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ABSTRACT

Resistance to methicillin and other \beta-lactam antibiotics in staphylococci is due to \textit{mecA}, which is carried on a genomic island, staphylococcal cassette chromosome \textit{mec} (SCC\textit{mec}). The chromosomal excision and integration of SCC\textit{mec} are mediated by the site-specific recombinase CcrAB or CcrC, encoded within this element. A plasmid-borne system was constructed to assess the activities of CcrA and CcrB in the excision and integration of SCC\textit{mec} in \textit{Escherichia coli} and \textit{Staphylococcus aureus}. The excision frequency in \textit{E. coli} mediated by CcrAB from methicillin-resistant \textit{S. aureus} (MRSA) strain N315 was only 9.2\%, while the integration frequency was 31.4\%. In \textit{S. aureus} the excision and integration frequencies were 11.0\% and 18.7\%, respectively. Truncated mutants identified the N-terminal domain of either CcrB or CcrA to be necessary for both integration and excision, while the C-terminal domain was important for recombination efficiency. Site-directed mutagenesis of the N-terminal domain identified S11 and R79 of CcrA and S16, R89, T149, and R151 of CcrB to be residues essential for catalytic activities, and the critical location of these residues was consistent with a model of the tertiary structure of the N terminus of CcrA and CcrB. Furthermore, CcrAB and CcrC, cloned from a panel of 6 methicillin-resistant \textit{S. aureus} strains and 2 methicillin-resistant \textit{Staphylococcus epidermidis} strains carrying SCC\textit{mec} types II, IV, and V, also catalyzed integration at rates 1.3 to 10 times higher than the rates at which they catalyzed excision, similar to the results from N315. The tendency of SCC\textit{mec} integration to be favored over excision may explain the low spontaneous excision frequency seen among MRSA strains.

IMPORTANCE

Spontaneous excision of the genomic island (SCC\textit{mec}) that encodes resistance to beta-lactam antibiotics (methicillin resistance) in staphylococci would convert a methicillin-resistant strain to a methicillin-susceptible strain, improving therapy of difficult-to-treat infections. This study characterizes a model system by which the relative frequencies of excision and integration can be compared. Using a plasmid-based model for excision and integration mediated by the recombinases CcrA and CcrB, integration occurred at a higher frequency than excision, consistent with the low baseline excision frequency seen in most strains. This model system can now be used to study conditions and drugs that may raise the SCC\textit{mec} excision frequency and generate strains that are beta-lactam susceptible.

\textit{Staphylococcus aureus} is a leading cause of infection in hospitals and communities throughout the world. The treatment for such infections has become increasingly difficult as a result of the development of resistance to multiple antibiotics. The multiresistance phenotype in \textit{S. aureus} is built around the acquisition of resistance to beta-lactam antibiotics (resulting in methicillin-resistant \textit{S. aureus} [MRSA]), mediated by the gene \textit{mecA}, which encodes a beta-lactam target (PBP 2a) resistant to beta-lactam inactivation. \textit{mecA} is carried on a mobile genomic island, staphylococcal cassette chromosome \textit{mec} (SCC\textit{mec}) (1). At least 11 types of SCC\textit{mec} (types I to XI), ranging from 22 kb to \textgreater60 kb, have been described by typing based on the \textit{mec} and \textit{ccr} complexes (2; http://www.sccmec.org/Pages/SCC\_TypesEN.html). SCC\textit{mec} integrates into and excises from the chromosome at specific DNA sites (\textit{att}), with integration and excision being catalyzed by a group of serine recombinases, CcrAB and CcrC, carried by the element (3–6). Two pairs of \textit{att} sites are required for SCC\textit{mec} integration (\textit{attB} and \textit{attS}) and excision (\textit{attR} and \textit{attL}) (6). All of the \textit{att} sites share a conserved 8-base core region, required for CcrB DNA binding, with variable flanking sequences that determine the insertion frequency (6).

