Interactions of an Essential Bacillus subtilis GTPase, YsxC, with Ribosomes
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YsxC is a small GTPase of Bacillus subtilis with essential but still unknown function, although recent works have suggested that it might be involved in ribosome biogenesis. Here, purified YsxC overexpressed in Escherichia coli was found to be partly associated with high-molecular-weight material, most likely rRNA, and thus eluted from gel filtration as a large complex. In addition, purification of ribosomes from an E. coli strain overexpressing YsxC allowed the copurification of the YsxC protein. Purified YsxC was shown to bind preferentially to the 50S subunit of B. subtilis ribosomes; this interaction was modulated by nucleotides and was stronger in the presence of a nonhydrolyzable GTP analogue than with GTP. Far-Western blotting analysis performed with His6-YsxC and ribosomal proteins separated by sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis showed that YsxC interacted with at least four ribosomal proteins from the 50S subunit. Two of these putative protein partners were identified by mass spectrometry as L1 and L3, while the third reactive band in the one-dimensional gel contained L6 and L10. The fourth band that reacted with YsxC contained a mixture of three proteins, L7/L12, L23, and L27, suggesting that at least one of them binds to YsxC. Coinmobilization assays confirmed that L1, L6, and L7/L12 interact with YsxC. Together, these results suggest that YsxC plays a role in ribosome assembly.

GTPases are found in all three kingdoms of life (9, 13, 50, 63) and can be broadly classified into four large subfamilies according to their cellular roles and molecular weights: small GTP-binding proteins involved in cell proliferation, translational GTPases, α-subunits of heterotrimeric G proteins involved in cell signaling, and large GTP-binding proteins (50). In eukaryotes, all these families are present, and the last two decades have witnessed a tremendous increase in our understanding of the structures and functions of many of their members (9, 63, 67, 70, 71). In contrast, GTPases are rather scarce in prokaryotes, as some families, such as the α-subunits of heterotrimeric G proteins or large GTP-binding proteins, appear to be missing, and small GTPases are largely underrepresented (13, 50). Besides, apart from the well-characterized translation factors, including EF-G, EF-Tu, and IF2, there is still a paucity of information concerning most of the remaining bacterial GTPases. At first glance, this might seem paradoxical, as many putative GTPases inferred from the genome-sequencing programs have been shown to be essential for bacterial growth, but their discovery is still in an early stage (11, 13, 45). This emphasizes the need to urgently address the fundamental question regarding the cellular roles of these uncharacterized enzymes (56).

Many reports have suggested that the functions of most of the unknown conserved putative bacterial GTPases are somehow linked with the ribosomes and nucleic acid binding (11, 12, 15). Over the past few years, biochemical evidence has accumulated to support this view for several GTPases, including YlqF/RbgA (40, 66), YloQ (14, 16), Era (32, 41, 60), Obg/CgtA (59, 72, 75), and EngA/Der (7, 28, 61). Bacillus subtilis YsxC (termed YihA in Escherichia coli) is part of another family of GTPases broadly conserved in bacteria that has been recently selected as a priority target for functional characterization (22). Genetic studies have shown that this protein is essential to the growth of B. subtilis and E. coli (2, 53, 69). Depletion of YsxC resulted in cell elongation, abnormal cell curvature, and nucleoid condensation in B. subtilis (45). Depletion of YihA in E. coli results in impaired cell division (17). The yscC gene was shown to be transcribed together with the lon gene (55), and both gene products are considered heat shock proteins, since they could be induced by heat and other stresses (3, 52, 55). Both YihA and YsxC have been purified (35, 57), and determination of the three-dimensional structure of YsxC (57) confirmed that the protein belongs to the large superfamily of translation factor-related (TRAFAC) GTPases, as defined by Leipe and coworkers (36). Regarding the function of YsxC, a recent study suggested that it is required for large-ribosomal-subunit biogenesis in B. subtilis, since YsxC-depleted cells accumulate immature ribosomal-subunit intermediates (61). These immature subunits lack three ribosomal proteins, L16, L27, and L36 (61).

