPSs cannot be completely elucidated without an understanding of the molecular biology and biochemistry of the core moiety of LPS and its association with OPS.
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