Expanded Roles for Multicargo and Class 1B Effector Chaperones in Type III Secretion
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ABSTRACT

Bacterial type III secretion systems (T3SS) are complex protein assemblies that mediate the secretion of protein substrates outside the cell. Type III secretion chaperones (T3SC) are always found associated with T3SS, and serve in multiple roles to ensure that protein substrates are efficiently targeted for secretion. Bacterial pathogens with T3SS express T3SC proteins that bind effectors, a process important for effector protein delivery into eukaryotic cells during infection. In this minireview, we focus on multicargo and class 1B T3SC that associate with effectors within significant pathogens of animals and plants. As a primary role, multicargo and class 1B T3SC form homodimers and specifically bind different effectors within the cytoplasm, maintaining the effectors in a secretion competent state. This role makes T3SC initial and central contributors to effector mediated pathogenesis. Recent findings have greatly expanded our understanding of cellular events linked to multicargo T3SC function. New binding interactions with T3SS components have been reported in different systems, thereby implicating multicargo T3SC in critical roles beyond effector binding. Three notable interactions with the YscN, YscV, and YscQ family members are well represented in the literature. Similar T3SC interactions are reported in the putative related flagellar T3SS, suggesting that secretion mechanisms may be more similar than previously thought. The evidence implicates multicargo and class 1B T3SC in effector binding and stabilization, in addition to T3SS recruitment and docking events.
BACKGROUND

Bacterial type III secretion systems (T3SS) are complex molecular assemblies that mediate the secretion of proteins from the cytoplasm to the extracellular milieu or directly into eukaryotic cells. T3SS within pathogens have been linked to animal and plant diseases for a wide variety of species including Yersinia (bubonic plague, enterocolitis) (12), Salmonella (typhoid fever) (33), Shigella (dysentery) (17, 66), Escherichia coli (haemolytic diarrhoea) (49, 70), Chlamydia (trachoma) (29, 50), and Pseudomonas syringae and Xanthomonas campestris (tomato and pepper spot disease) (28, 76). The assembly of the T3SS and its subsequent function occurs through a series spatiotemporally regulated events. In this minireview, we will focus on type III secretion chaperones (T3SC), specifically multicargo and class 1B chaperones that are involved in coordinating interactions with effector proteins and critical components of the T3SS. The putative related flagellar T3SS will not be covered in detail (for reviews see (48, 52)), although discoveries for flagellar T3SS components and chaperones will be highlighted for contextual and putative similarities with the T3SS of pathogens.

Discovery and classification of T3SC

With the discovery of the T3SS and associated effectors (secreted substrates), supporting experimental observations implicated a number of T3SS ancillary proteins in substrate secretion. These proteins tend to be encoded by genes immediately adjacent to an effector gene (13, 31). Disruption of the adjacent gene often abolished or reduced effector secretion and in some cases reduced effector stability resulting in degradation. Protein interactions studies revealed that these ancillary proteins were often stably and physically associated with effectors (1, 78), a feature in common with ‘traditional’ molecular chaperones.
that bind and protect proteins within the cell (e.g. GroEL)(37), and hence the term ‘chaperone-
like’ was proposed (79). This name is controversial as T3SC as a group of proteins do not
exhibit ATPase or protein folding activities that are hallmark features of ‘traditional’ molecular
chaperones. Certain T3SC display stabilizing interactions with effector proteins, therefore the
term chaperone is more akin to a factor involved in guiding or protecting partner proteins. In
fact, co-crystallization and NMR studies have implicated T3SC in maintaining proteins in an
extended conformation or a partially unfolded state, by providing a molecular scaffold (24, 65,
69).

