Chemosensory Regulation of a HEAT-Repeat Protein Couples Aggregation and Sporulation in *Myxococcus xanthus*
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Chemosensory systems are complex, highly modified two-component systems (TCS) used by bacteria to control various biological functions ranging from motility to sporulation. Chemosensory systems and TCS both modulate phosphorelay systems comprised of histidine kinases and response regulators, some of which are single-domain response regulators (SD-RRs) such as CheY. In this study, we have identified and characterized the Che7 chemosensory system of *Myxococcus xanthus*, a common soil bacterium which displays multicellular development in response to stress. Both genetic and biochemical analyses indicate that the Che7 system regulates development via a direct interaction between the SD-RR CheY7 and a HEAT repeat domain-containing protein, Cpc7. Phosphorylation of the SD-RR affects the interaction with its target, and residues within the α4-β5-α5 fold of the REC domain govern this interaction. The identification of the Cpc7 interaction with CheY7 extends the diversity of known targets for SD-RRs in biological systems.

Chemosensory systems are complex, highly modified two-component systems (TCS) used to control bacterial behavior. While the canonical chemosensory system regulates flagellum-based motility (1, 2), it has become clear that these systems can also regulate alternative cellular functions (ACFs) (3). Several examples are known in which ACF systems affect multicellular development, including biofilm (4–7), cyst (8), and fruiting-body (9, 10) formation. Like systems that regulate chemotaxis (1, 2), chemosensory systems are composed of a core phosphorelay (defined by the presence of a CheA homolog and its cognate response regulator partner) and an associated adaptation module conferring sensitivity to gradients in the environment.

For chemosensory systems, stimuli are perceived by methyl-accepting chemotaxis proteins (MCPs), and the signal is transmitted via CheW coupling proteins to a CheA kinase. CheA autophosphorylates on a conserved His residue and subsequently passes the phosphoryl group to a conserved Asp residue within a receiver (REC) domain of a response regulator (RR) to control the output of the system. The domain organization of the RR can vary. For prototypical TCS, ~85% of REC domains are covalently linked to DNA binding domains (DBD), whereas many REC domains encoded within chemosensory systems exist as single domain response regulators (SD-RR), including CheY. During chemotaxis, *Escherichia coli* CheY interacts directly with the flagellar components FlIM and FlIN to bias the rotation of the flagella, thereby regulating behavior (11–13). The CheY-FlIM/N affinity is dependent on the phosphorylation state of CheY (14).

Despite the extensive knowledge gained through many studies on *E. coli* CheY, there are few examples of the roles and targets of SD-RRs for ACF systems. The search for those roles and targets is complicated by the fact that the *E. coli* chemotaxis system contains a second response regulator, CheB, responsible for demethylation of the MCPs to bring about adaptation in the presence of a stimulus. However, in the case of CheB, the REC domain is covalently linked to a methylesterase domain that becomes activated upon phosphorylation of the REC domain. Additionally, CheY–P interacts with CheZ, which promotes dephosphorylation of the response regulator to reset the system. Thus, two REC domains with very similar topologies interact with multiple, distinct targets, including the CheA histidine kinase, the flagellar switch complex, a methylesterase, and a phosphatase. Similarly, SD-RRs are likely to have a large array of potential interaction partners for the regulation of alternative cellular functions.

