Genetic Dissection of the *Francisella novicida* Restriction Barrier*†
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*Francisella tularensis* is the causative agent of tularemia and is a category A select agent. *Francisella novicida*, considered by some to be one of four subspecies of *F. tularensis*, is used as a model in pathogenesis studies because it causes a disease similar to tularemia in rodents but is not harmful to humans. *F. novicida* exhibits a strong restriction barrier which reduces the transformation frequency of foreign DNA up to 10^4-fold. To identify the genetic basis of this barrier, we carried out a mutational analysis of restriction genes identified in the *F. novicida* genome. Strains carrying combinations of insertion mutations in eight candidate loci were created and assayed for reduced restriction of unmodified plasmid DNA introduced by transformation. Restriction was reduced by mutations in four genes, corresponding to two type I, one type II, and one type III restriction system. Restriction was almost fully eliminated in a strain in which all four genes were inactive. The strongest contributor to the restriction barrier, the type II gene, encodes an enzyme which specifically cleaves Dam-methylated DNA. Genome comparisons show that most restriction genes in the *F. tularensis* subspecies are pseudogenes, explaining the unusually strong restriction barrier in *F. novicida* and suggesting that restriction was lost during evolution of the human pathogenic subspecies. As part of this study, procedures were developed to introduce unmodified plasmid DNA into *F. novicida* efficiently, to generate defined multiple mutants, and to produce chromosomal deletions of multiple adjacent genes.

Restriction-modification (R-M) systems in bacteria limit the acquisition of foreign genes that enter the cell by infecting phage, conjugal transfer systems, or transformation. Incoming DNA is cleaved (restricted) if it has not been modified by methylation at specific sequences (24, 35). Almost all bacterial species carry R-M systems, with some species predicted to harbor dozens of R-M genes (11). There are four types of R-M systems (types I to IV), classified according to mechanism of action and the distribution of restriction, modification, and specificity functions among enzyme subunits (24, 27).

*Francisella tularensis* is an important human and zoonotic pathogen whose ecology and natural reservoirs are only partially understood. There are at least four subspecies which differ in their infectivity. Two of them, *F. tularensis* subsp. *tularensis* and *F. tularensis* subsp. *holarctica*, the causative agents of tularemia, are among the most infectious pathogens known and are classified as category A select agents due to their high infectivity, ease of dissemination, and severity of disease (5). Two others, “*F. tularensis* subsp. *novicida*” (Francisella novicida) and *F. tularensis* subsp. *mediasiatica*, do not generally cause disease in humans. The genomes of *Francisella* species are small (<2.0 Mbp), yet the bacteria are able to colonize hundreds of different animal and insect species, effectively evade host immune responses, and cause serious disease even at extremely small infective doses (e.g., fewer than 10 infecting cells of *F. tularensis* subsp. *tularensis*) (23). Genome comparisons have suggested that the highly virulent subspecies evolved from less virulent ancestors by processes that included significant gene loss (29, 31). Of the four commonly recognized species and subspecies, *F. novicida* is proposed to most closely represent the relatively avirulent ancestral strain (29, 31). *F. novicida* has emerged as an important model for studying virulence mechanisms in tularemia because it causes a tularemia-like disease in rodents but is not dangerous to humans (9, 13). *F. novicida* is also of inherent interest for its unique features among *Francisella* strains, which include rapid growth, relatively high virulence in mice, and the presence of one rather than two copies of the *Francisella* pathogenicity island (9, 18, 26).