T3SC are typically small (15-20 kDa) and acidic (pI 4-5) cytoplasmic proteins (Table 1)
that remain within the bacterial cell. Three classes of T3SC have been proposed (13, 39, 62) and
briefly discussed here: Class I bind effectors, Class II bind translocon or pore forming proteins of
the T3SS, and Class III bind needle and filament proteins. Class III T3SC are thought to prevent
premature association or polymerization of monomeric needle and filament proteins within the
bacterial cytoplasm. Class II T3SC bind their cargos within the bacterial cell and in many cases
are required for translocon protein secretion. Class I T3SC has two subclasses: IA and IB. Class
IA bind a single effector and are often encoded by a gene adjacent to an effector gene, whereas
class IB bind to several effectors and are often encoded by a gene within an operon that carries
genes encoding components of the secretion apparatus. Class IA and IB designations can be
ambiguous, as new findings for some class IA T3SC showed binding to multiple effectors (e.g.
CesT). For clarity, in this review, we will use the term multicargo chaperone, referring to a
T3SC that binds several different effector substrates regardless of gene context. Within the last
10 years, a number of multicargo chaperones have been implicated in binding, recruitment,
regulatory, and docking roles. The importance of these proteins is validated by findings where
multicargo chaperone mutants exhibit significant virulence defects in animal and plant models of infection. The features of some of these chaperones are presented below and summarized in Table 1.

**InvB.** The invABC genetic locus located with *Salmonella* pathogenicity island 1 (SPI-1) was initially implicated in eukaryotic cell invasion, although invB mutants were still able to invade cells *in vitro*, making it difficult to assess the contribution of InvB to pathogenesis (22). invB mutants were shown to have a virulence defect in an avian model of *Salmonella* infection, yet the exact contribution or functional role of InvB in virulence remained unknown (63). At the sequence level, InvB shares 31% sequence similarity with Spa15 (SpaK), a protein encoded in the mxi-spa genetic locus associated with *Shigella* host cell invasion, and therefore a link to *Salmonella* invasion was suggested. The first demonstration for InvB being a chaperone was a study that used a yeast two hybrid approach and *in vitro* infection experiments. Bronstein et al. (6) discovered that InvB interacts with SspA (SipA) and contributes to efficient translocation of SipA into target host cells. Two groups then answered a key question relating to *Salmonella* effectors encoded outside of SPI-1 by demonstrating that InvB was required for SopE and SopE2 secretion and translocation into host cells (19, 43). Finally, using a polymutant effector *Salmonella* strain, InvB was shown to interact with SopA (20). Aside from SopE and SopE2, the InvB interacting effectors do not share extensive sequence similarity, which raised the important question as to how InvB mediates binding specificity to effector partner proteins. As it turns out, intrinsic structural features within effectors are critical for InvB binding (45)(presented below).

**Spa15.** The invasive enteric pathogen *Shigella flexneri* (and close relatives) contain a large 213 kb virulence plasmid that encodes components of a T3SS, effectors, chaperones and transcriptional regulators. A screen for interacting proteins encoded by the virulence plasmid
identified a number of potential chaperone-effector associations (58). Based on homology to InvB, which was previously known as a *Salmonella* chaperone for the effector SipA/SspA, a chaperone role for Spa15 was postulated based on an interaction with the effectors IpaA, IpgB1 and OspC3 (58, 60). This was the first evidence that T3SC could interact with multiple effectors and hence introduced the concept of ’promiscuous’ chaperones, i.e. chaperones that interact with multiple binding partners (35). In fact, a protein interaction platform assay has since revealed that Spa15 interacts with additional *Shigella* effectors, including IpgB2, OspB, OspC1, OspC2, OspD1 and OspD2 (for a total of 9)(67). Spa15 is involved in the efficient secretion of all 9 of these effectors. Interestingly, the OspD1-Spa15 interaction has been implicated in an anti-activator role that negatively influences virulence gene transcription (61). Another observation is that to date Spa15 is the only example of a T3SC that is secreted (26). The relevance of Spa15 secretion remains to be determined.

**CesT.** CesT of Enteropathogenic *E. coli* (EPEC) is perhaps one of the most unusual multicargo chaperones as it was first described as a class IA chaperone for the effector Tir (1, 23). CesT (chaperone *E. coli* secreted protein Tir) fits many of the criteria for assignment as a class IA chaperone; the *cesT* gene is immediately adjacent to *tir* within an operon in the locus of enterocyte effacement (LEE) pathogenicity island of attaching and effacing *E. coli* strains. This is similar to the cognate chaperone effector pairings for class IA chaperones seen in many pathogens (e.g. SycE/YopE, SigE/SigD, SicP/SptP). Additional interaction studies revealed that CesT binds to Map, another LEE encoded effector (16). The discovery of many non-LEE encoded effectors, accompanied by the paucity of chaperone candidate genes raised the possibility that CesT could be involved in non-LEE encoded effector secretion via the T3SS. Indeed this was the case, as CesT was shown to interact with all the effectors encoded within the
LEE and many non-LEE encoded effectors (73). CesT is known to interact with at least 10 effector (see Table 1) and possibly more given that some effectors are encoded by redundant genes with almost identical sequences (e.g. NleG and EspF protein families). Expectedly, cesT null mutants do not cause overt disease in a mouse model of infection (18).

