Differential Mechanisms of Binding of Anti-Sigma Factors *Escherichia coli* Rsd and Bacteriophage T4 AsiA to *E. coli* RNA Polymerase Lead to Diverse Physiological Consequences
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Anti-sigma factors *Escherichia coli* Rsd and bacteriophage T4 AsiA bind to the essential housekeeping sigma factor, σ70, of *E. coli*. Though both factors are known to interact with the C-terminal region of σ70, the physiological consequences of these interactions are very different. This study was undertaken for the purpose of deciphering the mechanisms by which *E. coli* Rsd and bacteriophage T4 AsiA inhibit or modulate the activity of *E. coli* RNA polymerase, which leads to the inhibition of *E. coli* cell growth to different amounts. It was found that AsiA is the more potent inhibitor of in vivo transcription and thus causes higher inhibition of *E. coli* cell growth. Measurements of affinity constants by surface plasmon resonance experiments showed that Rsd and AsiA bind to σ70 with similar affinity. Data obtained from in vivo and in vitro binding experiments clearly demonstrated that the major difference between AsiA and Rsd is the ability of AsiA to form a stable ternary complex with RNA polymerase. The binding patterns of AsiA and Rsd with σ70 studied by using the yeast two-hybrid system revealed that region 4 of σ70 is involved in binding to both of these anti-sigma factors; however, Rsd interacts with other regions of σ70 as well. Taken together, these results suggest that the higher inhibition of *E. coli* growth by AsiA expression is probably due to the ability of the AsiA protein to trap the holoenzyme RNA polymerase rather than its higher binding affinity to σ70.

Anti-sigma proteins play an important role in regulating gene expression in bacteria. A number of anti-sigma factors have been reported in the literature (for reviews, see references 4, 14, and 15). A majority of the known anti-sigma factors bind to alternate sigma factors and thus bring about changes in transcription profiles and help the organisms to adapt to new environmental conditions. Bacteriophage T4 AsiA and *Escherichia coli* Rsd are two anti-sigma factors that bind to the housekeeping sigma factor σ70 of *E. coli*. AsiA is a 10-kDa protein (3, 23) which, in addition to acting as an anti-sigma factor, also acts as a positive activator of T4 middle gene transcription (24). However, the expression of AsiA in *E. coli* results in the inhibition of transcription, which leads to the inhibition of cell growth. The other anti-sigma factor, *E. coli* Rsd, was identified by Jishage and Ishihama (17) as a stationary-phase protein. It has been proposed that by sequestering σ70 of *E. coli*, this protein promotes the transcription of stationary-phase genes by favoring the association of core RNA polymerase (RNAP) with σ38 of *E. coli* (17, 22). It has also been shown that purified Rsd inhibits the in vitro transcription from selected *E. coli* promoters to various degrees (17).

Both AsiA and Rsd have been shown to interact with region 4 of σ70. Nuclear magnetic resonance studies (19) and analyses of the in vivo interaction of AsiA with truncated σ70 fragments (29) have shown that both region 4.1 and 4.2 are involved in this interaction. Initial studies of Rsd binding to *E. coli* σ70 had suggested that this interaction is limited to region 4.2 (18), but subsequent studies found that region 4.1 is also involved in the interaction (12, 26, 33). Electrospray ionization mass spectrometry analysis (16) showed that in addition to binding to σ70, Rsd could also associate with core RNAP. Pineda et al. (26), using the bacterial two-hybrid system, in vitro transcription assays, and bioinformatics analysis, concluded that AsiA and Rsd belong to the same family of proteins and have similar mechanisms of action. However, the very recently reported crystal structure of the Rsd-σ70 complex does not support this view (25).

Since σ70 activity is essential for growth and survival of *E. coli*, inhibition of this sigma factor is expected to be lethal. As both Rsd and AsiA bind to σ70, we were interested in analyzing the effects of expression of these anti-sigma factors on in vivo transcription and growth of *E. coli*. To understand the molecular basis of their differential inhibitory properties, we studied the mechanisms of interaction of these two anti-sigma factors, with σ70 and holoenzyme (holo) RNAP. In addition, we estimated their affinities of binding to σ70. We propose that because of differences in their mechanisms of binding to RNAP, these proteins can modulate the inhibition of transcription to different levels inside the *E. coli* cell.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Bacterial and Saccharomyces cerevisiae culture conditions.** The culture conditions were routinely followed as reported previously (28).

