Ferritin Mutants of *Escherichia coli* Are Iron Deficient and Growth Impaired, and *fur* Mutants are Iron Deficient
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*Escherichia coli* contains at least two iron storage proteins, a ferritin (FtnA) and a bacterioferritin (Bfr). To investigate their specific functions, the corresponding genes (*ftnA* and *bfr*) were inactivated by replacing the chromosomal *ftnA* and *bfr* genes with disrupted derivatives containing antibiotic resistance cassettes in place of internal segments of the corresponding coding regions. Single mutants (*ftnA::spc* and *bfr::kan*) and a double mutant (*ftnA::spc bfr::kan*) were generated and confirmed by Western and Southern blot analyses. The iron contents of the parental strain (W3110) and the *bfr* mutant increased by 1.5- to 2-fold during the transition from logarithmic to stationary phase in iron-rich media, whereas the iron contents of the *ftnA* and *ftnA bfr* mutants remained unchanged. The *ftnA* and *ftnA bfr* mutants were growth impaired in iron-deficient media, but this was apparent only after the mutant and parental strains had been precultured in iron-rich media. Surprisingly, ferric iron uptake regulation (*fur*) mutants also had very low iron contents (2.5-fold less iron than *Fur*+ strains) despite constitutive expression of the iron acquisition systems. The iron deficiencies of the *ftnA* and *fur* mutants were confirmed by Mössbauer spectroscopy, which further showed that the low iron contents of *ftnA* mutants are due to a lack of magnetically ordered ferric iron clusters likely to correspond to FtnA iron cores. In combination with the *fur* mutation, *ftnA* and *bfr* mutations produced an enhanced sensitivity to hydroperoxides, presumably due to an increase in production of “reactive ferrous iron.” It is concluded that FtnA acts as an iron store accommodating up to 50% of the cellular iron during postexponential growth in iron-rich media and providing a source of iron that partially compensates for iron deficiency during iron-restricted growth. In addition to repressing the iron acquisition systems, Fur appears to regulate the demand for iron, probably by controlling the expression of iron-containing proteins. The role of Bfr remains unclear.

Iron is an essential nutrient for nearly all forms of life, but its insolubility and reactivity lead to problems of poor availability and toxicity, respectively. One mechanism used to cope with the need to provide sufficient quantities of iron while maintaining it in a nontoxic state involves the use of iron storage proteins, known generally as ferritins (1, 18). Ferritins have been found in all three domains of life, where they are thought to play a housekeeping role in cellular iron homeostasis (29, 55). The ferritins are perceived to store iron in a readily available and soluble form to provide a reserve of iron for metabolism. It has also been suggested that ferritins may alleviate the harmful effects of iron by storing it in a form that is unlikely to participate in free-radical-generating reactions (6, 11, 14).

Two types of iron storage protein have been recognized: the ferritins, which are present in animals, plants, fungi, archaea, and bacteria; and the bacterioferritins, which have so far been observed only in bacteria (and possibly fungi [12]). Ferritins and bacterioferritins are distantly related in evolution but have very similar structural and functional properties (1, 29, 30). They are each composed of 24 subunits which form a spherical protein shell (Mₚ~500,000) having a central cavity that can accommodate up to 4,500 iron atoms (55). However, bacterioferritins differ from ferritins in containing up to 12 heme groups per 24 subunits (1). The function of the heme groups is unknown, but evidence suggests that they may mediate iron release from bacterioferritin (3). Iron storage proteins are widely distributed among prokaryotes, having been found in archaea, high- and low-G+C gram-positive bacteria, cyanobacteria, *Bacteroides*, *Thermotogales*, and all four subdivisions of the *Proteobacteria*, suggesting that the facility to store iron is an important general requirement of prokaryotes (1).

*Escherichia coli* contains at least four genes that may play roles in iron storage: *bfr* (previously *gen-64*), encoding the 64-residue [2Fe-2S]-containing bacterioferritin-associated ferredoxin (*Bfd*), and *bfr*, encoding bacterioferritin (*Bfr* or *BFR*), both at 75 min; and *ftnA* (*fti*, *gen-165*, or *rsgA*), encoding a ferritin (*FtnA* or *FTN*), and *ftnB* (or *vecI*), encoding a ferritin-like protein (*FtnB*), both at 43 min. The *bfr* and *bfr* genes are copolar and are separated by only 71 bp (2). The *ftnB* and *ftnA* genes are also copolar but are 1.4 kb apart (10). Only *Bfr*, *FtnA*, and *Bfr* have been characterized. Whether FtnB functions as an iron storage protein is uncertain because its primary structure suggests that it lacks an active ferroxidase center. Furthermore, the residues involved in subunit interaction in FtnA are poorly conserved in FtnB, indicating that FtnB would not coassemble with FtnA (1). It is speculated that Bfd may interact with Bfr (or other iron complexes) in mediating iron release or delivery (23, 46).

The X-ray structures of FtnA and Bfr have revealed that...
they are structurally analogous to mammalian ferritins (21, 32). In vitro, FtnA and Bfr behave as typical iron storage proteins in their ability to take up iron (4, 33). Overproduction of FtnA or Bfr, to 14 or 18% of total cellular protein (respectively), increases the cellular iron content 2.5- to 2.6-fold, consistent with an iron-sequestering role in vivo (4, 33). FtnA takes up iron ~threefold more rapidly than does Bfr in vitro, but Bfr appears to accumulate more iron per molecule than FtnA in vivo (4, 33). Thus, it has been speculated that FtnA and Bfr play roles in short- and long-term iron storage, respectively, like 50-fold nontoxic and L-subunit-rich ferritins (4). It has also been suggested that Bfr serves as an electron carrier for pyruvate oxidase (15), as an electron storage protein (53), or as an accessory protein in lactose transport (60). Studies with a ferritin mutant of Campylobacter jejuni have shown that ferritin increases resistance to redox stress and enhances growth under iron-restricted conditions (59). Inactivation of the Brucella melitensis bacterioferritin gene had no effect on survival and growth in human macrophages (16). In E. coli, the iron-induced redox stress of ferric uptake regulation (fur) mutants is alleviated by multiple copies of the ftnA gene but not of the bfr gene (56). This suggests that overproduced FtnA (but not Bfr) can sequester the excess free iron that apparently accumulates in the cytosol of fur mutants and that FtnA may therefore play a role in iron detoxification. In a fur+ background, both FtnA and Bfr seem able to sequester free cytosolic iron, because overexpression of either bfr or ftnA induces the fur-regulated fnuF gene (54).

