Phosphorylation-Induced Signal Propagation in the Response Regulator NtrC
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The bacterial enhancer-binding protein NtrC is a well-studied response regulator in a two-component regulatory system. The amino (N)-terminal receiver domain of NtrC modulates the function of its adjacent output domain, which activates transcription by the σ54 holoenzyme. When a specific aspartate residue in the receiver domain of NtrC is phosphorylated, the dimeric protein forms an oligomer that is capable of ATP hydrolysis and transcriptional activation. A chemical protein cleavage method was used to investigate signal propagation from the phosphorylated receiver domain of NtrC, which acts positively, to its central output domain. The iron chelate conjugated Fe-BABE was conjugated onto unique cysteines introduced into the N-terminal domain of NtrC, and the conjugated proteins were subjected to Fe-dependent cleavage with or without prior phosphorylation. Phosphorylation-dependent cleavage, which requires proximity and an appropriate orientation of the peptide backbone to the tethered Fe-EDTA, was particularly prominent with conjugated NtrCD86C, in which the unique cysteine lies near the top of α-helix 4. Cleavage occurred outside the receiver domain itself and on the partner subunit of the derivatized monomer in an NtrC dimer. The results are commensurate with the hypothesis that α-helix 4 of the phosphorylated receiver domain of NtrC interacts with the beginning of the central domain for signal propagation. They imply that the phosphorylation-dependent interdomain and intermolecular interactions between the receiver domain of one subunit and the output domain of its partner subunit in an NtrC dimer precede—and may give rise to—the oligomerization needed for transcriptional activation.

The bacterial enhancer-binding protein nitrogen regulatory protein C (NtrC) is a response regulator of a two-component regulatory system (6, 21, 27, 30). Such systems, which dominate signal transduction in the bacteria, are composed minimally of a sensor-autokinase that senses ATP hydrolysis to the formation of open complexes (37). Upon phosphorylation, NtrC forms oligomers (likely to be octamers) that can hydrolyze ATP and couple the energy available from ATP hydrolysis to the formation of open complexes by σ54 holoenzyme (1, 31, 36, 42, 43). Oligomerization, ATP hydrolysis, and interaction with σ54 holoenzyme all appear to be functions of the central domain, which has been predicted to adopt a mononucleotide-binding fold (25). It is known that the phosphorylated receiver domain of NtrC must act positively on its central domain, because removing the receiver domain does not substitute for phosphorylation (10, 44). However, the mechanism of signal propagation has not been determined.

The isolated receiver domain of NtrC appears to remain monomeric even after phosphorylation (16, 40). To control the activity of the central domain positively, regions of the phosphorylated receiver domain are likely to interact with regions of the central domain to bring about the oligomerization required for ATP hydrolysis (10, 16, 40). Whether phosphorylation also affects contact with and/or energy coupling to σ54 holoenzyme is not known. It has been proposed that the interaction of the phosphorylated N-terminal domain of NtrC with the central domain may be with a long α-helix that precedes the mononucleotide-binding fold (25).

To identify interdomain interface regions involved in signal propagation in the NtrC protein from Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium, we have constructed mutant proteins carrying single cysteines, which can be derivatized with different sulphydryl-specific probes to study conformational changes (12). Unique cysteines were placed at three positions in the N-terminal domain, positions 86, 89, and 115, and one position at the beginning of the central domain domain, position 160. These were positions at which other amino acid substitutions had resulted in activity in the absence of phosphorylation (“constitutive” substitutions) (10). The single-cysteine-containing proteins retained good ability to activate transcription when phosphorylated but were not constitutively active (12). Both positions 86 and 89 lie in α-helix 4 (a4) of the receiver domain (40). Nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy indi-

* Corresponding author. Mailing address: Department of Plant and Microbial Biology, 111 Koshland Hall, no. 3102, University of California Berkeley, Berkeley, CA 94720-3102. Phone: (510) 643-9308. Fax: (510) 642-4995. E-mail: kustu@nature.berkeley.edu.
† Present address: CATCH Inc., Seattle, WA 98134.
‡ Present address: School of Agricultural Biotechnology, Seoul National University, Suwon 441-744, Korea.

0021-9193/00/$04.00+0

Copyright © 2000, American Society for Microbiology. All Rights Reserved.
cated that α4 underwent structural changes in mutant forms of the isolated N-terminal domain carrying constitutive substitutions at positions 86 and 89 (22). Hence, α4 was proposed to constitute at least part of the interdomain interface between the receiver and central domains.

