Evidence from Terminal Recombination Gradients that FtsK Uses Replichore Polarity To Control Chromosome Terminus Positioning at Division in *Escherichia coli*
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Chromosome dimers in *Escherichia coli* are resolved at the *dif* locus by two recombinases, XerC and XerD, and the septum-anchored FtsK protein. Chromosome dimer resolution (CDR) is subject to strong spatiotemporal control: it takes place at the time of cell division, and it requires the *dif* resolution site to be located at the junction between the two polarized chromosome arms or replichores. Failure of CDR results in trapping of DNA by the septum and RecABCD recombination (terminal recombination). We had proposed that *dif* sites of a dimer are first moved to the septum by mechanisms based on local polarity and that normally CDR then occurs as the septum closes. To determine whether FtsK plays a role in the mobilization process, as well as in the recombination reaction, we characterized terminal recombination in an *ftsK* mutant. The frequency of recombination at various points in the terminus region of the chromosome was measured and compared with the recombination frequency on a *xerC* mutant chromosome with respect to intensity, the region affected, and response to polarity distortion. The use of a prophage excision assay, which allows variation of the site of recombination and interference with local polarity, allowed us to find that cooperating FtsK-dependent and -independent processes localize *dif* at the septum and that DNA mobilization by FtsK is oriented by the polarity probably due to skewed sequence motifs of the mobilized material.

Though the architecture of the bacterial chromosome remains mysterious, the early proposal that it is established symmetrically on each replichore (31) has been supported recently by studies on the repair of the most frequent accident to befall circular chromosomes: the formation of a chromosome dimer by an odd number of recombinational exchanges between nascent chromosomes. Chromosome dimer resolution (CDR) takes place through the action of three proteins, the recombinases XerC and XerD and the septum-associated protein FtsK, on the resolution site *dif* (28 bp), located in the chromosome terminus (5, 6, 21, 35). Resolution takes place at the time of division and requires septum formation (34). It also requires that *dif* be located within a small zone of the terminus region, the DAZ (for *dif* activity zone [10, 22]).

Failure of CDR gives rise to several phenotypes, including an increase of 50- to 100-fold in recombination in the terminus region (11, 12, 25, 26). This terminal recombination reflects the extreme fragility of the *dif* region after inhibition of CDR, presumably a result of engulfment of the localized *dif* region by the closing septum. The recombination-stimulating events are, or culminate in, double-strand breaks, since terminal recombination is largely RecBCD dependent. Consistent with this scenario, trapping by septum of DNA joining sister nucleoids and DNA degradation near *dif* have been detected in CDR− mutants (18, 24, 30).

Genetic studies of the DAZ have revealed the role played by the polarity of the regions that flank *dif* in generating this domain. First, the “natural” orientation of ca. 30 kb on either side of *dif* must be maintained for *dif* to be active: inversions in these regions can be deleterious (29). Second, the presence near *dif* of the prophage λ in a certain orientation (which inverts on either sides of the site) inhibits the resolution process (12). The *dif* site maps in the region where the polarity of replichores generated by certain skewed oligonucleotides changes sign (8, 12). The skew of RGNAGGGS (or Rag) motifs is especially spectacular: these motifs are skewed everywhere on the chromosome (Table 1), but their skew is accentuated near *dif* since, along 360 kb to the left and 280 kb to the right of the site, 97% of these sequences are located on strands running 5′ to 3′ from *oriC* to *dif* (Table 1 and Fig. 1). Although there is no evidence that Rags are the determinants underlying the polarity phenomena described here, we assign them this role on a provisional basis on the grounds that they are the most promising candidates. Two arguments support this proposal: (i) the region of prophage λ responsible for the CDR inhibition effect harbors Rags skewed in a 10:1 proportion (J. Corre, unpublished results), and (ii) the regions flanking *dif* on the *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhi or serovar Typhimurium chromosomes have only little similarity with the *Escherichia coli* corresponding regions, although they display the same skew of Rag motifs as in *E. coli* (www.sanger.ac.uk).

