In Vivo and In Vitro Effects of (p)ppGpp on the \(\sigma^{54}\) Promoter of the TOL Plasmid of *Pseudomonas putida*
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The connection between the physiological control of the \(\sigma^{54}\)-dependent \(Pu\) promoter of the TOL plasmid pWW0 of *Pseudomonas putida* and the stringent response mediated by the alarmone \((p)ppGpp\) has been examined in vivo and in vitro. To this end, the key regulatory elements of the system were faithfully reproduced in an *Escherichia coli* strain and assayed as lacZ fusions in various genetic backgrounds lacking \((p)ppGpp\) or overexpressing relA. Neither the responsiveness of \(Pu\) to 3-methyl benzylalcohol mediated by its cognate activator XylR nor the down-regulation of the promoter by rapid growth were affected in relA spoT strains to an extent which could account for the known physiological control that governs this promoter. Overexpression of the \(relA\) gene [predicted to increase intracellular \((p)ppGpp\) levels] did, however, cause a significant gain in \(Pu\) activity. Since such a gain might be the result of indirect effects, we resorted to an in vitro transcription system to assay directly the effect of ppGpp on the transcriptional machinery. Although we did observe a significant increase in \(Pu\) performance through a range of \(\sigma^{54}\)-RNAP concentrations, such an increase never exceeded twofold. The difference between these results and the behavior of the related \(Po\) promoter of the phenol degradation plasmid pVII150 could be traced to the different promoter sequences, which may dictate the type of metabolic signals recruited for the physiological control of \(\sigma^{54}\)-systems.

The toluene degradation pathway determined by the TOL plasmid pWW0 of *Pseudomonas putida* mt-2 is both an enzymatic and regulatory paradigm for the metabolism of recalcitrant compounds in the environment (reviewed in reference 42). The key event in the activation of the whole pathway is the substrate-dependent transcription of the cognate catabolic operons encoded by the plasmid. Expression of the upper-pathway TOL operon for bioconversion of toluene and xylenes into the corresponding carboxylic acids (22) is driven by the \(\sigma^{54}\)-dependent promoter \(Pu\). This promoter is activated at a distance by the enhancer-binding and tolune-responsive protein XylR with the structural assistance of the integration host factor (IHF). In addition, the cells must be in an adequate metabolic status for \(Pu\) activity, since an excess of certain carbon sources (10, 11, 24) or rapid growth in rich medium (8, 16, 17, 25, 29) entirely inhibits promoter output in vivo even if the compound to be degraded is present in the culture. This behavior, which is phenomologically akin to catabolic repression (16, 17, 27, 29), is by no means exclusive to the \(Pu\) promoter. Expression of many other biodegradative pathways of *Pseudomonas* is also inhibited by a number of growth conditions which adjust the activity of specific catabolic promoters to a given metabolic and physiological status (9).

More than one mechanism may cause the down-regulation of \(Pu\) in certain growth scenarios. Glucose and other carbohydrates (24) decrease \(Pu\) activity through a process involving the \(ptsN\) gene (11, 38). However, rapid growth in rich medium also results in a separate negative signal in the system through the control of the activity of \(\sigma^{54}\) (8). In addition, full activity in vivo of \(\sigma^{54}\) requires (at least in *Escherichia coli*) the participation of the FtsH product (6), a protein with both protease and chaperone activities which is involved in the turnover of the heat shock factor \(\sigma^{32}\) (23) and other regulators. In spite of all these observations, the specific instruments for the physiological control of \(Pu\) remain unknown.

A case similar to the \(Pu\) promoter of the TOL plasmid is that of the \(Po\) promoter of the dimethylphenol (dmp)-degrading pathway of plasmid pVII150 of *Pseudomonas* sp. strain CF600. \(Po\) is a \(\sigma^{54}\)-promoter which is activated at a distance by the phenol-responsive protein DmpR, which has high similarity to XylR (35). Although the sequence of the \(Pu\) and \(Po\) promoters are different, the upstream activating motifs (UAS) are similar enough to be recognized by both proteins as binding sites (19). On this basis, DmpR and XylR behave more as variants of the same protein than as two distinct proteins. Like \(Pu\), the activation of \(Po\) by DmpR is also subjected to a tight metabolic control by certain carbon sources and by the growth rate (47).