Serine recombinases catalyze site-specific recombination and play an essential role in the movement of genetic elements, such as bacteriophages, transposons, and large genomic islands (7, 8). For some serine recombinases, there are two different genes for excision and integration, such as phage integrase and phage directionality factor Xis for excision (9–14). Other recombinases, such as TndX from the \textit{Clostridium difficile} tetracycline resistance element (15, 16), me-
diate both excision and integration. CcrAB and CcrC are examples of large serine recombinases that belong to the latter group. While CcrC is a single protein that carries out both activities, the two proteins CcrA and CcrB must interact in a precise ratio to mediate either integration or excision (4–6). Our previous data showed that CcrB is the major DNA-binding protein, while CcrA is required to direct the complex to the attB integration site (5, 6). However, recent data from Misiura et al. (17) demonstrated weak DNA-binding activity for CcrA as well. Most of our previous work has focused on the roles of CcrA and CcrB in integration by putting a gene(s) encoding one or both of these proteins on a temperature-sensitive plasmid, measuring the frequency of integration into chromosomal att sites, and scoring for antibiotic resistance after growth at the nonpermissive temperature for plasmid replication. However, we have not previously assessed the relative frequency of integration versus excision. Stojanov et al. (18) found a very low frequency of spontaneous SCCmec excision (10⁻⁵ to 10⁻⁶) in S. aureus, a frequency that we have also observed (L. Wang, unpublished observations). This suggests either that excision rarely occurred or that excision occurred frequently but was followed by immediate reintegration. The following study was performed in an attempt to measure the relative rates of SCCmec excision and integration mediated by CcrAB and CcrC, using a two-plasmid system in both Escherichia coli and S. aureus, and the contribution of the various domains of each of the two proteins CcrA and CcrB to the process.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Strains and media. All of the strains used in this work are listed in Table S1 in the supplemental material. Staphylococcal strains were cultured in tryptic soy broth (TSB; Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA). E. coli TOP10 (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) was used for gene cloning. The antibiotics and concentrations used were as follows: 100 μg/ml ampicillin (Ap) for selection of E. coli strains following transformation and 10 μg/ml chloramphenicol (Cml) and 5 μg/ml tetracycline (Tet) for selection of S. aureus strains following electroporation (19).

Plasmid model for excision and integration. Each excision/integration model plasmid contained a tetracycline resistance gene (tetM) flanked by a pair of att site-containing fragments in a direct orientation; purple arrows, a copy of ccrAB genes from MRSA or MRSE strains; blue arrows, a chloramphenicol resistance gene (cat194); yellow arrows, an ampicillin resistance gene (amp).

FIG 1 Schematic diagram of the excision and integration of chromosome and model plasmids. (A and C) Schematic diagrams of excision and integration of SCCmec. Black and red double lines, the chromosome and SCCmec, respectively; arrows, the orfX gene; arrowheads, att sites. (B and D) Schematic diagrams of excision and integration of model plasmids. Both of the plasmids were derived from a chloramphenicol-resistant E. coli-S. aureus shuttle vector, pCN38. Green, a tetracycline resistance gene (tetM), flanked with a pair of 0.6-kb att site-containing fragments in a direct orientation; purple arrows, a copy of ccrAB genes from MRSA or MRSE strains; blue arrows, a chloramphenicol resistance gene (cat194); yellow arrows, an ampicillin resistance gene (amp).
The loss of tetracycline resistance was not a useful marker for recombination because in a multicopy plasmid system recombination could occur in some fraction of the plasmid population but not the entire population, resulting in lower numbers for excursion and integration. However, the results were in the same direction and the differences mirrored those seen with the E. coli plasmid system. Thus, since the plasmid size system did not work well in S. aureus, we used tetracycline susceptibility to confirm in S. aureus some of the observations made in E. coli.