The reason why E. coli has multiple iron storage proteins is unknown. However, other bacteria such as Mycobacterium tuberculosis, Clostridium acetobutylicum, and Vibrio cholerae (1) also possess both ferritin and bacterioferritin genes, suggesting that the combined presence of ferritins and bacterioferritins may be common in bacteria and that the elucidation of their respective roles would be of general relevance. In this study, the relative roles of FtnA and Bfr in E. coli have been investigated by inactivating the corresponding genes, singly and in combination. The results indicate that FtnA is involved in the storage of iron during stationary phase and in subsequently enhancing growth under iron starvation conditions. The role of Bfr remains uncertain. Neither FtnA nor Bfr would appear to have an iron detoxification function in fur+ backgrounds.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Bacterial strains, plasmids, bacteriophages, and growth conditions.** The genotypes and sources of E. coli K-12 strains and plasmids are listed in Table 1. The source plasmids used for insertionally inactivating the ftnA and bfr genes were pUC18A2 (34) and pGS280 (2). M13mp18 and M13mp19 were used for subcloning, preparing templates for site-directed deletion mutagenesis, and DNA sequencing. Transformation with PlEc was performed by the method of Miller (40). Bacteriophage and plasmid DNA were isolated and manipulated by the method of Sambrook et al. (50). Restriction enzymes and DNA-modifying enzymes were from Northumbria Biologicals Ltd. or Promega. The medium used for routine subculture was Luria broth (L broth) or L agar and before use in the primer extension ligation reaction and transfection into

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain or plasmid</th>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>Source or reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H1673</td>
<td>W3110 fur-31 zbf::Tn10</td>
<td>Klaus Hancke, Tubingen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H1941</td>
<td>MC4100 Δfur</td>
<td>Klaus Hancke, Tubingen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JM101</td>
<td>Δlac-proAB thi supE F [traD36 proAB lacI-1 lacZΔM15]</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JRG2712</td>
<td>MC4100 ftcA::spc</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JRG2157</td>
<td>JM101 bfr::kan, F</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JRG2644</td>
<td>MC4100 ftcA::spc</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JRG2646</td>
<td>MC4100 bfr::kan</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JRG2647</td>
<td>JRG2646 ftcA::spc</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JRG2951</td>
<td>W3110 bfr::kan</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JRG2952</td>
<td>W3110 ftcA::spc</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JRG2953</td>
<td>JRG2951 ftcA::spc</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JRG3236</td>
<td>H1941 bfr::kan</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JRG3238</td>
<td>JRG2646 ftcA::spc</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JRG3240</td>
<td>H1941 ftcA::spc</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MC4100</td>
<td>Δara, ΔArg-lac, plS, relA1</td>
<td>deoC1 pRSF51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W3110</td>
<td>Protoprototroph</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Plasmids

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plasmid</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pGS280</td>
<td>bfr amp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pGS273</td>
<td>amp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pGS358</td>
<td>bfr::kan cmr rep (Ts)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pGS635</td>
<td>spc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pGS636</td>
<td>ftcA::spc cmr rep (Ts)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pGS637</td>
<td>ftcA::spc cmr rep (Ts)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pMAK705</td>
<td>rep (Ts) lacZ cmr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pMTL24</td>
<td>amp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pUC18A2</td>
<td>ftcA amp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pUX-Δ</td>
<td>spc</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Site-directed deletion mutagenesis

Site-directed mutagenesis of uracil-containing M13 template DNA was performed with the single-primer method (36). The target for mutagenesis was a single-stranded M13mp18 derivative containing the 4.3-kb EcoRI-HindIII bfr fragment from pGS280 (2). Single-stranded DNA was prepared (51) from BW313 with uridine (0.25 mM) in the growth medium. The phosphorylated mutagenic oligonucleotide primer was annealed to the template before use in the primer extension ligation reaction and transfection into JM103 (ompF) (2). Prophage phages were screened by single-channel tracking and nucleotide sequence analysis with the Klenow fragment of DNA polymerase by the method of Sanger et al. (51). The mutagenic primer S221 (CAGAAAACACTGTGGGGGATCGCGAAAGAAG; coordinates 543 to 557 and 969 to 983) (2) was designed to direct the deletion of nucleotides 558 to 968 at the center of the cloned bfr gene, simultaneously creating a unique BamHI restriction site (boldface type in the above sequence) at the point of deletion. The complete bfr sequence of a mutant strain (M13-Δbfr1Δ) was validated with specific primers; S123 (TCAGAAGTCGTAAGCGAATTGAA; 220 to 235) and S124 (GCTGAACGGTCTTGCAC; 463 to 480) (220 to 235) and S124 (GCTGAACGGTCTTGCAC; 463 to 480) (220 to 235)