A recent study by Shingler’s laboratory (48) has traced the physiological down-regulation of \(Po\) to the need for \((p)ppGpp\), the signal molecule which triggers the stringent response to amino acid starvation (12). This is a very attractive possibility, since many metabolic conditions are reflected in the intracellular levels of this alarmone molecule (reviewed in reference 12).

The present study was undertaken to examine whether the observed physiological control of the \(Pu\) promoter of the TOL plasmid could also be connected to the direct or indirect effects of \((p)ppGpp\). The results in vivo and in vitro, given below, clearly demonstrate that although \(Pu\) activity is indeed stimulated by ppGpp, the effect is insufficient to account for the inhibition of \(Pu\) during exponential growth. In addition, our data suggest that the moderate effect of ppGpp on \(Pu\) is the result of a direct stimulation of the transcription initiation complex by the alarmone.
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**TABLE 1.** Bacterial strains and plasmids used in this study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strains</th>
<th>Relevant characteristics</th>
<th>Source or reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C600r138</td>
<td>Δ(ara-leu) araD ΔlacX74 galE galK phoA thi-1</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MG1655</td>
<td>F' λ− K-12 prototroph</td>
<td>M. Cashel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CF1693</td>
<td>MG1655 ΔrelA251::Km ΔspoT207::Cm</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BL21(DE3)</td>
<td>F' ompT galR dcm [lon] hisD mdh Ts(6) hsdS(rM-1λ) x with the T7 RNA polymerase gene</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Plasmids**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plasmid</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pMCP1</td>
<td>Sp' Sm' RP4oriT pSP10 oriv, 5.2-kb NotI insert xyRI/Pu-laCZ M AD1 (20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pMCP2</td>
<td>Sp' Sm' RP4oriT pSP10 oriv, 4.5-kb NotI insert xyRI/Pu-laCZ M AD2 (20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pCNB0118</td>
<td>Cm', PsU21 derivative, relA gene, Ndel engineered site at its initiating codon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pET-19b</td>
<td>Ap', pBR322 derivative; T7/λac promoter expression vector for His-tagged fusions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pCNB0209R</td>
<td>Ap', pET-19b derivative with relA inserted in the Ndel site</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pTIE103</td>
<td>Ap', transcription template vector with T7 terminator downstream of pUC8 polylinker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pCNB0209R</td>
<td>pTIE103 with 129-bp EcoRI-BamHI fragment inserted from pUC8-lacUV5 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pCNB0209R</td>
<td>pTIE103 with 301-bp EcoRI-BamHI fragment from -208 to +93 of Pu inserted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pCNB0209R</td>
<td>pTIE103 with 481-bp EcoRI-BamHI fragment from -471 to +10 of Pu inserted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pTIE103</td>
<td>Plac/lacI promoter expression vector for His-tagged RelA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pET103</td>
<td>Plac/lacI promoter expression vector for His-tagged RelA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pET103</td>
<td>Plac/lacI promoter expression vector for His-tagged RelA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pET103-placUV5</td>
<td>Plac/lacI promoter expression vector for His-tagged RelA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pEZ10</td>
<td>Plac/lacI promoter expression vector for His-tagged RelA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pET103-Po</td>
<td>Plac/lacI promoter expression vector for His-tagged RelA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Strains, plasmids, and general procedures.** The strains and plasmids used in this work are listed in Table 1. Recombinant DNA techniques were carried out by published methods (43). All plasmids used in the transcription assays were derived from vector pTE103, which adds a strong T7 terminator downstream of the promoter under study (18). Plasmid pEZ10 carries Pu and has been described previously (7, 36). Plasmid pTE103-Po carries a 481-bp DNA insert spanning positions -471 to +10 of the Po promoter sequence (39). Similarly, plasmid pTIE103-Pu-lacUV5 bears an insert with this control promoter between positions -117 and +12. All cloned inserts and DNA fragments were verified through automated DNA sequencing in an Applied Biosystems device. All the supercoiled plasmid DNA templates used for in vitro transcription were prepared with the Qiagen plasmid purification system.