The plasmids described above were constructed as follows. A chloramphenicol-resistant E. coli-S. aureus shuttle vector, pCN38 (21), was the backbone for all constructs. The ~0.6-kb fragments containing attR and attL sites were amplified from the N315 genome with primers attR-F-BamHI and attR-KpnI and primers attL-F-KpnI and attL-R-EcoRI, respectively. The 0.6-kb attR and attL segments were amplified from N315/pWA46 (5) with primers attB-F-BamHI and attR-KpnI and primers attS-F-KpnI and attS-R-EcoRI, respectively. A 2.5-kb tetM gene was amplified from pCN36 (21) using the primers tetM-F-KpnI and tetM-R-KpnI. pWA340/pWA341 were constructed by the use of three PCR products cloned into pCN38 one by one: an attR- or attL-containing fragment at the BamHI/KpnI site, an attS- or attL-containing fragment at the KpnI/EcoRI site, and then a tetM fragment at the KpnI site. The same strategy was used to construct pWA362/pWA363, in which the att sites are from the MW2 genome, and pWA376/pWA377, in which the att sites are from the WGB8318 (WS) genome. ccrA, ccrB, ccrAB, or ccrC was amplified from the genomic DNA of S. aureus strains N315, MW2, C99-370, C99-529, J28, J35, FPR3757, and WGB8318 and Staphylococcus epidermidis strains SE7 and SE50. PCR products were cloned into the BamHI site of pWA340/pWA341, pWA362/pWA363, or pWA376/pWA377, yielding a set of excision/integration model plasmids. All of the primers used are shown in Table S2 in the supplemental material; all of the plasmids and their characteristics are shown in Table S1 in the supplemental material.

Construction of truncated CcrA and CcrB on model plasmids. To delete the N terminus of CcrA (from amino acids [aa] 119 to 177), two amplified fragments were ligated together after digestion by KpnI: one 0.6-kb fragment amplified with primers ccrAB-BamHI-2 and ccrA-SR-3 included the promoter and first 118 aa of ccrA; the other 1.7-kb fragment of intact ccrB was amplified with ccrB-SR-1 and ccrB-BamHI-1. The ligated product was amplified with primers ccrAB-BamHI-2 and then inserted into pWA340/pWA341 after it was digested by BamHI, yielding pWA335/pWA334. To delete the C terminus of CcrA (from aa 299 to 450), two amplified fragments were ligated together after digestion by KpnI: one 1-kb fragment amplified with primers ccrAB-BamHI-2 and ccrAB-BamHI-1 and then inserted into pWA340/pWA341 after it was digested by BamHI, yielding pWA335/pWA334. To delete the N terminus of CcrB (from aa 1 to 245), two amplified fragments were ligated together after digestion by KpnI: one 1.7-kb fragment including the promoter and intact ccrB amplified with primers ccrAB-BamHI-2 and ccrA-TR-6, and another 1-kb fragment including the last 313 aa of CcrB amplified with primers ccrB-SR-9 and ccrAB-BamHI-1. The ligated product was amplified with primers ccrAB-BamHI-1 and ccrAB-BamHI-2 and then inserted into pWA340/pWA341 after it was digested by BamHI, yielding pWA526/pWA527. To get three C-terminal deletion mutants of CcrB (from aa 399 to 543, aa 301 to 543, and aa 241 to 543), three fragments were amplified with three pairs of primers, ccrAB-BamHI-2 and ccrB-SR-3, ccrB-BamHI-2 and ccrB-SR-4, and ccrAB-BamHI-2 and ccrB-SR-8, respectively. These three fragments were digested by BamHI and inserted into pWA340/pWA341, generating pWA537/pWA538, pWA539/pWA540, and pWA541/pWA542, respectively.

