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ABSTRACT

GLASGOW, LOWELL A. (University of Rochester School of Medicine and Dentistry, Rochester, N.Y.). Leukocytes and interferon in the host response to viral infections. II. Enhanced interferon response of leukocytes from immune animals. J. Bacteriol. 91:2185–2191. 1966.—The production of interferon was studied under in vitro conditions in peritoneal leukocytes or macrophages from mice immunized with Chikungunya virus (CV). Cultures of leukocytes obtained from animals immune to CV produced 2- to 10-fold greater amounts of interferon when exposed to an inoculum of CV than similar cell preparations from nonimmune, control animals. The viral inhibitor produced in increased quantity by CV-immune leukocytes had the biological and biochemical properties of interferon. The enhanced interferon production was inhibited by actinomycin D. This response of immune leukocytes was specific, and was initiated only by CV; it was not observed in leukocytes from animals immunized against other viruses which were challenged with CV. The presence of neutralizing antibody could not be related to this response. The observed increase in interferon production was not dependent upon an enhanced virus uptake. The data are presented as a possible new dimension of the “immune response” and may suggest a mechanism for the phenomenon of “tissue immunity.”

Evidence has been presented by a number of investigators which suggests that interferon may be a significant factor in host resistance to virus infections. These data were reviewed extensively in 1963 by Baron (1) and, more recently, by Glasgow (6). The majority of these studies, however, have been concerned with the interferon response of the host during primary infection, and few data have been reported regarding the induction of interferon production by virus on re-exposure of the immune host. The purpose of the present investigation, therefore, was to study interferon production by the immune animal on reinfection by a virus. During the course of these studies, it was noted that peritoneal leukocytes or macrophages obtained from animals immunized against Chikungunya virus (CV) produced a significantly greater quantity of interferon than nonimmune leukocytes when challenged in vitro with the same virus. The data presented in this report deal primarily with this altered response of peritoneal leukocytes from immune animals.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Virus. Vesicular stomatitis virus (VSV), Indiana strain, was obtained from the American Type Culture Collection. Stock virus pools used for interferon assays were grown in L cells, and titered approximately 2 × 10⁶ plaque-forming units (PFU) per ml by use of plaque assay in L cells.

A standard reference strain of CV was obtained from Philip Russell, Walter Reed Army Medical Center. Stock virus was prepared from the brains of infected suckling mice, made into a 10% suspension, and assayed by the plaque method in primary rat embryo fibroblasts. Virus pools used for immunization of animals or induction of interferon production titered approximately 10⁶ PFU/ml.

A large plaque mutant (EMCr) of encephalomyocarditis virus (EMC), originally obtained from K. K. Takemoto at the National Institutes of Health, was prepared and assayed in L cells.

Mice. All animals used in these experiments were ex-breeder, female, random-bred, CD-1 mice obtained from the Charles River Mouse Farms.

Cells. L cells (clone 929) were from an established line of mouse fibroblast cells obtained from Piero...
Balduzzi, University of Rochester. Leukocyte preparations were obtained as previously described (7). All tissue cells were maintained in Eagle's minimal essential medium (MEM) with 5 to 10% calf serum. The medium used for plaque assays was Eagle's MEM with 0.9% Noble agar (Difco) and 5% calf serum.

**Virus titration.** CV was assayed in primary or secondary rate embryo fibroblasts (REF) by use of a plaque method. Samples of virus to be assayed were serially diluted, and 0.2 ml was inoculated onto monolayer cultures in 60-mm plastic petri dishes (Falcon Plastic, Div. of B-D Laboratories, Los Angeles, Calif.). The inoculum was allowed to adsorb for 1 hr, and the preparation was overlaid with 5 ml of agar medium. A second overlay, containing neutral red to make a final concentration of 1:20,000, was made on the 2nd or 3rd day, and plaques were counted.