**Growth and induction conditions.** Bacteria were generally grown at 30°C in rich Luria-Bertani (LB) medium (32). Minimal medium (43) supplemented with 0.2% succinate was supplemented, where indicated, with 0.2% Casamino Acids. When required, media were also supplemented with 150 μg of ampicillin per ml, 50 μg of spectinomycin per ml, 30 μg of chloramphenicol per ml, and isoopropyl-1-thio-β-D-galactopyranoside (IPTG). Promoter activity in vivo was monitored in all cases by assaying the accumulation of β-galactosidase in cells permeabilized with chloroform and sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) as described by Miller (32) under the conditions specified in each case. To measure the accumulation of β-galactosidase, overnight cultures were diluted 20-fold in the same medium to suppress the β-galactosidase accumulated by stationary-phase bacteria. Fresh exponential cells were then further regrown with aeration, and samples were taken at the stages indicated in each experiment. Where needed, the cultures were exposed to saturating vapors of the upper TOL pathway inducer 3-methyl benzylalcohol (1). The β-galactosidase activity values given throughout this paper represent the average of at least three independent experiments in duplicate samples.

**Proteins and protein techniques.** Purified factor α44 and core RNA polymerase (RNAP) from E. coli were the kind gift of B. Magasanik. IHF was obtained from H. Nash. The α2—RNAP holozyme was purchased from Amersham. The XyIR variant called XyIRΔα is identical to the wild-type protein except for the deletion of its N-terminal module (called the A domain). This variant is fully constitutive and can thus activate transcription from Pu in the absence of any aromatic inducer (20, 36). XyIRΔα was purified to apparent homogeneity by metallofoinfiy purification of the His-tagged protein as described by Pérez-Martín and de Lorenzo (36). His-tagged RelA in crude extracts was detected as previously described (20). To this end, whole E. coli cells were disrupted in sample buffer containing 2% SDS and 5% β-mercaptoethanol and run in 10% polyacrylamide gels containing SDS (26). Samples were then blotted on to a Immobilon-P membrane and probed with a 1:5,000 dilution of an anti-His monoclonal antibody from Clontech. The band corresponding to the protein was identified with anti-mouse immunoglobulin G IgG conjugated to horseradish peroxidase and developed with an H2O2-luminol-luciferin system.

**Construction of a His-tagged RelA expression plasmid and purification of the protein.** To obtain a variant of the relA gene of E. coli encoding a product amenable to affinity purification, the Ndel insert of plasmid pCNB0118 (30), which bears the relA sequence, was cloned in His fusion and Plac/lacP7 expression vector pET19b (Novagen), to generate plasmid pCNB0209R. Depending on the host strain, the resulting hybrid gene was expressed through the Plac promoter upon addition of IPTG, through the T7 promoter, or through both. This is the case when pCNB0209R was transformed in E. coli BL21(DE3)(pLysS) (46), since the strain bears a chromosomal Plac-based system for expression of the T7 polymerase. For purification of the His-tagged RelA protein, an overtight culture of E. coli BL21(DE3) cotransformed with both pLysS and pCNB0209R was grown at 37°C in 2YT medium (43), diluted 1:30 into fresh medium, and regrown under the same conditions to an optical density at 600 nm of approximately 0.7. Expression of the His-tagged RelA was induced by addition of 0.3 mM ITPG, and the culture was given an further incubation for 3 h. The cells were then harvested by centrifugation, washed with ice-cold buffer A (20 mM Tris-acetate [pH 8.5], 5 mM imidazole, 50 mM NaCl, 0.5 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride), and stored in aliquots of approximately 0.5 g at −70°C. When needed, cells (0.5 g) were suspended in 5 ml of buffer A and disrupted by sonication. After addition of magnesium acetate to 5 mM, the crude lysate was cleared by centrifugation (4,000 × g for 15 min at 4°C). The supernatant was then centrifuged at 25,000 × g for 30 min at 4°C, and the cleared sample was loaded onto a 2-ml Ni2+—nitritolriacetic acid column (Novagen, Madison, Wis.), which had been precharged with NioSO4 (as recommended by the manufacturer), and equilibrated in A buffer. After the protein sample was loaded, the column was washed with 15 ml of wash buffer A and then with 20 ml of wash buffer containing 60 mM imidazole. Then a 30-ml gradient of this metal chelator was run to a final concentration of 1 M imidazole. Fractions (2 ml) were collected at a flow rate of 0.5 ml min−1 and tested for the presence of the desired protein by SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis analysis and by assays of (ppGpp-synthesizing activity (see below). The main peak of His-tagged RelA protein eluted at 250 to 350 mM imidazole. The corresponding fractions were pooled and used for large-scale synthesis of ppGpp. Protein concentrations were determined (5) using bovine serum albumin as the standard. This procedure yielded up to 4 mg of His-tagged RelA per g of cells, with an apparent enzyme purity of ≥98%.