The soil bacterium *Myxococcus xanthus* has over 40 SD-RRs, 6 of which are genetically associated with chemosensory systems (15, 16). *M. xanthus* is a model organism for the study of signal transduction and development. Cells coordinate their movement to mount predatory attacks (17). When cells sense low nutrient availability, they begin a developmental program that results in multicellular fruiting-body formation and differentiation into resistant myxospores (18). Regulation of these complex processes is governed in part by a large repertoire of signaling proteins, including 127 TCS and 8 chemosensory systems (15, 16). In this report, we characterize the Che7 ACF chemosensory system for its role in *M. xanthus* development. We identify the immediate output for the SD-RR CheY7 to be a HEAT repeat domain-containing protein, Cpc7, required for the appropriate coupling of aggregation and sporulation by *M. xanthus*.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Strains and growth conditions.** Wild-type *M. xanthus* DZ2 was used in this study. Bacterial strains and plasmids are listed in Table S1 in the supplemental material. *M. xanthus* was grown routinely in Casitone-yeast extract (CYE) media at 32°C (19). Kanamycin and spectinomycin were used at 100 μg/ml and 800 μg/ml, respectively. *E. coli* strains were grown in Luria broth (LB) at 37°C. Kanamycin (40 μg/ml), spectinomycin...
(100 μg/ml), and ampicillin (100 μg/ml) were used for maintenance of plasmids.

**DNA manipulations and strain construction.** Standard cloning procedures were used. Primers are listed in Table S2 in the supplemental material. Site-directed mutagenesis was performed using the QuickChange site-directed mutagenesis kit (Agilent), and constructs were verified by sequencing (New England Genomics).

In-frame deletions were made in *M. xanthus* using counterselection plasmid pBJ114 as previously reported (20). Briefly, 1-kb flanking regions were PCR amplified and cloned into pBJ114 using restriction enzymes. Plasmids were electroporated into *M. xanthus* and transformants plated in soft agar (0.7%) containing 40 μg/ml of kanamycin. Resulting merodiploid colonies were grown for several generations and plated on CTT (1% Casitone, 10 mM morpholinepropanesulfonic acid [MOPS; pH 7.6], 1 mM KH₂PO₄) media containing 2% galactose for counterselection. Galactose-resistant, kanamycin-sensitive colonies were screened by colony PCR for deletions using gene-specific primers.

Complementation constructs were integrated at the Mx8 site using standard protocols (21). Plasmids were electroporated into *M. xanthus* and transformants plated in soft agar containing 40 μg/ml of kanamycin. Resulting colonies were grown for several generations, and integration was verified by site-specific PCR.

**Developmental assays.** Strains were grown to mid-log phase and harvested by centrifugation (8,000 × g). Cells were washed in deionized water (dH₂O) and concentrated to 8 × 10⁸ cells/ml (see Fig. 2A, 4, and 5; see also Fig. S2 and S3 in the supplemental material) or 2 × 10⁷ cells/ml (see Fig. 2B). Ten-microliter spots were plated on CFL agar [10 mM MOPS (pH 7.6), 1 mM KH₂PO₄, 8 mM MgSO₄, 0.02% (NH₄)₂SO₄, 0.02% citrate, 0.02% pyruvate, and 15 mg/liter of Casitone] (22) and incubated at 32°C. Pictures were taken using a Nikon Eclipse 50i phase-contrast microscope and a Nikon SMZ1500 dissecting microscope with a Q Imaging MicroPublisher 5.0 RTV camera and software. For spore counts, 10 spots were inoculated per plate. At various time points, cells were scraped using a razor blade and resuspended in 1 ml of dH₂O. Samples were incubated at 55°C and sonicated using Branson sonifier 150 set at intensity 4 for 10 s to kill vegetative cells. Dilutions were plated on CYE media in soft agar, and CFU were counted.

**Protein purification.** CheY7, CheY⁷D⁵₃₃A, CheY⁷F₁₀₇⁸A, and Cpc7 were cloned into pET28a expression vector to generate a T7-6X His N-terminal tag. For acetyl phosphate (AcP) experiments, proteins were purified by standard batch procedure as previously described (23). For fluorescence binding assays, proteins were affinity purified over a Ni⁺⁺ column and a size exclusion column. For all proteins, purity was determined by Coomassie staining.