In the current work, we show that during purification of YsxC overexpressed in E. coli, a high-molecular-weight RNA
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species, possibly rRNA, copurified with the protein. We have further investigated the association between YsxC and *B. subtilis* ribosomes using pelleting assays and velocity gradient centrifugation techniques. YsxC was shown to preferentially bind to the 50S ribosomal subunit, and this binding was strengthened in the presence of a nonhydrolyzable GTP analogue. A far-Western approach was then used to pinpoint the putative ribosomal-protein partners of YsxC, revealing four reactive bands, all from the large ribosomal subunit. Two of these bands were assigned to L1 and L3 by mass spectrum analysis, while the two additional bands contained a mixture of two (L6 and L10) or three (L7/L12, L23, and L27) subunits, suggesting that at least one of them in each band was an interacting partner. Of these proteins, six could be overexpressed under a soluble form: L1, L6, L10, L7/L12, L23, and L27. Pull-down experiments were then performed with these proteins and confirmed that YsxC could interact with at least L1, L6, and L7/L12. Overall, our results strongly support a direct role of YsxC during the biogenesis of the large ribosomal subunit.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Purification of recombinant ribosomal proteins.** His6-ribosomal proteins were purified following the same protocol as described for His6-YsxC (61). The GST-ribosomal proteins were purified by glutathione-Sepharose affinity column chromatography as described above for the GST-YsxC protein.

**Purification of the high-molecular weight material associated with YsxC.** To analyze the material associated with YsxC, GST-YsxC was treated with either 1 mg/ml RNase A (Sigma) or 0.2 mg/ml DNase I (Euromex) for 15 min at room temperature and loaded onto a Superdex 200 10/300GL (equilibrated with buffer A), as described above, that had been calibrated with the following protein standards (Amersham): RNase (13.7 kDa), ovalbumin (43 kDa), bovine serum albumin (67 kDa), and Blue Dextran (2,000 kDa). Each peak resolved was subjected to SDS-PAGE or Western blotting analysis as described above.

**Ribosome purification and preparation of 30S and 50S subunits.** Highly purified ribosomes were prepared from *B. subtilis* (strain 168) and from E. coli cells (strain BL21(DE3), wild type or transformed with YsxC or GST plasmids and induced with IPTG for 3 h, thus expressing YsxC proteins or GST, respectively), essentially as described by Daigle and Brown (16). For all strains, 2 liters of LB was inoculated with 20 ml of an overnight culture of *B. subtilis* or E. coli. The cells were grown in LB medium at 37°C and collected at an OD600 of 0.8. Chloramphenicol was added to a final concentration of 100 μg/ml 3 min prior to harvesting (37). The ribosomal pellet was resuspended in a small volume of buffer A (0.1 mM magnesium acetate, 0.005 mM EDTA, 10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 30 mM KCl, 6 mM mercaptoethanol, 1.25 mM dithiothreitol) and stored at −80°C until they were used.

---

**Plasmids, bacterial strains, and culture conditions.** To clone the ysc gene from *B. subtilis*, the genomic DNA of *B. subtilis* strain 168 was prepared according to the method of te Riele et al. (64). The ysc gene was amplified from *B. subtilis* genomic DNA by PCR using *Pfu* Turbo DNA polymerase from Stratagene and oligonucleotides a (CGGGATCCATGAAAGTCACAAAGTCAGAAATC; an ATG site is underlined) and b (GGGCTCGAGCGACCGTGATC; a BamHI site is underlined) and c (GGCATATGAAAGTCACAAAGTCAGAAATC; an NdeI site is underlined) were performed according to the method of te Riele et al. (64). The ysc gene was amplified from *B. subtilis* genomic DNA by PCR using *Pfu* Turbo DNA polymerase from Stratagene and oligonucleotides a (CGGGATCCATGAAAGTCACAAAGTCAGAAATC; an ATG site is underlined) and b (GGGCTCGAGCGACCGTGATC; a BamHI site is underlined) and c (GGCATATGAAAGTCACAAAGTCAGAAATC; an NdeI site is underlined) were performed according to the method of te Riele et al. (64).

The resulting DNA plasmids were digested with NdeI and XhoI and ligated into the NdeI-XhoI sites of pGEX-4T-1 (see Table S2 in the supplementary material). The resulting plasmids were transformed into *E. coli* DH5α cells, positive clones were confirmed by DNA sequencing (Genome Express).

The ysc gene from *B. subtilis*, the genomic DNA of *B. subtilis* strain 168 was prepared according to the method of te Riele et al. (64). The ysc gene was amplified from *B. subtilis* genomic DNA by PCR using *Pfu* Turbo DNA polymerase from Stratagene and oligonucleotides a (CGGGATCCATGAAAGTCACAAAGTCAGAAATC; an ATG site is underlined) and b (GGGCTCGAGCGACCGTGATC; a BamHI site is underlined) and c (GGCATATGAAAGTCACAAAGTCAGAAATC; an NdeI site is underlined) were performed according to the method of te Riele et al. (64).