**In vitro synthesis and purification of ppGpp.** Preparative-scale synthesis of ppGpp was performed using the His-tagged RelA protein prepared as described above. The standard reaction was carried out at 30°C in a final volume of 5 ml containing 1.5 ml of the His-tagged RelA preparation (stock at 0.1 to 0.3 mg/ml), 2 mM ATP, 2 mM GDP, and 1× buffer RB (50 mM Tris-acetate [pH 8.0], 15 mM magnesium acetate, 60 mM potassium acetate, 30 mM ammonium acetate, 0.2 mM EDTA, 0.5 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, 15% methanol). The progress of the reaction was monitored by ascending thin-layer chromatography on fluorescence-labeled polyethyleneimine cellulose plates, using 1.5 M KH2PO4 (pH 3.4) as chromatographic buffer. When no further increase in the level of ppGpp could be detected (5 to 12 h later), the reaction was stopped by adding formic acid to 1 M. After removal of precipitated protein by centrifugation (2 min at 9,000 × g), the resulting supernatant was dialyzed at least threefold with 50 mM triethylammonium acetate (pH 7.7) and applied to a 25-ml DEAE-Bio-Gel column (Bio-Rad), previously equilibrated in the same buffer. After being loaded, the column was eluted with 50, 100, and 150 mM triethylammonium acetate (pH 7.7) (25, 25, and 35 ml, respectively) and finally with 150 ml of each of 200 and 400 mM triethylammonium acetate (pH 7.7) or with a 300-ml linear gradient of the same buffer to a final triethylammonium acetate concentration of 400 mM. Fractions (5 ml) were collected in each case, and the elution of ppGpp was analyzed by UV spectroscopy and polyethyleneimine thin-layer chromatography.
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**FIG. 1.** Physiological control of the Pu promoter of *P. putida* reproduced in an *E. coli* host. *E. coli* strain CC118 harboring plasmid pMCP1 (xyIR/Pu-lacZ), which encodes the wild-type XylR protein, was grown at 30°C in LB rich medium (A), M9 minimal medium with 0.2% succinate (panel B), or M9-succinate medium with 0.2% Casamino Acids (C). At an early growth stage, the cultures were exposed to saturating vapors of the XylR effector 3-methyl benzylalcohol (3mBA), and the accumulation of β-galactosidase activity (expressed in Miller units) was monitored during subsequent growth. The enzymatic activities shown are the average of duplicate samples as explained in the text. Note the repressive effect of Casamino Acids during exponential growth. The organization of the reporter system encoded by PMCP2 is sketched (not to scale) at the top of the figure.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Reproducing the inhibition of Pu by Casamino Acids in an *E. coli* reporter system. For an adequate genetic assay to examine the physiological conditions that regulate Pu, we employed the low-copy-number plasmid pMCP1 (6), which includes a transcriptional Pu-lacZ fusion and the wild-type xylR gene. As shown in Fig. 1A, this fusion appears to grossly reproduce in *E. coli* the physiological down-regulation undergone by Pu in rich medium (24), which has been named exponential silencing (8). This is characterized by the lack of significant activity of Pu while cells grow exponentially in LB despite the presence of a XylR effector (such as 3-methyl benzylalcohol) in the medium. In contrast, when the same reporter cells were grown in a minimal mineral medium with a nonrepressive carbon source such as succinate (24), Pu activity was quickly elicited following exposure to the inducer (Fig. 1B). Finally, the presence of 0.2% Casamino Acids in the minimal medium (Fig. 1C) restored the inhibition of Pu during exponential-phase growth of induced cells. The results in Fig. 1 not only validated the use of an *E. coli* host for the genetic analyses discussed below but also demonstrated the inhibitory effect of an excess of Casamino Acids on the outcome of Pu activity already described in *P. putida* (29). Since the abundance of amino acids in the medium restrains the stringent response mediated by (p)pGpp, these results encouraged us to explore the connection between Pu activity and this physiological phenomenon.