| Gene replacement | Two plasmids, pGS358 (bfr::kan) and pGS367 (ftcA::spc), containing disrupted bfr and ftnA genes, respectively (Fig. 1), were constructed for use in gene replacement (27). Plasmid pGS358 was made by cloning the BamHI-treated 1.3-kb kan (kanamycin resistance) fragment of plasmid pUC18A2 (34) into pMTL24 (13) to generate pGS635 and provide the spc cassette with flanking AccI sites. The 0.3-kb ΔsuI-ClrI segment of pUC18A2 (34), containing most of the ftnA gene, was then replaced by the 2.5-kb AccI spc cassette from pGSE1530 (34) and the 2.3-kb kan fragment of pGS367 was cloned into the corresponding sites of pMAK705 (27) to give pGS637 (Fig. 1B). Cointegrants were isolated from pGS637 and pGS358 transformants of E. coli MC4100 and bath without shaking. Anaerobic respiratory conditions were identical, except that either 40 mM sodium fumarate or 40 mM sodium nitrate was added to the medium.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>Source or reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>W3110</td>
<td>Protoprototroph</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**J. BACTERIOL.**
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A MJ101, respectively, by selecting Sp or Km colonies at 42°C (non-permissive temperature for plasmid replication). Resolution products were obtained by culturing pools of cointegrant colonies in L broth for three to six growth cycles (30 to 60 generations) at 30°C (the permissive temperature) and then screening colonies that were Spr or Km at 42°C for Cm phenotypes, consistent with chromosomal gene replacement and loss of plasmid. A total of 3 of 240 Spr colonies and 7 of 140 Km colonies had the desired Cm phenotype. From these, only one ftnA::spc derivative of MC4100 but six bfr::kan derivatives of JM101 were confirmed by Western blotting with FtnA- and Bfr-specific polyclonal antisera.

Chromosomal DNA isolation and Southern blotting. Representative strains, JRG2712 (MC4100 ftnA::spc) and JRG2157 (JM101 bfr::kan), were tested for disruption of the corresponding parental gene and acquisition of the resistance cassette by Southern blot analysis. The 760-bp HindIII-EcoRI fragment of FIG. 1. Restriction maps of the ftnA (A) and bfr (B) regions of the E. coli chromosome and derived plasmids. The locations and polarities of the ftnA (clockwise) and bfr (anticlockwise) genes are shown in the E. coli physical map (8). Lightly shaded bars represent chromosomal DNA; thin horizontal lines represent plasmid DNA; solid bars represent antibiotic resistance cassettes (kan, kanamycin; spc, spectinomycin), and open bars represent the ftnA or bfr gene. Relevant restriction sites are shown: Ac, AccI; As, AsuII; B, BamHI; Bg, BglI; C, ClaI; D, DraI; H, HindIII; K, KpnI; Ps, PstI; Pv, PvuII; Xb, XbaI; XhoI. Ac/C and Ac/A denote hybrid restriction sites no longer recognized by the corresponding restriction enzymes, and B* denotes an engineered BamHI site flanking a site-directed internal deletion in the bfr gene.

B
pUC18A2 was used as an fnA probe (Fig. 1A), the 0.36-kb DraII-XhoI and 1.2-kb EcoRI-PstI fragments of pGS286 were used as bfr probes (Fig. 1B), and the spo and kan cassettes served as probes for the corresponding resistance genes. The sizes of the in-frame hybridization bands were very close to the predicted values. Those hybridizing with the fnA probe in HindIII, EcoRI, BglII, KpnI, PstI, and PvuII digests of MC4100 chromosomal DNA, in kilobases, were as follows for observed (predicted) values: 16.0 (17.5), 3.0 (3.3), 1.0 + 1.7 (1.0 + 1.8), 5.4 (5.8), 20.0 (20.0), and 5.0 (5.5). For JRG2712 (fnA::spc), they were 5.6 + 6.7 (7.8 + 7.5), 4.6 (4.9), 1.0 + 1.5 (1.1 + 1.8), 7.2 (7.4), 10.7 + 5.8 (12.5 + 7.5), and 6.6 (7.2). Those hybridizing with the bfr probe in BamHI and HindIII digests of JM101 DNA were 24 (25.1) and 11.2 (10.5) with the EcoRI-PstI probe and 24.5 (25.1) and 11.5 (10.5) with the DraII-XhoI probe. With JRG2157 (bfr::kan), the hybridizing fragments were 7.7 (8.0) and 7.0 (7.2) with the EcoRI-PstI probe but, as expected, no hybridization was observed with the DraII-XhoI probe. Hybridizations with the spo and kan probes further confirmed that the resistance cassettes had been inserted at the desired sites in the corresponding strains. Thus, the hybridization patterns of the mutants and parental strains (MC4100 and JM101) matched those predicted from the physical maps of the 42.9- and 74.6-kb regions (Fig. 1), assuming that the desired replacements had been effected.

Southern blotting was performed by the method of Sambrook et al. (50) with restriction fragments of E. coli chromosomal DNA (37). Hybridization probes for detecting insertional inactivation of fnA or bfr were prepared by random primed DNA polymerase I (Klenow)-dependent incorporation of digoxigenin-11--UTP into freshly denatured single-stranded template DNA prepared from the fnA gene (the 780-bp HindIII-EcoRI fragment of pUC18A2) and the spo cassette (the 2.0-kb HindIII fragment of pUX-D) and from the bfr gene (the 1.2-kb EcoRI-PstI and the 0.36-kb DraII-XhoI fragments of pGS286) and the kan cassette (the 1.3-kb EcoRI fragment of pGS326). The prehybridization, hybridization (68°C), and washing conditions were those of Sambrook et al. (50), and 5.0 (5.5) for JRG2712 (fnA::spc), they were 5.6 + 6.7 (7.8 + 7.5), 4.6 (4.9), 1.0 + 1.5 (1.1 + 1.8), 7.2 (7.4), 10.7 + 5.8 (12.5 + 7.5), and 6.6 (7.2). Those hybridizing with the bfr probe in BamHI and HindIII digests of JM101 DNA were 24 (25.1) and 11.2 (10.5) with the EcoRI-PstI probe and 24.5 (25.1) and 11.5 (10.5) with the DraII-XhoI probe. With JRG2157 (bfr::kan), the hybridizing fragments were 7.7 (8.0) and 7.0 (7.2) with the EcoRI-PstI probe but, as expected, no hybridization was observed with the DraII-XhoI probe. Hybridizations with the spo and kan probes further confirmed that the resistance cassettes had been inserted at the desired sites in the corresponding strains. Thus, the hybridization patterns of the mutants and parental strains (MC4100 and JM101) matched those predicted from the physical maps of the 42.9- and 74.6-kb regions (Fig. 1), assuming that the desired replacements had been effected.