Site-directed mutagenesis of CcrA and CcrB proteins on model plasmids. The primers for site-directed mutagenesis were designed by the online software primerX (http://bioinformatics.org/primerx/cgi-bin/DNA_1.cgi) and are shown in Table S2 in the supplemental material. Site-directed mutation was performed according to the manufacturer’s protocol (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA). Briefly, 25 ng of template plasmid was used to prepare the PCR mixture. The amplification was performed as follows: 95°C for 2 min; 95°C for 30 s, 60°C for 30 s, and 68°C for 6.5 min repeated for 18 cycles; and 68°C for 5 min. Then, 2 μl of the DpnI restriction enzyme provided with the kit was added to each amplification reaction mixture. The reaction mixtures were incubated at 37°C for 5 min to digest the parental supercoiled dsDNA. The DpnI-treated DNA was transferred into the XL10-Gold ultracompetent cells provided with the kit. The transformants were confirmed by DNA sequencing.

Statistical analysis. The results of independent repeat experiments were averaged, and standard deviations were calculated by the use of Microsoft Excel software. The statistical significance of differences between samples was determined by Student’s t test, and a P value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant. DNA level differences were evaluated densitometrically using ImageJ software. Each experiment was performed at least three times, unless otherwise described in the text.

Structural modeling. The N-terminal domain of the CcrB (amino acids 1 to 205) sequence was submitted to the HHpred interactive server, using default settings, in order to find a suitable template from the Protein Data Bank (PDB) database (22). A list of templates and query-template alignments by hidden Markov matrix (HMM) profiling was generated, with the best being the yδ resolvase (PDB accession number 1GDT) from Escherichia coli (23% sequence identity; E value, <3.5 × 10⁻³⁴) (23). The E value is a parameter that describes the number of hits expected to be seen by chance, which decreases exponentially as the score of the match increases. In other words, the E value describes the random background noise, with lower values indicating a better match. The resulting alignment of the yδ resolvase and the CcrB N-terminal domain sequences was provided to the Modeller program for the actual model construction, which is done on the basis of the satisfaction of spatial restraints derived from the template (24). The subsequent CcrB N-terminal domain model was validated using Ramachandran plots and was found to be within acceptable limits (data not shown). The coordinates of the DNA fragment cocryostallized with the yδ resolvase were then merged with the coordinates of the CcrB N-terminal domain model, and the sequence of the DNA fragment was mutated in silico to match the CcrB cognitive DNA sequence using the Discovery Studio Visualizer program (version 3.5; Accelrys, San Diego, CA). After addition of hydrogen atoms, the complete CcrB-DNA model was subjected to energy minimization using the Tripos force field with Gasteiger-Hückel charges and a distance-dependent dielectric to a gradient of 0.01 kcal mol⁻¹ Å⁻¹ in the Sybyl program (version 8.1; Tripos LP, St. Louis, MO).

RESULTS

Recombination frequency in E. coli and S. aureus determined using plasmid models. The plasmid model was first tested in E. coli. Figure 2A demonstrates the N315 CcrAB and N315 att site-mediated loss of the 3.1-kb tetM-containing fragment from pWA479 (excision) and pWA480 (integration) compared to that in the control plasmids, pWA340 and pWA341, the plasmids with att sites and tetM but without ccrAB. The time course of recombination was monitored through 20 h of growth for pWA479 and pWA480. Plasmid DNA was extracted at 8, 12, 16, and 20 h, digested by BamHI, and separated on a 0.7% agarose gel. As shown in Fig. 2B, the frequency of excision and integration doubled over 20 h, but since the relative frequencies remained approximately the same over this time period, the frequency at 16 h was chosen for comparison for all subsequent studies. In the experiment whose results are illustrated in Fig. 2B, the excision frequency at 16 h was 9.2% ± 1.6%, while the integration frequency was 3-fold greater at 31.4% ± 2.7%. Furthermore, DNA sequencing of the smaller plasmids generated following excision showed that 87.5% (7 out of 8) of excision events occurred between attL and attR,
with only 12.5% (1 out of 8) taking place between attL and attR2. This is of interest because we have previously shown that excision from attR and integration into attB require the activity of both CcrA and CcrB, while CcrB alone can mediate excision from an accessory att site within SCCmec, attR2 (6). The model plasmid system shows that excision from attR is favored over excision from attR2.