The resulting DNA plasmids were digested with NdeI and XhoI and ligated into the NdeI-XhoI sites of pGEX-4T-1 (see Table S2 in the supplementary material). The resulting plasmids were transformed into *E. coli* DH5α cells, positive clones were confirmed by DNA sequencing (Genome Express).

**Purification of recombinant ribosomal proteins.** His6-ribosomal proteins were purified following the same protocol as described for His6-YsxC (61). The GST-ribosomal proteins were purified by glutathione-Sepharose affinity column chromatography as described above for the GST-YsxC protein.

**Purification of the high-molecular weight material associated with YsxC.** To analyze the material associated with YsxC, GST-YsxC was treated with either 1 mg/ml RNase A (Sigma) or 0.2 mg/ml DNase I (Euromex) for 15 min at room temperature and loaded onto a Superdex 200 10/300GL (equilibrated with buffer A), as described above, that had been calibrated with the following protein standards (Amersham): RNase (13.7 kDa), ovalbumin (43 kDa), bovine serum albumin (67 kDa), and Blue Dextran (2,000 kDa). Each peak resolved was subjected to SDS-PAGE or Western blotting analysis as described above.

**Ribosome purification and preparation of 30S and 50S subunits.** Highly purified ribosomes were prepared from *B. subtilis* (strain 168) and from *E. coli* cells (strain BL21(DE3), wild type or transformed with YsxC or GST plasmids and induced with IPTG for 3 h, thus expressing YsxC proteins or GST, respectively), essentially as described by Daigle and Brown (16). For all strains, 2 liters of LB was inoculated with 20 ml of an overnight culture of *B. subtilis* or *E. coli*. The cells were grown in LB medium at 37°C and collected at an OD600 of 0.8. Chloramphenicol was added to a final concentration of 100 μg/ml 3 min prior to harvesting (37). The ribosomal pellet was resuspended in a small volume of buffer A (0.1 mM magnesium acetate, 0.005 mM EDTA, 10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 30 mM KCl, 6 mM mercaptoethanol, 1.25 mM dithiothreitol) and stored at −80°C until they were used.
Separation of the 70S ribosome into 30S and 50S subunits. Chlomorphenicol (100 μg/ml) was added to the ribosome preparation, and 5 OD_{260} units of the suspension was layered onto 5 to 20% (vol/vol) sucrose RNase- and DNase-free-gradinets made up in 10 mM Tris- HCl, pH 7.5, 0.1 mM magnesium acetate, 30 mM KC1, followed by centrifugation at 200,000 × g for 1 hmin in a SW41Ti rotor (Beckman). Fractions (0.5 ml) were collected, the pump flow rate was set to 0.75 ml/min, and the UV absorbance (OD_{260}) of the sample was monitored. Each fraction was precipitated by the addition of 10% trichloroacetic acid (TCA) and 0.02% deoxycholate, resuspended in SDI loading buffer, analyzed by SDS-12% PAGE, and immunoblotted with anti-His antibodies.

When 50S and 30S subunits were needed, fractions containing the 50S and 30S subunits were collected, combmed, and precipitated in the presence of 20 mM magnesium acetate by mixing the solution with an equal volume of ice-cold ethanol. After incubation at −20°C for 1 h, subunits were pelleted by centrifu-
gation at 10,000 × g for 30 min at 4°C and resuspended in a small volume of buffer (0.1 mM magnesium acetate, 5 μM EDTA, 10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 30 mM KC1, 6 mM β-mercaptoethanol, 1.25 mM dithiothreitol). They were frac-
tionated again on a 5 to 20% sucrose gradient, collected, and stored at −80°C. Quantification of subunits was done by absorbance at 260 nm (1 A_{260} unit is equivalent to 69 or 34.5 pmol of 30S or 50S ribosomes, respectively) (16).

Binding of YsxC to 70S ribosomes. (i) Pelletting assays. Pelletting assays were performed with a mixture of B. subtilis ribosomes (total A_{260} = 10, i.e., 114 picomoles) and 1 mg His6-YsxC (180 picomoles), as described previously (61).

(ii) Effects of excess guanidine nucleotides on YsxC association with ribosome 30S and 50S subunits. A mixture of B. subtilis ribosomes (OD_{260} = 10) preincu-
bated with 1 mg His6-YsxC in the presence of 1 mM GDP, GTP, GMPNP, or no added nucleotides was sedimented through a 5 to 20% (vol/vol) sucrose gradient. The 30S (10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 0.1 mM magnesium acetate, 30 mM KC1), with an additional 100 μM GDP, GTP, GMPNP (guan-
n&y-imidodiphosphate), or nothing, respectively, at 200,000 × g for 190 min in a SW41Ti rotor (Beckman), and the resulting fractions were analyzed as described above (absorbance at 260 nm, precipitation by 10% TCA and 0.02% deoxycholate, and immunoblottiong with anti-His antibodies).