An additional property which distinguishes *F. novicida* from the *F. tularensis* subspecies is the presence of a strong restriction barrier (16, 20). Plasmid DNA isolated from *Escherichia coli* or other *Francisella* subspecies transforms *F. novicida* as much as 10^4-fold less efficiently than plasmid DNA isolated directly from *F. novicida* (20). The unique presence of this barrier in *F. novicida* suggests that restriction may have been lost in the evolution of the more virulent lineages. The barrier is also of practical importance, for it has made genetic manipulations such as plasmid transformation and gene targeting in *F. novicida* challenging (7). In this study we have identified the principle determinants of restriction in *F. novicida* and have constructed a multiple mutant in which the restriction barrier is fully eliminated. The analysis substantiates the conjecture that restriction was lost in the evolution of highly virulent *Francisella* strains and provides useful tools for the genetic manipulation of *F. novicida*.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Strains, growth media, culture conditions, plasmids, and molecular methods. The *F. novicida* strains used were U112 (12) and transposon insertion derivatives from the near-saturation transposon mutant library (8). The *E. coli* strains used were CC118 [araD139 Δ(argF-1acZ)U169 phoA glnV44 δ880Δ (lacZ)M15 gcv406 recA1 endA1 thi-1 hsdR17] (36), and the dam-deficient strain.
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CC160 [araD139 (aroA-leu)/767 ΔΔx747 gaiE gaiK thi rpsL dam] (21). Nutrient growth medium for *F. novicida* was tryptic soy agar or broth (Difco) supplemented with 0.1% cysteine-HCl and 0.2% dextrose (TSBC or TSAC, respectively); for defined media, Chamberlain's defined medium (CDM) (4) and FnDM, a minimal medium described previously (8), were used. For *E. coli*, standard growth media were used (30). Antibiotics used for *F. novicida* were kanamycin (10 μg/ml), tetracycline (10 μg/ml), cotravin (3 μg/ml), and hygromycin (225 μg/ml); for *E. coli*, tetracycline (15 μg/ml) and hygromycin (200 μg/ml) were used. Unless otherwise stated, strains were grown at 37°C. The plasmids used were *F. novicida*- *E. coli* shuttle plasmids pKK214 (1), which carries a tetracycline resistance marker, pMP33 (16), which carries a hygromycin resistance marker, the Flp recombinase-expressing plasmid pLG72 (8), and the pLG72 derivatives described below. Genomic DNA and plasmids were isolated using Qiagen kits (Valencia, CA).

Construction of temperature-sensitive plasmids pFFlp and pFFlp-hyg for expression of Flp recombinase in *Francisella*. pLG72 (8) was digested with BglII (which cuts once within the plasmid at a site within the repA gene), end filled with T4 DNA polymerase, recircularized with T4 DNA ligase, and electroporated into U112. Screening of 48 transformants identified 2 with the ability to grow at 30°C but not 42°C. One of these was selected for further characterization. Sequence analysis of the entire repA gene within the corresponding plasmid (which we hereby name pFFlp) showed a single sequence difference from pLG72, an insertion of three base pairs (GAT) at codon 276 (the location of the aforementioned BglII site), resulting in the addition of an aspartate codon (D276DD). The insertion of three base pairs (GAT) at codon 276 (the location of the aforementioned site), resulting in the addition of an aspartate codon (D276DD). The plasmid was found to be maintained stably in *F. novicida* at 30°C but was lost from >80% of cells after overnight growth on solid medium at 37°C without selection. Its parent, pLG72, in contrast, was cured only with difficulty by screening colonies after serial passage without selection (data not shown).

We note that Maier and colleagues have also described a temperature-sensitive *Francisella* plasmid, pNLT10p, generated by an amino acid substitution at a different location within the repA gene (M1201) and which could not be maintained at 42°C even in the presence of selection (20). We also constructed a derivative of pFFlp, pFFlp-hyg, in which the vector's tetracycline resistance marker was replaced with a hygromycin resistance marker. pFFlp-hyg will be used in virulent *Francisella* sp. strains where tetracycline resistance is not an approved marker. To construct pFFlp-hyg, pMP33 (16) was digested with Hpal and Stul, releasing the hyg gene and its promoter region. pFFlp was digested with FspI, removing most of the tet gene. The two digestion reaction products were cleaned (Qiagen PCR cleanup kit), ligated together, and transformed into *E. coli*. Transformants selected on hygromycin were screened for the desired plasmid by restriction analysis. Candidate plasmids were tested to verify Flp recombinase activity and temperature-sensitive replication.