**Pu performance in a (p)pGpp-deficient genetic background.** One attractive explanation for the data above described could be that ppGpp is required for Pu performance in *vivo*. Therefore, the lack of enough intracellular levels of (p)pGpp brought about by the availability of amino acids in the medium during the earlier stages of growth (12) could inhibit promoter activity. This possibility was easy to test, since production of (p)pGpp depends on genes relA and spoT in *E. coli* (50). Should ppGpp be needed for Pu activity, the promoter must become silent in a (p)pGpp-deficient ([p]pGpp$^\text{-}$) background. To reduce the number of variables, we tested this notion with plasmid pMCP2 as the reporter system. Similarly to pMCP1, pMCP2 also bears a Pu-lacZ fusion, but xylR is present in the form of a truncated gene encoding the variant XylRΔA, with the N-terminal domain deleted. Such a deletion makes Pu nonresponsive to aromatic inducers, but the promoter still maintains its meta-
mediates the physiological control of Pu. The possibility that the alarmone was the predominant signal which sufficed by themselves to rule out the type derivative of the E. coli transformant was grown in LB medium, and the accumulation of Pu activity upon relA overexpression is evident.

Overexpression of relA improves Pu performance. To ascertain whether the small effect of (p)ppGpp in Pu detected with the ΔrelA ΔspoT strain could be exacerbated by artificially increasing the intracellular levels of the alarmone, we cotransformed compatible plasmids pMCP2 (xylR ΔA/+Pu-lacZ) and pCNB0209R (expressing a His-tagged RelA product under the control of a lacI/Plac system) (see the text). The cotransformant was grown in LB medium, and the accumulation of β-galactosidase was monitored throughout growth after the addition of 0.1 mM IPTG. The expression levels of the RelA product were revealed by the protein blot, shown at the top of the figure, which was probed with an anti-poly His monoclonal antibody (the last lane corresponds to advanced stationary-phase cells not plotted in the graph). The significant increase in Pu activity upon relA overexpression is evident.

E. coli MG1655 (pMCP2, pCNB0209R) during growth in LB medium with or without the addition of IPTG. It is worth mentioning that under the assay conditions, overproduction of the His-tagged RelA product (as detected with a Western blot assay [Fig. 2B]) did not significantly affect the growth rate. The data in Fig. 2B show that overexpression of RelA, predicted to result in higher intracellular levels of ppGpp, appeared both to increase the overall activity of Pu threefold and to cause an induction pattern devoid of growth stage regulation. These results suggested that the partial dependency of Pu activity on (p)ppGpp indicated by the experiments in Fig. 2 could indeed be genuine, albeit somewhat minor under normal physiological conditions. However, since (p)ppGpp also regulates the intracellular levels of IHF (2) and since the binding sites for this protein are not saturated during exponential growth (34), it is also possible that the (p)ppGpp-dependent increase in Pu activity in vivo reflects an indirect effect on IHF levels. In fact, we have observed that overproduction of IHF also causes an increase of Pu activity and a partial relief of exponential silencing (data not shown). These observations encouraged us to test directly the effect of ppGpp in an in vitro transcription system for Pu as explained below.

PPGPP directly stimulates the σ54-dependent transcriptional machinery of Pu. To ascertain unequivocally whether the influence of ppGpp on Pu suggested by the data above reflected a direct or an indirect effect, we exploited the in vitro transcription system developed previously in our laboratory for this promoter (7, 36). This includes not only the purified core RNAP, the σ54 factor, and the XylRΔA protein but also the IHF, which is required for the assembly of an optimal geometry of the DNA region (15) and for the recruitment of the enzyme to the promoter sequences (4). In addition, we used the supercoiled DNA template named pEZ10 (36), which contains the promoter region as a 301-bp fragment spanning po-
sitions -208 to +93 of Pu, cloned upstream of a strong T7 terminator. We used also the $\sigma^{54}$-dependent promoter lacUV5 as a control basically independent of ppGpp (13, 40, 41). This promoter was assembled in the same transcription template as Pu. For the experiments described below, it was of the utmost importance to use preparations of ppGpp which had been purified shortly before use. We had noticed early in this project that this compound is often inactive when shipped from distant origins or after storage for long periods. This problem encouraged us to develop a simplified and very efficient procedure for the production of large amounts of ppGpp. The method, which is described in detail in Materials and Methods, uses an in vitro reaction between ATP and GDP catalyzed by the purified His-tagged RelA protein in solution.