(iii) Far-Western blot analysis. Ribosomal proteins from B. subtilis were separated by SDS-PAGE using the electrophoretic system Protein II xi Cell device from Bio-Rad and a 14 to 18% or 14 to 20% acrylamide gradient. A 50 S subunit was transferred into microcentrifuge tubes and dried under vacuum. The dried ex-
tacted peptides were solubilized in water containing 2% acetonitrile and 7%

Results

Purification of GST-YsxC overexpressed in E. coli pulls down high-molecular-weight compounds. After overexpression of the GST-YsxC fusion protein in E. coli, its purification by a standard procedure using glutathione affinity chromatography gave essentially a single “pure” band when analyzed by SDS-
PAGE, with an apparent molecular mass of ~45 kDa (data not shown). Unexpectedly, however, analysis of the “purified” GST-YsxC fusion protein by size exclusion chromatography revealed the presence of two main peaks, eluting in fractions 18 to 20 and 29 to 31 (Fig. 1A). The second peak (fractions 29 to 31) eluted with an apparent molecular mass of ~45 kDa and, as expected, contained the GST-YsxC protein, as shown in Fig. 1B. This was confirmed by Western blot analysis using anti-GST antibodies (Fig. 1C). The first peak (fractions 18 to 20) eluted in the void volume of the column and therefore had an estimated molecular mass of over 400 kDa. SDS-PAGE showed that these fractions contained only protein(s) with an apparent molecular mass of ~45 kDa (Fig. 1B), suggesting that GST-YsxC also eluted in these fractions. No additional protein could be visualized on the stained gel. The presence of the GST moiety was confirmed in these fractions using anti-GST antibodies (Fig. 1C), thus demonstrating that GST-YsxC was indeed the protein present in this peak. The amount of GST-
YsxC in the first peak was estimated to be ~50% of that in the second peak (Fig. 1B and C), whereas the absorbance at 280 nm of the first peak reached a value almost twice as high as that in the second peak (Fig. 1A). A further analysis of this peak of high molecular mass revealed that it absorbed strongly at 260 nm (OD_{260} > 1.6) suggesting that it likely contained nucleic acid associated with the GST-YsxC protein.

The B. subtilis YsxC protein strongly interacts with ribo-
somal material from E. coli. In order to analyze the nature of the material associated with the GST-YsxC fusion protein, samples were first treated with RNase or DNase prior to being subjected to size exclusion chromatography, as previously re-
pored for the Era protein (41). When the GST-YsxC prepa-
ration was first treated with 1 mg/ml of RNase A (previously

volatile for 15 min at 10°C to inactivate the possibly contami-
nating DNases), the peak of high molecular mass totally disappeared from the elution profile (Fig. 2A). Concomitantly, the peak with an apparent molecular mass of about 45 kDa, corresponding to the GST-YsxC protein alone, increased slightly but significantly. Two new peaks also appeared: one, containing fractions 39 to 40, corresponded to RNase A (apparent molecular mass, ~14 kDa), and the last peak (around fraction 45) corresponded to very low-molecular-mass material (probably nucleotides and salts). These results strongly suggested that the GST-YsxC fusion protein formed a complex with some \(E. coli\) RNA. By contrast, prior treatment of GST-YsxC with 0.2 mg/ml of DNase I (RNase free) did not modify the elution profile of GST-YsxC (Fig. 2B). To assess which moiety of the GST-YsxC fusion interacted with \(E. coli\) RNA, several controls were made. First, purification of the His\(_6\)-YsxC tagged protein led to a column profile similar to that seen with the GST-YsxC fusion protein when analyzed by size exclusion chromatography (data not shown). Conversely, purification of the GST tag alone run on size exclusion chromatography did not allow the detection of high-molecular-mass species (data not shown). Also, a thrombin digestion was performed to remove the GST moiety from the GST-YsxC fusion protein, thereby allowing the purification of the YsxC moiety alone (apparent molecular mass, ~22 kDa). This sample was then submitted to size exclusion chromatography as before, and again, a peak of high molecular mass was obtained, which contained the YsxC protein alone (fractions 18 to 20), while free YsxC eluted from the column with a higher retention time (fractions 35 to 37), due, as expected, to its lower molecular mass (Fig. 2C). Collectively, these results show that high-molecular-mass material, most likely the ribosome, is associated with the YsxC moiety and not with the GST moiety.

