MprAB regulates the espA operon in Mycobacterium tuberculosis, and modulates ESX-1 function and host cytokine response
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Running title: MprAB modulates ESX-1 in M. tuberculosis
The ESX-1 secretion system exports the immunomodulatory protein ESAT-6 and other proteins important in the pathogenesis of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. Components and substrates of ESX-1 are encoded at several loci, but the regulation of the encoding genes is only partially understood. In this study, we investigated the role of the MprAB two-component system in the regulation of ESX-1 activity. We determined that MprAB directly regulates the *espA* gene cluster, a locus necessary for ESX-1 function. Transcript mapping determined that the five genes in the cluster form an operon with two transcriptional start points, and several MprA binding sites were detected in the *espA* promoter. Expression analyses and promoter constructs indicated that MprAB represses the *espA* operon. However, the mprAB mutant Rv-D981 secreted lower levels of EspA, ESAT-6, and the ESX-1 substrate EspB, compared to control strains. Secretion of CFP10, which is normally co-secreted with ESAT-6, was similar in Rv-D981 and control strains, further demonstrating aberrant ESX-1 activity in the mutant. ESAT-6 induces proinflammatory cytokines, and macrophages infected with Rv-D981 elicited lower levels of IL-1β and TNF-α, consistent with the reduced levels of ESAT-6. These findings indicate that MprAB modulates ESX-1 function, and reveal a new role for MprAB in host-pathogen interactions.
INTRODUCTION

*Mycobacterium tuberculosis* has a tremendous global impact on public health, yet the molecular basis of *M. tuberculosis* pathogenesis remains poorly understood. Therefore, the ESX-1 secretion system has stimulated considerable research interest, especially in light of its potential as a drug target (29). ESX-1 is the prototype of Type VII secretion systems found in some Gram-positive bacteria (9, 29), and the ESX-1 substrate ESAT-6 is a major virulence factor, implicated in diverse host-pathogen interactions (29, 50), including host cell lysis (16, 24), T cell inhibition (51), and activation of macrophage inflammasomes (26, 37). The protein CFP10 is co-secreted with ESAT-6, forming a 1:1 complex, and interacts with the secretion apparatus (7, 46, 53). ESAT-6 and CFP10 are encoded within the *M. tuberculosis* RD1 region that contains genes for structural components of the ESX-1 secretion apparatus, and other ESX-1 substrates (16, 19, 43, 50, 53). Loss of ESAT-6 attenuates *M. tuberculosis*, and deletion of multiple other genes in the RD1 region impairs ESX-1 function and virulence (4, 7, 16, 28, 50).

Another locus critical for ESX-1 function contains the *espA* operon, with genes encoding the secreted proteins EspA (Rv3616c), EspC (Rv3615c), and EspD (Rv3614c) (8, 14, 30). Secretion of EspA and ESAT-6 is mutually dependent, with deletion of *espA* resulting in loss of ESAT-6 secretion and attenuation (14). Disruption of disulfide bond formation in EspA retains ESX-1 function, but produces a severely attenuated strain, indicating EspA is itself a major virulence determinant (17). EspC contains a signal sequence required for secretion of EspA and ESAT-6 (6), and EspD stabilizes cellular levels of EspA and EspC (8). Two downstream genes, Rv3613c and Rv3612c, are not required for ESX-1 function (44), but sometimes share *espA* expression patterns (41, 47).

Transcriptional regulators of ESX-1 include EspR, which activates the *espA* operon (44), and which was recently reported to be a nucleoid-associated protein involved in global gene regulation (2). EspR...
binds the espA promoter (3, 49), and an EspR mutant exhibited decreased transcription of the espA operon, loss of ESAT-6 secretion, and reduced virulence (44). The two-component system (TCS) PhoPR also activates the espA operon (56). Evidence suggests PhoPR senses low pH (1), consistent with the upregulation of the espA operon by acidic conditions (13). CRP represses the espA operon (47), and the nucleoid-associated protein Lsr2 binds within the RD1 region and espA operon, repressing transcription (18).