To validate the plasmid system in its natural host, S. aureus, the model plasmids pWA479 and pWA480 were electroporated into the strain, AW8, from which attB was deleted (6) and in which no chromosomal integration could occur. Tetracycline-resistant colonies were picked following electroporation, incubated overnight in TSB without tetracycline, and then grown in 25 ml of fresh TSB on tetracycline plates, and the number susceptible to tetracycline was determined. Among over 600 colonies, 4.7% of the colonies were tetracycline resistant. On the basis of both gel examination of the plasmids and the susceptibility of colonies to tetracycline, the integration frequency was approximately twice as high as the excision frequency in S. aureus. These results are somewhat lower than the 3-fold increase of the integration frequency over the excision frequency in E. coli but are in the same direction, validating the model in both E. coli and the native host. Since the manipulation to determine the frequency of recombination was easier in E. coli, most of the following studies were done only in E. coli.

**Activities of CcrA/CcrB mutants on excision and integration.** Although both CcrA and CcrB belong to the large serine recombinase family, they have different contributions to the process of SCCmec excision and integration. Incorporation of either CcrA or CcrB but not both on model plasmids confirmed our previous observations (5) that CcrA alone could not mediate either excision or integration and that CcrB alone mediated excision only and only between attL and attR2 at a low frequency (1.2%; Fig. 3), a result also confirmed by determining the DNA sequence of the deletion junction of plasmids as described above.

CcrA and CcrB have two domains: a conserved N-terminal catalytic domain (referred to as CcrAn and CcrBn, respectively) and a variant large C-terminal domain (referred to as CcrAc and CcrBc, respectively). In order to assess the roles of these two domains in excision and insertion, deletion mutants of CcrA or CcrB were constructed. The recombination frequency was determined as described above. As shown in Fig. 3, a deletion of only 58 aa of CcrA in the N-terminal domain (aa 119 to 177) yielded the CcrAc CcrB mutant that led to the failure of integration. In this mutant, excision took place only between attL and attR2, a result found only in the complete absence of CcrA. The loss of the C terminus of CcrA (aa 299 to 450; referred to as the CcrAn(1–298) [CcrAn from aa 1 to 298] CcrB mutant) decreased both excision and integration by 90%. Loss of either the N terminus of CcrB (aa 1 to
245; referred to as the CcrA CcrBc mutant) or 303 aa of the C terminus [aa 241 to 543; referred to as the CcrA CcrBn(1–240) (CcrBn from aa 1 to 240) mutant] abolished recombination completely, while deletion of only 243 aa or 145 aa of the C terminus [referred to as the CcrA CcrBn(1–300) (CcrBn from aa 1 to 300) mutant and the CcrA CcrB(1–398) (CcrB from aa 1 to 398) mutant, respectively] was less disruptive, resulting in a 22 to 43% decrease in excision and a 70% decrease in integration. Thus, the N-terminal domains of both Ccr proteins are required for recombination, while the C-terminal domain determines catalytic efficiency.