**Transformation.** For electroporation of plasmid DNA into *F. novicida*, an overnight TSBC culture started from a fresh colony was subcultured 1:100 in 10 ml of TSBC and incubated at 37°C with aeration to an optical density at 600 nm (OD600) of 0.5 to 0.9. Cells were pelleted and resuspended twice in 0.5 M sucrose with 1 mM EDTA and twice in 0.5 M sucrose, then pelleted and resuspended in 0.5 M sucrose to a volume of ~50 μl. Contributions were at approximately 3,000 × 10^3 g for 5 min, and all steps were performed at room temperature. Centrifuged cells (50 μl) were mixed with DNA, incubated at room temperature for 10 min, and electroporated (0.2-cm cuvette, 2.5 kV, 25 μF, 400 Ω; Gene Pulser; Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA). After adding 1 ml of TSBC, cells were incubated for 3 to 6 h at 37°C with aeration, and dilutions were plated on selective TSAC.

For transformation of chromosomal DNA into *F. novicida*, an overnight TSBC culture started from a fresh colony was subculturated 1:100 in 10 ml of TSBC and incubated at 37°C with aeration to an optical density at 600 nm (OD600) of 0.5 to 0.9. Cells were pelleted and resuspended twice in 0.5 M sucrose with 1 mM EDTA and twice in 0.5 M sucrose, then pelleted and resuspended in 0.5 M sucrose to a volume of ~50 μl. Contributions were at approximately 3,000 × 10^3 g for 5 min, and all steps were performed at room temperature. Centrifuged cells (50 μl) were mixed with DNA, incubated at room temperature for 10 min, and electroporated (0.2-cm cuvette, 2.5 kV, 25 μF, 400 Ω; Gene Pulser; Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA). After adding 1 ml of TSBC, cells were incubated for 3 to 6 h at 37°C with aeration, and dilutions were plated on selective TSAC.

**Frp-Frt recombination.** To carry out Flp-mediated recombination in strains bearing T20 insertions (the resistance marker in T20 is flanked by FRT sites), we used a variation of a previously described method (2, 32, 33). In brief, an overnight culture of the *F. novicida* recipient in CDM or FnDM was diluted 1:50 into CDM or FnDM, incubated at 37°C with aeration to an OD600 of 0.4 to 0.8, pelleted (~3,000 × 10^3 g at room temperature), and resuspended in a 1:10 volume of CDM or FnDM. DNA for transformation (e.g., 100 ng genomic DNA) and 0.1 ml concentrated cells were added to 1.0 ml transformation buffer (33) which was modified to exclude MgCl2 and MnCl2 (2). The mixture was incubated for 30 to 60 min at 37°C with gentle agitation (100 rpm shaker), then 2 ml of CDM was added and the mixture was incubated at 37°C with aeration for 3 to 6 h before plating on selective TSAC.

**Flp-Frt recombination.** To carry out Flp-mediated recombination in strains bearing T20 insertions (the resistance marker in T20 is flanked by FRT sites), plasmid pFFlp was introduced by electroporation and transformants were selected by incubation at 30°C on TSAC with tetracycline. Transformants were restreaked for single colonies on TSAC with tetracycline and incubated at 37°C. Isolated colonies from this streak were tested for kanamycin sensitivity (indicating Flp recombinase-mediated excision of the marker) by patching and incubation at 37°C. Usually >80% of colonies were Kanr, whereas <0.1% of colonies from control transformations (using pKK214, the parent of pLG72, and pFFlp, which does not bear the flp gene) were Kanr. Kanr patches were restreaked for single colonies on medium without selection and incubated at 37°C. Isolated colonies from this streak were tested for tetracycline sensitivity (indicating loss of pFFlp) by patching and incubation at 37°C. Usually >80% of colonies were Tetr.