To further analyze the nature of the high-molecular-mass compounds copurified with the GST-YsxC fusion, the mobility of phenol-chloroform-extracted material from a GST-YsxC preparation was checked using denaturing agarose gel electrophoresis and staining with ethidium bromide. Two bands with apparent mobilities of ~1.5 and ~3 kb were visualized for GST-YsxC-associated material, and two bands with similar apparent mobilities were also observed for the \(E. coli\) RNA extracted from the ribosomes (Fig. 3, lanes 1 and 2). Together, these experiments show that rRNA is part of the high-molecular-mass material copurified with GST-YsxC.

**YsxC overexpressed in \(E. coli\) can be copurified with the ribosomal fraction.** To further show that overexpressed YsxC was bound to the ribosome, we purified ribosomes from \(E. coli\) bacteria following overexpression of GST alone, GST-YsxC, or His\(_6\)-YsxC, as checked by an analytical SDS-PAGE (data not shown). The ribosomal fractions were then loaded onto a 12% SDS-PAGE gel, and the overexpressed proteins putatively copurified with the ribosomes were visualized by Western blotting. The presence of the GST-YsxC fusion protein was detected, with an expected molecular mass of ~45 kDa, and a faint band with an apparent molecular mass of ~25 kDa was also present (Fig. 4A, lane 1). The latter band most likely corresponded to the GST moiety, probably resulting from some proteolytic degradation of the fusion protein. Ribosomes prepared from bacteria overexpressing GST alone also gave a similar faint signal (Fig. 4A, lane 2). This reflected some nonspecific binding of the GST protein alone to the ribosomes. When a similar experiment was carried out with ribosomes prepared from \(E. coli\) overexpressing His\(_6\)-YsxC, the presence of this tagged-protein was detected as well, using a HisProbe-HPR. It must be noted that control experiments performed from ribosomes obtained from “native,” nontransformed \(E. coli\) cells showed no detectable bands at the corresponding molecular weights when probed with either anti-GST or HisProbe-HPR (data not shown).

In order to pinpoint which ribosomal subunit YsxC was bound to, ribosomes prepared from His\(_6\)-YsxC-overexpressing \(E. coli\) were dissociated under a low Mg\(^{2+}\) concentration and high-salt conditions in the presence of chloramphenicol. The mixture of 30S and 50S ribosomal subunits was layered onto a 5 to 20% sucrose gradient and separated by ultracentrifugation. Each fraction of the gradient was analyzed by OD\(_{260}\) and the result is shown in Fig. 4B. To detect the presence of YsxC, fractions of the gradient were TCA precipitated and loaded onto an SDS-PAGE gel, and the presence of His\(_6\)-YsxC was revealed by Western blot analysis using a HisProbe-HPR. Clearly, His\(_6\)-YsxC was found associated with the 50S ribosomal subunit only (fractions 13 to 17) (Fig. 4B, inset). These observations corroborate the conclusion that YsxC can be found in vivo associated with ribosomes.
Purified YsxC interacts mainly with the 50S ribosomal subunit of *B. subtilis*. Because the previous experiments reflected “nonnative” interaction between *E. coli* ribosomes and overexpressed YsxC, we also investigated the interaction of YsxC with its cognate ribosomes purified from *B. subtilis*. In a previous report, we had shown that YsxC was able to interact with the 70S *B. subtilis* ribosomes, and this interaction seemed to be increased by the presence of either GDP or GTP (61). Figure 5A confirms and extends these results, since both nucleotides favored the binding of YsxC to ribosomes. In addition, it is shown here that the nonhydrolyzable GTP analogue GMPPNP strongly stimulated the binding of YsxC to ribosomes. To identify the ribosome subunit to which YsxC was bound, 30S and 50S subunits were separated by sucrose gradient, and the fraction containing His6-YsxC was revealed as shown in Fig. 4. It is important to note here that the addition of nucleotides did not change the overall profiles of ribosomes dissociated into 30S and 50S subunits (only the absorbance profile obtained in the absence of nucleotide is shown in Fig. 5B). In the absence of any nucleotide, YsxC was found to be associated with the 50S