**DNA amplification.** All of the DNA amplification reactions were carried out under the following temperature conditions using high-fidelity Expand (Roche) or Phusion (Finnzyme) DNA polymerases: denaturation at 94°C for 30 s, annealing at 55°C for 30 s, and extension at 72°C for 1 min. The amplification cycle was repeated 25 times. The DNA sequences of the PCR-amplified fragments were confirmed by sequencing reactions performed by Microsynth (Switzerland).
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**TABLE 1. Characteristics of microbial strains and plasmids used in this study**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain or plasmid used in this study</th>
<th>Relevant features</th>
<th>Source or reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Strains</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. coli DH5α</td>
<td>endA1 hsdR17 supE44 recA1 relA1 (lacZYA-argF)</td>
<td>Laboratory stock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. coli BL26(DE3)</td>
<td>F- ompT hsdS dcm lacY17 RNA polymerase expression host</td>
<td>Laboratory stock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. cerevisiae SFY526</td>
<td>MATα  ura3-52 his3-200 ade2-101 lys2-801 1 leu2-3  can1 gal4-542 gal80-538 gal-lacZ</td>
<td>Clontech</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Plasmids</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pARC499</td>
<td>Derivative of pGEX3X with NcoI and BglII sites</td>
<td>Laboratory stock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRSETB</td>
<td>E. coli expression vector for making N-terminal His fusions</td>
<td>Laboratory stock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pGBT9</td>
<td>E. coli and yeast shuttle vector for making translational fusions with the binding domain of Gal4</td>
<td>Clontech</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pGAD424</td>
<td>E. coli and yeast shuttle vector for making translational fusions with the AD of Gal4</td>
<td>Clontech</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pARC8112</td>
<td>epsD of E. coli cloned into the EcoRI-BglII sites of pTe39pA</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pARC8180</td>
<td>GST-AsiA fusion in the yeast expression vector pSW6</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pARC8257</td>
<td>pGAD424 derivative with an NcoI site</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pARC8336</td>
<td>Rsd gene behind the T7 promoter of pET11dKm</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pARC8337</td>
<td>GST-Rsd fusion in pARC499</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pARC8338</td>
<td>His-Rsd fusion in pRSETB</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pARC8339</td>
<td>AD-Rsd fusion in pGAD424</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pARC8342</td>
<td>GST-Rsd fusion in yeast expression vector pSW6</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*AD, activation domain.*

**Plasmid constructs.** The plasmid DNA constructs used in this study are listed in Table 1. The σ70 constructs used in yeast two-hybrid (YTH) studies have been described previously (27). The Rsd gene was amplified from E. coli genomic DNA, using the forward primer sequence 5′-ACTACCATGTTAACCAGCTCGATAAC-3′ and the reverse primer sequence 5′-ACTGGATCCTTAAGCA-3′. The amplified PCR product was cloned into the NcoI-BamHI sites of pET11dKm to obtain pARC8336. For making the glutathione S-transferase (GST)-Rsd fusion, a 0.48 kb NcoI-BamHI fragment from pARC8336 was cloned into the NcoI-BglII sites of pARC499 to generate pARC8337. For constructing a His-Rsd fusion, an NcoI-HindIII fragment from pARC8336 was cloned into similar sites of pRSETB to obtain pARC8339. The activation domain (AD)-Rsd fusion was generated by cloning an NcoI-BamHI fragment from pARC8336 into similar sites of pARC8257 and named pARC8339. The GST-Rsd construct pARC8342, used for expression in yeast, was generated by cloning the NcoI-HindIII fragment from pARC8337 into similar sites of pARC8180.

**Analysis of growth inhibition and quantification of protein expression.** The recombinant plasmids expressing Rsd, AsiA, GST-Rsd, and GST-AsiA were transformed into E. coli BL26(DE3), and plated on 0 to 100 μM isopropyl-β-D-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) at 37°C, and colony formation was analyzed after 24 h. For monitoring the growth inhibition in liquid culture, the E. coli expressing GST-Rsd and GST-AsiA was grown at 30°C up to an optical density at 600 nm (OD600) of 0.15 and induced with 0 to 200 mM IPTG for 3 h, and final ODs were measured. For quantitative analysis of the protein expression in E. coli, Western blotting analysis using anti-GST or anti-AsiA antibodies was performed. The expressed proteins were detected by chemiluminescence (Amersham) as described previously (28). The anti-AsiA, anti-GST, and secondary antibodies were diluted 1:100,000. Standard curves were generated by using increasing amounts of purified GST-AsiA and GST-Rsd, and the linear range of detection was determined. The total cytosolic protein amounts to be loaded onto the sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS)-polyacrylamide gels were adjusted to obtain signals in the linear range (2.5 ng to 50 ng). The scanned images were subjected to densitometric analysis by Quantity One software (Bio-Rad), and the amounts were read from the standard curve.

**Purification of GST-AsiA and GST-Rsd from S. cerevisiae.** S. cerevisiae was transformed with pARC8180 or pARC8342, using lithium acetate. The transformed yeast culture was grown in YPD (yeast extract-peptone-dextrose) medium at 30°C until an OD600 of 0.8 was reached and induced with 2% galactose for 20 h. The yeast culture was resuspended in phosphate-buffered saline (pH 8.0), lysed by French pressure cell, and centrifuged at 12,000 × g, and the supernatant fraction was used for purification. The expressed proteins were purified by glutathione affinity by the procedure suggested by the manufacturer (Pharmacia).