One element that might play a key role in the spatiotemporal control of dimer resolution is the FtsK protein (35). FtsK is required for cell division, where its N-terminal transmembrane domain locks the protein in the septum (3, 14, 36) and serves to recruit other division proteins (9), as well as for nucleoid segregation (16, 24, 37) and for CDR (35), where its C-terminal domain is an essential participant in the XerCD-catalyzed resolution reaction (1, 2, 32).
dition to this role, we considered it possible that FtsK might play a second, prior role in CDR: that of guiding dif sites toward the ingrowing septum to ensure that synapsis can occur. Its similarity to SpoIIIE, which translocates DNA into the Bacillus subtilis prespore (17) made it an attractive candidate for such a role, and indeed the functional C-terminal fragment, FtsKc, has recently been shown also to translate on DNA (1). Our preliminary observations indicated that an ftsK mutation that eliminates the C-terminal domain results in a high rate of recombination in the terminus (12). A recent observation in this laboratory has indicated that when the normal XerCD-dif system is replaced by Cre-LoxP, efficient CDR requires not only that LoxP be located within the DAZ but also that the bacteria be FtsK+, even though FtsK is not needed for Cre-LoxP recombination (8a). FtsK must therefore be involved in the control of the regional constraints characterizing CDR.

Consequently, we have further examined the role of FtsK as a motor that drives the DNA movements needed for CDR by measuring the effect of an ftsK mutation on terminal recombination. If an ftsK mutation inhibits only Xer recombination while allowing normal dif positioning, recombinogenic lesions will occur near dif only, so that terminal recombination in this ftsK mutant will be indistinguishable from terminal recombination in an xerC mutant. If, on the other hand, FtsK is needed for positioning of dif prior to Xer recombination, the closing septum will trap DNA from a much wider region of the mutant chromosome, thus modifying the gradient of terminal recombination as a function of chromosomal position. The comparison of terminal recombination in an ftsK mutant with that in an xerC mutant indicates that two positioning processes, one FtsK dependent and one FtsK independent, cooperate to localize dif under the septum. Furthermore, because our assay can provoke interference with local polarity, these results provide evidence that FtsK acts in a polarity-sensitive process.

### Table 1. Distribution of Rag motifs in different chromosome regions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region (min)</th>
<th>No. of Rag motifs</th>
<th>No. of anti-Rags in series of:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Anti</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0–10</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10–20</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20–30</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30–40</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40–50</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50–60</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60–70</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70–80</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80–90</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90–100</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1,570</td>
<td>288</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*On the sequence of the E. coli chromosome (7), a Rag motif is found every 3.3 kb on average, and the average skew is 82.4%. The skew shift is neat at dif (Fig. 1) but is less clear at oriC (not shown). For about 640 kb, 360 to the left of dif and 280 to the right (26.5 to 40.5 min on the genetic map), polarization is extreme; this region harbors 230 Rags with only 7 (3%) in antiorientation. The occurrence of anti-Rag series outside the highly polarized region is compatible with random distribution: a blind drawing of 1,340 Rags among an infinite population of iso-Rag and anti-Rag in the same proportions as in the chromosome (outside the highly polarized TER region), yields numbers of anti-Rag series close to 41 doublets, 9 triplets, and 3 tetrads. This is not very different from the actual distribution, except that the probability of occurrence of the series of 5 and 6 is low.

*See Materials and Methods for discussion of the nomenclature. By “series” is meant a succession of anti-Rags uninterrupted by an iso-Rag in the sequence of the region considered.

*dif at 34 min.

*c dif at 34 min.

*d oriC at 84 min.