**Acetyl phosphate labeling.** Proteins were radiolabeled with acetyl phosphate ([³²P]AcP) as described previously (10, 24). Briefly, [³²P]AcP was generated using *E. coli* acetate kinase (Sigma). Protein was removed by centrifugation in an Amicon Ultra filter unit (Millipore). A 5 μM concentration of CheY7 or CheY⁷D⁵₃₃A was incubated with AcP for 1 h and incubated at 32°C. Massie staining. Protein was removed by centrifugation (8,000 × g) overnight at 30°C. Samples were resuspended in Tris buffer, sonicated (intensity 4 for 10 s), and centrifuged to remove debris (16,000 × g). One hundred microliters of lysate was assayed in a standard Miller assay. Protein concentrations were determined by Bradford assay (Bio-Rad).

**Fluorescence binding assay.** Intrinsic tryptophan fluorescence was measured at 25°C using a Fluorolog 3 spectrophotofluorimeter (Jobin Yvon, Horiba) in a 1.5-mL sample of 0.5 μM Cpc7 in Tris buffer (25 mM Tris-HCl [pH 7.6], 125 mM NaCl, 5% glycerol). For each measurement, excitation was at 291 nm (14.7-nm slit width). The fluorescence emission spectrum was collected in the range of 300 to 400 nm (14.7-nm slit width).

CheY or CheY⁷F₁₀₇⁸A was titrated to a final concentration of 15 μM. Each titration point was stirred for 30 s. Peak fluorescence was normalized to a buffer control titration. The Kᵦ (association constant) for each titration was determined by fitting buffer-corrected data to the following equation by nonlinear regression (Sigma Plot; SPPS, Inc.), where A is fluorescence at each step during the titration, Aₘᵦᵢᵠ is the initial fluorescence, Bₘᵦᵢᵠ is maximum fluorescence at saturation, and [RR] is the total concentration of response regulator in solution.

\[
(A - A_{\text{min}}) = \frac{B_{\text{max}}[\text{RR}]}{K_a + [\text{RR}]}
\]

The resulting dissociation constant was the average of three independent experiments.

**Circular dichroism.** CheY7 and CheY⁷F₁₀₇⁸A proteins were purified as described for the fluorescence binding assay. Samples were diluted to a final concentration of 20 μM in Tris buffer (25 mM Tris-HCl [pH 7.6], 125 mM NaCl, 5% glycerol) and analyzed using a 1-mm cuvette in a Jobin Y-815 circular-dichroism (CD) spectrometer.

**RESULTS**

**Identification of the che7 cluster.** *M. xanthus* encodes eight chemosensory systems, four of which have been described in some detail: Frz (25–27), Dif (28, 29), Che3 (9, 10), and Che4 (30). The central processing component for all chemosensory systems is CheA. In previous work, we analyzed the *M. xanthus* genome for cheA homologs and identified four additional clusters, including Che5 to Che8 (31, 32). Because each cluster contains unique genes predicted to encode novel protein functionality regarding inputs and outputs, we further characterized the Che7 system (genes MXAN_6965 to MXAN_6957) for its role in development in *M. xanthus*. One unique cluster encoded within the che7 cluster is a HEAT repeat domain-containing protein, Cpc7. This protein has homology to phycocyanobilin lyases found in cyanobacteria. As determined by reverse transcription-PCR (RT-PCR), the Che7 cluster encodes CheY7, CheA7, CheW7, Mcp7, Cpc7, CheB7, CheR7, and Des7 (Fig. 1; see also Fig. S1 in the supplemental material).

An *in silico* analysis of Che7-like systems based on cheA7, mc7p, and cpc7 sequences revealed loci with the same gene order. A phylogenetic tree was produced based on a CheA-CheR-CheB concatenated protein, as described previously (33) (Fig. 1B). Notably, che7 was found largely within two clades, the myxobacteria and cyanobacteria. Within the myxobacteria, che7 was found only in species with a developmental cycle: *Myxococcus fulvus*, *Corallococcus coralloides*, *Stigmatella aurantiaca*, and *Sorangium cellulosum*. In contrast, che7 was not found in the closely related species *Arthospira palisada* and *Arthospira abysinica*, two species that do not undergo development, or within other members of the *Deltaproteobacteria* clade. Importantly, each che7 cluster contains a cpc7 homolog. Together, these results suggest that Che7 may regulate a process specific to myxobacteria capable of development and that Cpc7 may be involved in regulation of that process.