**Purification of σ70 and His-Rsd.** The σ70 of E. coli was purified from the inclusion bodies obtained from E. coli BL26(DE3) transformed with pARC8112 (1) by a modification of the procedure described by Borukhov and Goldfarb (2). Briefly, it involved denaturation of the inclusion bodies by 6 M guanidine hydrochloride, followed by refolding of the protein by dialyzing out the guanidine hydrochloride against a buffer solution containing 50 mM Tris (pH 8.0), 150 mM NaCl, and 20% glycerol. To purify His-Rsd devoid of RNAP subunits, the recombinant plasmids expressing His-Rsd were transformed into E. coli BL26(DE3) grown at 37°C to an OD600 of 0.6 and induced with 0.5 mM IPTG for 3 h. The cell pellets were resuspended in 50 mM Tris (pH 8.0) and 300 mM NaCl, lysed by a French Pressure cell, and centrifuged at 20,000 × g for 15 min (JA17 rotor; Beckman). The supernatant fraction obtained by centrifugation was used for the purification of His-Rsd fragments by Ni affinity chromatography under the denaturing conditions described in a Qiagen protocol. The purified protein was dialyzed against 50 mM Tris (pH 8.0) and 150 mM NaCl and stored at −70°C in the presence of 20% glycerol.

**In vitro GST and Ni2+ affinity pull-down assays.** Purified σ70 (20 μg), core RNAP (25 μg; Epicenter Technologies), His-Rsd, or GST-Rsd (50 μg) and GST-AsiA (50 μg) in 50 mM Tris (pH 8.0), 150 mM NaCl, and 5% glycerol were mixed in the desired combinations in a 150-μl reaction mixture, incubated at 30°C for 30 min, allowed to bind to a glutathione or Ni affinity matrix, and the unbound proteins were collected in the flow-through fractions. The affinity matrix was washed with the buffer, and the bound proteins were eluted with either 10 mM reduced glutathione or 250 mM imidazole. The eluted proteins were analyzed by SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE).

**Native PAGE analysis.** In order to analyze protein-protein interactions, 2 μg of each protein was taken in 25 μl of buffer containing 50 mM Tris (pH 8.0), 150 mM NaCl, and 5% glycerol. The samples were incubated at 30°C for 15 min and subsequently loaded onto a native polyacrylamide gel consisting of a 7.5% resolving gel and a 4% stacking gel in 200 mM Tris (pH 8.8) and 10% glycerol. The gel was run at 50 V, using Tris-glycine buffer.

**Yeast transformation and β-galactosidase activity.** The procedure described previously (28) was followed.

**Quantitative analysis of σ70-AsiA and σ70-Rsd interaction.** Surface plasma resonance (SPR; BIACore; Pharmacia) experiments were used for studying the kinetics of binding between full-length and truncated versions of σ70 and the two anti-sigma factors. For this purpose, σ70 and σ70C100 (29) were immobilized on a CM 5 chip (Amersham), using channels 2 and 3, respectively, by using an amine coupling method described by the manufacturer to obtain 500 to 700 resonance units (RU). Channel 1 was used as a control for monitoring any nonspecific binding with the analytes. The analyte proteins (GST-AsiA, GST-Rsd, and GST) were dialyzed against HEPES buffer (10 mM HEPES [pH 7.5], 150 mM NaCl), and increasing concentrations of these proteins were passed over channels 1, 2, and 3. The flow rate was maintained at 20 μl/min, and the binding was measured as a function of RU. The bound proteins were dissociated by passing HEPES buffer over the protein complexes and by subsequent washing with 0.5 M NaOH. Each binding procedure was repeated twice. BLAevaluation software was used for calculating various kinetic constants of the protein interactions.
RESULTS

Inhibition of *E. coli* cell growth and in vivo transcription: AsiA is more potent than Rsd. Since it has been shown that AsiA inhibits in vitro transcription from *E. coli* promoters (21, 26) by binding to the C-terminal region of σ^70^, it is fair to assume that the cellular toxicity of AsiA expression in *E. coli* (28) results from the inhibition of transcription from promoters of essential *E. coli* genes. Because Rsd is also known to bind to a similar region (region 4) of σ^70^ of *E. coli* (18, 26), we sought to obtain a quantitative estimate of the effect that the expression of Rsd had on in vivo transcription and growth of *E. coli*. Since overexpression of proteins in *E. coli* can cause nonspecific toxicity, we decided to follow the effects of Rsd expression on the colony-forming ability of *E. coli* by using low concentrations (0 to 100 μM) of IPTG. *E. coli* BL26(DE3) cultures were individually transformed with plasmids expressing AsiA and Rsd in their native forms or as a GST fusion, and the transformed cells were plated on agar plates containing 0 to 100 μM IPTG. As seen in Fig. 1A, the expression of Rsd or GST-Rsd did not have any effect on the colony-forming ability of *E. coli* at concentrations of 20 to 50 μM IPTG, though AsiA- or GST-AsiA-expressing *E. coli* showed hardly any growth in the presence of 5 μM IPTG. *E. coli* cells transformed with pGEX3x expressing GST alone could grow in the presence of 100 μM IPTG, indicating that GST did not play any role in toxicity (Fig. 1A). Growth kinetics of the GST-AsiA and GST-Rsd expression using anti-AsiA and anti-GST antibodies, respectively. The amounts of total protein loaded were optimized to obtain signals in the linear range of standards generated from increasing amounts of purified proteins indicated in the figure.
IPTG showed that the GST-Rsd-expressing cultures continued growth even after 200 μM IPTG was added (data not shown). The differences in the concentrations of IPTG required for growth inhibition in the agar plates vis-à-vis that of the liquid cultures are perhaps due to the presence of higher cell numbers in the liquid cultures (10⁸ CFU/ml) than in the transformed cells grown on agar plates (10⁴ CFU/ml).