We previously studied phosphorylation-dependent conformational changes in α4 of the receiver domain of NtrC by derivatizing proteins carrying single cysteine substitutions in this helix with a cysteine-specific nitroso spin label and monitoring the mobility of the nitroso side chain so introduced by electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) spectroscopy (1, 8). These studies indicated that there was a dramatic phosphorylation-dependent change which resulted in a large decrease in mobility of the nitroso side chain at position 86 (protein designated NtrC<sup>86C</sup>) and a similar lesser decrease at position 89. Decreases in the mobility of the nitroso side chain at these positions did not occur in the isolated, derivatized N-terminal receiver domain and apparently did not occur within a monomer of NtrC. However, EPR analysis could not give any information on where the interdomain interaction between α4 of the N-terminal domain and the remainder of the protein was occurring, and we were unable to determine whether the interaction occurred within a phosphorylated NtrC dimer or required the formation of larger oligomers.

To obtain information additional to that provided by EPR described previously (1, 22), we derivatized the unique cysteines at positions 86, 89, 115, and 160 of NtrC with the sulfhydryl-specific iron chelate derivative (5)-(1-[2-(bromocetamide)phenyl]-EDTA-Fe (Fe-BABE) (4, 11), 10) so that we could assess Fe-mediated cleavage of the peptide backbone (32–34). We found phosphorylation-dependent changes in the cleavage pattern for derivatized NtrC<sup>86C</sup> referred to as NtrC<sup>86CFe</sup>, that gave clear evidence of a contact outside the receiver domain of the protein. Additional studies employing a cysteine-specific biotin derivative of NtrC<sup>86C</sup> (called NtrC<sup>86CFeBio</sup>), which was used to form heterodimers with NtrC<sup>86CFe</sup>, allowed us to show that the phosphorylation-dependent cleavage was an intermolecular event. Finally, cleavage of NtrC<sup>86CFeBio</sup> at various concentrations allowed us to show that the phosphorylation-dependent cleavage appeared to occur within a dimer of NtrC rather than depending on the formation of larger oligomers.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Purification and conjugation of proteins.** All plasmids were constructed as described previously (22), and maltose-binding protein (MBP)-NtrC fusion proteins with single cysteine residues were purified as described previously (12). (MBP is fused to the N terminus of NtrC). The NtrC protein concentration was determined by measuring the UV absorbance at 280 nm in the presence of 6 M guanidine hydrochloride, using an extinction coefficient of 1.11 × 10<sup>3</sup> M<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup> for the MBP-NtrC fusion protein (7). Fe-BABE was synthesized as described previously (11). Conjugation with Fe-BABE was initiated by adding a stock solution of Fe-BABE in dimethyl sulfoxide to NtrC mutant proteins in conjugation buffer [50 mM 3-(N-morpholino)propanesulfonic acid (MOPS) (pH 8), 50 mM KCl, 5% (vol/vol) glycerol, 5 mM EDTA] to yield a final Fe-BABE concentration of 0.5 or 1 mM. The reaction was allowed to proceed for 90 min at room temperature. For biotinylation, a 12-fold molar excess of the cysteine-specific biotinylation reagent N-[(6-(biotinamido)hexyl)-3’-ethylidithio]pro-pionamide (biotin-HPDP, Pierce, Rockford, Ill.) in dimethyl formamide was added to the protein, and it was incubated over-night. Cleavage was quenched with 1% SDS and 1% β-mercaptoethanol.

**Chemical cleavage of NtrC at cysteine residues.** To produce marker fragments, the method of Jacobson et al. (13) was modified as follows to cleave NtrC<sup>86C</sup> at cysteine residues. The protein buffer was exchanged for 0.1 M MOPS (pH 8.5)–0.5 M urea, and the protein was then incubated for 2 h at 37°C. A fivefold molar excess of 2-nitro-5-thiocyanatobenzoic acid (NTCB; Aldrich, Milwaukee, Wis.) was added to the protein, and it was incubated over-night. NCB was incubated with 6× SDS, 1% sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) for protein cleavage and 1× SDS and 1% β-mercaptoethanol for control reactions. Where indicated, protein was phosphorylated by adding MgCl<sub>2</sub> and carbamoyl phosphate to final concentrations of 8 and 10 mM, respectively, and incubating the mixture at room temperature for 10 min before initiating cleavage. Under these conditions, NtrC was 50 to 100% phosphorylated as judged by mass spectrometry (J. Hwang and D. Yan, unpublished data).