**Interferon assay.** Samples were prepared and assayed for interferon activity as previously described (7). Interferon titers are expressed as the reciprocal of the dilution which inhibits 50% of a challenge inoculum of approximately 50 PFU of VSV.

**Antibody assay.** Anti-CV antibody levels in immune mice were determined by use of a plaque-neutralization method. Mouse serum was obtained by orbital bleeding, and serial fourfold dilutions were made in Eagle's MEM. Samples were incubated at room temperature for 0.5 hr with approximately 100 PFU of CV, and were inoculated onto 60-mm plastic petri dishes containing a monolayer of primary or secondary REF. The remainder of the assay was carried out as described for the CV assay, and the serum dilution neutralizing 50% of the inoculum was determined.

**RESULTS**

**Interferon production by leukocytes from immune animals.** CD-1 mice were immunized against CV by three to six intraperitoneal injections of 10⁵ to 5 × 10⁶ PFU of virus. At least 1 month after the last injection, peritoneal leukocytes were harvested from two to four CV-immune mice, and a similar number of matched control animals, as described previously (7). The harvested cells were sedimented at 1,200 rev/min, resuspended in Eagle's MEM, and counted, and 3 × 10⁶ to 5 × 10⁶ cells were distributed in plastic petri dishes in a total volume of 6 ml of Eagle's MEM with 10% calf serum. The leukocyte inoculum was adjusted, as indicated by the cell count, so that cultures in each group contained an equal number of cells. Leukocyte cultures were infected with 2 × 10⁶ to 5 × 10⁶ PFU of CV, and supernatant fluids were harvested for interferon assay at varying intervals from 2 to 48 hr after infection.

The immune status of mice immunized with CV was confirmed by determining the level of neutralizing antibody in the serum of experimental animals. After three to six injections of virus, all animals tested had levels of anti-CV antibody ranging from 1:640 to 1:5,120.

Data from 1 of the 27 experiments on which this report is based are summarized in Fig. 1. Cultures of immune leukocytes produced greater quantities of interferon than nonimmune cells, as determined by assay of antiviral activity at all time intervals from 4 to 24 hr after CV challenge. The final yield of interferon varied greatly among experiments, but in most instances the immune leukocytes produced two to ten times as much interferon as a similar number of nonimmune cells. The range of final yields from a number of experiments is illustrated in Table 1. These differences resulted from the fact that the experiments were carried out over an 18-month period, during which time different CV pools with varying titers were utilized, and the number of leukocytes in different experiments ranged from 3 × 10⁶ to 6 × 10⁶ per plate.

These data indicate that peritoneal leukocytes or macrophages from an immunized animal have the capacity for an enhanced response, in terms of interferon production, on secondary exposure to CV. Further studies were designed to delineate the nature of this response.

**Characteristics of interferon produced by immune leukocytes.** The biochemical and biological properties of the interferon produced by the leukocytes from immune animals were determined and compared with those of nonimmune leukocytes and nonphagocytic mouse cells (a continuous line of mouse embryo fibroblasts).
The antiviral inhibitor from all three sources had the following properties: (i) stable at pH 2 for 24 hr; (ii) loss of antiviral activity in presence of trypsin; (iii) partial loss of activity when heated at 56°C for 30 min; (iv) complete loss of activity when heated at 70°C for 30 min; (v) failure to sediment at 90,000 × g for 1 hr; (vi) antiviral activity against a diverse group of viruses; (vii) species specificity, as determined by lack of activity in chick embryo tissue culture; (viii) no loss of activity when a culture which was treated with the inhibitor was washed prior to virus challenge; and (ix) no direct antiviral activity when inhibitor and challenge virus were mixed and incubated together for 1 hr at 37°C. These data indicate that the properties of the inhibitor produced in greater quantity by the leukocytes from immune animals conform with those of interferon.