In order to ensure that the lower level of toxicity of the Rsd was not because of lower expression levels of the protein, the expression levels of GST-Rsd and GST-AsiA in E. coli cultures were monitored by SDS-PAGE and Western blotting analysis. As shown earlier (28), there was no induced protein band in either the GST-AsiA-expressing or the AsiA-expressing cells, whereas an induced protein band corresponding to the expected size of the GST-Rsd fusion (43 kDa) was clearly visible in samples from E. coli cells expressing these proteins (Fig. 2A), indicating that the expression of Rsd is tolerated better than that of AsiA. These results also indicated that GST does not interfere with the growth-inhibiting properties of AsiA and Rsd. The exact amounts of expressed proteins were determined by quantitative Western blotting analysis. To start with, equal amounts (50 μg) of cytosolic proteins from cultures expressing GST-AsiA and GST-Rsd were run on SDS-PAGE, transferred to membranes, and probed with anti-GST antibodies. As seen in Fig. 1B, under these conditions, GST-Rsd expression could be detected even in an uninduced state, whereas the expression of GST-AsiA could be seen only with cultures induced with 50 μM IPTG, showing again the higher expression level of GST-Rsd. The quantification of the GST-AsiA and GST-Rsd expression levels was performed by densitometric analysis of the signals obtained on Western blots, as described in Materials and Methods. For this purpose, standard curves were generated by using purified GST-Rsd and GST-AsiA proteins, which were probed with anti-GST and anti-AsiA antibodies, respectively. It was found that 2.5 to 50 ng of the proteins fell in a linear range (Fig. 1C and D). The amounts of total cytosolic proteins from the GST-AsiA-expressing and GST-Rsd-expressing cultures were adjusted to obtain the signals within the linear range, and protein amounts were calculated from the reference standards. The amounts of proteins detected (percentages of the total) and the calculated intracellular concentrations of GST-AsiA and GST-Rsd are mentioned in Table 2. The concentrations of the expressed proteins were found to range from 0.11 μM to 1.8 μM for GST-AsiA and from 0.66 μM to 28.90 μM for GST-Rsd when induced in the presence of 10 to 100 μM IPTG. It is clear that under

FIG. 2. Inverse correlation between protein expression and transcription inhibition by AsiA and Rsd. (A) Analysis of protein expression in cytosolic fractions of E. coli cultures expressing GST-Rsd, induced with 0 to 200 μM IPTG, using Coomassie blue staining. The induced GST-Rsd band has been indicated with an arrow. Panels B to D show in vivo transcription inhibition by AsiA and Rsd. (B) Percentage of incorporation of [³H]uridine into E. coli cells expressing GST-AsiA or GST-Rsd after 50 min of growth in the presence of 0 to 200 μM IPTG. Panels C and D show the incorporation of [³H]uridine into E. coli BL26(DE3) in cultures expressing GST-AsiA (C) or GST-Rsd (D) in the presence of 0 to 200 μM IPTG, measured as trichloroacetic acid-precipitable material, as described previously (28).
inducing conditions, the level of expression of GST-Rsd was at least 15 times higher than that of GST-AsiA.

In order to compare the abilities of AsiA and Rsd to inhibit in vivo transcription, the incorporation of [3H]uridine in *E. coli* cells expressing GST-Rsd was measured in cells grown in the presence of 0 to 200 μM IPTG for 50 min by the method described previously (28). Though the IPTG inductions of GST-AsiA- and GST-Rsd-expressing *E. coli* were initiated at the same cell density, the overall incorporation of radioactive activity into GST-AsiA-expressing cells was found to be low, which is perhaps because of the slower growth rate caused by leaky protein expression in uninduced cells. As seen in Fig. 2C and D, there was no inhibition of [3H]uridine incorporation at 10 μM IPTG in *E. coli* cells expressing GST-Rsd, unlike that of GST-AsiA-expressing cells, which showed an appreciable inhibition. After 50 min at 200 μM IPTG, GST-AsiA-expressing cells showed 91.2% inhibition of incorporation as opposed to 53% in the case of GST-Rsd-expressing cells (Fig. 2B), suggesting that AsiA is a more potent inhibitor of in vivo transcription than Rsd. Thus, there was a good correlation between growth inhibitory properties and the ability to inhibit the incorporation of [3H]uridine into *E. coli* cells by GST-AsiA and GST-Rsd. The 50% transcription inhibitory concentrations of intracellular GST-AsiA and GST-Rsd were calculated to be approximately 0.5 μM and 20 μM, respectively.