The positions of replication terminators TerC and TerB are indicated. Vertical lines indicate the positions and orientations of Rag (5'-RGNA GGGS-3') and Chi elements (5'-GCTGGTGG-3'), based on the published sequence of E. coli (7). Chi stimulates RecBCD recombinase activity when the complex arrives at GCTGGTGG from the 3' end (33).
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RESULTS

How DNA lesions due to CDR inactivation lead to terminal recombination. Terminal recombination is not a normal consequence of failed CDR but rather is an imposed consequence of the assay system that we use to detect fragility in the terminus region. When CDR is inactive, the septum traps a chromosome dimer and isolates the nucleoids from each other. This results, by mechanisms currently unknown, in DNA lesions that can stimulate recombination. Detection of these events by genetic means implies that a circular monomer chromosome is regenerated by homologous recombination. This seldom occurs on normal chromosomes since xer mutants that harbor an unresolved dimer usually are doomed (18). The observation suggests that the monomer units of chromosome dimers are normally distributed evenly about the dif sites located at the septum, so that absence of terminal diploidy in either sister cell precludes repair recombination (Fig. 3A). A small overlap restricted to the region devoid of Chi sites near dif (Fig. 1) may occur, however, as suggested by the observation that a recD mutation improves viability of a xerC mutant (30). Apart from this minor contribution, we only detect terminal recombination because the λ prophage of our assay induces uneven distribution of the terminus DNA between sister cells (Fig. 3B). This facilitates homology searching, thus helping cells to recover viability.

The polar effect of the prophage is easily detected in xer mutants (12) and is recalled in Fig. 4, in which curve I shows the frequency of terminal recombination in strains carrying iso-oriented prophages and curve III shows the equivalent data for antioriented prophages. Although the frequency of chromosomal dimer trapping and of resulting DNA lesions is presumably the same in all xerC2 mutant strains, clearly, the ability to repair these lesions depends on prophage orientation and position since curve I is always below curve III, except at dif. This can be explained on the basis of a polarity-dependent positioning mechanism. When the prophage maps at or near dif, the positioning mechanism sets the prophage under the septum, so that the situation depicted in Fig. 3C is generated: recombination-stimulating events occur within the prophage, and the chromosomes can then be repaired in either or both cells by recombination between prophage flanking regions, with loss of the prophage and generation of the temperature-resistant cells that we select. This occurs irrespective of prophage orientation: both curves rise to the same maximum of terminal recombination when the prophage is inserted at dif (Fig. 4). If the prophage is displaced away from dif, the prob-
RecBCD enzyme, responsible for the repair, encounters the stimulat-
place prophage DNA under the septum. In the example depicted
(C) When the prophage maps at or near
elements in the cell to the left, while the cell to the right is doomed.
by homologous recombination between the indicated Tes and Tek
sequences can be used to generate a prophage-cured circular monomer
in septal trapping of DNA distant from
junction of an antioriented prophage and the chromosome can result
recombination to yield a circular monomer in either of the daughter
of double-strand ends. The absence of overlap leaves no possibility for
monomer chromosome.

ability of chromosome repair becomes dependent on prophage
orientation, in a way consistent with the models of Fig. 3A and
B. It remains elevated when prophages are antioriented but
decreases rapidly when prophages are iso-oriented (compare
curves I and III in Fig. 4). Terminal recombination is detect-
able with iso-oriented prophages located some distance from
dif (curve I; see also Table 2), probably because neither the
prophage nor the flanking repeats are as polarized as the terminus
(Fig. 1) so that chromosome termini in these strains
may not be as evenly distributed as depicted in Fig. 3A. Never-
theless, the prophage excision assay is a system of choice for de-
tecting alteration of the polarity dependence of dif positioning.