Several Methods. Sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS)-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and Western blotting were performed as previously described (35). The iron contents of E. coli cells were assayed in triplicate by the method of Drysdale and Munro (17) with 1% ferrozine instead of 2,2'-bipyridine. An atomic absorption spectrometer (Perkin-Elmer M2100) was also used to assay the iron contents of growth media (directly) and bacteria (after extraction with 30% nitric acid at 80°C for 6 h). These two methods gave very similar results.

**RESULTS**

**Inactivation of the chromosomal fnA and bfr genes.** The plasmid-contained fnA and bfr genes were inactivated by replacing central segments of their coding regions with spo and kan cassettes, respectively (see Materials and Methods) (Fig. 1). The disrupted genes were then subcloned into the thermosensitive replicon, pMAK705, generating plasmids pGS358 and pGS637, which were used to replace the chromosomal genes with homologous recombination (see Materials and Methods) (Fig. 1). Potential fnA and bfr mutants were selected by virtue of their Sp′ Cm′ and Kn′ Cm′ phenotypes and were screened for the absence of FtnA or Bfr protein by Western blot analysis (results not shown). In this way, one fnA′ mutant and six Bfr′ mutants were obtained. The fnA′ mutant, JRG2212 (MC4100 fnA::spc), and one Bfr′ mutant, JRG2157 (JM101 bfr::kan), were confirmed by Southern blot analysis (see Materials and Methods). The two confirmed mutant strains served as donors for transferring the disrupted genes to W3110 (wild type) by single and sequential phase P1-mediated transduction to produce both single and double mutants: JRG2951 (bfr::kan), JRG2952 (fnA::spc), and JRG2953 (fnA::spc bfr::kan) (Table 1). Inactivation of the fnA and bfr genes in the W3110 derivatives was confirmed by Western blotting (Fig. 2).

**Growth characteristics and morphology.** Phase-contrast microscopy revealed no differences in either the shape or size of the mutants compared to the wild type. The growth rates and growth yields of the mutant and parental strains were identical for batch cultures grown aerobically in L broth and M9 salts medium (with 8 μM iron and either glucose or succinate as the carbon source) and also for cultures grown under anaerobic fermentative and respiratory conditions (results not shown). To determine whether high concentrations of iron might be toxic for the iron storage mutants, the wild type and mutants were grown in glucose (0.4%) minimal medium supplemented with 1 mM iron citrate (throughout the work described here, the iron-to-citrate ratio was 1:100). However, all strains grew identically, indicating that the mutants and wild type are equally tolerant to high concentrations of iron (results not shown).

**Whole-cell iron contents.** Any effects that the mutations might have on the iron storage capacity of E. coli were investigated by measuring the iron contents of the wild-type and mutant strains grown aerobically in L broth (17 μM iron). The iron contents of all four strains (~0.014% [dry weight]) were similar in mid-exponential growth phase (Fig. 3A), but the iron contents of the fnA″ strains, W3110 and JRG2951 (bfr′), were twofold higher (~0.027%) in the stationary phase than in the log phase whereas those of the fnA″ mutants, JRG2952 (fnA′) and JRG2953 (fnA::spc bfr′), were approximately the same (0.013%) in the stationary phase as in the log phase (Fig. 3A). This indicates that E. coli doubles its iron content during the transition from exponential to stationary phase in rich medium and that FtnA is responsible for this postexponential accumulation of iron, amounting to ~50% of stationary-phase cellular iron. Lack of Bfr seems not to affect the iron content of E. coli, even when FtnA is also absent, suggesting that Bfr plays no significant role in iron storage. The cellular iron contents reported
above are consistent with results of previous studies showing that after growth under iron-sufficient conditions, the iron content of stationary-phase *E. coli* is typically \( \sim 0.02\% \) of the dry weight (31, 33, 49). Western blot and lacZ fusion studies have shown that *ftnA* and *bfr* are highly expressed in the postexponential phase of growth under iron-rich conditions (9, 24). Therefore, the failure of the *bfr* mutation to affect cellular iron content is not due to weak *bfr* expression.

The influence of iron availability on the iron contents of wild-type and mutant strains was examined by lowering the iron concentration in the growth medium from 17 to 3 \( \mu \text{M} \) by extraction with 8-hydroxyquinoline. Although growth was not affected (data not shown), the cellular iron contents were lowered 1.5- to 3.4-fold to almost the same levels in both logarithmic- and stationary-phase cultures (Fig. 3B). This shows that the cellular iron content depends on iron availability and suggests that the *ftnA* strains accumulate more iron than *ftnA* mutants only when the iron concentration in the medium is high enough to permit the deposition of intracellular iron stores.

The effects of iron availability on growth yield and bacterial iron content were further investigated by measuring aerobic growth in 0.4% glucose M9-salts medium containing up to 128 \( \mu \text{M} \) iron citrate. The growth yields for all four strains (data not shown) were increased \sim twofold by the addition of \( \geq 2 \mu \text{M} \) iron citrate, and since no increase was observed with 400 \( \mu \text{M} \) sodium citrate, this indicates that the iron concentration (1.8 \( \mu \text{M} \)) of glucose M9-salts medium is growth limiting. The stationary-phase iron contents (0.002 to 0.02\% of dry weight) were directly related to the concentration of added iron citrate up to 8 \( \mu \text{M} \) but were not increased further by raising the concentration to 16 \( \mu \text{M} \) or higher (Fig. 3C). In the logarithmic phase, cellular iron contents reached a maximum (0.015\% of dry weight) with only 2 \( \mu \text{M} \) iron citrate (Fig. 3C). This is presumably because 2 \( \mu \text{M} \) iron citrate is sufficient to support high cellular iron contents at the low cell densities achieved in the logarithmic phase but not at the higher cell densities achieved in the stationary phase. Adding sodium citrate (400 \( \mu \text{M} \)) had no effect on the cellular iron content. These results confirm that the cellular iron content depends on the iron concentration of the medium, as previously suggested by Hartmann and Braun (31). The results also indicate that cellular iron uptake is tightly regulated, presumably to ensure that *E. coli* does not sequester excessive quantities of iron. The cellular iron content was lower after growth in minimal medium than in L broth (0.020 and 0.027\%, respectively). The reason for this is not known, but it may reflect metabolic and/or gene expression differences in rich and minimal media.