**Chemical cleavage of NtrC at cysteine residues.** To produce marker fragments, the method of Jacobson et al. (13) was modified as follows to cleave NtrC<sup>86C</sup> at cysteine residues. The protein buffer was exchanged for 0.1 M MOPS (pH 8.5)–0.5 M urea, and the protein was then incubated for 2 h at 37°C. A fivefold molar excess of 2-nitro-5-thiocyanatobenzoic acid (NTCB; Aldrich, Milwaukee, Wis.) was added to the protein, and it was incubated over-night. Cleavage was quenched with 1% SDS and 1% β-mercaptoethanol.

**Separation and visualization of protein cleavage fragments.** Immediately upon being thawed, approximately 10 µg of cleaved protein was loaded on Laemmli SDS–7.5% polyacrylamide gels without a heating step. The gels were stained with 0.25% Coomassie blue and destained with 10% (vol/vol) methanol at 70 V for 45 min in a cold room (4°C). The molecular weight lanes were then cut from the blot membrane and stained with Fast Stain (Zoison, Newton, Mass.). Electroblotting (4 µg of protein per lane) was performed with polyvinylidene difluoride membranes and transfer buffer [10 mM 3-(Cyclohexylamino)-1-propanesulfonic acid (CAPS) (pH 11), 10% (vol/vol) methanol] at 70 V for 45 min in a cold room (4°C). The molecular weight lanes were then cut from the blot membrane and stained with Fast Stain. The blots were incubated with primary antibodies raised against MBP (New England Biolabs, Beverly, Mass.), NtrC, the N-terminal domain of NtrC (124 residues; Zymed, South San Francisco, Calif.), the C-terminal domain of NtrC (90 residues; Zymed), or the metal chelate (CH2A553 [35]; a kind gift from David Green, Yale University). The same amount (approximately 13 µg) of NtrC<sup>86CBio</sup> was cleaved at four different dilutions (10, 30, 100, and 300 µM; 7.5 µl), 2 µM (7.5 µl), 0.75 µM (7.5 µl), and 0.2 µM (375 µl). After phosphorylation of the protein, 80 mM stock solutions of hydrogen peroxide and sodium ascorbate were added to final peroxide and ascorbate concentrations of 10 mM each. At 10 s after the sequential addition of cleavage reagents, cleavage buffer was added to the samples at 10, 2, and 1 µM, to increase their volumes to 500 µl, the same as that of the most diluted sample (0.2 µM). All samples were then cleaved from 500 to −5 µl in Microcon 30 concentrators (Amicon, Beverly, Mass.) by centrifuging for 25 to 30 min. After the addition of 15 µl of 1.5% SDS sample buffer, concentrated proteins were collected by centrifuging for 3 min. The samples were then immediately loaded onto an SDS–polyacrylamide gel along with a control sample that was thawed just prior to loading. The control sample (10 µM) was prepared by phosphorylating and cleaving as above and freezing immediately after cleavage without the dilution and concentration steps. The intensities of the bands on the stained and dried gels were quantified with a Molecular Dynamics Personal Densitometer.

**Determination of the N-terminal sequence.** Amino-terminal sequencing was performed by automated Edman degradation using an Applied Biosystems 470A gas phase sequencer at the Protein Structure Laboratory, University of California, Davis, Calif., and was attempted on bands 2/2, 4, and 5 (see Results). If cleavage of a polypeptide backbone by a tethered iron chelate is hydrolytic (33), the free N terminus that is generated can be identified by Edman sequencing. By contrast, if cleavage is generated by radicals, the predominant products do not have a free primary amino group (29) and cannot be sequenced by automated Edman degradation.