Inactivation of the FtsK C-terminal domain alters terminus
positioning and suppresses polar effects. The ftsK1::cat allele encodes an N-terminal domain that is functional in cell division
and part of the linker domain but not the C-terminal domain
which acts in CDR (14). It was introduced by phage P1 trans-
duction into an isogenic family of CDR mutant strains carrying iso-
oriented and antioriented prophages. This introduction always
resulted in filamentation and/or chain formation of a fraction
of the bacteria, confirming inactivation of CDR by the muta-
tion. The frequency of prophage excision in the resulting
strains was then measured by assaying for thermoresistant der-
ivatives (see Materials and Methods). The results (curve II in
Fig. 3) show that excisive recombination, while at least 10-fold
higher in the terminus than at the control positions outside this
region (lacZ and tna) is lower by an order of magnitude than
in the xerC2 mutant, at least near dif. The drawing of curve II
(Fig. 3) does not take into account the fact that excision fre-
quencies observed at position zdc338 were two- to threefold
lower than at neighbor positions. This phenomenon was not
observed in deletion mutants of this region and is unrelated
to dimer resolution, since the whole region (except dif) is dispens-
able for CDR (10, 22). It has not been yet further analyzed.
The ftsK1 mutation also resulted in elevated levels of prophage
excision over an extended region (ca. 300 kb) in contrast to the
narrow zone affected with iso-oriented prophage in the xerC2 mutant. Moreover, frequencies of cured derivatives were inde-
pendent of prophage orientation, including for several posi-
tions at which both prophage orientations were assayed. These
observations show that, in the absence of the cytoplasmic do-
main of FtsK, (i) the terminus is submitted to elevated recom-
bination activity, thus confirming our previous report (12), and
(ii) terminus fragility affects an expanded domain without re-
gard to polarity. This implies that FtsK+ controls the size of
the region submitted to trapping and imposes the polarity
constraints.

Alteration of the same process triggers terminal recombi-
nation in ftsK and in xerC mutants, and repair requires
RecBCD. Fragility due to inactivation of FtsK and that due to
absence of XerC should be related, since both factors are
needed for CDR. To examine this prediction, we constructed
xerC2 ftsK1 double mutants and measured their capacity for
prophage excision at two positions. Excision frequencies of the
double mutants were about the same as those of the equivalent
ftsK1 single mutants (Table 2), supporting the view that both
genes are involved in the same pathway. Furthermore, with
antioriented prophages, the double mutant displayed lower
excision rates than the single xerC2 mutant. The ftsK1 mutation
is thus epistatic on a xerC2 mutation, indicating that FtsK has,
in addition to its role in Xer recombination, other functions in
the resolution pathway and that these functions operate before
Xer recombination.

RecBCD is an actor in all processes of hyperrecombination
in the terminus described thus far (11, 12, 19). This is also the
case for ftsK1-induced fragility, since the frequency of pro-
phage curing is strongly decreased when recB and recC genes
are deleted (Table 2). Thus, the recombination-stimulating events occurring in the ftsK1 mutant produce double-strand
ends, as in other situations leading to terminus fragility. These
double-strand ends may result from guillotining (the trapped
DNA is broken) or garroting (the next replication forks arriv-
ing in the trapped region are stopped, and subsequently nascent strands are extruded and anneal).

**DISCUSSION**

Our major observations are as follows: (i) inactivation of the *ftsK* C-terminal domain makes the terminus fragile; (ii) terminus fragility due to *ftsK* mutation has the same cause as that of *xer* mutants, most probably the processing of unresolved dimer chromosomes; and (iii) the role of replichore polarity in terminus fragility seen in FtsK+ cells is not observed in the absence of the *ftsK* C-terminal domain.