**HpaB.** Plant pathogens within the genus *Xanthomonas* use a T3SS to inject multiple effectors into plant cells during infection. The model organism for this group of bacteria is *Xanthomonas campestris pv. vesicatoria*, which causes bacterial spot disease in pepper and tomato. Studies have identified a 23 kb hypersensitive response and pathogenicity (*hrp*) gene cluster that contains 6 operons (*hrpA-F*) encoding components of a T3SS, effectors, regulators and chaperones (27). Genes without known functions or limited homology to known T3SS proteins in databases were initially termed *hrp* associated genes (*hpa*). In the case of HpaB, it was shown to bind three effector proteins, AvrBs1, AvrBs3 and XopF1 using *in vitro* binding assays (7, 9). *In vitro* secretion assays demonstrated that five *Xanthomonas* effectors require HpaB for efficient secretion into culture supernatants. HpaB is required for *Xanthomonas* mediated T3SS injection of two effectors into plant cells (XopJ, XopF1) implicating this protein in efficient effector translocation for a subset of effectors that it interacts with (8). Importantly, *hpaB* mutants display a complete loss of pathogenicity in susceptible plants albeit still produce a partial (reduced) hypersensitive response in resistant plants (7).

**Mesc.** The obligate intracellular pathogen *Chlamydia trachomatis* (and related species) use a T3SS to inject effectors that localize to a membrane inclusion vacuole within the host cell. The study of specific *Chlamydia* T3SS components *in situ* is challenging due to the lack of an efficient genetic manipulation system. Researchers have relied on bioinformatic approaches and strategies to express T3SS components in heterologous systems to elucidate function.
comprehensive yeast two hybrid protein interaction screen revealed that ORF Ct260 of *C. trachomatis* interacts with 3 putative effectors (Ct618, Cap1 and Ct225) and CdsQ, a conserved component found in all T3SS (68)(discussed below). Immunolocalization and *in vitro* pull down experiments validated the role of Ct260 as a multicargo T3SC and it was named Mcsc (multiple cargo secretion chaperone). Mcsc was observed to form dimers, similar to other T3SC. While a crystal structure of Mcsc is not available, molecular modeling predicts common structural folds found in other T3SC.

**SrcA.** *Salmonella* makes use of two T3SS, encoded within the SPI-1 and SPI-2 pathogenicity islands, to subvert host cells during infection. The regulation of each pathogenicity island is complex with master transcriptional regulators involved. For SPI-2, SsrB is involved in regulating gene expression, although SsrB also regulates other genes throughout the genome, including effector genes that encode protein substrates of the SPI-2 T3SS. Using a transcriptional profiling approach, ORF *ST2138* was shown to be regulated by SsrB (11).

*ST2138* encodes a protein with features similar to T3SC (Table 1) and shares 59% amino acid sequence identity with T3SC CesT. Based on these properties and other findings, *ST2138* was named *srcA* (SsrB-regulated chaperone A). Deletion of *srcA* from *S. Typhimurium* resulted in decreased fitness versus wild type bacteria in a mixed (competitive) oral infection of mice, thereby implicating SrcA as an important virulence determinant. SrcA was demonstrated to bind SseL, a SPI-2 encoded effector and was further implicated as the chaperone for the PipB2 effector (11). Both of these respective effector genes are SsrB regulated which correlates with the observed SsrB regulation for SrcA. Currently, it is debatable if SrcA is a *bona fide* multicargo chaperone, as it has only been shown to interact with SseL. The requirement of SrcA
for PipB2 delivery implies a binding interaction, although additional work will be required to
determine if SrcA binds to multiple effectors.