**Inhibition by actinomycin D.** The production of interferon is inhibited by actinomycin D (8, 9, 11, 16, 17). To characterize further the inhibitor produced by leukocytes from immune animals, cultures of peritoneal leukocytes from immune and nonimmune animals were treated with 2.0 and 0.2 μg/ml of actinomycin D and then challenged with CV. Supernatant fluids were harvested at 24 hr, treated with acid, and assayed for interferon activity with EMC virus as the challenge agent. The data presented in Table 2 show that the enhanced interferon production by immune leukocytes is inhibited by actinomycin D, and further confirm the evidence that the interferon-like substance produced by control and immune leukocytes is, in fact, interferon.

**Specificity of enhanced interferon response.** To determine whether the enhanced interferon production was a specific response to the immunizing agent, peritoneal leukocytes were harvested from CV-immune and control, nonimmune animals, and replicate cultures of cells from both groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Expt no.</th>
<th>Interferon production</th>
<th>CV-immune</th>
<th>Nonimmune</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>&gt;100</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VI</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XII</td>
<td>420</td>
<td>98</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XIII</td>
<td>&gt;1,000</td>
<td>160</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XIV</td>
<td>650</td>
<td>185</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XVIII</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XXII</td>
<td>223</td>
<td>105</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XXXIII</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Effect of actinomycin D on interferon production in CV-immune and nonimmune leukocytes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Interferon production</th>
<th>units</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CV-immune leukocytes + 0.2 μg/ml of actinomycin D</td>
<td>240</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immune leukocytes</td>
<td>110</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonimmune leukocytes + 0.2 μg/ml of actinomycin D</td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

were challenged with CV, Sindbis, or vaccinia virus. The results of assays of interferon activity in supernatant fluids (Table 3) demonstrate that the enhanced interferon response occurred only in the culture challenged with CV. As part of another study, a similar enhancement of interferon production by leukocytes from mice immunized against vaccinia virus has been demonstrated (Glasgow, in preparation). Thus, the specificity of increase of interferon production by CV-immune cells was further defined by showing that similar final yields of interferon were obtained in cultures of leukocytes from vaccinia-immune and control animals challenged with CV.

These data indicate that the increased yield of interferon produced in leukocytes from immune animals is a specific response, and suggest either an altered virus-cell interaction resulting in enhanced virus uptake by the immune cell, or the possibility that leukocytes or macrophages are capable of responding to a secondary exposure of a virus with an enhanced interferon production in a fashion analogous with that of the anamnestic antibody response to a foreign antigen.

**Virus adsorption by CV-immune and control leukocytes.** Replicate cultures of leukocytes from CV-immune and nonimmune control animals were set up as described and infected with 2 × 10⁶ PFU of CV. Samples of culture medium were

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Challenge virus</th>
<th>Interferon production</th>
<th>units</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CV-immune leukocytes</td>
<td>CV</td>
<td>250</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vaccinia</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sindbis</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonimmune leukocytes</td>
<td>CV</td>
<td>55</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vaccinia</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sindbis</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
collected and centrifuged, and supernatant fluids were assayed for unadsorbed virus. The immune status of the animals used in this experiment was confirmed by determination of neutralizing-antibody titer of pooled sera collected at the time peritoneal leukocytes were harvested. Cage mates of the animals used in this experiment were shown in simultaneous experiments to manifest the enhanced interferon response (experiment XIV in Table 1). As shown in Fig. 2, CV was adsorbed by leukocytes from immune and control animals at identical rates. It would appear, therefore, that enhanced adsorption by immune cells is not responsible for the observed enhancement of interferon production.

The concomitant development of immunity, as characterized by high levels of neutralizing antibody, and of the capacity for an enhanced interferon response suggested a possible relationship between the presence of antibody capable of complexing with CV and the observed increase in interferon production. This possibility was considered in the following experiments.
carried out in vitro with hyperimmune anti-CV mouse serum.