**Quantification of cleavage fragments and activity on dilution of NtrC<sup>86C</sup>.** The same amount (approximately 13 µg) of NtrC<sup>86C</sup> was cleaved at four different dilutions (10, 30, 100, and 300 µM; 7.5 µl), 2 µM (7.5 µl), 0.75 µM (7.5 µl), and 0.2 µM (375 µl). After phosphorylation of the protein, 80 mM stock solutions of hydrogen peroxide and sodium ascorbate were added to final peroxide and ascorbate concentrations of 10 mM each. At 10 s after the sequential addition of cleavage reagents, cleavage buffer was added to the samples at 10, 2, and 1 µM, to increase their volumes to 500 µl, the same as that of the most diluted sample (0.2 µM). All samples were then cleaved from 500 to −5 µl in Microcon 30 concentrators (Amicon, Beverly, Mass.) by centrifuging for 25 to 30 min. After the addition of 15 µl of 1.5% SDS sample buffer, concentrated proteins were collected by centrifuging for 3 min. The samples were then immediately loaded onto an SDS–polyacrylamide gel along with a control sample that was thawed just prior to loading. The control sample (10 µM) was prepared by phosphorylating and cleaving as above and freezing immediately after cleavage without the dilution and concentration steps. The intensities of the bands on the stained and dried gels were quantified with a Molecular Dynamics Personal Densitometer.

**RESULTS**

Phosphorylation-dependent chemical cleavage of mutant NtrC proteins by Fe-EDTA chelates tethered to single cysteines in α4 of the N-terminal domain. To identify regions
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of NtrC that undergo a phosphorylation-dependent conformational change, protein cleavage experiments were performed with Fe-EDTA derivatives of mutant NtrC proteins (MBP fusion versions; 94 kDa) carrying single cysteine residues in positions described in the Introduction (NtrC<sup>D86CFe</sup>, NtrC<sup>V115CFe</sup>, NtrC<sup>S160FFe</sup>, NtrC<sup>D86CFe</sup>,<sup>444–469</sup> (lanes 1 to 8) was used as control for background impurities of NtrC<sup>444–469</sup>, which contains a single cysteine in α4 of the N-terminal domain, nor NtrC<sup>S160FFe</sup>, which contains a single cysteine at the beginning of the central domain of NtrC, yielded more than small amounts of cleavage products and these were unaffected by phosphorylation (data not shown).

When D86C was combined with the S160F constitutive substitution, the derivatized protein, NtrC<sup>D86CFe</sup>,<sup>S160FFe</sup>, yielded all five cleavage products characteristic of phosphorylated NtrC<sup>D86CFe</sup>, whether or not it was phosphorylated (Fig. 1B, lanes 4 and 5, respectively). When phosphorylated, it yielded slightly more of bands 1 and 5 (lane 4 versus lane 5), which depend on phosphorylation of NtrC<sup>D86CFe</sup>. These results differed from those obtained by EPR spectroscopy of NtrC<sup>D86CFe</sup>,<sup>S160FFe</sup> derivatized with a nitroxide spin label (12). In that case, a decrease in the mobility of the label observed upon phosphorylation of derivatized NtrC<sup>D86CFe</sup> also required phosphorylation of derivatized NtrC<sup>D86CFe</sup>,<sup>S160FFe</sup>. The earlier result was interpreted to mean that formation of active oligomers by a bypass mechanism which did not entail phosphorylation was not sufficient to yield a phosphorylation-dependent change in conformation. We speculate that the discrepancy between the two results may be due to a greater sensitivity of detecting Fe-mediated cleavage products than was possible by measuring a population-dependent change in the EPR spectrum.

**Assignment of cleavage products.** To determine the positions of the single phosphorylation-dependent cleavage and the two phosphorylation-independent cleavages in NtrC<sup>D86CFe</sup>, the six cleavage products yielded by NtrC<sup>D86CFe</sup> and by a C-terminally truncated form of the protein, NtrC<sup>D86CFe</sup>,<sup>444–469</sup> (24), were characterized immunologically (see below; summarized in Table 1). Hereafter, we refer to a pair of cleavage products as the N- and C-terminal cleavage fragments, respectively. The NtrC<sup>D86CFe</sup>,<sup>444–469</sup> protein, which is largely monomeric (~20% dimer at the concentrations used for cleavage [12, 17, 24, 37]), yielded only a small amount of band 5 upon phosphorylation (Fig. 1B, lane 6), commensurate with the view that the phosphorylation-dependent cleavage which yields bands 1 and 5 occurs between monomers of NtrC rather than within a single monomer (see below) (band 1 in the truncated protein was obscured by a contaminant). Because the truncated form of NtrC lacks the last 25 residues of the protein, C-terminal cleavage fragments should migrate faster on SDS-polyacrylamide gels, and this was the case for bands 4 and 5 (Fig. 1B,