Mechanisms of effector binding by multicargo chaperones

In the majority of cases, structural studies have revealed that chaperone monomers form
homodimers along a helical interface (reviewed in (59)). One notable difference between class
1A and class 1B chaperones is that for class 1B, the helices contact each at an angle of about 30°
as observed in Spa15 (74), whereas for class 1A chaperones (e.g. SycE, SicP) the helices run
parallel. An impressive amount of surface exposed hydrophobicity is available on chaperone
homodimers, a feature that is thought to promote interactions with the amino terminal region of
effectors (47, 69). In support of that view, formation of chaperone-effector complexes can occur
in the absence of other cellular proteins or ATP (1, 73, 79). Within the cell, a T3SS associated
ATPase has been implicated in dissociating chaperone-effector complexes (2)(discussed below).

A β-strand motif found in many (but not all) effectors has been implicated in binding
to T3SC (45). Furthermore, alteration of the motif was shown to disrupt or weaken chaperone-
effector binding interactions. Analysis of chaperone-effector co-crystals (e.g. SycE/YopE,
SicP/SptP, InvB/SipA) reveals that β-strand motifs are positioned at the amino terminal region of
effectors in a ‘chaperone binding domain’. A conserved chaperone binding domain(CCBD)
sequence [(LMIF)\textsubscript{1}XXX(IV)\textsubscript{3}XX(IV)\textsubscript{4}XN\textsubscript{10}] overlaps the β-motif (14). Furthermore, an
interchangeability of InvB and Spa15 T3SC within Salmonella and Shigella was demonstrated,
suggesting that the overall chaperone structure is sufficient to interact with diverse effectors.
T3SC (class IA and IB) exhibit a contiguous conserved set of structural folds (α-β-β-α-β-β-α).
In contrast, class II and class III T3SC exhibit multiple tetratricopeptide (TPR) folds (formed by
pairs of antiparallel helices)(39). While structural differences are apparent between class I and class II or III T3SC, it is likely that subtle localized features for each T3SC class have evolved for optimal binding functions and interactions with the secretion apparatus (47, 73, 74).

Multicargo chaperone interactions with components of the T3SS

The role of T3SC in effector secretion has been controversial, since many early reports demonstrated that effector secretion still occurred in the absence of the respective binding chaperone (23, 79). Now multiple lines of experimental data from different systems support a role for T3SC in docking and recruitment events at the T3SS. Notably, three critical interactions with the conserved YscN (ATPase), YscQ (putative C-ring) and YscV (membrane protein) family members are observed.

Interactions with T3SS ATPases. All T3SS have an associated ATPase (YscN protein family), that is believed to provide energy through ATP hydrolysis to dissociate effectors from T3SC. In vitro binding assays have demonstrated that purified CesT or SrcA interact with their respective T3SS ATPase (11, 34). These findings have been extended with co-immunoprecipitation of chaperone-ATPase from cell lysates in EPEC and Xanthomonas (46, 73). Therefore, observations from different bacterial pathogens provide evidence that multicargo chaperones interact with T3SS ATPases. This interaction can occur in the absence of effector cargo, although within the cell, we predict that chaperone-effector complexes interact with the T3SS ATPase.

A partially reconstituted system with purified proteins established that the Salmonella T3SS ATPase InvC could bind to SicP (class IA chaperone) and to a SicP-SptP (chaperone-effector) complex (2). Additional experiments also provided evidence indicating that
the InvC ATPase disassembles the SicP-SptP complex by ATP hydrolysis, an event that was required for SptP secretion into culture supernatants. Whether this interaction paradigm fits multicargo chaperones remains to be determined. The situation could be different for flagellar T3SS. The flagellar ATPase FliI is known to interact with FlgN and FliT chaperones (38, 71), although recent in vitro experiments suggest that FliI mediated ATPase activity does not dissociate the filament capping protein FliD from a FliD/FliT complex (54). Interestingly, it is the proton motive force (PMF) that provides essential energy for flagellar protein secretion (55). Therefore, additional studies are required to critically address what role T3SS ATPases play in preparing chaperone bound substrates for secretion.