**Binding affinities of AsiA and Rsd to σ^70**. We then asked whether the higher affinity of the AsiA-σ^70 interaction is responsible for the potent inhibitory nature of AsiA. In order to answer this question, we studied the kinetics of association and dissociation of σ^70 and σ^70C100 (residues 514 to 613) with GST-AsiA or GST-Rsd by SPR experiments. Since the extents of toxicity of AsiA and Rsd due to their expression and in vitro binding properties (see below) in their native forms and as GST fusions were found to be similar, we concluded that the GST fusion does not interfere with the binding of either of these proteins with σ^70. Thus, these proteins were purified and used as GST fusions for measuring their affinities to σ^70. For this purpose, σ^70 was immobilized on a CMS chip, and increasing concentrations of GST-AsiA, GST-Rsd, or native GST were used as analytes. The concentrations of the proteins varied from 44 nM to 2.2 μM for GST-AsiA and from 47 nM to 2.3 μM for GST-Rsd. There was a significant increase in RU upon increases in the concentrations of both the analyte proteins, indicating interaction with the immobilized sigma factor.

The GST fusion alone did not show any significant increase in RU, even when it was used at a concentration as high as 10 μM (data not shown). The values of various kinetic and equilibrium constants obtained are shown in Table 3. The apparent dissociation constants (K_d) for binding of GST-AsiA and GST-Rsd to σ^70 were found to be 67 nM and 32 nM, respectively. The corresponding values for the interaction with σ^70C100 were 16 nM and 59 nM, respectively. These values are in ranges similar to those reported earlier for *Bacillus subtilis* anti-sigma–sigma interactions (10, 31) but are higher than those reported for *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium FlgM-σ^70 interactions (7). We were tempted to conclude from the SPR experiments that the poor transcription inhibitory property of Rsd is not due to its lower affinity to σ^70.

**Rsd lacks the ability to form a stable complex with holo RNAP in vivo and in vitro**. As the differences in the inhibitory activities of AsiA and Rsd were not reflected in their binding affinities, we looked at the mechanism by which these anti-sigma factors bound to RNAP as the possible explanation for differential inhibitory properties. For this purpose, we analyzed the abilities of AsiA and Rsd to interact with σ^70 and holo RNAP by analyzing in vivo complex formation in *E. coli* as well as in vitro by pull-down assays and native PAGE analysis. As shown earlier (28), the affinity-purified GST-AsiA from *E. coli* cells was found to be associated with σ^70 and core RNAP subunits, demonstrating the formation of a GST-AsiA-σ^70-core RNAP ternary complex (Fig. 3B, lane 2). However affinity-purified GST-Rsd purified from *E. coli* grown and induced during the log or the stationary phase (OD_600 2.0) showed that σ^70 was copurifying with GST-Rsd, while subunits of core RNAP were not seen (Fig. 3A). In order to detect any low-affinity interaction between GST-Rsd and RNAP, in vivo complex formation was studied in the presence of low salt (25 and 50 mM NaCl). No core RNAP subunits were detected under these conditions also (Fig. 3C). This indicated that either Rsd binds to free sigma only or that by binding to σ^70, it prevents its association with core RNAP.

GST-AsiA or GST-Rsd purified from *S. cerevisiae* and His-Rsd purified from *E. coli* under denaturing conditions were found to be free of any detectable RNA subunits and, thus, were used for in vitro binding experiments. In order to simulate in vivo conditions, we used a molar excess of σ^70 over that of the core RNAP (13), thus ensuring the presence of both free σ^70 and holo RNAP, which was confirmed by native PAGE (see below). Furthermore, concentrations of GST-AsiA and

---

**TABLE 2. Quantitative analysis of GST-AsiA and GST-Rsd expression in *E. coli***

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Analyte</th>
<th>IPTG concn (μM)</th>
<th>% of total</th>
<th>Intracellular concn (μM)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GST-AsiA</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.062</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.097</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GST-Rsd</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.044</td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.307</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>17.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1.89</td>
<td>28.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The total cytosolic protein concentration considered for the purpose of calculating GST-AsiA and GST-Rsd concentrations is 67.5 mg/ml, which is half of the total intracellular protein concentration (135 mg/ml; NEB catalogue).*