---

**FIG. 1.** Cleavage products of various NtrC proteins. (A) NtrC<sup>D86CFe</sup> was cleaved with (lanes 1 to 3) or without (lanes 4 to 6) phosphorylation. (B) NtrC<sup>V115CFe</sup>, NtrC<sup>S160FFe</sup> (lanes 2 and 1), NtrC<sup>D86CFe</sup>,<sup>444–469</sup> (lanes 4 and 5), and NtrC<sup>D86CFe</sup>,<sup>444–469</sup>. Bands 1 and 5, whose combined size is close to that of full-length NtrC, provided evidence for a phosphorylation-dependent conformational change in NtrC that affected α4 of its N-terminal domain. To confirm that phosphorylation conditions were not sufficient to yield bands 1 and 5 but that phosphorylation of NtrC<sup>D86CFe</sup> was actually required, we showed that NtrC<sup>D54AA,D86CFe</sup>, which cannot be phosphorylated, did not yield these bands (data not shown). Rather, it yielded only bands 2, 2′, 3, and 4 under both nonphosphorylating and phosphorylation conditions. Control experiments in which NtrC<sup>D86CFe</sup> was treated with only hydrogen peroxide or ascorbate yielded only traces of cleavage products (Fig. 1A, lanes 2, 3, 5, and 6), as reported by Rana and Meares (32, 33). Under phosphorylation conditions, several percent of the total protein was cleaved and the cleavage fragments were easily detected on Coomassie blue-stained gels.

NtrC<sup>499CFe</sup>, which also contains a single cysteine in α4 of the N-terminal domain, showed similar cleavage patterns to NtrC<sup>D86CFe</sup> in both the absence and presence of phosphorylation (Fig. 1B, lanes 1 and 2, respectively), but the cleaved proteins were present in smaller amounts, indicating that cleavage was less efficient. Neither NtrC<sup>V115CFe</sup>, which contains a single cysteine in α5 of the N-terminal domain, nor NtrC<sup>S160FFe</sup>, which contains a single cysteine at the beginning of the central domain of NtrC, yielded more than small amounts of cleavage products and these were unaffected by phosphorylation (data not shown).

When D86C was combined with the S160F constitutive substitution, the derivatized protein, NtrC<sup>D86CFe</sup>,<sup>S160FFe</sup>, yielded all five cleavage products characteristic of phosphorylated NtrC<sup>D86CFe</sup>, whether or not it was phosphorylated (Fig. 1B, lanes 4 and 5, respectively). When phosphorylated, it yielded slightly more of bands 1 and 5 (lane 4 versus lane 5), which depend on phosphorylation of NtrC<sup>D86CFe</sup>. These results differed from those obtained by EPR spectroscopy of NtrC<sup>D86CFe</sup>,<sup>S160FFe</sup> derivatized with a nitroxide spin label (12). In that case, a decrease in the mobility of the label observed upon phosphorylation of derivatized NtrC<sup>D86CFe</sup> also required phosphorylation of derivatized NtrC<sup>D86CFe</sup>,<sup>S160FFe</sup>. The earlier result was interpreted to mean that formation of active oligomers by a bypass mechanism which did not entail phosphorylation was not sufficient to yield a phosphorylation-dependent change in conformation. We speculate that the discrepancy between the two results may be due to a greater sensitivity of detecting Fe-mediated cleavage products than was possible by measuring a population-dependent change in the EPR spectrum.
lane 6, indicated by stars) and also for band 2′ (indicated by a star), which was more difficult to see.

When cleavage products were probed by Western blotting with antibody directed against the C terminus of NtrC (last 90 residues) (Fig. 2B), bands 4 and 5 were detected from both full-length (lane 1) and C-terminally truncated NtrC proteins (lanes 2 and 3), as expected. In addition, one or both of the overlapping bands 2 and 2′ from full-length NtrC were detected whereas only band 2′ from the truncated protein was detected. Thus, bands 2′ and 4 appear to be C-terminal cleavage fragments from unphosphorylated NtrC (also seen when the protein is phosphorylated), whereas band 5 is a C-terminal fragment obtained only when the protein is phosphorylated. We were able to obtain an amino-terminal sequence of fragment 4 but not fragment 5 (see Materials and Methods). The sequence from band 4, QQGAFDY, corresponded to residues 95 to 101, indicating that the cleavage which gave rise to band 4 occurred between residues 94 and 95 of the N-terminal domain (Fig. 3). Because band 5 has a higher mobility than band 4, the cleavage which gave rise to it must have occurred downstream of residue 94.