With all the elements under examination in hand, we were finally able to test the effect of ppGpp in a cell-free system. As a first approach, we used the conditions already optimized for the transcription of Pu in vitro (7, 36). To this end, the DNA template was incubated with IHF and XylR$\cdot$A, along with subsaturating concentrations of $\sigma^{54}$-RNAP and increasing amounts of purified ppGpp. The assays were carried out in the absence of heparin to allow reinitiation; thus, the transcripts were representative of the outcome of multiple transcription rounds. As shown in Fig. 3A, Pu activity was indeed stimulated by increasing the concentrations of ppGpp in the transcription mixtures through the range from 0.05 to 1 $\mu$M, with saturation being reached at 0.3 to 0.5 $\mu$M. Such an stimulation was, however, moderate, since it never exceeded more than 40 to 60% of the levels of transcripts produced without ppGpp. Under the same conditions, the activity of the control lacUV5 promoter was significantly less affected by ppGpp (Fig. 3B).

Although these data provided an explanation for the behavior of Pu in vivo when placed in a strain lacking ppGpp (Fig. 2A), they did not fully account for the very high activity of Pu in vivo upon overproduction of RelA (and thus an increased level of the alarmone [Fig. 2B]). Therefore, some indirect effects of ppGpp on Pu, e.g., an influence on IHF levels (2), are also likely to occur. In addition, the results in Fig. 3 left unanswered two outstanding questions; i.e., how general is the effect of ppGpp on $\sigma^{54}$ promoters, and what are the molecular mechanisms involved?

**Comparison of the responsiveness of Po and Pu to ppGpp.** As mentioned above, the $\sigma^{54}$ promoter Po drives the expression of a phenol-degrading pathway in *Pseudomonas* sp. strain CF600 in a fashion very similar to that of Pu for the upper TOL pathway (45, 47). The two promoters have identical UASs for their respective activators, DmpR and XylR (19). Furthermore, we have shown previously (37) that XylR$\cdot$A binds the Po enhancer with the same affinity as it binds to the cognate promoter Pu. This is not surprising, since the two proteins have identical recognition surfaces (33) in the helix-turn-helix motif of their DNA-binding D domain (35). This provided an opportunity to examine the effect of ppGpp on a different $\sigma^{54}$ template whose only difference was the promoter sequence. To this end, we used the same in vitro transcription setup described above but with the Po sequence from -471 to +10 as the insert in the pTE103 transcription vector that was used with Pu and with PlacUV5. The result of the experiment is shown in Fig. 4, which gives the data for four independent assays. Under the conditions (300 nM ppGpp) at which we saw the best stimulation of Pu, we detected a dramatic but dissimilar responsiveness of Po to the alarmone. Consistent with the data in Fig. 3A, ppGpp did not increase Pu activity by more than 40 to 60%, an increase based on an already efficient production of transcripts. In contrast, the activity of Po was very low in the absence of ppGpp but was stimulated by four- to eightfold on addition of this compound. These results provided a rationale for the different behavior of Po (48) and Pu in vivo. They also suggested that such differences could be ultimately dictated by specific sequences of each promoter.

**The target of ppGpp in the $\sigma^{54}$-dependent transcription machinery.** The mechanism by which ppGpp affects (mostly...
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PPGpp seems to bind a distinct site of the β subunit of the σ^54-RNAP (13), thereby decreasing the affinity of the sigma factor for the core enzyme (3). Depending on the specific promoter, this results in the enzyme failing to form productive preelongation complexes or to form abortive transcripts (3, 21, 51). However, since σ^54 and σ^70 are quite different from one another (31), the mechanisms involved in the control of cognate promoters by PPGpp are likely to be dissimilar as well.