Here, we report that the espA operon is directly regulated by the MprAB TCS. MprAB activates the response to envelope stress induced by surfactants (22, 41). The response regulator MprA binds a repeated hexamer motif (MprA box) found primarily in the promoters of genes associated with envelope stress (22, 23, 39-41, 57). The majority of these promoters are activated by MprAB, but MprAB can also repress expression under some conditions (40). Previous DNA microarray studies revealed that espA and downstream genes Rv3615c-Rv3612c were derepressed in our mprAB mutant, Rv-D981 (41). We further explored this finding, and discovered that MprAB is required for ESX-1 function.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bacterial strains and culture conditions. Rv-D981 is an mprAB deletion mutant of M. tuberculosis strain H37Rv, and Rv-D981COM is the mprAB-complemented strain (41). ST100 is a phoP mutant of H37Rv (56). Due to differences in H37Rv strains, we have designated the parental strain of ST100 as H37Rv-2. Strains were grown in Middlebrook 7H9 broth containing 0.05% Tween 80 and 10% OADC, at 37°C with shaking under atmospheric CO2. Escherichia coli Novablue and Rosetta(DE3)pLysS (Novagen) were used for general cloning and protein expression, respectively.

RNA isolation, reverse transcription, and real-time PCR. Procedures were conducted essentially as
described (41). Briefly, M. tuberculosis strains were grown to mid-exponential phase, total RNA was extracted by bead-beating, followed by further purification and DNase treatment. Following reverse transcription with random hexamer primers and M-MLV reverse transcriptase, real-time PCR assays were performed with gene-specific primers and probes, using an ABI Prism 7300 thermal cycler. Relative quantities of cDNA were determined from standard curves generated using genomic DNA, and were normalized for 16S rRNA. Sequences of primers and probes used in this study are listed in Table S1.

**Primer extension analysis.** Primer extension was performed with primers Rv3616c Ext-1 and Rv3616c Ext-2 essentially as described (39), using a high-temperature-tolerant reverse transcriptase, and high annealing and extension temperatures to overcome possible secondary structures. Reaction products were separated on 8% polyacrylamide sequencing gels, alongside sequencing reactions performed with the same primer.

**RNA ligase mediated-RACE.** The FirstChoice RLM-RACE kit (Ambion) was used with the manufacturer’s recommended protocol. Briefly, H37Rv total RNA was extracted at mid-exponential phase. Following dephosphorylation, RNA was ligated to a 45-base RNA adaptor, followed by random-primed reverse transcription. Reactions were also run in parallel without reverse transcriptase to control for the presence of genomic DNA. The 5’ RACE Outer primer (Ambion) and primer Rv3616c-R9 were used for Outer PCR. Next, for Inner PCR, the 5’ RACE Inner primer was paired with either Rv3616c-Ext1 (TSP1), or Rv3616c-R3 (TSP2). PCR products were analyzed on an agarose gel, specific bands were excised, and sequenced.

**Protein extraction and Western blotting.** M. tuberculosis strains were grown in 7H9 to an OD600nm of 0.3-0.4, centrifuged, washed, and then suspended in Sauton’s medium at an OD600nm of 0.1. At different timepoints, cell pellets and supernatants were collected by centrifugation to prepare cell lysates and culture
filtrates (secreted proteins), respectively. Cell pellets were washed, then disrupted by bead-beating. Cell
debris was removed by centrifugation, and lysate supernatants were collected for analysis.

To obtain secreted proteins, supernatants from the initial centrifugation were filtered through 0.20 μm
filters (Millipore) and concentrated using a centrifugal filter unit with 3 KDa cut-off (Millipore), followed
by buffer exchange into 50 mM Tris-HCl, 50 mM NaCl buffer, pH8.0.