Western blotting with antibodies directed against the entire N terminus of NtrC (residues 1 to 124) (Fig. 2A) detected bands 1, 2′, 3, and 4 from full-length NtrC \( NtrC^{D86CFe}_{444-469} \) (lane 1) and bands 2′, 3, and 4 from the truncated protein \( NtrC^{D86CFe}_{444-469} \) (lanes 2 and 3) (band 1 was obscured by a contaminant in the truncated protein). Band 1, which was detected only with antibodies directed against MBP, the N-terminal domain of NtrC (N-ter), the C-terminal domain of NtrC (C-ter), intact NtrC, or the metal chelate (CHA255) (see Materials and Methods). Antibodies directed against full-length NtrC detected all bands detected by other antibodies and no additional bands. The latter confirmed that there were no cleavage fragments that lacked both termini of NtrC.

Analysis was performed by Western blotting using antisera directed against MBP, the N-terminal domain of NtrC (N-ter), the C-terminal domain of NtrC (C-ter), intact NtrC, or the metal chelate (CHA255) (see Materials and Methods). Antibodies directed against full-length NtrC detected all bands detected by other antibodies and no additional bands. The latter confirmed that there were no cleavage fragments that lacked both termini of NtrC.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Band detected with:</th>
<th>Anti-MBP</th>
<th>Anti-N-ter</th>
<th>Anti-C-ter</th>
<th>Anti-metal chelate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1′</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2′</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( ^a \) Analysis was performed by Western blotting using antisera directed against MBP, the N-terminal domain of NtrC (N-ter), the C-terminal domain of NtrC (C-ter), intact NtrC, or the metal chelate (CHA255) (see Materials and Methods). Antibodies directed against full-length NtrC detected all bands detected by other antibodies and no additional bands. The latter confirmed that there were no cleavage fragments that lacked both termini of NtrC.

\( ^b \) Produced only when proteins were phosphorylated.

\( ^c \) Separated only in NtrC \( D86CFe^{444-469} \).
[summarized in Table 1 and Fig. 3]. Band 5, which was generated by the same cleavage that gave rise to band 1, was not detected by any of the N-terminally directed antibodies. Together with the size of band 1 (see below), immunological evidence was commensurate with the view that the cleavage that gave rise to bands 1 and 5 occurred outside the N-terminal domain of NtrC. Thus, the cleavage that occurred uniquely in phosphorylated NtrC apparently occurred outside its N-terminal domain.

Band 4, which is a C-terminal cleavage product (see the sequence above), was detected by antibodies directed against both termini of NtrC. Presumably, detection by antibodies directed against N-terminal domain were present in this band. As expected if this interpretation is correct, band 4 was not detected by antibodies directed against MBP or by antibodies directed against the iron chelate (data not shown; summarized in Table 1). Based on size, band 2 appears to be the N-terminal partner of band 4. As expected if this is the case, band 2 from the C-terminally truncated protein NtrCD86C\textsubscript{D444–469} was detected by antibodies directed against the N terminus of NtrC, by anti-MBP antibodies, and by antibodies directed against the iron chelate. Because band 2 overlapped with band 2’ in cleavage products generated from full-length NtrC, meaningful information about these bands could not be derived. Antibodies directed against both termini of NtrC, against MBP, and against the iron chelate reacted with this overlapped band.

Finally, bands 3 and 2’ appear to be N- and C-terminal partners, respectively, of a cleavage that occurs within the N-terminal domain of unphosphorylated NtrC (Fig. 2 and 3; Table 1). Band 3 is N-terminal was due to the fact that the cleavage which gave rise to band 4 occurred within the N-terminal domain (Fig. 3) and residues 95 to 124 of the N-terminal domain were present in this band. As expected if this interpretation is correct, band 4 was not detected by antibodies directed against MBP or by antibodies directed against the iron chelate (data not shown; summarized in Table 1). Based on size, band 2 appears to be the N-terminal partner of band 4. As expected if this is the case, band 2 from the C-terminally truncated protein NtrCD86C\textsubscript{D444–469} was detected by antibodies directed against the N terminus of NtrC, by anti-MBP antibodies, and by antibodies directed against the iron chelate. Because band 2 overlapped with band 2’ in cleavage products generated from full-length NtrC, meaningful information about these bands could not be derived. Antibodies directed against both termini of NtrC, against MBP, and against the iron chelate reacted with this overlapped band.