Cyanobacteria that possess a che7-like locus, including a Cpc7-like HEAT repeat gene annotated as a phycocyanobilin lyase, include *Arthospira species*, *Crinalium episammum*, and *Oscillatoria acuminata* (15). Phycocyanobilin lyase homologs have been described and have roles in maintenance of light-harvesting phycoobilisomes (34, 35). Thus, it is not surprising to find these genes in cyanobacteria. However, HEAT repeat proteins can mediate protein-protein interactions (36), bind iron (37), stabilize [Fe-S] clusters (38, 39), and interact with chemotaxis proteins (40). In
addition to cyanobacteria, che7 loci were found in Gemmata obscuriglobus, Opitutus terrae, and Anaerolinea thermophila. Based on the occurrence of the cpc7 gene in chemosensory clusters (Fig. 1B), Che7 may have originated in cyanobacteria with a role in harvesting light, whereas in myxobacteria the system appears to have evolved to regulate some aspect of development (see below). Mutations in the Che7 system alter aggregation and development into spores. To determine the role of Che7 during the M. xanthus life cycle, we constructed in-frame deletions in genes within the che7 cluster. We did not observe any defects in growth, motility, or exopolysaccharide (EPS) production during vegetative growth (see Fig. S1 in the supplemental material; other data not shown). Because the che7 system is found in developing myxobacteria, we tested each strain for development on CFL starvation media. We found that che7 mutants had severe aggregation defects relative to the parent strain, DZ2 (Fig. 2A). For example, the ΔcheY7 mutant cells formed poorly defined aggregates that never matured into discrete fruiting bodies. Cells sporulated nonetheless, both within mounds and between the aggregates as individual cells; this is in contrast to the wild type, which sporulates almost exclusively within fruiting bodies (Fig. 2). Despite aberrant sporulation by the ΔcheY7 mutant, motile rods could be found at the periphery of the colony (see Fig. S2B in the supplemental material), indicating that sporulation occurs only in a subset of cells,
similar to findings for the wild type. Strains with mutations in cpc7 and cheR7 also aggregated poorly compared to the wild type. The Δche7 and the Δmcp7 mutants further decoupled aggregation from sporulation, forming lawns of spores at the initial spot of inoculation. The Δche7 and Δdes7 strains formed normal fruiting bodies, although the timing of aggregation was delayed compared to that for the parent.

To determine if the Δche7 mutant spores were viable, we harvested cells over time, sonicated and heat killed vegetative cells, and plated resistant spores for CFU determination. The results for vested cells over time, sonicated and heat killed vegetative cells, compared to that for the parent.

FIG 3 CheY7 is a phosphorylatable SD-RR. (A) Amino acid alignment of E. coli CheY and M. xanthus CheY7. Residues important for interaction between E. coli CheY7 and FlIM/CheZ substrates are indicated in red. The conserved aspartate residue that is the site of phosphorylation in REC domains is highlighted in blue. Gray shading indicates identity between E. coli and M. xanthus homologs. Regions of the proteins predicted to encode alpha helices or beta sheets are indicated above the alignment. (B) Depiction of two views of M. xanthus CheY7 threaded onto V. cholerae CheY3. Residues affecting CheY7-Cpc7 interactions as determined in the bacterial two-hybrid assay (Table 2) are shown in red. The putative site of phosphorylation is shown in blue. (C) In vitro phosphorylation of CheY7 and CheY7D53A using acetyl phosphate (see Materials and Methods) is shown. The D53A mutation eliminates phosphorylation of CheY7.