FIG. 2. Gel filtration chromatography profiles of GST-YsxC preparations from a Superdex-200 column. The experiments were conducted exactly as described in the legend to Fig. 1. The insets show SDS-PAGE gels, stained with Coomassie blue, of the different fractions eluted from the gel filtration column. (A) Elution profile of RNase-treated GST-YsxC. Elution of 45-kDa material occurs at fractions 29 and 30. (B) Elution profile of DNase-treated GST-YsxC. (C) Elution profile of GST-YsxC, where the GST moiety was digested by thrombin while bound to glutathione-Sepharose beads prior to the gel filtration.
subunit only (Fig. 5C, line a). Addition of the nucleotide GDP, GTP, or GMPPNP (Fig. 5C, lines b, c, and d, respectively) still allowed binding to the 50S subunit, but marginal binding to the 30S subunit was also detected for each nucleotide. GDP or GMPPNP was preferred over GTP for binding to the 50S subunit, suggesting either that during GTP hydrolysis YsxC goes through a cycle of interaction/dissociation with the 50S subunit or that in its GTP-bound state, the affinity of YsxC for this subunit is somehow reduced. The latter explanation, however, seems unlikely since in the presence of GMPPNP, which mimics a GTP-bound state, YsxC appeared quite able to interact with the 50S subunit.

In order to address the question of which ribosomal proteins YsxC was able to interact with, a far-Western blotting approach was used. In the first step, 30S or 50S ribosomal proteins from *B. subtilis* were separated by SDS-PAGE, transferred to Immobilon-P membranes, renatured on the membrane as previously described (10), and incubated with His6-YsxC. After extensive washing of the membrane, the presence of His6-YsxC protein bound to ribosomal proteins was detected using the HisProbe-HPR. Under these conditions, no ribosomal proteins that belonged to the 30S subunit were found to interact with YsxC (not shown). In contrast, four reactive bands from the 50S subunit were revealed as putative partners of His6-YsxC (Fig. 6B). When far-Western blotting was performed using total ribosomal proteins instead of 50S ribosomal proteins, similar bands were found to react with the His probe (data not shown). A control experiment was performed in which the His probe was directly incubated with ribosomal
proteins previously separated by SDS-PAGE and transferred to an Immobilon-P membrane. Under these conditions, where no YsxC was added, no signal was detected, showing that no nonspecific recognition of ribosomal proteins occurred using the His probe (data not shown). To assign the ribosomal proteins to which the His<sub>6</sub>-YsxC protein was bound, superimposing the film was superimposed on the corresponding Immobilon-P membrane stained with Ponceau red dye to localize the protein bands (indicated by arrows).

![Image](https://via.placeholder.com/150)

**FIG. 6.** Interaction of YsxC with a subset of ribosomal proteins. Proteins from *B. subtilis* 50S ribosomal subunits were analyzed by SDS-PAGE. After transfer onto an Immobilon-P membrane, the proteins were stained with Ponceau red dye. Ribosomal proteins bound to the membrane were renatured and incubated with purified His<sub>6</sub>-YsxC. After extensive washing, YsxC was detected by immunoblotting using an anti-His antibody and revealed on a film using enhanced chemiluminescence. (A) Separation of 50S ribosomal proteins through a 14 to 18% SDS-PAGE gel. The 20-, 27-, and 36-kDa molecular mass markers were the Prestained Protein Molecular Weight Markers from Fermentas, and the 6- and 16-kDa molecular mass markers were the SeeBlue Plus 2-stained standard from Invitrogen. (B) Detection of bound YsxC by immunoblotting. The film was superimposed on the corresponding Immobilon-P membrane stained with Ponceau red dye to analyze the doublet of the two upper bands in Fig. 6B were L1 and L3 in both samples (Table 1, no. 1 and 2) but in different ratios; the upper band contained essentially L1 (11 peptides compared to 1), whereas the lower band contained a little bit more L3 (11 peptides out of 20). In the third band, the most abundant protein was L10 (with nine peptides and a coverage of the sequence of 38.2%), and one peptide corresponded to L6. In the fourth band, the most abundant protein was L27 (7 peptides out of 11), but L7/L12 was also present (3 peptides), as well as L23 (1 peptide).