When terminus positioning is near normal but CDR is inactivated—the situation corresponding to curve I of Fig. 4—trapping occurs at or near *dif*, so that the consequences of aborted CDR are detected only in the vicinity of *dif*. The lower but much broader profile of terminal recombination in the *ftsK1* mutant (curve II in Fig. 4) suggests that the fragile region is much less accurately determined in this mutant, although clearly still belonging to the terminus. A straightforward interpretation is that positioning of the terminus is the result of two successive processes. The first is a coarse positioning independent of FtsK, reflected by curve II, that accompanies or follows postreplication reconstruction of nucleoid structure. This positioning is not precise enough to allow efficient resolution at *dif*, but it is sufficient to situate the DNA that links the nucleoids in the terminus region. The second mechanism is a fine-tuning involving FtsK that positions the *dif* sites close to each other under the septum, so that CDR can take place or, if CDR fails, so that recombinational rescue is restricted to a limited region near *dif*. Coarse positioning might be passive, a mere consequence of FtsK activity involving FtsK that positions the terminus being replicated by a machinery anchored in the center of the cell where the septum will eventually form (13, 23), accompanied by sequential compaction of nascent DNA into two nucleoids. In this model, the links between nucleoids would “naturally” be confined to the terminus. Alternatively, the retention of a terminus macrodomain (TER region; 20 to 23), accompanied by sequential compaction of nascent DNA into two nucleoids. In this model, the links between nucleoids would “naturally” be confined to the terminus. Alternatively, the retention of a terminus macrodomain (TER region; 20 to 23), accompanied by sequential compaction of nascent DNA into two nucleoids. In this model, the links between nucleoids would “naturally” be confined to the terminus. Alternatively, the retention of a terminus macrodomain (TER region; 20 to 23), accompanied by sequential compaction of nascent DNA into two nucleoids. In this model, the links between nucleoids would “naturally” be confined to the terminus. Alternatively, the retention of a terminus macrodomain (TER region; 20 to 23), accompanied by sequential compaction of nascent DNA into two nucleoids. In this model, the links between nucleoids would “naturally” be confined to the terminus. Alternatively, the retention of a terminus macrodomain (TER region; 20 to 23), accompanied by sequential compaction of nascent DNA into two nucleoids. In this model, the links between nucleoids would “naturally” be confined to the terminus. Alternatively, the retention of a terminus macrodomain (TER region; 20 to 23), accompanied by sequential compaction of nascent DNA into two nucleoids. In this model, the links between nucleoids would “naturally” be confined to the terminus. Alternatively, the retention of a terminus macrodomain (TER region; 20 to 23), accompanied by sequential compaction of nascent DNA into two nucleoids. In this model, the links between nucleoids would “naturally” be confined to the terminus. Alternatively, the retention of a terminus macrodomain (TER region; 20 to 23), accompanied by sequential compaction of nascent DNA into two nucleoids. In this model, the links between nucleoids would “naturally” be confined to the terminus. Alternatively, the retention of a terminus macrodomain (TER region; 20 to 23), accompanied by sequential compaction of nascent DNA into two nucleoids. In this model, the links between nucleoids would “naturally” be confined to the terminus. Alternatively, the retention of a terminus macrodomain (TER region; 20 to 23), accompanied by sequential compaction of nascent DNA into two nucleoids. In this model, the links between nucleoids would “naturally” be confined to the terminus. Alternatively, the retention of a terminus macrodomain (TER region; 20 to 23), accompanied by sequential compaction of nascent DNA into two nucleoids. In this model, the links between nucleoids would “naturally” be confined to the terminus. Alternatively, the retention of a terminus macrodomain (TER region; 20 to 23), accompanied by sequential compaction of nascent DNA into two nucleoids. In this model, the links between nucleoids would “naturally” be confined to the terminus. Alternatively, the retention of a terminus macrodomain (TER region; 20 to 23), accompanied by sequential compaction of nascent DNA into two nucleoids. In this model, the links between nucleoids would “naturally” be confined to the terminus. Alternatively, the retention of a terminus macrodomain (TER region; 20 to 23), accompanied by sequential compaction of nascent DNA into two nucleoids. In this model, the links between nucleoids would “naturally” be confined to the terminus. Alternatively, the retention of a terminus macrodomain (TER region; 20 to 23), accompanied by sequential compaction of nascent DNA into two nucleoids. In this model, the links between nucleoids would “naturally” be confined to the terminus. Alternatively, the retention of a terminus macrodomain (TER region; 20 to 23), accompanied by sequential compaction of nascent DNA into two nucleoids. In this model, the links between nucleoids would “naturally” be confined to the terminus. Alternatively, the retention of a terminus macrodomain (TER region; 20 to 23), accompanied by sequential compaction of nascent DNA into two nucleoids. In this model, the links between nucleoids would “naturally” be confined to the terminus. Alternatively, the retention of a terminus macrodomain (TER region; 20 to 23), accompanied by sequential compaction of nascent DNA into two nucleoids. In this model, the links between nucleoids would “naturally” be confined to the terminus. Alternatively, the retention of a terminus macrodomain (TER region; 20 to 23), accompanied by sequential compaction of nascent DNA into two nucleoids. In this model, the links between nucleoids would “naturally” be confined to the terminus. Alternatively, the retention of a terminus macrodomain (TER region; 20 to 23), accompanied by sequential compaction of nascent DNA into two nucleoids. In this model, the links between nucleoids would “naturally” be confined to the terminus. Alternatively, the retention of a terminus macrodomain (TER region; 20 to 23), accompanied by sequential compaction of nascent DNA into two nucleoids. In this model, the links between nucleoids would “naturally” be confined to the terminus. Alternatively, the retention of a terminus macrodomain (TER region; 20 to 23), accompanied by sequential compaction of nascent DNA into two nucleoids. In this model, the links between nucleoids would “naturally” be confined to the terminus. Alternatively, the retention of a terminus macrodomain (TER region; 20 to 23), accompanied by sequential compaction of nascent DNA into two nucleoids. In this model, the links between nucleoids would “naturally” be confined to the terminus. Alternatively, the retention of a terminus macrodomain (TER region; 20 to 23), accompanied by sequential compaction of nascent DNA into two nucleoids. In this model, the links between nucleoids would “naturally” be confined to the terminus. Alternatively, the retention of a terminus macrodomain (TER region; 20 to 23), accompanied by sequential compaction of nascent DNA into two nucleoids. In this model, the links between nucleoids would “naturally” be confined to the terminus. Alternatively, the retention of a terminus macrodomain (TER region; 20 to 23), accompanied by sequential compaction of nascent DNA into two nucleoids. In this model, the links between nucleoids would “naturally” be confined to the terminus. Alternatively, the retention of a terminus macrodomain (TER region; 20 to 23), accompanied by sequential compaction of nascent DNA into two nucleoids. In this model, the links between nucleoids would “naturally” be confined to the terminus. Alternatively, the retention of a terminus macrodomain (TER region; 20 to 23), accompanied by sequential compaction of nascent DNA into two nucleoids. In this model, the links between nucleoids would “naturally” be confined to the terminus. Alternatively, the retention of a terminus macrodomain (TER region; 20 to 23), accompanied by sequential compaction of nascent DNA into two nucleoids. In this model, the links between nucleoids would “naturally” be confined to the terminus. Alternatively, the retention of a terminus macrodomain (TER region; 20 to 23), accompanied by sequential compaction of nascent DNA into two nucleoids. In this model, the links between nucleoids would “naturally” be confined to the terminus. Alternatively, the retention of a terminus macrodomain (TER region; 20 to 23), accompanied by sequential compaction of nascent DNA into two nucleoids. In this model, the links between nucleoids would “naturally” be confined to the terminus. Alternatively, the retention of a terminus macrodomain (TER region; 20 to 23), accompanied by sequential compaction of nascent DNA into two nucleoids. In this model, the links between nucleoids would “naturally” be confined to the terminus. Alternatively, the retention of a terminus macrodomain (TER region; 20 to 23), accompanied by sequential compaction of nascent DNA into two nucleoids. In this model, the links between nucleoids would “naturally” be confined to the terminus. Alternatively, the retention of a terminus macrodomain (TER region; 20 to 23), accompanied by sequential compaction of nascent DNA into two nucleoids. In this model, the links between nucleoids would “naturally” be confined to the terminus. Alternatively, the retention of a terminus macrodomain (TER region; 20 to 23), accompanied by sequential compaction of nascent DNA into two nucleoids. In this model, the links between nucleoids would “naturally” be confined to the terminus. Alternatively, the retention of a terminus macrodomain (TER region; 20 to 23), accompanied by sequential compaction of nascent DNA into two nucleoids. In this model, the links between nucleoids would “naturally” be confined to the terminus. Alternatively, the retention of a terminus macrodomain (TER region; 20 to 23), accompanied by sequential compaction of nascent DNA into two nucleoids.