The significance of a chaperone-ATPase interaction is paramount since it appears to be a common feature in T3SS. T3SS ATPases have been observed and modeled as homohexamers, although cryoelectron microscopy studies of P. syringae HrcN indicate that a dodecamer, a double stack of hexameric rings, can be formed (57). The dodecameric form was shown to be the predominant form at the membrane and exhibited high ATPase activity. In support of those findings, crystallization studies of the ATPase EscN of EPEC revealed that EscN oligomerization is required for efficient ATPase activity (81). Consequently, the most likely site of ATP hydrolysis is at the cytoplasmic face of the inner membrane. Given that ATPase activity is likely required to dissociate chaperone-effector complexes (2), chaperones would seemingly need to exist in the cytoplasm to bind partner effectors, and also at the membrane to mediate critical interactions with the T3SS ATPase. Indeed, we have shown that CesT partitions to cytoplasmic (soluble) and membrane (insoluble) fractions (73). This dual localization for CesT and presumably other chaperones implicates this group of proteins as recruitment factors for
export substrates and further substantiates a role in secretion and trafficking events within the cell.

Interactions with the structural C-ring protein. Another conserved component for all flagellar and T3SS are members of the YscQ/FliM-N family. YscQ/FliM-N members (PscQ, Spa33, SpaO, EscQ) are believed to form a cytoplasmic ring structure (C-ring) at the inner membrane (25, 56, 82). This membrane associated ring structure is conceivably amenable to localized interactions for soluble chaperone-effector complexes. Pull down and yeast hybrid protein interaction experiments suggest that a YscQ-YscL-YscK-YscN complex may exist in vivo (40, 64). Pull down experiments in EPEC and Shigella identified similar interactions (4, 41).

Therefore, a high order protein complex composed of YscNLQ and probably YscK, likely exists within the cell. A recent report indicated that SpaO (Salmonella YscQ homologue) forms a sorting platform for chaperone-effector complexes (42). This sorting platform also contained the ATPase InvC, an observation in agreement with existence of a high order YscNLQ complex. SicA (class IA chaperone) interactions with the sorting platform were detected, although no evidence of class 1B or multicargo chaperones was presented. Data for multicargo chaperone binding to the YscQ family was recently demonstrated for the Chlamydial Mcsc chaperone. Mcsc was shown to interact with the CdsQ, the C. trachomatis homologue of YscQ (68). Hence T3SC interaction with the YscQ family are likely important binding events that support efficient targeting of effector proteins to the membrane embedded T3SS.

Interactions with the YscV/FlhA family. YscV/FlhA family members are large proteins (>600 amino acids) that contain 6-8 predicted transmembrane domains and a large cytoplasmic extension spanning over 300 amino acids. Recent findings suggest that this family of proteins forms oligomers at the membrane (44). A yeast two hybrid screen using bait proteins encoded
from the *hrp* locus of *X. axonopodis pv. citri* identified an interaction between HpaB and HrcV (325-646aa)(3). In support of that finding, purified GST-HrcV and HpaB were shown to interact with each other in a pull down assay (8). These protein interaction studies suggest that in *Xanthomonas*, HpaB may interact with HrcV, presumably at the inner membrane. Such an interaction has yet to be observed within *Xanthomonas*, although it is reasonable to speculate that a HpaB-HrcV interaction does occur near the cytoplasmic face of the T3SS given that HrcV(325-646aa) is predicted to be within a large cytoplasmic domain. Whether other multicargo chaperones interact with their corresponding YscV family member remains to be determined.

An interesting discovery relating to FlhA interaction with the flagellar multicargo chaperone FlgN has been reported by Minamino and colleagues (53). FlgN is the chaperone for hook filament junction proteins FlgK and FlgL (30). Previous studies identified a *Salmonella ΔfliH-I flhB*(P28T) strain that unexpectedly exhibits reduced motility on soft agar plates (55). This polymutant strain still produces some flagella, which indicates that flagellar filament assembly can occur to some extent in the absence of FliH and FliI and in the presence of a FlhB variant. Deletion of *flgN* from this polymutant background resulted in a further reduction in motility described as a ‘very weak motility phenotype’ (53). Interestingly, multiple pseudorevertants that modestly improved motility for the Δ*fliH-I flhB*(P28T) Δ*flgN* strain, were found to have suppressor mutations exclusively within *flhA*. Possibly even more intriguing was the fact that within these isolated pseudorevertants, the mutations were found in only two sites, FlhA(D456A) and FlhA(T490M), both within the FlhA cytoplasmic domain. Correspondingly, a direct FlgN-FlhA interaction was observed in follow up protein interaction studies.

From the aforementioned findings, YscV/FlhA family members are central to chaperone-effector complex interactions at the T3SS. The observations for multicargo T3SC and flagellar...
chaperones binding to the YscV/FlhA large cytoplasmic domain strongly suggests that this is a conserved mechanism for T3SS.