Evidence that the phosphorylation-dependent cleavage occurs within the monomers whereas phosphorylation-independent cleavages occur within a monomer. To determine whether the three cleavages giving rise to bands 1 and 5, bands 2 and 4, and bands 3 and 2’ occurred within a monomer or between monomers, we first assessed whether monomerization of NtrC by means of a C-terminal truncation [\textsubscript{D444–469}] affected the efficiencies with which these cleavages occurred. Whereas the C-terminal truncation had little effect on the intensities of bands 2, 3, and 4, it greatly decreased the intensity of band 5 (e.g., note the relative intensities of bands 3 and 4 generated from truncated and from intact NtrC in Fig. 1B, lanes 6 and 3, respectively). The intensity of band 1 generated from the truncated protein could not be assessed due to the presence of contaminants with similar mobility [Fig. 1B, lane 8]. Thus, the phosphorylation-independent cleavages occurred efficiently within an NtrC monomer whereas the phosphorylation-dependent cleavage did not. Residual phosphorylation-dependent cleavage of NtrCD86C\textsubscript{D444–469} is probably accounted for by residual dimerization.

To demonstrate directly that phosphorylation-dependent scission occurred between the monomers of an NtrC dimer, we formed heterodimers between NtrCD86C\textsubscript{D444–469} and the cysteine-specific biotin derivative NtrCD86C\textsubscript{Bio} (see Materials and Methods). A 2:1 ratio of the two proteins was used in the subunit exchange process (17) to produce heterodimers that contain one NtrCD86C\textsubscript{D444–469} subunit and one NtrCD86C\textsubscript{Bio} subunit (Fig. 4C), in addition to the two types of homodimers. After allowing phosphorylation-dependent cleavage and separating products on a gel, blotted cleavage products were analyzed with AP-streptavidin to detect fragments containing biotin, which can be generated only by intermolecular scission. Band 1 was detected, whereas bands 2’ and 2, which appear to be intramonomer scission products, were not (Fig. 4A, lane 2). Thus, the phosphorylation-dependent cleavage yielding band 1 is an intermolecular event. To demonstrate that the same cleavages occurred in populations containing heterodimers as in populations of NtrCD86C\textsubscript{D444–469} homodimers, we demonstrated that antibodies directed against the C terminus of NtrC detected bands 2’, 4, and 5 (Fig. 4B, lane 2).

Evidence that the phosphorylation-dependent cleavage occurs within a dimer. Because dimers of NtrC oligomerize upon phosphorylation, the intermolecular cleavage unique to the phosphorylated protein could have occurred within a dimer or alternatively could have required oligomer formation. To distinguish between these two possibilities, we assessed this cleavage as a function of the concentration of NtrCD86C\textsubscript{Bio} (Fig. 5).
control sample in lane 1 was not diluted and reconcentrated before being loaded on the gel (see Results and Materials and Methods). The concentrations between 10 and 0.2 \( \mu \text{M} \) was then diluted to the lowest concentration, 0.2 \( \mu \text{M} \). Equal amounts of the protein were cleaved at different concentrations tested for cleavage were assessed by gel filtration chromatography (10 and 1 \( \mu \text{M} \)) or by assay of the ATPase activity of the protein, which is a function of the amount of oligomer. The amount of oligomer decreased 4-fold between 10 and 1 \( \mu \text{M} \) protein (D. Yan, personal communication) and a further 14-fold between 1 and 0.2 \( \mu \text{M} \) (data not shown). Thus, the amount of oligomer decreased approximately 50-fold over the 50-fold range of concentrations tested whereas the ratio of phosphorylation-dependent to phosphorylation-independent cleavage decreased by less than 2-fold. Therefore, we infer that the phosphorylation-dependent cleavage is occurring within a dimer.