**Construction of multiple mutants.** Multiple mutants were generated from T20 insertion alleles as follows and as shown in Fig. 1: (i) the resistance marker in a T20 insertion mutant was excised by Flp-mediated recombination and curing of the Flp-bearing plasmid (see above); (ii) a second allele was introduced by transformation of genomic DNA from the corresponding mutant (see above). The steps were repeated to generate double, triple, quadruple, and quintuple mutants representing combinations of the insertion alleles. Over 200 multiple mutants were generated; a complete list is provided in the supplemental material. Two key mutants, which are described in the Results section, were MFN192, a triple mutant bearing mutations in loci 2, 4, and 6 (FTN_1487), and MFN245, a quadruple mutant bearing mutations in loci 2, 3, 4, and 6 (FTN_1698).

A potential complication of expressing Flp recombinase in strains bearing FRT sites at multiple genomic locations (such as the multiple mutants described in this work) is genomic rearrangement. For FRT sites in reverse orientation to one another in the genome, recombination between them would produce an inversion of the intervening DNA. For cooriented sites, recombination would result in a deletion of the intervening DNA. For sites at locations distant from one another in the genome (such as the eight candidate R-M loci studied in this work), each a deletion would not be recovered due to loss of essential functions resident in the deleted fragment. To help reduce recovery of rearrangements for the multiple mutants constructed in this work, insertions were chosen whenever possible to be cooriented with one another. However, not all insertions in the triple, quadruple, and quintuple mutants were cooriented. While some inversions may therefore have occurred, such inversions would not be predicted to affect the phenotypes under study. To help ensure that genomic rearrangement was not a complicating factor, we independently constructed and tested multiple mutants for the various mutant combinations studied. Independently constructed mutants always showed consistent phenotypes (data not shown).

**Generation of a large deletion.** Flp-mediated recombination between two cooriented FRT sites for which the intervening genomic sequence is not essential...
for growth offers a means for intentionally generating genomic deletions. We generated and confirmed a deletion of this kind as follows: several strains were created that carried insertions in the genes FTN_0287 (the locus 1 putative restriction gene) and FTN_0283, a pseudogene four genes distant from FTN_0287 (Fig. 2). After expressing Flp recombinase in these strains (by using pFFlp), we used PCR to test the resulting kanamycin-sensitive isolates for deletion of the genomic DNA between the two insertion sites. In one of two independent isolates examined, an amplification product corresponding to the deletion was observed, whereas in its parent (the same strain before Flp expression), the PCR test showed the presence of each individual insertion allele and not the deletion (data not shown).

**RESULTS**

Multiple systems contribute to the restriction barrier in *Francisella novicida*. The genome of *F. novicida* is predicted to encode multiple DNA restriction and modification functions (www.francisella.org). There are four restriction enzymes predicted with high confidence: three type I HsdR proteins and one probable type III restriction endonuclease (Fig. 2, loci 1 to 4). For most of these genes, putative specificity and methyltransferase functions are encoded by nearby genes (Fig. 2).

We first sought to determine whether loss of any one of these four predicted restriction genes strongly compromised the observed restriction barrier. Individual mutants from the *F. novicida* transposon mutant library (8) were tested for increased efficiency of transformation of unmodified plasmid DNA. To obtain reproducible, quantitative results, we developed a “restriction assay” in which we transformed mixtures of modified and unmodified plasmids which could be genetically distinguished. The restriction activity of different mutants was then assayed by the relative transformation efficiency of the two plasmids (see Materials and Methods).

We found that when both plasmids were fully modified, the inherent transformation frequency of pMP633 was approximately eightfold higher than for pKK214gfp ($\frac{n}{H11005} = 2$; standard deviation $= 3.4$). Therefore, for the results presented in this report, we adjusted the calculated relative transformation efficiency ratios by this correction factor.
ciency of unmodified relative to modified plasmid, suggesting that none of the four systems was predominantly responsible for the strong restriction barrier. These results did not rule out the possibility that the four systems play overlapping roles in restriction, so that elimination of any one of them alone would lead to little change in overall restriction.