Engagement of protein substrates with the T3SS – a channel awaits

While evidence links chaperones to substrate binding and recruitment events prior to substrate secretion, it is difficult to reconcile published observations where substrates are secreted in the absence of chaperones. In some cases, short N-terminal signal sequences of effectors are sufficient in mediating translocation of reporter proteins into target cells (5, 15). It should be noted that the translocation of artificial reporters is not necessarily equivalent to naturally translocated effectors. In fact, in many cases, translocation efficiencies are typically higher when the N-terminal sequence and chaperone binding domain are intact within effectors, and when translocation is assayed in the presence of the associated chaperone (10, 15, 51).

If T3SC have recruitment functions, one might anticipate T3SS recruitment deficiencies for relevant mutants. This has been observed in Salmonella with studies evaluating secretion of SopE which is chaperoned by InvB. SopE variants that were unable to bind InvB due to alteration of the chaperone binding domain or deletion of invB, were found to be secreted by the flagellar export pathway, a situation that did not occur when InvB and wild type SopE were within the cell (21, 43). Unfortunately, these studies did not establish an actual recruitment of an InvB-SopE complex to the T3SS, although the results clearly demonstrate that in the absence of InvB binding, SopE is no longer exclusively recruited to the T3SS.

Perhaps one of the most intriguing findings relating to engagement of substrates at a T3SS is found in the flagellar system where dominant negative FlgN variants were shown to block export of multiple flagellar substrates (71). FlgN-FlgK/L complexes were demonstrated to
interact with FliI (ATPase) independent of other flagellar components, although within the cell, FlgN variants blocked flagellar protein export presumably by inappropriately engaging the export channel and preventing entry for other substrates. In such a model, one could envision a shuttling or adaptor mechanism where the FlgN-FlgK/L complexes initially interact with FliI followed by localized FliI ATPase activity to dissociate FlgN-complexes in close proximity to FlhA as described above (53), allowing for efficient and productive engagement of the flagellar export apparatus. This hypothetical model requires experimental validation and will be an area of considerable interest. Moreover, it is unclear whether such a model applies to the T3SS of pathogens, although there appears to be enough similarities to warrant further investigation.

**Evidence for multicargo chaperones in hierarchy of effector translocation?**

An unresolved issue is whether there is a hierarchical order to effector secretion. Do some substrates get secreted before others? If so, does the putative hierarchical mechanism involve multicargo chaperones? Examples from the literature provide evidence that an effector translocation hierarchy does exist, however, limited data is available to establish a role for multicargo chaperones in hierarchy.

In *Salmonella*, T3SS delivered SopE/E2, SspA and SopB(SigD) act in concert to promote actin polymerization at sites of bacterial invasion (83). SopE/E2 act as guanine nucleotide exchange factors (GEF) to activate Cdc42, which triggers membrane ruffling and eventual bacterial uptake (36). In contrast, T3SS delivered SptP acts as a GTPase activating protein (GAP), antagonizing Cdc42 (32). These effector mediated activities on Cdc42 would conceivably cancel out if the effectors were delivered concurrently. As it turns out, SspA(SipA) and SopE2 translocation have been experimentally observed to be delivered before SptP (75, 80).
The chaperone for SspA and SopE2 is InvB whereas the chaperone for SptP is SicP. It is tempting to speculate that InvB-effector complexes engage the T3SS export apparatus first or perhaps with higher affinity than SicP-SptP complexes.

In EPEC, Tir delivery results in intimate attachment to the host cell. Interestingly, Tir secretion has been shown to be important for the secretion of other effectors (72). Real time quantitative infection assays revealed that Tir delivery into host cells precedes other effectors (51). Moreover, during EPEC infection, Tir mediated actin remodeling promotes efficient effector translocation (77). Collectively, these findings suggest a hierarchy for Tir over other effectors. At least 10 EPEC effectors interact with the T3SC CesT, including Tir, which implies that a mechanism to ensure Tir hierarchy likely involves CesT. The \textit{tir} and \textit{cesT} genes are cotranscribed which may favor immediate CesT-Tir complex formation before other CesT-effector complexes can be formed. In support of this view, co-expressing NleA or NleH with CesT in a \textit{tir} mutant increased NleA and NleH secretion levels (72). Therefore temporal co-expression of effectors and chaperones could be a simple mechanism that promotes hierarchical effector translocation.