As shown in Fig. 3D, the stationary-phase iron contents of the *ftnA* mutants (0.012\%) were lower than those of the *ftnA* strains (0.018\%) after growth in glucose M9-salts medium supplemented with 16 \( \mu \text{M} \) iron citrate. These observations resemble those made with L-broth cultures (Fig. 3A). The effects were likewise dependent on the iron concentration of the me-
medium, because in iron-deficient (1.8 μM iron) M9-salts medium the cellular iron contents (~0.002%) were six- to nine-fold lower than in the iron-supplemented medium (Fig. 3D), and the differences between the \( \text{ftnA} \) and \( \text{ftnA} \) strains were abolished, as observed in Fig. 3B with iron-depleted L-broth cultures. This confirms that the iron storage defect of \( \text{ftnA} \) mutants is apparent only when the iron concentration of the medium is sufficient to provide opportunities for iron storage, i.e., \( \approx 8 \) μM.

The whole-cell iron contents of stationary-phase cultures grown in L broth (17 μM iron) plus glucose under fermentative conditions or after anaerobic growth with nitrate or fumarate as the electron acceptor did not reveal any major differences between the wild-type and mutant strains (results not shown). Thus, it appears that neither FtnA nor Bfr is involved in the growth of all strains, but, significantly, this effect was far greater for the \( \text{ftnA} \) and \( \text{bfr ftnA} \) mutants, which gave ~threefold lower growth yields relative to those obtained for the \( \text{ftnA} \) strains (Fig. 6A).

The growth-inhibitory effects of DTPA were reversed by adding equimolar or greater amounts of iron citrate to the DTPA-containing medium (M9-DTPA), and the growth differences between the \( \text{ftnA} \) and \( \text{ftnA} \) strains were abolished (Fig. 6B).

The addition of sodium citrate had no effect (results not shown). These results strongly suggest that the DTPA-mediated growth inhibition is due to iron chelation and therefore that the \( \text{ftnA} \) mutants are much more sensitive to iron starvation than are the \( \text{ftnA} \) strains. The \( \text{ftnA} \) mutation also resulted in increased sensitivity to other chelators: 2,2′-bipyridyl (200 μM), ferrrozine (0.05 to 5 mM), desferoxamine (50 to 800 μM), EGTA (50 to 200 μM), EDTA (200 μM), ortho-phenanthroline (10 μM), and nitritoltriacetic acid (50 to 200 μM) (data not shown). The increased sensitivity of \( \text{ftnA} \) mutants to DTPA was also observed in other types of media: minimal-morpholinepropanesulfonic acid (MOPS) medium with and without amino acids (41), minimal medium E (58), and minimal medium containing other carbon sources (0.4% maltose, 0.4% mannose, 0.4% fructose, or 0.4% xylose). However, the enhanced DTPA sensitivity of \( \text{ftnA} \) mutants was not observed during anaerobic growth in M9-salts medium containing 0.4% glucose with and without 40 mM fumarate or 40 mM nitrate or during aerobic or anaerobic growth in L broth, nor was it apparent during aerobic growth with succinate, fumarate, malate, or acetate (all at 40 mM) as the sole carbon source. These observations may reflect greater iron availability under anaerobic conditions (due to the presence of ferrous iron), high concentrations of iron complexes in L broth, and the possibility that carboxylic acids serve as weak iron chelators in minimal medium. *E. coli* precultured in M9-DTPA did not subsequently grow when inoculated into fresh M9-DTPA unless iron citrate (5 μM) had been added. This indicates that growth in M9-DTPA exhausts the cellular iron reserves to such an extent that subsequent growth is possible only when supplementary iron is provided.

The possibility that the growth impairment of the \( \text{ftnA} \) mutants in M9-DTPA is due to the lack of iron stores in the inoculated bacteria was tested by preculturing the \( \text{ftnA} \) and \( \text{ftnA} \) strains in iron-rich (16 μM iron citrate) and iron-poor (no additions) M9-salts medium. The use of iron-deficient inocula (0.002% iron, compared with 0.012 or 0.018% for iron-rich inocula) dramatically reduced subsequent growth in M9-DTPA to equivalently low levels for both the \( \text{ftnA} \) and \( \text{ftnA} \) strains (Fig. 6C). A similar but less dramatic effect was seen in the absence of DTPA (Fig. 6B). Therefore, the high iron contents of iron-rich inocula enhance subsequent growth under iron deficiency and iron-deficient growth is further improved by iron stores predeposited in FtnA. This indicates that the increased growth of the \( \text{ftnA} \) strains during iron deprivation is a direct consequence of their FtnA-associated iron stores.

Because iron stores appear to be deposited postexponentially (Fig. 3A and D), it was anticipated that FtnA would confer an iron-deficient-growth advantage upon cultures inoculated with stationary-phase bacteria but not on those
FIG. 4. Mössbauer spectra of E. coli. Wild-type and mutant E. coli were grown in minimal medium containing 0.4% glucose and 8 mM Fe citrate. Fits are superimposed on the experimental data. (A) Mössbauer spectra recorded at 60 K. Component A represents ferric iron, whereas B and C correspond to ferrous iron. (B) Mössbauer spectra recorded at 1.7 K. Component A represents nonmagnetic ferric iron, component B represents total ferrous iron, and component M (shaded) corresponds to magnetic ferric iron cores. The parameters for components A, A, B, and C are listed in Tables 2 and 3. (C) Mössbauer spectra recorded at 267 K.
inoculated with logarithmic-phase bacteria. To test this prediction, the growth of M9-DTPA cultures was monitored after inoculation with precultures grown to log and stationary phase in iron-rich M9-salts medium (Fig. 6D). The growth differences between the wild-type and bfr ftmA strains were much greater with stationary-phase inocula (~3-fold) than with log-phase inocula (~1.3-fold). This further supports the conclusion that FtNA accumulates iron stores mainly in the stationary phase rather than in the log phase.