**Mutation of multiple systems substantially reduces restriction.** To assess whether more than one of the predicted restriction systems contributed to restriction, we constructed multiple mutants. We developed a technique for efficiently building multiple mutants using insertion alleles from the *F. novicida* transposon mutant library (8). The technique, depicted in Fig. 1, employs chromosomal DNA transformation to introduce marked transposon insertion alleles into recipient strains carrying unmarked insertion alleles created by Flp-FRT recombination. In brief, (i) the resistance marker within a T20 transposon insertion mutant is excised by introduction of a plasmid expressing Flp recombinase, resulting in an unmarked insertion; (ii) after curing the plasmid, a second insertion mutation is introduced by transformation of chromosomal DNA from a second transposon mutant; (iii) the marker excision and transformation steps are repeated to add additional mutations. To facilitate the plasmid curing step, we created a Flp expression plasmid, pFFlp, with temperature-sensitive replication in *F. novicida* (see Materials and Methods).

We constructed double, triple, and quadruple mutants carrying combinations of mutant alleles of the four strongly predicted restriction loci. Multiple insertion alleles were examined for each gene (see the supplemental material). Strains were then tested using the restriction assay described above. Figure 3A summarizes the data, showing all possible combinations of multiple mutations in these four strongly predicted restriction genes. Using alternative alleles at each locus produced comparable results (data not shown). The quadruple mutants and one of the triple mutant combinations displayed an approximately 1,000-fold decrease in restriction activity (Fig. 3A), representing a substantial attenuation of the restriction barrier. Most of the double and triple mutant combinations displayed intermediate levels of restriction.

The results suggest that three of the four genes make significant contributions to the restriction barrier and that the effects of inactivation of each of the three loci are multiplicative. For example, mutations in locus 2 (FTN_0710) or locus 4 (FTN_1487) decreased restriction levels 5- to 10-fold, while strains carrying mutations in both loci showed an approximately 100-fold decrease. Mutations in locus 3 (FTN_1155), which individually (or when combined with locus 4 mutations) did not detectably reduce restriction, decreased restriction approximately 10-fold when combined with locus 2 mutations. Locus 1 (FTN_0287) appeared to have no effect on restriction, since all triple and quadruple mutant combinations in which locus 1 was mutated displayed the same levels of restriction as the corresponding multiple mutants in which locus 1 was intact (Fig. 3A). We conclude that loci 2, 3, and 4, but not locus 1, make significant contributions to restriction under our assay conditions.

**A fourth restriction function.** Although the restriction barrier was substantially reduced in the multiple mutants examined, even the most severely affected still exhibited significant restriction, corresponding to a greater-than-100-fold decrease in the efficiency of transformation of unmodified plasmid (Fig. 3A). Thus, there must exist one or more additional restriction systems. Accordingly, we examined the genome for additional candidate restriction genes. Several genes with homology to endonuclease functions were identified: FTN_0418, a predicted endonuclease with a URI domain; FTN_0449, a gene of unknown func-
tion with weak similarity (e = 0.009) to the type I HsdR COG group; FTN_0509, a protein of unknown function with similarity (32% identity) to an F. tularensis gene annotated as a restriction enzyme (Fig. 2, locus 5); FTN_1378, a hypothetical protein with similarity (32% identity) to a type I HsdR protein from Prosthochloris aestuarii; FTN_1698, a Dam-replacing family protein with significant similarity (53% identity) to the type II restriction enzyme DpnI (Fig. 2, locus 6). Two of these genes, FTN_0509 and FTN_1698 (Fig. 2, loci 5 and 6), were also identified as potential restriction genes by REBASE, a database of known and postulated R-M genes in bacterial genomes (28).