To validate our results, we used a pull-down assay, in which one of the proteins (YsxC or a ribosomal protein) was cloned with a GST tag at one of its extremities and bound to a glutathione-agarose column. If a putative interacting protein (lacking a GST tag) bound to the immobilized target protein and coeluted from the column when the target was eluted with reduced glutathione, then one could infer that the two proteins interacted. All of the putative interacting ribosomal proteins (L1, L3, L6, L7/L12, L10, L23, and L27) were thus cloned with a His<sub>6</sub> tag to facilitate their purification and, when soluble (see Table S2 in the supplemental material for production and solubility), were used as “prey” proteins, the immobilized target protein (the “bait” protein) being GST-YsxC (or GST protein as a control). In these cases, L7/L12 (mass, 14.9 kDa), L1 (mass, 29 kDa), and L6 (mass, 22.7 kDa) were eluted from the glutathione-agarose column, together with GST-YsxC (mass, 45 kDa) (but not with GST protein alone, as a control), whereas L23 (mass, 12.6 kDa) did not coelute with GST-YsxC (Fig. 7A). During the pull-down assay, there was some proteolysis of the GST-YsxC fusion protein, resulting in the presence of two protein bands on the gel: GST-YsxC and GST (YsxC was washed away during the washing step). In the case of L6 (mass, 22.7 kDa), its migration was almost identical to that of the GST protein (25.2 kDa); therefore, the presence of His<sub>6</sub>-L6 was checked and confirmed by immunoblotting, using anti-His antibody (Fig. 7B). His<sub>6</sub>-L10 and His<sub>6</sub>-L27 were not soluble. GST-L10 and GST-L27 were therefore produced but were poorly soluble (see Table S2 in the supplemental material). Coimmobilization assays were then performed with GST-L10 and GST-L27 as the prey proteins, using His<sub>6</sub>-YsxC as bait, but no interaction could be detected between these two ribosomal proteins and YsxC. However, one has to keep in mind that of the detected bands with those on the membrane corresponding bands, shown in Fig. 6A, were excised, and the protein content of each band was analyzed by nano-LC-MS/MS. Bands 1 and 2 were in some cases well separated on the gradient gel, and both were reactive toward His<sub>6</sub>-YsxC. The identified proteins are listed in Table 1. The proteins present in the doublet of the two upper bands in Fig. 6B were L1 and L3 in both samples (Table 1, no. 1 and 2) but in different ratios; the upper band contained essentially L1 (11 peptides compared to 1), whereas the lower band contained a little bit more L3 (11 peptides out of 20). In the third band, the most abundant protein was L10 (with nine peptides and a coverage of the sequence of 38.2%), and one peptide corresponded to L6. In the fourth band, the most abundant protein was L27 (7 peptides out of 11), but L7/L12 was also present (3 peptides), as well as L23 (1 peptide).

### Table 1. Protein identification by MS/MS<sup>a</sup>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Band no.</th>
<th>Protein description</th>
<th>Accession no.</th>
<th>Score&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Mass (Da)</th>
<th>Coverage (%)&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>No. of peptides&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>L1 (RL1_BACSU)</td>
<td>Q06797</td>
<td>762.4</td>
<td>24,776</td>
<td>47.2</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>L3 (RL3_BACSU)</td>
<td>P42920</td>
<td>92.4</td>
<td>22,669</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>L1 (RL1_BACSU)</td>
<td>Q06797</td>
<td>542.3</td>
<td>24,776</td>
<td>36.4</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>L10 (RL10_BACSU)</td>
<td>P42923</td>
<td>401.4</td>
<td>17,887</td>
<td>38.2</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>L6 (RL6_BACSU)</td>
<td>P46898</td>
<td>69.6</td>
<td>19,366</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>L27 (RL27_BACSU)</td>
<td>P95657</td>
<td>320.5</td>
<td>10,366</td>
<td>47.9</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>L7/L12 (RL7_BACSU)</td>
<td>P02394</td>
<td>170.8</td>
<td>12,612</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>L23 (RL23_BACSU)</td>
<td>P42924</td>
<td>35.2</td>
<td>10,922</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> Proteins were identified by nano-LC-ESI (electrospray ionization) MS/MS, followed by protein database mining.

<sup>b</sup> Score attributed to the identified protein by Mascot software (Matrix Science).

<sup>c</sup> Coverage (%), percentage of the full-length sequence covered by the matching peptides.

<sup>d</sup> No of peptides, number of peptides assigned to the protein.
mind that an additional interaction(s) between YsxC and some other putative ribosomal partner(s) might have occurred but that it was too loose to be retained during the washing steps. In conclusion, we were able to show that the L1, L6, and L7/L12 ribosomal proteins interact in vitro with YsxC, with the possibility that an additional interaction(s) between YsxC and some other soluble putative ribosomal partner(s) might have occurred but was too loose to be retained during the washing steps.

DISCUSSION

In the past few years, numerous nonribosomal proteins, including many GTPases, have been found to play key roles in ribosome assembly in eukaryotic cells (19, 31), and a similar trend is now emerging for the prokaryotic ribosome (15). YsxC has recently been suggested to be involved in ribosome biogenesis (61), and we report here that YsxC interacts with several ribosomal proteins of the 50S subunit.