Iron storage and ferric uptake regulation mutants. The Fur protein, encoded by fur, is an iron-sensing, global transcription regulator of E. coli and many other proteobacteria. Fur uses ferrous iron as a corepressor in repressing the iron acquisition apparatus and other Fur-repressed genes (18).

The combined effects of the fur mutation (leading to derepression of the iron transporters and other Fur-repressed genes) and the iron storage lesions were studied by transferring the ftmA bfr mutation on W3110 (Fig. 3A). Surprisingly, the fur mutation lowered the stationary-phase iron content (0.008 to 0.01) compared to only 1 h with inocula having high iron contents (Fig. 8A). The presence of H₂O₂ extended the lag phase by up to 3 h with inocula having high iron contents (0.018%) compared to only 1 h with inocula having low iron contents (0.002%). This shows that E. coli is less sensitive to H₂O₂ toxicity when the intracellular iron content is low, and it is consistent with a previous study showing that the amount of H₂O₂ required to kill Staphylococcus aureus increases 1,000-fold when the bacteria are precultured in iron-poor medium (48). It is not known whether the high intracellular iron content affects sensitivity to oxidative stress, L broth for 40 μM Fe citrate) confirmed that the fur mutants are iron deficient and revealed that ferric and ferrous iron contents are equally lowered (results not shown). In M9-DTPA, MC4100 and its bfr derivative had higher growth rates and reached a higher optical density (A₆₅₀ = 0.25 at 8 h) than did the corresponding ftmA and ftmA bfr derivatives (A₆₅₀ = 0.19 at 8 h) in M9-DTPA. However, when combined with the fur deletion of H1941, growth in the presence of DTPA, although poorer than that of MC4100, was not further impaired by the iron storage defects (results not shown).

Growth under stress conditions. Since intracellular iron is thought to contribute to redox stress, it is conceivable that iron storage mutants might exhibit an enhanced sensitivity to redox stress due to an increase in free intracellular iron arising from the storage deficiency. To test whether the intracellular iron content affects sensitivity to oxidative stress, L broth ± 250 μM H₂O₂ was inoculated with bacteria having low and high iron contents (Fig. 8A). The presence of H₂O₂ extended the lag phase by up to 3 h with inocula having high iron contents (0.018%) compared to only 1 h with inocula having low iron contents (0.002%). This shows that E. coli is less sensitive to H₂O₂ toxicity when the intracellular iron content is low, and it is consistent with a previous study showing that the amount of H₂O₂ required to kill Staphylococcus aureus increases 1,000-fold when the bacteria are precultured in iron-poor medium (48). It is not known whether the high intracellular iron content affects sensitivity to oxidative stress, L broth ± 250 μM Fe citrate) confirmed that the fur mutants are iron deficient and revealed that ferric and ferrous iron contents are equally lowered (results not shown). In M9-DTPA, MC4100 and its bfr derivative had higher growth rates and reached a higher optical density (A₆₅₀ = 0.25 at 8 h) than did the corresponding ftmA and ftmA bfr derivatives (A₆₅₀ = 0.19 at 8 h) in M9-DTPA. However, when combined with the fur deletion of H1941, growth in the presence of DTPA, although poorer than that of MC4100, was not further impaired by the iron storage defects (results not shown).

Iron storage and ferric uptake regulation mutants. The Fur protein, encoded by fur, is an iron-sensing, global transcription regulator of E. coli and many other proteobacteria. Fur uses ferrous iron as a corepressor in repressing the iron acquisition apparatus and other Fur-repressed genes (18). The combined effects of the fur mutation (leading to derepression of the iron transporters and other Fur-repressed genes) and the iron storage lesions were studied by transferring the ftmA bfr mutation to the corresponding fur deletion strain (MC4100) by P1-mediated transduction. The resulting isogenic strains were grown to stationary phase in L broth, together with W3110, H1673 (W3110 fur), and JRG2953 (W3110 bfr ftmA), and their iron contents were measured (Fig. 3E). The growth of the fur mutants was impaired relative to that of the fur deletion strain (H1941) and to the corresponding fur deletion strain (MC4100) by P1-mediated transduction. The resulting isogenic strains were grown to stationary phase in L broth, together with W3110, H1673 (W3110 fur), and JRG2953 (W3110 bfr ftmA), and their iron contents were measured (Fig. 3E).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>Component A, Fe(III)</th>
<th>Component B, Fe(II)</th>
<th>Component C, Fe(II)</th>
<th>Iron content (%)</th>
<th>K</th>
<th>Iron content (%)</th>
<th>Fe(II) (%)</th>
<th>Fe(III) (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CS (mm/s)</td>
<td>QS (mm/s)</td>
<td>W (mm/s)</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>CS (mm/s)</td>
<td>QS (mm/s)</td>
<td>W (mm/s)</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W3110</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>3.06</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JRG2951 (bfr)</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>3.06</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JRG2952 (ftmA)</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>3.06</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JRG2953 (ftmA bfr)</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>3.06</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a All center shift values (CS) are quoted relative to α-iron. Errors are typically ±0.01, although they may be larger for components B and C due to their partially resolved nature and low intensities. QS, quadrupole splitting; W, line width at half height.

Relative total iron content derived from the Mössbauer data.

Determined by chemical analysis and quoted as a percentage of dry weight.
extracellular iron concentration was high (Fig. 8B). The protection afforded by iron citrate is probably due to direct extracellular decomposition of \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) by iron citrate (26, 61).