**TABLE 3. Measurement of kinetic and equilibrium constant values by SPR**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Analyte</th>
<th>Kinetic and equilibrium values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>σ^70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>k_{on} (10^4 M^{-1} s^{-1})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GST-AsiA</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GST-Rsd</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Measurements of kinetic and equilibrium constant values are from SPR experiments using σ^70 and σ^70C100 as the immobilized ligands and the anti-sigma factors as the analytes. k_{on} and k_{off} association and dissociation constants, respectively.*
His-Rsd were in excess of those of core RNAP and σ^{70}, which provided an opportunity for both free σ^{70} and holo RNAP to interact with these anti-sigma factors. It can be seen in Fig. 4A that GST-AsiA was able to interact with σ^{70} bound to core RNAP, resulting in the ternary complex formation. Similarly, an analysis of the interaction of σ^{70} and GST-AsiA by native PAGE showed the formation of a retarded and broad protein band (Fig. 5A, lane 5). Alteration in the mobility of a protein band upon interaction with another protein is a reliable indication of a stable complex formation, and this has also been demonstrated for a number of sigma–anti-sigma interactions (7, 10, 21). The formation of holo RNAP from σ^{70} and core RNAP can be visualized as a sharp band in native PAGE (Fig. 5A and B, lane 4). Upon the addition of GST-AsiA to holo RNAP, a new retarded protein band appears, and the holo RNAP band disappears completely (Fig. 5A, lane 7). Rsd was able to bind to σ^{70}, and thus, the mobility of His-Rsd, as shown in native PAGE, was altered (Fig. 5B, lane 6). There was no change in the mobility of the holo RNAP band upon the addition of His-Rsd, indicating a lack of association between these proteins (Fig. 5B lane 7). However, SDS-PAGE analysis of the holo RNAP band from native PAGE showed the presence of a small amount of His-Rsd associated with holo RNAP (data not shown), thus confirming the observation made by Ilag et al. (16). The preincubation of core RNAP or σ^{70} with a molar excess of His-Rsd resulted in the formation of holo RNAP, and there was no indication of a ternary complex formation (data not shown). Additionally, in a pull-down experiment using increasing concentrations of His-Rsd with preincubated σ^{70} and core RNAP, the eluate fractions showed a linear increase in the amounts of σ^{70} associated with His-Rsd, but no core RNAP subunits were seen, even at the highest

His-Rsd were in excess of those of core RNAP and σ^{70}, which provided an opportunity for both free σ^{70} and holo RNAP to interact with these anti-sigma factors. It can be seen in Fig. 4A that GST-AsiA was able to interact with σ^{70} bound to core RNAP, resulting in the ternary complex formation. Similarly, an analysis of the interaction of σ^{70} and GST-AsiA by native PAGE showed the formation of a retarded and broad protein band (Fig. 5A, lane 5). Alteration in the mobility of a protein band upon interaction with another protein is a reliable indication of a stable complex formation, and this has also been demonstrated for a number of sigma–anti-sigma interactions (7, 10, 21). The formation of holo RNAP from σ^{70} and core RNAP can be visualized as a sharp band in native PAGE (Fig. 5A and B, lane 4). Upon the addition of GST-AsiA to holo RNAP, a new retarded protein band appears, and the holo RNAP band disappears completely (Fig. 5A, lane 7). Rsd was able to bind to σ^{70}, and thus, the mobility of His-Rsd, as shown in native PAGE, was altered (Fig. 5B, lane 6). There was no change in the mobility of the holo RNAP band upon the addition of His-Rsd, indicating a lack of association between these proteins (Fig. 5B lane 7). However, SDS-PAGE analysis of the holo RNAP band from native PAGE showed the presence of a small amount of His-Rsd associated with holo RNAP (data not shown), thus confirming the observation made by Ilag et al. (16). The preincubation of core RNAP or σ^{70} with a molar excess of His-Rsd resulted in the formation of holo RNAP, and there was no indication of a ternary complex formation (data not shown). Additionally, in a pull-down experiment using increasing concentrations of His-Rsd with preincubated σ^{70} and core RNAP, the eluate fractions showed a linear increase in the amounts of σ^{70} associated with His-Rsd, but no core RNAP subunits were seen, even at the highest
concentrations of His-Rsd (Fig. 4B). This again demonstrated that Rsd interacts with free \( \sigma^{70} \) but is unable to form a ternary complex with holo RNAP. In order to rule out the possibility that the affinity tags (His or GST) were interfering in the interaction of Rsd to RNAP, we generated native Rsd by cleaving GST-Rsd with factor Xa and removing GST by binding to the glutathione affinity matrix. The native Rsd also did not alter the mobility of holo RNAP in native PAGE (Fig. 5C, lane 4). However, native AsiA generated in a similar fashion retarded the holo RNAP band in nondenaturing PAGE (Fig. 5D, lane 2). Binding of either AsiA or Rsd to holo RNAP would not result in a change of pI (remains at 5.2); hence, an increase in mass upon complex formation would result in a slower moving band, as could be seen in the case of the AsiA-RNAP complex. These experiments demonstrated that the affinity tags do not interfere with the binding of Rsd or AsiA to RNAP. Thus, the major difference between the mechanisms of binding of AsiA and Rsd to RNAP is the ability of AsiA to form a stable ternary complex with RNAP.