### TABLE 1 Viable spore count

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>Day 3</th>
<th>Day 4</th>
<th>Day 5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WT</td>
<td>(1.50 ± 1.93) x 10⁶</td>
<td>(2.23 ± 0.46) x 10⁶</td>
<td>(1.44 ± 0.59) x 10⁷</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Δche7</td>
<td>(2.13 ± 0.31) x 10⁶</td>
<td>(3.63 ± 0.15) x 10⁶</td>
<td>(1.20 ± 0.61) x 10⁷</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔcheY7</td>
<td>(2.20 ± 1.14) x 10⁶</td>
<td>(5.00 ± 1.67) x 10⁶</td>
<td>(6.84 ± 8.06) x 10⁶</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Δcpc7</td>
<td>(8.03 ± 2.89) x 10⁴</td>
<td>(2.57 ± 1.70) x 10⁶</td>
<td>(8.63 ± 10.00) x 10⁶</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*WT, wild type.*
We routinely phosyphorylate response regulators (47). We purified energy phosphodonor acetyl phosphate (AcP), a technique used to determine if CheY7 could be phosphorylated by CheA7 at the Mxi8 site and assayed for the ability to complement the aggregation phenotype. Each allele is under the control of a constitutive pitA promoter and was integrated at the Mxi8 phage attachment site. The vector control represents an insertion at the ectopic locus without a corresponding cheY allele.

Expression of a second copy of cheY7 in the parent strain did not have any apparent impact on fruiting-body formation (Fig. 4). Expression of tagged cheY7 was sufficient to restore wild-type aggregation and sporulation within fruiting bodies in the ΔcheY7 mutant (Fig. 4).

Response regulators are typically phosphorylated on a conserved Asp residue. CheY7 contains an Asp at position 53, as well as other residues known to be important for phosphorylation (Fig. 3A) (42-44). To test if the conserved Asp53 was important for cellular function, we changed the residue to Ala. We integrated the tagged alleles at the Mxi8 site and assayed for the ability to complement the ΔcheY7 strain (Fig. 4). Importantly, CheY7Δ53A was unable to complement the aggregation phenotype, suggesting that phosphorylation of CheY7 is important under these conditions. We also generated a CheY7D53E construct to mimic the activated phosphorylation state of CheY7. However, we found that the D53E variant was unable to complement the ΔcheY7 strain under conditions tested (data not shown), indicating that CheY7D53E is a null allele similar to cheY7ΔD53A.

To further support a role for phosphorylation of Asp53, we determined if CheY7 could be phosphorylated in vitro by the high-energy phosphodonor acetyl phosphate (AcP), a technique used routinely for phosphorylating response regulators (47). We purified the wild-type and D53A versions of CheY7 using affinity purification. We then generated radiolabeled AcP using purified Escherichia coli acetate kinase (Sigma). Incubation of wild-type CheY7 with AcP yielded a radiolabeled band when separated by SDS-PAGE (Fig. 3C). Thus, CheY7 can be phosphorylated in vitro. In contrast, the D53A construct did not yield a phosphorylated product, indicating the requirement of Asp53 for phosphorylation. Together, the in vivo and in vitro data support a role for CheY7 as a bona fide phosphorylatable 5D-RR that is modified at the conserved position typically found in REC domains.

FIG 4 In vivo analysis of developmental defects for cheY7 mutants. cheY7 mutant alleles predicted to affect phosphorylation (D53A) or interaction with downstream targets (F107A) were assayed for defects in development. Cells were plated on CFL starvation agar and assessed for aggregation. Each allele is under the control of a constitutive pitA promoter and was integrated at the Mxi8 phage attachment site. The vector control represents an insertion at the ectopic locus without a corresponding cheY allele.