The above studies suggest that intracellular iron enhances redox stress sensitivity, indicating that factors, such as iron storage proteins, that influence cellular iron could affect susceptibility to oxidative stress. Growth of the iron storage mutants (JRG2951 to JRG2953) in L broth containing hydroperoxides (\( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) at 1 to 8 mM, \( \text{tert} \)-butyl hydroperoxide at 0.25 to 2 mM, or cumen hydroperoxide at 2.5 to 40 \( \mu\text{M} \)), superoxide...
generators (paraquat at 1 to 2.5 mM, menadione at 0.25 to 1.5 mM, or plumbagin at 0.025 to 0.15 mM), or NO-generating agents (S-nitrosoglutathione at 0.01 to 1.5 mM or sodium nitroprusside at 0.5 to 5 mM) revealed that the mutants are as sensitive to redox stress as is the parental strain, W3110 (results not shown). Sensitivity to H₂O₂ was also studied by measuring the decline in viability after exposing log- and stationary-phase cultures to various concentrations of H₂O₂ (0.25 to 16 mM). Again, no significant differences between the iron storage mutants and wild type were detected (data not shown). Similarly, no differences in H₂O₂ resistance were observed in filter disc diffusion assays in which zones of growth inhibition around H₂O₂-soaked (2 to 10 μmol) filter discs were measured on L-agar plates seeded with 5 × 10⁷ bacteria. However, growth studies did show that a fur mutant (H1941) was more sensitive to the NO and superoxide generators, although this sensitivity was not further enhanced by ftnA and/or bfr mutations (results not shown). Thus, it appears that Fur plays a role in protection against O₂⁻ and NO, presumably through the iron-dependent regulation of either cellular iron or redox protective factors (e.g., superoxide dismutase). Significantly, when the fur mutation was combined with the ftnA and/or bfr mutations, the resulting strains were more sensitive to hydroperoxides (Fig. 9). This increased sensitivity was exhibited after 2 to 3 h of growth, suggesting that the toxic effect takes several hours to be expressed (as seen for paraquat [40a]) and that it may be growth phase dependent. In contrast, the combination of the fur and iron storage mutations did not further increase the sensitivity to NO or O₂⁻ generators (results not shown). Thus, when Fur is absent, FtnA and Bfr seem to contribute to protection against hydroperoxides, presumably by sequestration of the increased quantities of “redox-active iron” that arise in fur mutants (35). This finding is consistent with the ability of overproduced FtnA to counteract the increased toxicity of intracellular iron in fur mutants (56).

FIG. 8. Effects of intracellular and extracellular iron on hydrogen peroxide toxicity. (A) Washed suspensions of W3110 grown to stationary phase in 0.4% glucose M9-salts medium with 1.6 mM sodium citrate or 16 μM iron citrate, to produce inocula with low (0.002%) or high (0.018%) intracellular iron contents, respectively, were diluted 100-fold in L broth containing 250 μM H₂O₂ (solid lines) or no H₂O₂ (broken lines): low-iron inocula (□); high-iron inocula (▲). (B) Low-iron inocula (as above) of W3110 were diluted 100-fold in fresh sodium citrate-containing glucose M9-salts medium with 50 μM H₂O₂ (solid lines) or no H₂O₂ (broken lines) and 16 μM iron citrate (▲) or no iron citrate (□).

DISCUSSION

The physiological roles of the E. coli iron storage proteins, FtnA and Bfr, were investigated by insertionally inactivating the chromosomal ftnA and bfr genes and generating isogenic sets of ftnA, bfr, and ftnA bfr mutants. The iron contents of the ftnA and ftnA bfr strains grown to stationary phase in rich media were half those of the wild-type and bfr strains. However, no such difference was observed in log-phase cells or in E. coli grown in media with low iron concentrations. Whole-cell Mössbauer spectroscopy revealed that the low stationary-phase iron contents of the ftnA and ftnA bfr strains are due to a 2- to 2.5-fold reduction in the amounts of cellular ferric iron. Subsequently, low- and high-temperature studies showed that the lower ferric iron contents of ftnA mutants correlate with a deficiency in magnetically ordered iron clusters. These results show that FtnA is responsible for the postexponential accumulation and storage of up to 50% of the cellular iron during iron sufficient growth. This correlates with the ~10-fold induction of ftnA expression in the postexponential growth phase under iron-rich conditions (9). In contrast, Bfr would seem to be uninvolved in, or dispensable for, iron accumulation and storage. This is supported by the finding that less than 1% of total cellular iron can be attributed to Bfr (7).

The ftnA and ftnA bfr mutants exhibited a major growth defect when cultured in iron-deficient minimal medium, but only when the mutant and parental strains had been precultured to stationary phase in iron-rich medium, giving precultures the opportunity to deposit iron stores. It would thus appear that iron stored in FtnA during iron sufficiency can...
subsequently be used to enhance iron-limited growth. FtnA therefore fulfils the classical role assigned to ferritins, as an iron-storing protein that releases iron upon demand. This capacity should be important in *E. coli* and other bacteria that occupy environments where iron availability is variable, because FtnA facilitates growth under iron-limited conditions and would thus be expected to confer a selective advantage.

The iron content of wild-type *E. coli* grown to stationary phase in L broth was 0.027% of dry weight. Under iron-limiting conditions, the iron content was up to 14-fold lower ([0.002% of dry weight]), indicating that *E. coli* considerably lowers its need for cellular iron during iron-deficient growth. The total iron content (as a percentage of dry weight) of W3110, grown to stationary phase in rich broth, can be allocated as follows: iron stored in FtnA ([0.013%]); iron associated with Fur-regulated factors, excluding FtnA ([~0.006%]); “residual iron” ([~0.006%]) (the difference between the iron contents of *fur* mutants [~0.008%] and iron-starved *E. coli* [~0.002%]); and “essential iron” ([~0.002%]). The essential iron is likely to reside in highly important proteins such as ribonucleotide reductase, tricarboxylic acid cycle enzymes such as aconitase, and respiratory-chain components. The residual iron at least partly corresponds to the redox-active iron that is enhanced in *fur* mutants (35).