Replicate cultures containing equal numbers of peritoneal leukocytes from either immune or nonimmune mice were established. A third group of plates containing monolayers of a continuous line of mouse embryo fibroblasts (3-B) was also set up. Two samples of stock CV were incubated at room temperature for 15 min with normal mouse serum or anti-CV immune serum (plaque inhibiting titer, 1:5,120), and samples of each preparation were used to challenge the three groups of cultures. After 24 hr, supernatant fluids were harvested and assayed for interferon activity. The data presented in Fig. 3 indicate a high yield of interferon from the continuous line of mouse fibroblasts. Since the primary purpose of the experiment was to compare interferon production in (i) immune versus nonimmune leukocytes, and (ii) virus versus virus-antibody complexes, the number of cells in the continuous line cultures was not adjusted to equal the leukocyte cell counts. No conclusions, therefore, may be drawn from these data concerning interferon production in leukocytes in comparison with nonphagocytic cells.

The complexing of virus and antibody resulted in a striking reduction in the stimulation of interferon production in all cell groups. These data indicated that virus complexed with antibody has a significantly impaired capacity to function as a stimulus of interferon production, and strongly suggest that the presence of anti-CV antibody is not causally related to the enhanced interferon response in immune cells.

Although the data (Fig. 2) indicated that an increased rate of adsorption was not correlated with the enhanced interferon response of CV-immune cells, the possibility still existed that cell-bound anti-CV antibody could alter virus-host cell interaction after adsorption. To test this hypothesis, normal, nonimmune peritoneal leukocytes were exposed to normal and anti-CV immune serum. Cells were then sedimented, washed, resuspended in culture medium, and challenged with CV. The results of this experiment are presented in Fig. 4. The exposure of normal, nonimmune cells to anti-CV antibody resulted in a slightly diminished capacity to respond to CV with the production of interferon. From the data previously presented, which showed that virus-antibody complexes induced only negligible interferon production, it would be expected that adsorption of some anti-CV antibody on the surface of normal nonimmune leukocytes might result in the observed decreased stimulation of interferon production.

**FIG. 4. Effect of incubating nonimmune mouse leukocytes with anti-CV immune sera prior to challenge with CV. The adsorption of neutralizing antibody on nonimmune leukocytes resulted in a moderate decrease in final interferon yields.**

**DISCUSSION**

The data presented from a large series of experiments demonstrate that leukocytes or macrophages from CV-immune animals manifest an altered response in vitro on re-exposure to the same virus, and suggest that leukocytes may have the capacity to respond to such a secondary exposure with an enhanced production of interferon. The concept of "tissue or cellular immunity," i.e., the enhanced resistance of the immune host apart from demonstrable neutralizing antibody, has been considered and discussed for many years, but concrete mechanisms related to this type of resistance have not been demonstrated. Douglas and Smith (3) interpreted their studies of vaccinal immunity in rabbits to indicate that immune tissue differs from normal tissue. These workers found that the leukocytes or cells from spleen and testes of vaccinia-immune animals had an enhanced capacity to prevent initiation of vaccinia virus lesions, when compared with nonimmune cell preparations. From this evidence, they concluded that "there is a cellular immunity against vaccinia virus as well as a humoral immunity." These conclusions were supported by Fairbrother (4), who inoculated different combinations of vaccinia virus-serum-leukocyte mixtures intracerebrally into
rabbits. These data, however, are difficult to interpret, since small numbers of animals were used and the most significant degree of protection was observed with combinations of immune serum and leukocytes.