CheY7 interacts with and functions downstream of CheA7. We sought to identify a kinase that modulates the CheY7 phosphorylation state in vivo. Based on the paradigm for chemotaxis, we predicted that CheY7 would function downstream of CheA7. To determine this, we performed epistasis analysis between mutations resulting in deletions for cheY7 and cheA7. At low cell density, a phenotypic difference between the ΔcheY7 and ΔcheA7 strains is clear: ΔcheA7 cells are defective at aggregation, and ΔcheY7 cells appear more similar to the parent strain (Fig. 2B). To determine if CheY7 is downstream of CheA7, we constructed a ΔcheY7 ΔcheA7 double mutant strain and assayed for development at low cell density (Fig. 5). Consistent with the predicted model, the ΔcheY7 ΔcheA7 strain phenocopied the ΔcheY7 parent, indicating that the ΔcheY7 mutation is epistatic to the ΔcheA7 mutation. These data support a model in which CheY7 is the cognate RR for CheA7.

To test if the interaction between CheA7 and CheY7 was direct, we conducted further studies. Cognate kinases and response regulators interact to transfer phosphoryl groups. We attempted to purify CheA7 from E. coli but were unable to produce stable, soluble protein for in vitro phosphorylation assays. In lieu of direct phosphotransfer assays, we assessed if CheA7 and CheY7 could interact using the Bordetella bacterial two-hybrid system, in which interacting proteins couple two halves of adenylate cyclase (T18 and T25) to drive a LacZ reporter (Table 2) (48). Expression of T18-CheY7 and T25-CheA7 in the E. coli BTH101 reporter strain resulted in an ~60-fold increase in β-galactosidase activity compared to the vector controls. Based on these data, CheA7 and CheY7 interact in vivo. Together with the epistasis analysis, these data lead us to conclude that CheA7 and CheY7 comprise the core signaling pathway for the Che7 system in M. xanthus.

Complementation of CheY7 requires Cpc7. Based on studies from other ACF chemosensory systems, we predicted that either the Cpc7 or Des7 proteins would act as an output for the Che7 system and possibly interact directly with CheY7. To test if either Cpc7 or Des7 functions downstream of CheY7, we employed epistasis analyses based on the differential phenotypes displayed during development (as shown in Fig. 2A). To do so, we constructed additional mutant strains (ΔcheY7 Δdes7 and ΔcheY7 Δcpc7) and assayed them for their capacity to sporulate outside aggregation centers or fruiting bodies. Comparison with the ΔcheY7 and Δdes7 mutant strains and the ΔcheY7 Δdes7 strain revealed that the double mutant phenocopied the ΔcheY7 parent strain, indicating that CheY7 functions downstream of Des7 or that Des7 is not directly part of the Che7 pathway (Fig. 6, top row). In contrast, the ΔcheY7Δ53E allele was insufficient to restore sporulation. Together, these data support a model in which CheY7 is the cognate RR for CheA7, and CheA7 and CheY7 comprise the core signaling pathway for the Che7 system in M. xanthus.

FIG 5 Epistasis analysis of ΔcheA7 and ΔcheY7 strains. Strains were plated on CFL agar at a concentration of 2 × 10^7 cells/ml. Images were taken at ×30 at 120 h. The data indicate that CheY7 is the downstream target for CheA7.
Δcpc7 strain was able to aggregate poorly, resembling the Δcpc7 strain rather than the ΔcheY7 strain (Fig. 2A and 6). This result suggests that Cpc7 likely acts downstream of CheY7.

The results shown in Fig. 4 demonstrate that the ΔcheY7 mutant could be complemented with the wild-type allele of cheY7. Based on the phenotypes of the double mutant strains listed above, we predicted that expressing cheY7 from an ectopic locus would result in complementation of the ΔcheY7 Δdes7 mutant but not the ΔcheY7 Δcpc7 mutant. Developmental assays revealed that the ΔcheY7 Δdes7 strain was complemented for its ability to aggregate and sporulate, indicating that CheY7 does not require Des7 for function during starvation-induced development (Fig. 6, bottom row). In contrast, expression of CheY7 was unable to complement the ΔcheY7 Δcpc7 strain. In fact, addition of CheY7 resulted in an exaggerated phenotype with very poor aggregation and a corresponding lawn of spores. A possible explanation for the difference in aggregation between the ΔcheY7 Δcpc7 strain and the ΔcheY7 Δcpc7 strain expressing cheY7 could be cross talk due to removal of a CheY7 target. Alternatively, CheY7 could have an additional unidentified target. Nonetheless, the data indicate that CheY7 requires Cpc7 to coordinate aggregation and sporulation in M. xanthus.