To test whether any of these additional genes contributed to the restriction barrier, we introduced corresponding mutant alleles for four of them (FTN_0418 was not tested because no mutant alleles were available from the transposon insertion library [8]) into the strongest restriction-deficient triple and quadruple mutants described above. We then tested these strains for diminished restriction. We found that mutations in one of the candidate genes, the Dam-replacing family protein (FTN_1698; Fig. 2, locus 6), led to an approximately 500-fold reduction in plasmid restriction (Fig. 3B). Single mutants of FTN_1698 exhibited a 10- to 100-fold decrease in restriction relative to wild type (Fig. 3B). Single mutants of FTN_1698, led to an approximately 500-fold reduction in plasmid restriction (Fig. 3B). Single mutants of FTN_1698, led to an approximately 500-fold reduction in plasmid restriction (Fig. 3B).

We have named the four restriction systems showing measurable activity (loci 2, 3, 4, and 6) FtnUI, FtnUHII, FtnUHIII, and FtnUHIV, respectively. In consideration of precedent and recommended naming conventions for the different types of restriction systems (3, 27), we have named the individual genes comprising each system as follows (and as shown in Fig. 2): for FtnUI (locus 2; a type I R-M system), hsdSAI (FTN_0703), hsdMI (FTN_0704), hsdSBI (FTN_0707), and hsdRI (FTN_0710); for FtnUHII (locus 3; type I), hsdMII (FTN_1152), hsdSII (FTN_1154), and hsdRII (FTN_1155); for FtnUHIII (locus 4; type II), res (FTN_1487) and mod (FTN_1491); for FtnUHIV (locus 6; type II), drg (FTN_1698).

**TABLE 1.** Modification capabilities of the restriction-deficient mutants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plasmid source</th>
<th>Transformation efficiency relative to fully modified plasmid</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E. coli (dam+)</td>
<td>1.5 × 10^{-4}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. coli (dam deficient)</td>
<td>1.0 × 10^{-3}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U112 (hsdRI hsdRII res)</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MFN192 (hsdRII res)</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MFN245 (hsdRII res drg)</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a A Hyg-marked plasmid isolated from the strains listed was cotransformed with a Tet-marked plasmid isolated from U112 to determine the transformation frequency of the Hyg-marked plasmid relative to the fully modified plasmid. Values represent geometric means of two separate assays and are normalized to the geometric mean value for plasmid isolated from U112. Variance was within 0.5 logs under each condition.
The restriction-deficient strains are modification proficient. As shown above, plasmid from dam− E. coli can be introduced into MFN245 at up to 108-greater efficiency than into U112. If MFN245 remains modification proficient, then plasmids isolated from MFN245 should transform U112 with substantially greater efficiency than unmodified plasmids or plasmid isolated from dam-deficient E. coli. To determine the modification capability of MFN245, we tested the transformation efficiency into U112 of a plasmid isolated from dam− E. coli, dam-deficient E. coli, U112, MFN192, or MFN245 (Table 1). We found that plasmid isolated from MFN245 transformed U112 nearly as efficiently as plasmid isolated from U112. The absence of Dam methylation by F. novicida MFN245 accounts for a 10- to 100-fold increase in the plasmid’s transformability into U112 compared to DNA from dam− E. coli (for DNA from dam-deficient E. coli, we observed a 10-fold increase in this instance [Table 1]). The approximately 102-fold additional increase in transformation efficiency observed implies that MFN245 remains almost completely modification proficient for the other three R-M systems, FtnUI, FtnUII, and FtnUIII (loci 2, 3, and 4). MFN192 (the loci 2, 3, 4 triple mutant) and several other partially restriction-deficient mutants were also tested and found to be equally modification proficient (Table 1 and data not shown).

DISCUSSION

We report a comprehensive genetic analysis of the functions underlying the strong restriction barrier in F. novicida. Four of eight different potential restriction systems were found to contribute to the restriction of unmodified plasmid DNA introduced by transformation. The active functions corresponded to two type I restriction systems, one type II restriction system, and one type III restriction system. A strain carrying mutations inactivating all four systems appeared to be fully restriction-negative and displayed a 105 to 106 greater transformation efficiency than the wild type.