To gain an insight into this issue as it relates to Pp, we performed the experiments in Fig. 5. We tested whether PPGpp had an influence on the formation of the promoter closed complex. To this end, we monitored the effect of PPGpp addition on Pp promoter output under increasing concentra-
tions of σ^54-RNAP. If such an effect on promoter binding does occur, the polymerase dose-response curve will be shifted toward the lower concentrations of the enzyme. The results in Fig. 5 clearly indicate that this is not the case here. In addition, we noticed that the affinity of σ^54-RNAP for Pp did not change much in response to PPGpp addition, as detected in gel shift assays (M. Carmona, unpublished observations). It thus seems that the effect of the alarmone occurred at a later step in the transcription process. To see whether this step was the formation of the open complex, we used single-round transcription mixtures with PPGpp and increasing amounts of σ^54-RNAP but supplemented those mixtures with heparin to avoid reini-
tiation; therefore, the transcripts reflected the outcome of single transcription rounds. The dose-response curves of the single-round experiments were not dramatically different from those of the multiple rounds in Fig. 5 (data not shown). This further suggested that the effect of PPGpp on Pp occurs at a stage following σ^54-RNAP binding, i.e., formation of an open complex and/or elongation. Although the precise mechanism of this phenomenon deserves further investigations, the data above confirm the occurrence of a genuine direct effect of PPGpp in the σ^54-dependent transcription machinery.

PPGpp contributes to, but does not determine, the physiological control of the Pp promoter. The work reported above establishes a connection between the behavior of the Pp promoter of the TOL plasmid in vivo and the stringent response mediated by PPGpp. Such an association is, however, relatively minor compared the dramatic dependence of Pp, a second σ^54-dependent system for which the issue has been examined in detail (48). The differences between the two promoters, Pp and Pp, in this respect seem to be authentic even though they share so many genetic elements and physiological behaviors. Although we have not yet made a rigorous comparison between the two systems under all conditions, the published data on Pp (48) and the results presented here suggest that most of the physiological down-regulation of Pp, but not of Pp, can be attributed to the effect of PPGpp. Since the only major difference between the two promoters is the nucleotide composition of the sequences interspaced within the major shared motifs (UAS, -12/-24), it is tempting to speculate that these sequences may determine the type of metabolic signals which are entered into the promoter. This adds one more nonanticipated degree of regulatory flexibility to σ^54-dependent promoters, accounting for their evolutionary success in systems, such as biodeg-
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**FIG. 4.** Comparison of the effects of the PPGpp on the transcriptional activity of Pp and Pp in vitro. The result of a typical multiple-round transcription experiment run in parallel with 5 nM Pp-containing template PE103-Pp is shown at the top of the figure. Assays were carried out under standard conditions (100 nM XylR, 25 nM IHF, 25 nM core RNAP, 100 nM σ^54), and 350 nM purified PPGpp was added or omitted as indicated. Note the different sizes of the transcripts arising from Pp (394 nucleotides) or Pp (511 nucleotides) due to the different distances from the promoter to the T7 terminator in the vector plasmid (see Materials and Methods). The average stimulation of Pp and Pp activity by PPGpp on the basis of four independent experiments is represented below the autoradiograph.

![Figure 5](http://jb.asm.org/)

**FIG. 5.** Effect of PPGpp on activation of Pp with increasing concentrations of σ^54-RNAP. The upper part of the figure shows the result of multiple-round transcription reactions containing 5 nM Pp-containing plasmid template PE103. To this was added 100 nM XylR, 25 nM IHF, and increasing concentrations of σ^54-RNAP with or without 300 nM PPGpp as indicated. The intensity of the bands was quantified in a Bio-Rad Molecular Imager FX system and plotted in arbitrary units as a function of RNAP concentration (shown in the lower part of the figure). The effect of PPGpp on the transcriptional output appears to be constant throughout the entire range of enzyme concentrations used, and therefore it does not appear to stimulate binding of the promoter by the σ^54-RNAP (see the text for an explanation).
radiate pathways, whose expression requires fine-tuning with the overall metabolic status of the cells (9).
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