CheY7 interacts with Cpc7. Response regulators have been shown to interact with a variety of other domains to control the output of both two-component and chemosensory systems. Since CheY7 requires Cpc7 to complement the aggregation and sporulation phenotypes associated with ΔcheY7 mutant cells, we hypothesized that CheY7 and Cpc7 interact directly. To test this possibility, we used the bacterial two-hybrid system to determine if an interaction occurs between CheY7 and Cpc7. When expressed in the reporter BTH101, the T18-CheY7 and T25-Cpc7 fusion products produced an ~30-fold increase in β-galactosidase reporter activity over that of the vector-based controls, indicating a relatively strong protein-protein interaction, similar to that shown for CheA7 and CheY7 (Table 2). We also generated a T18-CheY7[S33A] fusion construct to determine if phosphorylation was important for this interaction. Interestingly, the D53A version of CheY7 was able to promote binding; however, the allele did not result in wild-type levels of activity (Table 2). These results are consistent with E. coli CheY and CheY−P both displaying the capacity to interact with FliM, though the latter has a higher affinity (14, 49, 50). Therefore, it is likely that phosphorylation of CheY7 enhances binding with Cpc7 in vivo.

As a further verification of the CheY7-Cpc7 interaction, we designed an in vitro assay. We purified tagged versions of wild-type CheY7 and Cpc7 using affinity and size exclusion chromatography. Using the intrinsic fluorescence of the three tryptophan residues in Cpc7 (W48, W296, and W531), we measured the fluorescence spectrum as a function of CheY7 concentration. Titration of Cpc7 with CheY7 produced a change in fluorescence (Fig. 7) corresponding to an apparent Kd of 0.30 ± 0.05 μM, indicating that a relatively tight interaction occurs in vitro. On the basis of the in vivo bacterial two-hybrid results, the epistasis analyses, and the in vitro fluorescence binding data, we conclude that CheY7 and Cpc7 make a direct interaction to promote development in M. xanthus.
xanthus. Moreover, we have identified an interacting partner for SD-RR or CheY-like proteins not previously described.

The α4-β5-α5 region of CheY7 is required for interaction with Cpc7. E. coli CheY interacts with both FliM and CheZ via its C-terminal α4-β5-α5 fold (43, 49–51). To assess whether the same region of CheY7 is required for interaction with Cpc7, we performed site-directed mutagenesis on residues known to affect the E. coli CheY-FliM and CheY-CheZ interactions (see residues in red in Fig. 3A). Based on that alignment and using site-directed mutagenesis, we generated mutations corresponding to alanine substitutions in the following residues in M. xanthus CheY7: G86, R91, T92, R93, F102, M103, T104, P106, F107, and E115. Each mutant allele was cloned into the bacterial two-hybrid system and assayed for interaction with Cpc7. While some alleles retained wild-type levels of reporter activity when expressed with the Cpc7 fusion, several displayed reduced activity, including G86A, T104A, P106A, and F107A (Table 2). The mutation corresponding to P106A likely affects secondary structure, whereas G86, T104, and F107 may be required for maintaining contacts with Cpc7. Of note is residue F107, as it is conserved in both E. coli and M. xanthus CheY homologs. The F107A mutant was severely reduced for reporter activity, suggesting a prominent role for interaction with the target Cpc7.