**DISCUSSION**

In the absence of structural information on either the phosphorylated receiver domain of NtrC or its central output domain, we derivatized single cysteine residues at strategic locations in the receiver domain with an Fe-EDTA chelate to explore the pathway of signal transduction between domains. We obtained evidence for a phosphorylation-dependent conformational change that brought the beginning of \( \alpha^4 \) (positions 86 and 89) of the receiver domain in one monomer into contact with (i.e., within ca. 12 Å of) (26) the end of the flexible linker region and the beginning of the central domain in the opposite monomer of a dimer (Fig. 6) (40). By analogy to the case for muscle and yeast glycogen phosphorylases (14, 19, 20), this intermolecular interaction may rearrange the dimer interface to promote phosphorylation-dependent oligomerization of NtrC. To explore the site of intermonomer interaction in more detail, we have placed single cysteine residues at 10 locations within the first long \( \alpha \)-helix in the central domain of NtrC, which has been postulated to be responsible for communication between the receiver domain and the remainder of the central domain (25). Interestingly, five of the cysteine substitutions appear to result in loss of transcriptional activation by NtrC in vivo (J. Lee, unpublished results), commensurate with the postulated role of this helix in signal propagation.

**NMR** spectra of the phosphorylated receiver domain of NtrC and of constitutive mutant forms with amino acid substitutions in \( \alpha^4 \) indicated that conformational changes occurred in the so-called 3445 face of the molecule (22). This face extends from \( \alpha^3 \) through \( \beta \)-strand 5 (B5). The recently obtained structure of the phosphorylated domain (16) indicates that there is a profound rearrangement of \( \alpha^4 \) that results in the formation of a solvent-exposed hydrophobic surface constituted by the side chains of L87, A90, and V91. In intact...
FIG. 6. Proposed model for phosphorylation-induced signal propagation in NtrC. A phosphorylation-dependent conformational change in α4 of the N-terminal (N-ter) receiver domain of NtrC (positions 86 and 89 at the beginning of the helix) results in a demonstrable contact with the beginning of the central domain and the end of the flexible interdomain linker in the opposite monomer of a dimer. This change in the dimer interface leads to the formation of active oligomers (probably octamers). The diagram, which is schematic, is not meant to imply a large interdomain movement of the entire N-terminal domain and the end of the flexible interdomain linker in the opposite monomer of the helix) results in a demonstrable contact with the beginning of the central domain and the end of the flexible interdomain linker in the opposite monomer of the helix. This change in the dimer interface leads to the formation of active oligomers (probably octamers). The diagram, which is schematic, is not meant to imply a large interdomain movement of the entire N-terminal domain and the end of the flexible interdomain linker in the opposite monomer of the helix. This change in the dimer interface leads to the formation of active oligomers (probably octamers).

NtrC, this surface, which is the one we have derivatized, presumably contacts the remainder of the protein (Fig. 6).

In the absence of phosphorylation, the iron chelate tethered at positions 86 and 89 of NtrC cleaved at two positions within the receiver domain of the protein (Fig. 3). One cleavage, which gave rise to bands 2 and 4 on SDS-polyacrylamide gels, lay at the end of α4 between residues 94 and 95 and was well defined because it was possible to obtain the amino-terminal sequence of band 4. Based on the NMR structure of the receiver domain of NtrC (40), the position of cleavage is some 12 Å distant from the site at which the chelator was placed, commensurate with the length of its spacer arm (26). The second cleavage, which gave rise to bands 3 and 2 occurred prior to position 86, apparently somewhere between residues 54 and 86 (Fig. 3).

There is considerable structural information on unphosphorylated receiver domains, all of which adopt the same general β5-α5 fold (2, 3, 5, 9, 38–41). However, there is virtually no structural information on their phosphorylated counterparts, and only two structures of unphosphorylated multidomain response regulators have been solved (2, 3, 5), the transcriptional regulator NarL and the methylesterase CheB, which regulates the adaptation phase of bacterial chemotaxis. In both cases, the structures revealed that the receiver domains block active sites in the output domains, and hence it is inferred that phosphorylation-induced conformational changes in the receiver domains disrupt inhibitory interdomain interactions (2, 3, 5).

For CheB, it has been proposed that α4 and the C-terminal ends of β5 and α5 of the receiver domain constitute the interdomain interface that blocks the catalytic site (5), whereas for NarL, the loops connecting α2 and β3, α3 and β4, and α4 and β5, i.e., a different surface, are implicated in blocking the helix-turn-helix DNA-binding motif (2, 5). It has recently been recognized that the phosphorylated receiver domain of CheB must play a positive role in stimulating methylesterase activity, in addition to its role in relieving inhibition caused by the unphosphorylated domain (5). Thus, as is true for NtrC, the phosphorylated receiver domain of CheB apparently forms a new contact with the remainder of the protein.
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