The binding surface of Rsd on \( \sigma^{70} \) is larger than that of AsiA. Since the mechanisms of binding of Rsd and AsiA to RNAP were found to be different, we speculated that these proteins may be exerting differential effects on RNAP by interacting with specific regions of \( \sigma^{70} \). Hence, we sought to analyze this interaction in detail by trying to identify the critical region of \( \sigma^{70} \) required for binding to either of these anti-sigma factors. We had earlier identified the regions of \( \sigma^{70} \) required for interaction with AsiA, using a YTH system (27). Using the same approach, we carried out a comparative analysis of the binding of AsiA and that of Rsd to full-length and truncated forms of \( \sigma^{70} \). As shown in Fig. 6A, in the case of Rsd, the maximum \( \beta \)-galactosidase (\( \beta \)-gal) activity was obtained with full-length \( \sigma^{70} \), and there was a gradual decline in activity when N-terminal regions of \( \sigma^{70} \) were progressively deleted, compared to AsiA, which showed maximum \( \beta \)-gal activity with \( \sigma^{70} \) fragments lacking N-terminal regions (domains 1 and 2). The minimum region of \( \sigma^{70} \) that showed interaction with Rsd consisted of the C-terminal amino acid residues 537 to 613. Though this fragment of \( \sigma^{70} \) binds Rsd with an affinity that is lower than that of the full-length \( \sigma^{70} \), the C-terminal region seems to be contributing the most toward this interaction, since none of the N-terminal fragments showed any \( \beta \)-gal activity in the absence of C-terminal amino acid residues 537 to 613 (data not shown). Although Rsd showed more \( \beta \)-gal activity upon interaction with full-length \( \sigma^{70} \) compared to that of AsiA, the same protein showed 200 times less activity than that of AsiA when a truncated \( \sigma^{70} \) fragment (\( \sigma^{70} \)C67, residues 547 to 613) was used. \( \sigma^{70} \) fragments lacking a part of region 4.1 (residues

FIG. 5. In vitro interaction between AsiA or Rsd and RNAP subunits analyzed by native PAGE. (A) Lanes: 1, \( \sigma^{70} \); 2, core RNAP; 3, GST-AsiA; 4, \( \sigma^{70} \) plus core RNAP; 5, GST-AsiA plus \( \sigma^{70} \); 6, GST-AsiA plus core RNAP; 7, GST-AsiA plus holo RNAP. (B) Lanes: 1, \( \sigma^{70} \); 2, core RNAP; 3, His-Rsd; 4, \( \sigma^{70} \) plus core RNAP; 5, His-Rsd plus core RNAP; 6, His-Rsd plus \( \sigma^{70} \); 7, His-Rsd plus holo RNAP. (C) Lanes: 1, \( \sigma^{70} \) plus core RNAP; 2, GST-Rsd plus holo RNAP; 3, His-Rsd plus holo RNAP; 4, Rsd plus holo RNAP. (D) Lanes: 1, AsiA; 2, AsiA plus holo RNAP.
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557 to 613) or 4.2 (residues 493 to 590) did not show any detectable β-gal activity, either with Rsd or with AsiA. Thus, amino acid residues in region 4 of σ70 make critical contacts with both Rsd and AsiA; in the case of Rsd, other regions of σ70 also contribute toward this interaction directly or indirectly, whereas AsiA-σ70 interaction is restricted to regions 4.1 and 4.2 only.

Comparative affinities of full-length σ70 and two truncated σ70 fragments to AsiA or Rsd were also measured by competitive binding in pull-down assays. For this purpose, molar excess amounts of the competing σ70 fragments were incubated with limited amounts of either GST-AsiA or GST-Rsd, the mixtures of proteins were passed through the affinity matrix, and the eluted proteins were analyzed by SDS-PAGE. As seen in Fig. 6B and C, the truncated σ70 fragment σ70C100, encompassing amino acid residues 514 to 613 (28), showed better binding to GST-AsiA than to full-length σ70, whereas in the case of GST-Rsd, the binding of full-length σ70 was found to be better than that of the truncated σ70 fragments. Neither σ70 nor the σ70C100 fragment showed any nonspecific binding to the glutathione affinity matrix (Fig. 6D, lanes 3 and 6). These experiments further confirm our earlier observations made with YTH assays.

DISCUSSION

The expression of AsiA in E. coli results in the inhibition of growth and the loss of colony formation (28). Since T4 AsiA is a phage-encoded protein and is required only for the phage life cycle, killing the host cells seems to be evolutionarily justified. Rsd, on the other hand, is an E. coli protein, which, by binding to σ70, facilitates the transcription of stationary-phase-associated genes (17, 22), and this is expected to be a reversible process. Hence, it is fair to assume that under normal physiological conditions, the binding of Rsd to E. coli σ70 would not result in cell death, which actually is the case.