**TABLE 2. Genetic controls on terminal recombination at two positions**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Relevant genotype</th>
<th>Probe anti</th>
<th>Probe iso</th>
<th>Probe anti</th>
<th>Probe iso</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>zdc10</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wild type</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.4 × 10^{-3}</td>
<td>5.7 × 10^{-2}</td>
<td>1.4 × 10^{-2}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>xerC2</td>
<td>1.2 × 10^{-2}</td>
<td>3.2 × 10^{-3}</td>
<td>3.8 × 10^{-2}</td>
<td>1.0 × 10^{-2}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>ftsK1::cat</em></td>
<td>8.1 × 10^{-3}</td>
<td>8.6 × 10^{-3}</td>
<td>1.2 × 10^{-2}</td>
<td>1.2 × 10^{-2}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>ftsK1::cat</em></td>
<td>6.2 × 10^{-3}</td>
<td>8.3 × 10^{-3}</td>
<td>1.4 × 10^{-2}</td>
<td>1.4 × 10^{-2}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The frequencies of temperature-resistant (Tr) cured bacteria were determined about 30 generations after the initial single-cell isolation. Average values are presented. Probe anti, the prophage λTSK is inserted in antiorientation; Probe iso, the prophage λTSK is inserted in iso-orientation. ND, not determined.*
30% of the chromosome) in the vicinity of the future septum may be determined actively and specifically. This possibility is supported by cytological analyses of the terminus (20, 28). Experiments now in progress that are aimed at determining whether relocation of the region where replication forks collide causes a corresponding displacement of the region of elevated excision should shed light on this issue.