The type II system (drg) (Fig. 2, locus 6) was quantitatively the most important of the four restriction functions and corresponds to an unusual class of enzyme which specifically restricts Dam-methylated DNA and is found in bacterial genomes in place of dam (3). The finding suggests that exposure to DNA derived from Dam-bearing bacteria may have been significant in the history of the F. novicida species. Dam-methylase genes are particularly widespread in enteric bacteria but are also present in other genera (15, 17). Given the cleavage specificity of drg for Dam-methylated DNA, it is not surprising that no dam gene is found in the F. novicida genome.

The two type I restriction genes which contribute to the restriction barrier (hisdRI and hisdRII; loci 2 and 3 in Fig. 2) may have partially overlapping specificities. This was suggested by the finding that hisdRII (locus 3) mutations by themselves or in combination with locus 1 or locus 4 mutations did not significantly decrease restriction but did cause a decrease when combined with hisdRI (locus 2) mutations (Fig. 3A). On the other hand, hisdRI mutations always diminished restriction, even in the absence of hisdRII mutations, suggesting that if there is a functional redundancy between these two genes, it is only partial. There appears to be partial redundancy in the contributions to the restriction barrier of some of the other genes as well. Specifically, the effect of loss of restriction by the drg (locus 6) gene product, whether due to gene inactivation or the absence of Dam methylation of incoming DNA, was significantly greater (>100-fold) when hisdRI, hisdRII, and res (loci 2, 3, and 4) were inactivated than when they were intact (~10-fold) (Fig. 4). Since the drg gene product apparently restricts with different specificity than the other systems, this observation can likely be explained by overlapping effects on the integrity of the incoming plasmid molecules.

Two of the genes which were annotated as restriction genes—one by the F. novicida genome annotation (FTN_0287) and both by REBASE (rebase.neb.com)—appeared to make no contribution to restriction (FTN_0287 and FTN_0509; loci 1 and 5 in Fig. 2). FTN_0287 is annotated as a type I restriction subunit but encodes a much smaller gene product (255 codons) than the two type I enzymes which were shown to be active (1,036 and 782 codons). Hence, FTN_0287 may be a gene remnant that is no longer active. This possibility is reinforced by the finding that the modification subunit gene neighboring it, FTN_0283, is a pseudogene. The second apparently inactive gene, FTN_0509, is annotated by rebase.neb.com as a fused type II restriction and modification gene, suggesting an unusual structure which also may have lost restriction capability. Hence, these two genes may both be inactive as restriction genes. It is possible, however, that one or both of them encode active restriction enzymes but that the enzyme recognition sites were not present on the plasmids used to assay restriction in this study.

Several new tools and techniques were developed in this work which should be of practical value to the Francisella research community. The full restriction-negative strain, MFN245, is modification-positive (Table 1). Therefore, the traditionally difficult task of transforming E. coli-derived plasmids into F. novicida can easily be surmounted by first transforming into MFN245 and then isolating the plasmid from MFN245 and transforming into the wild-type strain. MFN245 should even be suitable as a direct recipient of ligation reactions, facilitating the construction of plasmids which replicate exclusively in Francisella spp. Furthermore, the absence of the restriction barrier in MFN245 may facilitate targeted mutagenesis by transformation of linear PCR products using procedures analogous to those developed for other Francisella species (14, 19).

A simple alternative procedure to increase the efficiency of F. novicida transformation with plasmid DNA is to isolate the plasmid directly from dam-deficient E. coli strains. Although such plasmid preparations will not transform as efficiently as plasmid isolated from F. novicida MFN245, this approach should be adequate for many applications.