Our quantitative analysis of the growth inhibitory properties of AsiA and Rsd showed that the expression of Rsd is tolerated much better than that of AsiA. Concomitant with this, AsiA’s ability to inhibit in vivo transcription was found to be approximately 40 times higher than that of Rsd. The extent of transcription inhibition was reflected in the levels of AsiA and Rsd produced inside E. coli cells under inducing conditions. Because of the ability of AsiA to inhibit transcription strongly, initial amounts of AsiA produced inside the cell would also block its own synthesis along with that of other cellular proteins. On the other hand, Rsd’s poor transcription inhibitory
ability does not interfere with cellular protein synthesis, which ensures higher levels of Rsd expression. Thus, the expression levels of these two proteins are inversely correlated with their transcription inhibitory properties. As a corollary to this, the mutants of AsiA, which do not inhibit in vivo transcription as efficiently, have lost the growth inhibitory properties and can be overexpressed in E. coli (28).

An obvious explanation for the higher toxicity of AsiA to E. coli cells, compared to that of Rsd, could have been the higher binding affinity to σ^70. However, our SPR data rule out this possibility as the dissociation constants of both of these proteins were found to be in similar ranges. Both AsiA and Rsd bind to σ^70 with affinities that are comparable to those of known sigma–anti-sigma pairs (10, 31). Could the mechanism of binding to RNAP be the reason behind the higher transcription and growth inhibitory properties of AsiA? Published biochemical data do not provide an unambiguous picture of the mechanism of RNAP-Rsd interaction. While some studies have observed that Rsd binds to σ^70 (17), others have suggested that in addition to binding to σ^70, Rsd also shows interaction with core RNAP and holo RNAP (16). Yet another study, by Pineda et al. (26), concluded that E. coli Rsd and AsiA share common mechanisms of binding to σ^70 and RNAP and thus belong to the same family of proteins. However, the recent structural analysis of Rsd-σ^70 region 4 does not show a similarity between AsiA and Rsd (25).

Published reports of the cellular levels of σ^70 and core RNAP indicate that σ^70 is in excess over that of core RNAP (15) and that the majority of the core enzyme should exist in a complex with sigma factors, which means that anti-sigma factors have equal chances of interacting with free σ^70 and holo RNAP. Analysis of the in vivo complex formation of AsiA clearly demonstrated that it binds to σ^70 as well as to holo RNAP. However, our in vivo and in vitro binding experiments showed that Rsd interacts strongly with free σ^70, but there is no observable interaction with holo RNAP to form a ternary complex. We have ruled out the possibility that the affinity tags could be interfering with the binding of Rsd to holo RNAP.

The interaction between Rsd and holo RNAP shown by Ilag et al. (16), using native PAGE, is probably indicative of a transient ternary complex formation which has also been shown for another anti-sigma factor, FlgM, which is capable of dissociating the σ^28-RNAP complex (7).

The YTH data presented here offer an explanation for the inability of Rsd to form a ternary complex with holo RNAP. From the comparison of binding patterns of AsiA and Rsd to σ^70, it is evident that region 4 of σ^70 is the common region, which interacts with both of these anti-sigma factors, but, unlike those of AsiA, Rsd’s interactions are not restricted to regions 4.1 and 4.2 alone. Binding affinities of C-terminal σ^70 fragments to AsiA are greater than that of the full-length σ^70, probably because of the absence of region 1 in these proteins, which has been suggested to occlude C-terminal regions of σ^70 (11). Since the region of interaction of Rsd with σ^70 is much larger, partly inaccessible regions 4.1/4.2 may not affect this binding, and hence, Rsd shows better interaction with full-length σ^70. Taken together, these results suggest that by interacting with multiple regions on σ^70, which probably includes core binding regions, Rsd interferes with the σ^70-core RNAP interaction. The recent structural analysis of Rsd-σ^70 interaction has also shown that Rsd occludes both DNA binding and core binding residues in region 4, thus indicating that Rsd can interfere with the binding of σ^70 to core RNAP (25). A number of other anti-sigma factors are known to either prevent the binding of the sigma factor to core RNAP or to dissociate a sigma-RNAP complex (5–9, 32). A common feature of these anti-sigma factors is that they have multiple points of contact with their cognate sigma factors, including regions 2, 3, and 4, all of which are known to be involved in core binding (30).

Since Rsd interacts with multiple regions on σ^70, its mechanism of binding seems to be similar to that of these other anti-sigma factors, which can result in the inhibition of the σ^70-core RNAP interaction, whereas AsiA, by interacting with only region 4, does not do so.

Thus, AsiA and Rsd, which share some common features of binding to σ^70, have evolved distinct mechanisms to suit their functional requirements under natural conditions. One of the reasons why Rsd does not inhibit E. coli growth is, probably, the inability to form a stable ternary complex with holo RNAP, thus maintaining a threshold level of transcription required for the survival of the organism. T4 AsiA, on the other hand, traps holo RNAP into a stable ternary complex, which is incapable of transcribing essential genes of E. coli.
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