In order to assess the role of specific amino acids in CcrA and CcrB activity, the ~220-aa N-terminal CcrB-DNA complex model (Fig. 4A) was constructed using the published structure of similar serine recombinases (PDB accession number 1GDT) (23). The protein structure of the first 220 aa of CcrB is made up of an N-terminal catalytic domain (NTD) and C-terminal helix-turn-helix DNA-binding domain (CTD) that are linked by an extended helix loop arm. In the complex with DNA, the NTD forms the dimer interface, with the CTD being located in the major groove of the DNA, while the extended helix loop arm makes contact with the minor groove of the DNA. It is assumed that CcrA and CcrC form structures similar to those formed by CcrB, as suggested by models of the N-terminal portion of these proteins. Critical residues were identified from the structure model and compared among the aligned sequences of CcrA, CcrB, and CcrC, as shown in Fig. 4B and C. Point mutations were introduced into the model plasmids, substituting glycine or alanine for the existing amino acids, and activities were investigated as described above. The effects of the mutations on excision and integration are shown in Fig. 5. S16A, R89G, T149A, and R151G abolished all recombination activity, as predicted because the first three residues are located at the dimer interface, while R151 is likely to be in the DNA-binding loop. Ser16, Arg89, and Thr149 appear to make intersubunit interactions with the opposite subunit (Ser16 to Glu142 and/or Thr149; Arg89 to Asn139), including the flexible long extended helix loop arm (formed by amino acids 123 to 152). Arg151 is located at the extended helix loop arm that connects the NTD and CTD, and although it is not involved in nucleotide binding, it makes a salt bridge/hydrogen bond interac-
tion with Glu154 that should stabilize the loop in the correct orientation for DNA binding. Thus, these mutations could affect not only the dimer stability but also the proper orientation of the extended helix loop arm for DNA binding. Mutations in similar locations in CcrA had less dramatic effects: both S11G (similar to S16 in CcrB) and R79G (similar to R89 in CcrB) abolished integration but only reduced excision compared with that for the control containing both functional proteins. However, the excision occurred only between attL and attR2 and not between attL and attR, an excision event seen only when CcrB acts alone without any CcrA activity. Thus, while the results for S. aureus were not as robust as those for E. coli, they precisely duplicated the changes in both excision and integration observed in the heterologous host.

Activities of CcrA and CcrB from MRSA and MRSE strains. An earlier study from the Archer lab showed that the ccrAB genes found in SCCmec types II and IV varied by up to 5% at the amino acid level, yet all of the tested recombinases mediated SCCmec excision in MRSA strains (25). To further identify the activities of CcrAB from a panel of strains, the ccrAB genes and the ccrC gene of seven MRSA strains and two methicillin-resistant S. epidermidis (MRSE) strains were examined using the model plasmid system to determine their abilities to mediate excision and integration, and the results were compared with those presented above for N315 (Fig. 6A). CcrC and most CcrAB proteins catalyzed integration at a higher frequency than they did excision, with the frequency of integration exceeding the frequency of excision by from 1.3-fold (FPR3757) to 10-fold (J28). The exception was CcrAB from MW2,
in which excision and integration frequencies were the same. The protein sequences of CcrAB in each of the S. aureus and S. epidermidis strains for which the results are shown in Fig. 6A were compared (see Fig. S1 in the supplemental material). For CcrA, none of the amino acid differences were seen only in MW2. However, there were nine amino acid changes in the CcrB sequence of MW2 not seen in the CcrB sequences of the other strains. All but one (E73D) of the changes were in the carboxy terminus (see Fig. S1 in the supplemental material). All of the changes were relatively conservative, except for the change at aa 385, at which glycine was changed to cysteine in MW2. We repaired the mutation in MW2 from a cysteine to a glycine and exchanged the glycine for the mutant cysteine in N315, yielding the result seen in Fig. 6B. The MW2 C385G change reduced excision by 47%, causing integration to exceed excision by 2.32-fold, a difference similar to that seen in N315. In contrast, the G385C exchange in N315 both decreased integration and increased excision so that the recombination frequency seen in mutant N315 resembled the recombination frequency seen in MW2. These results suggest both that this is a critical mutation that affects the direction of recombination and that the carboxy terminus of CcrB plays an important role in determining the frequency of integration versus that of excision.

**DISCUSSION**

In the current study, we have demonstrated that the recombinational activity of CcrA and CcrB favors integration over excision, demonstrated by plasmid models in both S. aureus and E. coli and in a panel of clinical S. aureus and S. epidermidis isolates. This is consistent with our observation that spontaneous excision in vitro is an extremely rare event but does not support either one of the two potential explanations: rare excision associated with a stably integrated element, as postulated by others (18, 26), or frequent integration of staphylococcal cassette chromosome mec (SCCmec), the strain without SCCmec is more fit, becoming the predominant species in the culture within 4 days. Thus, if SCCmec excision could be induced to exceed integration in vivo, the excised variant may be more fit and become predominant, converting an MRSA strain into a susceptible strain, broadening therapeutic options.
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