This report also presents a general technique for making multiple mutants in F. novicida. The technique utilizes chromosomal transformation to introduce specific transposon insertion alleles and transient expression of Flp recombinase to recycle the resistance marker, allowing the construction of multiple mutants through iteration of these steps (Fig. 1). Quintuple mutants were generated without difficulty in this work, and in principle many additional mutations could also be introduced. The technique can also be applied to intentionally
produce deletions between chromosomal loci, allowing, for example, the deletion of nonessential chromosomal islands or operons. We generated one such deletion (of approximately 4.8 kb) in the course of this work (see Materials and Methods).

Our results help explain why the strong restriction barrier exhibited by *F. novicida* is not found in fully virulent *Francisella tularensis* subspecies. Analysis of the available sequenced genomes shows that most of the restriction genes present in these subspecies are pseudogenes (Table 2). The only intact annotated restriction genes are the following: in *F. tularensis* subsp. *tularensis*, a type III gene and (in strain WY96-3418 but not in Schu4 or FSC198) a type I gene; in *F. tularensis* subsp. *holarctica*, a type III gene and (in strain WY96-3418 but not in Schu4 or FTA); in *F. novicida*, a type III gene (in strain OSU18 only; none in strains LVS or FTA); in *F. pseudomallei*, a type III gene (in strain OSU18 only; none in strains LVS or FTA); in *B. mallei*, a type II gene and (in strain WY96-3418 but not in Schu4 or FSC198) a type I gene; in *B. thailandensis*, IP31758 (6), also carries fewer restriction genes than the less virulent type strain of the same species, IP32953. In *Burkholderia*, the human pathogenic species *B. pseudomallei* and *B. mallei* have significantly fewer restriction genes than the related nonpathogenic species *B. thailandensis* (10, 25). In the strains examined in both of these genera (*Yersinia* and *Burkholderia*), no restriction genes present as pseudogenes were identified (Table 2).

In the case of *Francisella tularensis*, since the virulent strains are characterized by a high number of pseudogenes across many functional categories (29), it may be that restriction was simply one of many capacities not strongly selected for in the relatively short evolutionarily histories of the virulent subspecies. Alternatively, the maintenance of a strong restriction barrier in *F. novicida* (and presumably the ancestral *F. tularensis* strain) may indicate a greater exposure to incoming foreign DNA in this strain, whether due to environmental niche or genetic factors modulating such exposure. It is also possible, however, that the loss of restriction in some *Francisella* strains (or in strains of other bacterial species) may have enabled more rapid evolution toward virulence by facilitating the acquisition of virulence genes by horizontal transfer.
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Table 2. Putative restriction genes in bacterial strains

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>Annotated restriction genes* (no. of intact genes/no. of pseudogenes)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Type I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>F. tularensis</em> subsp. <em>novicida</em> U112</td>
<td>3/0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>F. tularensis</em> subsp. <em>tularensis</em> strains Schu4</td>
<td>0/2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FSC198</td>
<td>0/2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WY96-3418</td>
<td>1/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>F. tularensis</em> subsp. <em>holarctica</em> strains</td>
<td>LVS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTA</td>
<td>0/2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OSU18</td>
<td>0/2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>F. tularensis</em> subsp. <em>mediasiatica</em> FSC147</td>
<td>0/2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Yersinia pseudotuberculosis</em> strains IP32953</td>
<td>2/0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IP31758b</td>
<td>1/0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Yersinia pestis</em> strains CO92</td>
<td>0/0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KIM</td>
<td>0/0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Burkholderia thailandensis</em> E264</td>
<td>1/0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Burkholderia pseudomallei</em> strains K96243</td>
<td>1/0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1710b</td>
<td>0/0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Burkholderia mallei</em> strains NCTC10247</td>
<td>0/0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATCC 23344</td>
<td>0/0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Based on information in REBASE (rebase.neb.com).

b IP31758 is significantly more pathogenic to humans than IP32953 (6).
novicida LPS has greater immunological activity in mice than F. tularensis LPS, and contributes to F. novicida murine pathogenesis. Microbes Infect. 5:397–403.