To further evaluate the interaction between Cpc7 and CheY7, we purified the tagged-CheY7F107A variant and tested it using the tryptophan fluorescence binding assay. Indeed, the mutant CheY7 protein was unable to alter the fluorescence profile of Cpc7 (Fig. 7). To determine if the F107A mutant protein was properly folded, we generated circular dichroism spectra for CheY7F107A and wild-type CheY7. Both proteins maintained secondary structures consistent with proper folding (see Fig. S3 in the supplemental material). Lastly, we assayed the CheY7F107A protein for its effect on development. We expressed the tagged CheY7F107A in the parent and the ΔcheY7 mutant. CheY7F107A was unable to complement the ΔcheY7 mutant (Fig. 4). In addition, expression of CheY7F107A in the wild-type background reduced the ability of cells to aggregate properly, indicating partial dominance in trans over the wild-type CheY7 protein. One possible explanation is that the F107A variant is phosphorylated in vivo, titrating phosphoryl groups from wild-type CheY7, but cannot interact with the Cpc7 target to regulate development. From these results, we conclude that the C-terminal α4-β5-α5 region of CheY7 is required for its interaction with Cpc7 to regulate fruiting-body formation in M. xanthus. Additionally, as described for other REC-protein interactions, we conclude that the conserved C-terminal α4-β5-α5 fold governs interactions between SD-RRs and their targets.

**DISCUSSION**

In this report, we describe a protein–protein interaction between an SD-RR (CheY7) and a HEAT repeat protein (Cpc7) required for the proper regulation of development in M. xanthus. Due to the structural and functional diversity of domains known to interact with REC domains, it has been difficult to identify targets for SD-RR regulation. The identification of the Cpc7 interaction with CheY7 extends the diversity of known targets for SD-RRs in nature. Nevertheless, the requirements for SD-RR (or REC) interaction with a given target appear to be conserved: phosphorylation of the SD-RR affects interaction with its target and the α4-β5-α5 fold within the REC domain governs the interaction. Phosphorylation of CheY7 at position D53 is required to complement a ΔcheY7 strain, while residues in the α4-β5-α5 fold, including G86, T104, P106, and F107, are essential for interaction with Cpc7. Residues corresponding to those positions in E. coli CheY are required for proper interaction with CheZ. Furthermore, the predicted structure of CheY7 places F107 within the linker region between β5 and α5 and facing the cleft created by the α4-β5-α5 fold (Fig. 3C). Replacement of residue F107 with alanine abolished CheY7’s ability to complement the ΔcheY7 cells for aggregation, indicating that this region is required for function. Therefore, the results indicate that CheY7 acts like a typical REC domain yet interacts with a previously unknown target.

HEAT repeat domains are alpha helix folds found in proteins in bacteria, archaea, and eukaryotes. Two anti-parallel alpha helices comprise each HEAT domain, and they are often found in tandem repeats, creating large, solenoid structures (36). A model of Cpc7 threaded onto known HEAT repeat proteins using Phyre2 indicates that Cpc7 is made of 27 tandem alpha helices (45). Proteins containing HEAT repeats can vary in function and have been described as having roles in scaffolding (36), lyase function (34, 35), iron binding (37), and [Fe-S] cluster stabilization (38, 39). While the mechanism of Cpc7-mediated regulation of development in M. xanthus is still unknown, we predict that it is involved in production of a developmental signal. The che7 mutant phenotypes were observed under a relatively high cell density (Fig. 2), suggesting that a density-dependent factor accumulates in the parent strain. Che7 may be involved in maintaining levels of this factor, and we are currently investigating this possibility.

Lastly, this work describes an alternative cellular function for a chemosensory system. The Che7 signal transduction system impacts the coordination between aggregation and sporulation during starvation-induced development (Fig. 8). Sporulation occurs in che7 mutants prior to aggregation, and viable spores mature earlier in che7 mutants than in the parent. The data suggest a model in which Che7 provides a repressive checkpoint for sporulation, possibly to ensure aggregation occurs prior to sporulation, and may be related to phenotypes previously described for the EspABC and RedABCD systems in M. xanthus (52–55). Together, our data support a model in which a chemosensory system with a
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