We observed above that heterologous expression of recombinant YsxC from *B. subtilis* in *E. coli* cells resulted in the association of YsxC with ribosomes, which involved, at least in part, a direct interaction with rRNA. A possible interaction between YsxC and RNA was initially proposed based on the three-dimensional structure of YsxC due to the presence on its surface of a patch of conserved basic residues that might act as a hook to anchor the protein to RNA (57). Moreover, among the newly discovered bacterial GTPases that interact with ribosome, many have been shown to have the ability to bind to rRNA (5, 25, 27, 40, 42, 43), and this is probably a conserved trait originating from an ancient GTPase traceable to the last universal common ancestor (13, 36).

We were able to show that YsxC preferentially binds the 50S subunit and that guanine nucleotide occupancy of YsxC affects its association with the ribosome. This result is consistent with the different conformations adopted by YsxC in the GTP-bound, GDP-bound, and apo-enzyme states (57). Thus, it is likely that the ribosome-associated GTP-bound YsxC is released from the ribosome as a consequence of the hydrolysis of GTP.

To identify the possible ribosomal-protein partners of YsxC, a far-Western approach was used, leading to a positive interaction with L1, L3, at least one protein of a mixture of L6 and L10, and one protein of a mixture of L7/L12 (L7 and L12 are the same protein, but L7 is acetylated), L23, and L27. It is important to stress here the importance of protein L27 for both the assembly and function of the ribosome, since L27 has been suggested to be lacking in the ribosomes of YsxC-depleted cells (61) and deletion of the gene results in a severe growth defect (38, 73). All of the putative protein partners that we identified belong to the 50S subunit, while the 30S subunit failed to reveal any positive band, a result consistent with the interaction of YsxC primarily with the 50S subunit.

In order to validate our far-Western results, we performed pull-down assays with YsxC and His-tagged recombinant ribosomal proteins and confirmed a direct interaction between L1, L6, or L7/L12 and YsxC. We were unable to detect any interaction between L10 or L27 and YsxC by this approach. However, for L10, its N-terminal part is free in the ribosome, while its C-terminal part interacts with two L7/L12 dimers in *E. coli* (20, 23, 24, 51). In contrast, in the recombinant protein, its N-terminal part is linked to the GST moiety, and this could have prevented its interaction with YsxC. Thus, we cannot rule out the possibility that L10 is a physiological partner of YsxC.

It is remarkable that most of the proteins that potentially interact with YsxC, except L1, are in the same location on the
ribosome (Fig. 8 shows the protein localization). The L7/L12 proteins are the only ribosomal proteins that are present in multiple copies in prokaryotic ribosomes. They are organized as two L7/L12 dimers associated with the C-terminal region of protein L10, and at least one of the dimers comprises the stalk protuberance (20, 23, 24, 51). The detailed structure of the pentamer complex has not yet been resolved by X-ray crystallography of ribosomes (4, 6, 26, 33, 62, 74). On the basis of immune electron microscopy, the two L7/L12 dimers have been proposed to bind to different locations and also to adopt different conformations (49): one nonstalk dimer of protein L7/L12 is in a folded conformation on the subunit body, while the second dimer is in an extended conformation in the subunit stalk. Other studies (cross-linking data and cryoelectron microscopy localisation) also suggest multiple locations for L7/ L12 in the large subunit (18, 44, 65). The ribosomal stalk is highly flexible, and various conformations of the stalk are thought to occur in response to elongation factor binding and GTP hydrolysis (8). As for L1, it has been proposed to be located on the opposite side of the ribosome from the stalk position (39, 68). However, intrinsic flexibility of L1 has been suggested, depending on RNA binding (46–48); it adopts a closed conformation in the absence of RNA (47) but opens upon RNA binding (46, 48). This probably explains the difficulty in precisely determining the structure of the L1 protuberance within the ribosome, due to the high mobility of the region (1, 48). The flexibility of these regions might lead to a possible location of L1 closer to L7/L12 in the three-dimensional structure. Alternatively, one might propose that YsxC is able to interact with L1 and L7/L12 at different locations on the ribosome. The different patterns of interaction of YsxC with the 50S ribosomal proteins, depending on the nature of the nucleotide added (Fig. 5), might support such a hypothesis.

YsxC is required for 50S ribosomal-subunit assembly in vivo, since a 44.5S ribosomal intermediate accumulates in cells deleted of YsxC (61). This intermediate lacks ribosomal proteins L16, L27, and L36 (61), and we also have evidence that YsxC interacts with the 44.5S complex (data not shown). Altogether, these results strongly suggest that YsxC participates in the assembly and/or the processing steps of the preribosomal particle. We propose that YsxC binds to the 44.5S preribosomal complex through interaction with the partner ribosomal proteins identified here and/or possibly through association with rRNA. This association would somehow locally modify the conformation of the presubunit, thereby allowing the incorporation of the other missing proteins.
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