Ginder (5) has reported a series of experiments in which mixtures of fibroma virus and fibroma-immune serum with leukocytes or macrophages were inoculated into rabbits. He found that the addition of fibroma-immune cells enhanced the neutralizing capacity of antifibroma serum. This increase in neutralization was a function of living cells and could not be explained by the presence of cell-bound antibodies, but the mechanism by which fibroma-immune macrophages exerted this effect was not elucidated. More recently, Steinberger and Rights (15) demonstrated that cultures of spleen cells from vaccinia-immune rabbits were less susceptible to infection by vaccinia virus than nonimmune control cultures. Furthermore, virus growth was limited in the immune spleen cultures, but not in similar cultures of immune kidney cells. With the use of plaque-neutralization techniques, antibody could not be demonstrated in the culture from the more resistant spleens. The occurrence of this resistance in cultures of spleen cells, but not of kidney cells, suggests that the observed phenomenon may have been dependent upon the presence of reticuloendothelial-system cells in the spleen. This decreased susceptibility of immune spleen cells in the absence of detectable antibody strongly suggests an altered virus-host interaction at the cellular level, and may be explained in terms of the results presented with immune macrophages.

Kempe (10) has reported a case of progressive vaccinia in a 1-year-old child, which failed to respond to large doses of hyperimmune γ-globulin. The continued spread of the infection was finally arrested by the injection of leukocytes and a lymph-node cell suspension from immune donors, both intravenously and at the leading edge of the lesion. It was proposed that the delayed hypersensitive reaction, mediated by the transferred immune cells, might contribute to the process of limiting the further spread of the virus and to its eventual elimination. In view of the present results, however, it would not seem unreasonable to suggest that enhanced production of interferon by the transferred leukocytes may have been a factor in the outcome of the infection. Preliminary studies in this laboratory with vaccinia virus suggest that a similar enhanced interferon response is, in fact, seen in cells from vaccinia-immune animals (Glasgow, in preparation).

Other workers, most notably Sabin (14) and Beard and Rous (2), considered the same problem as Douglas and Smith (3), i.e., the role of leukocytes in vaccinia virus infections of rabbits, and failed to demonstrate an enhanced antivaccinal or “virucidal” activity in leukocytes from immune animals. The reasons for these discrepancies are not readily apparent, although virus-host systems, techniques, and methods of assay have varied widely among the different investigators, and conditions have certainly not been optimal in many of these experiments for the demonstration of interferon activity.

Evidence has been presented that the observed enhancement of interferon production was not related to an increased rate of virus adsorption. In a different experimental system, Roberts (13) has reported an apparent increase in susceptibility of immune macrophages to ectromelia virus, which he attributed to a nonspecific enhancement of phagocytic activity. This effect was noted in cultures of peritoneal macrophages harvested from animals immunized by the intraperitoneal route, and wore off by 21 days after inoculation. In contrast, the present studies were carried out in animals immunized by the subcutaneous route, and peritoneal exudates were usually harvested between 3 and 8 weeks after the last virus booster. It would appear unlikely, therefore, that the phenomenon observed by Roberts was a factor in the results obtained in this investigation.

The significance of the data reported, in terms of host resistance of immune animals, remains an open question. Although interferon may be implicated in the process of virus elimination during primary infection, there is no evidence that it contributes to host resistance during reinfection. At our present stage of understanding, extrapolation from the experimental model to the situation in the immune host is obviously hazardous. However, the results presented in this study provide a possible mechanism for an enhanced tissue response on reinfection. The failure of neutralized virus to induce the production of interferon in vivo confirms the in vivo work of Youngner and Stinebring (18) and Polikoff (12), and suggests a limited in vivo function of the observed phenomenon. Although an enhanced interferon response by immune leukocytes may not be a major factor in resistance, it may possibly play a role at local sites of infection or in situations where cell to cell transmission may permit persistence of the virus in the face of neutralizing antibody. Studies are in progress to define further the nature of this apparent capacity for “recognition” in the processes involved in the regulation of interferon produc-
tion, to determine whether the response is limited to the CV model or is a general phenomenon, and to investigate its possible in vivo significance.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This investigation was supported by Public Health Service grant 06388-01 from The National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases.

The author wishes to express his appreciation to Yetta Beach and Nancy Gurowitz for their able technical assistance, and to Marilyn Graver for the preparation of the manuscript.

LITERATURE CITED