The damage inflicted during redox stress depends to a large extent on the collaboration of intracellular iron (38, 48), as confirmed here by the dependence of *H₂O₂* toxicity on the intracellular iron content. Iron chelators such as dipyridyl and desferrioxamine can protect cells from oxidants (22), and this implies that the sequestration of endogenous iron is a vital antioxidant strategy. Furthermore, previous studies have suggested that the iron-sequestering function of iron storage proteins is important for limiting the prooxidant hazard posed by iron (14, 56, 59). It was therefore surprising to find that sensitivity to NO, *O₂*⁻, and *H₂O₂* is not increased by a lack of FtnA and/or Bfr. However, this is consistent with previous work with *ftnA* and *bfr* mutants showing that neither FtnA nor Bfr influences the quantity of redox-active iron in *E. coli* or sensitivity to *H₂O₂* (35). It is also consistent with the finding that levels of ferrous iron are not significantly influenced by the iron storage defects (Tables 2 and 3). Apparently FtnA and Bfr do not protect against the redox stress-inducing effects of iron in vivo in *fur*⁻ backgrounds, nor do the lower iron contents of *ftnA* mutants affect resistance to redox stress. The latter observation implies that iron present in the iron cores of FtnA does not contribute to oxidative stress, supporting previous reports that the iron core provides a “safe” means of handling iron (6, 11, 14). However, in a *fur*⁻ background, *ftnA* and *bfr* mutations increase sensitivity to hydroperoxides but not to superoxide (or NO). Hydroperoxides elicit iron-dependent cytotoxicity through the Fenton reaction, in which ferrous iron is oxidized and the highly reactive hydroxyl radical is generated (*H₂O₂* + Fe²⁺ → OH⁻ + OH⁻ + Fe³⁺). In contrast, the iron-dependent toxicity of superoxide is mediated by reduction of ferric to ferrous iron (*O₂*⁻ + Fe³⁺ → O₂⁻ + Fe²⁺). The resulting ferrous iron may then participate in the Fenton reaction. Thus, the enhanced sensitivity to hydroperoxides is probably due to the presence of increased levels of “reactive” ferrous iron in the strains lacking both Fur and iron storage proteins. Therefore, in the absence of Fur, the FtnA and Bfr...
proteins apparently lower the levels of reactive ferrous iron. This is consistent with the ferrous iron-oxidizing activity of FtnA and Bfr.

As observed with the *E. coli* ftnA mutant, the growth of the ferritin mutant (*cft*) of *Campylobacter jejuni* was impaired by the presence of an iron chelator, but in marked contrast to the *ftnA* mutant of *E. coli*, the *C. jejuni* cft mutant exhibited an enhanced sensitivity to redox stress (59). The reason for this difference is unknown, but it could be related to the microaerophilicity of *C. jejuni*, differences in the levels of reactive intracellular ferrous iron, the presence of the *ftnB* gene in *E. coli*, or differences in the functional properties of the corresponding ferritins (they are only 46% identical in amino acid sequence). Whether the cft mutation lowers the iron content of *C. jejuni* was not reported, although this would be expected.

Previous studies have shown that the enhanced sensitivity of *fur* mutants to redox stress can be reversed by multiple copies of *ftnA* (but not *bfr*), by iron chelators, or by inactivation of the iron transport apparatus (56). This strongly suggests that the redox sensitivity of *fur* mutants is due to an increase in redox-active (i.e., free or labile) iron. However, this seems to be contradicted by the present studies showing that the iron contents of *fur* mutants are 2.5-fold lower than those of *fur* strains. Nevertheless, because *fur* mutants have low levels of iron storage proteins (9, 24) and other iron-containing proteins such as fumarases A and B, aconitase A, and superoxide dismutase B (25, 42, 43, 57), as well as having a high capacity for iron transport, it is possible that the amount of redox-active iron is higher in *fur* mutants (despite their low overall contents of cellular iron), as indicated by Keyer and Imlay (35).

The weakly bound ferrous species (component B) revealed by Mössbauer spectroscopy may represent a discrete iron pool, such as free cellular iron or the redox-active iron implicated in enhancing redox stress. The concentration of the weakly bound ferrous species in the cytosol can be estimated as ~200 μM from the distribution of iron in different components (Tables 2 and 3). However, this value is 20-fold higher than previous estimates of redox-active or free iron in *E. coli*, based on electron paramagnetic resonance analysis of desferrioxamine-bound iron (35) or on the binding affinity (~10 μM) of Fur for iron (5), although it is similar to the value (300 to 500 μM) determined by assaying the total-acid-soluble nonheme iron (35). Moreover, the amounts of weakly bound ferrous iron were 2.5-fold lower in *fur* mutants, yet such strains are reported to contain increased quantities of redox-active iron (35). Therefore, it is unlikely that the weakly bound ferrous species corresponds to the redox-active iron observed by Keyer and Imlay (35), and so it presumably represents another, more abundant cellular iron pool.

The 2.5-fold-lower iron content of the *fur* mutants is surprising in view of the enhanced rates of iron transport reported for *fur* mutants (28) and highlights a novel role for Fur in regulating cellular demand for iron. Several genes expressing iron-containing proteins, e.g., fumarases (FumA and FumB), aconitase A, and superoxide dismutase (SodB), are known to be repressed under iron-deficient conditions (25, 42, 43, 57) in a Fur-dependent manner, and the abundances of at least nine unidentified proteins are reduced in a *fur* mutant of *Salmonella typhimurium* (20). This suggests that the low iron contents of *fur* mutants may be due to weak expression of iron-requiring proteins (Fig. 10). It would therefore appear that *E. coli* compensates for iron starvation in two ways (Fig. 10): by inducing iron transport systems, and by reducing the cellular demand for iron, which in turn can be achieved by repressing iron-requiring systems and derepressing alternative systems, such as replacing SodB by the Mn-containing SodA and FumA by the iron-free FumC and possibly by replacing ferredoxin by flavodoxin as observed for cyanobacteria (19, 42, 43, 47).

The studies reported here establish that the physiological function of FtnA in *E. coli* is to store iron under postexponential iron-sufficient conditions in order to provide a source of iron for subsequent use under iron-limited conditions. This probably reflects the general role of ferritins in other bacteria as well as in more complex organisms, including plants and animals. The other characterized iron storage protein of *E. coli*, Bfr, appears to have no important role in these pro-
cresses, and its function remains obscure. The role of FnB is being investigated. It has an unusual complement of amino acid residues at the ferroxidase center that suggests that the protein does not function as a typical ferritin (1). Fur mutants were found to be iron deficient, and this reveals a new and important role for Fur in the conservation of cellular iron resources.
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