A remarkable finding is that terminus fragility is indifferent to prophage orientation in ftsK1 mutants, in contrast to the observation that in xerC mutants terminal recombination is a function of prophage orientation (Fig. 4 and Table 2). The conclusion is that the polarity-sensitive positioning mechanism must be FtsK dependent. How FtsK reads and uses DNA polarity furnished by Rags or other similarly skewed elements, to mobilize DNA is unknown. Polar elements may act as inhibitors or as activators of the sliding of DNA through the FtsK-based edifice built at the septum. In either case, the direction of DNA movement under the closing septum would be dictated by the mobilized material itself.

Does FtsK have a role beyond ensuring the resolution of dimers? For example, the FtsK-dependent positioning process may help preclude accidental trapping of DNA by the septum. This could happen when a DNA loop is extruded past the septum into the opposite cell compartment. An FtsK ring might form on each thread of DNA passing through the septum and move the intruding loop in the direction dictated by the skewed elements. As shown in Fig. 5, the final result would be elimination of the loop and positioning of dif under the septum (an epiphenomenon in the case of a monomer chromosome). This again illustrates the interest for the cell in possessing a mobilization system in which the direction of DNA movement is dictated only by elements belonging to the mobilized material. The 14-min region from 26.5 to 40.5 min is characterized by a very low frequency of anti-Rags (Table 1). The strong polarity of Rag motifs in the TER region has perhaps evolved to combat the trapping of material that is forced by the chromosome or cell architecture to remain close to the septum. Outside the strongly polarized TER region, intrusion loops, if formed, might also be destroyed by the FtsK-dependent mobilization process. In this case, anti-Rag clusters would have the task of limiting the journey of a loop toward dif. The occurrence of such clusters is, however, consistent with random choice, suggesting that they have not been favored by selection (Table 1).

In the relatively short time that has elapsed since the discovery of FtsK, studies of this protein have proved remarkably fruitful, as highlighted by a recent review (15). The protein constitutes a keystone of the cell division process. Its ability to coordinate chromosome segregation and cell division bears witness to a versatility that is underscored by its skill in processing DNA: it translocates DNA, it modulates the synapse between dif sites and, as shown here, it even reads DNA and interprets its polarity. Further insights may come from isolation of ftsK mutations specifically affected either in the positioning step or in Xer recombination.
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