\textbf{Future directions and concluding remarks}

Multicargo chaperones are critical players in protein trafficking events with the cell. Through multiple interactions with different effectors, these T3SC coordinate binding and recruitment actions leading to efficient effector secretion. Once thought to only be scaffolds and bodyguards, recent findings clearly implicate T3SC in recruitment and docking roles with the YscN, YscQ, and YscV components of the T3SS (Fig. 1). A considerable amount of primary evidence has been learned from the related flagellar export pathway where motility screens and
efficient genetic approaches have proven very useful in elucidating T3SC function. A major challenge will be to determine if multicargo chaperones of pathogens behave in a similar manner or whether novel interactions or trafficking roles are required for effector secretion. Another challenging issue is whether effectors exhibit different binding affinities for multicargo chaperones. If so, does it contribute to a hierarchy of effector translocation that has been observed in some infection models?

For such small proteins, multicargo chaperones play a significant role in effector biology. Preventing multicargo chaperone function could be an efficient strategy to limit effector mediated pathogenesis. Therefore future searches for inhibitors that impede multicargo chaperone function may prove useful in disease prevention.
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**Table 1.** Summary of features and experimental observations for selected multicargo T3SC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Multicargo chaperone</th>
<th>pI/Mw (kDa)</th>
<th>Known binding interactions with secreted substrates*</th>
<th>Mutant virulence defect</th>
<th>Interaction with conserved T3SS component?</th>
<th>Relevant references</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>InvB</td>
<td>4.5/14.9</td>
<td>SspA(SipA), SopE/E2, SopA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td>(6, 19, 20, 43)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spa15</td>
<td>4.3/15.2</td>
<td>IpaA, IpgB1, IpgB2, OspB, OspC1, OspC2, OspC3, OspD1, OspD2</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td></td>
<td>(60, 61, 67)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HpaB (162 aa)</td>
<td>4.3/18.5</td>
<td>AvrBs1, AvrBs3, XopF1</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>HrcN, HrcU, HrcV</td>
<td>(3, 7, 8, 46)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mcsc</td>
<td>4.6/18.8</td>
<td>Ct618, Cap1, Ct225</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>CdsQ</td>
<td>(68)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SrcA</td>
<td>4.6/16.1</td>
<td>SseL</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>SsaN</td>
<td>(11)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Listed by direct interactions in experimental binding assays. Additional roles in effector secretion without demonstrated binding have been observed in some cases.
Figure 1. Hypothetical model depicting three steps involved in trafficking a T3SC-effector complex to the T3SS; i) recruitment, ii) engagement, and iii) entry into the T3SS. The model incorporates multiple findings from the literature as described in the text. The secretion of a putative hierarchical effector (green) is shown over other effectors based on experimental observations where some effectors are secreted before others. Note that in this case, the dimeric T3SC (yellow) is depicted to interact with YscN (blue), YscV (red), and YscQ (purple). The sequence of these binding interactions is not known and could be different than depicted. Whether this occurs in all systems remains to be determined. YscN mediated ATP hydrolysis is shown and presumably favors T3SC dissociation allowing for effector entry into the T3SS. The uncomplexed T3SC could potentially re-load with other effectors in the cytoplasm. A T3SC-independent pathway is shown to indicate that such events have been observed in different experimental systems. The bracketed plus signs (+) indicate the possibility of binding affinities or favorable formation of tripartite T3SC-effector-YscN complexes. Other possible mechanisms of effector hierarchy such as co-transcription or co-regulation are presented. YscN (ATPase) is shown as a ring-like homohexamer in the cytoplasm, and a dodecamer at the membrane based on experimental observations. A YscQ oligomer forming a C-ring at the base of the T3SS is in close proximity to a (YscV)_n oligomer. The exact stoichiometry of these oligomers is not known, although evidence exists to suggest oligomer formation. The ‘N’ attached to effector ovals represents an amino terminal signal sequence. Some components of the T3SS are not depicted for clarity and/or lack of experimental data. The thickness of the arrows denotes putative efficiency of the process as in the majority of cases effector secretion is more efficient with T3SC function.