Protein concentration was determined by BCA assay (Pierce). Proteins were separated using a 15%
polyacrylamide gel under denaturing and reducing conditions. For immunoblotting, we used the Western
ECL detection system (Amersham) with antibodies to ESAT-6 (mAb HYB 076-08, a gift from Peter
Andersen), EspA (a gift from Sarah Fortune), EspB (AB43761, Abcam), CFP-10 (NR13801, BEI
Resources), Mpt32 (NR13817, BEI Resources), and GroEL2 (NR-13655, BEI Resources).

Construction of promoter fusion plasmids and assays. espA promoter regions were inserted upstream of
the β-galactosidase gene lacZ in plasmid pSM128 (10) (a gift from Issar Smith). The full-length intergenic
region was amplified using primers Rv3616c-FuF1/Rv3616c-FuR1 (construct P1). Partial intergenic
regions of 976 bp, 585 bp, and 429 bp were amplified, respectively, using forward primers
Rv3616c-Fu-976 (construct P2), Rv3616c-Fu-585 (construct P3), and Rv3616c-Fu-429 (construct P4),
with reverse primer Rv3616c-FuR1. Primers included a ScaI site for cloning into pSM128. Insert
orientation was verified by sequencing.

For β-galactosidase analysis, promoter fusion plasmids and the control vector pSM128 were
electroporated into H37Rv and Rv-D981. Transformants were selected in the presence of streptomycin (50
μg/ml) and confirmed by PCR analysis. Cell lysates were prepared by bead-beating essentially, as described
(20), and then filtered. Protein concentration was determined by the BCA assay, and β-galactosidase
activity was measured in Miller units (nanomoles of nitrophenol produced per minute per milligram of
protein), as described (20, 36). For statistical analyses, an unpaired two-tailed t test was performed using GraphPad software.

**Electrophoresis mobility shift assays (EMSAs).** MprA was expressed in *E. coli* and EMSAs were conducted, as described (39, 41). Briefly, DNA probes were amplified by PCR, or for short probes containing putative MprA boxes, complementary 45-mer oligonucleotides were annealed. Probes were end-labeled with $[^{32}\text{P}]\text{ATP}$ and incubated with MprA, with or without specific or nonspecific cold (unlabeled) probes. Reaction mixtures were analyzed on nondenaturing polyacrylamide gels, and bands were detected by autoradiography.

**Macrophage infection and cytokine analysis.** Blood samples were collected from seven healthy employees at UTHSCT, upon obtaining signed consent forms, and according to protocols approved by the Institutional Review Board. Preparation of human mononuclear phagocytes and *M. tuberculosis* infections were performed essentially as described (59). Peripheral blood mononuclear cells were isolated using Ficoll-Paque PLUS (Amersham Biosciences), and resuspended in RPMI-1640 supplemented with 10% pooled human AB serum (Atlanta Biologicals) in 24-well plates. After one hour, non-adherent cells were removed by washing. Adherent cells were cultured in Macrophage Serum-free Medium (Gibco) for 5 days to differentiate into macrophages. This method results in $1 \times 10^6$ macrophages/well with over 95% purity. Cells were infected with single-cell suspensions of *M. tuberculosis* strains at a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 5 or 10. At different time points, culture supernatants were harvested, filtered through a 0.2 micron syringe filter, and stored at -70°C. Cytokine concentrations were measured by ELISA using capture and detection antibodies for TNF-α (BD Biosciences), and ELISA kits for IL-8 and IL-10 (R&D Systems), and IL-1β (Mabtech), with a lower detection limit of 15-25 pg/ml. Paired Student’s t test or Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed rank tests were used for statistical analysis.
RESULTS

Expression of ESX-1 associated genes in an mprAB mutant. Our previous DNA microarray analyses indicated that espA and espD were derepressed in the mprAB mutant Rv-D981 compared to the parental strain H37Rv (41). For a more precise comparison, we performed real-time PCR and determined that each gene was expressed at 5-fold higher levels in Rv-D981 than in H37Rv (Fig. 1). Restoration of mprAB in the complemented strain Rv-D981C resulted in expression levels similar to those found in H37Rv, confirming that MprAB represses expression of espA and espD. Expression of esxA, which encodes ESAT-6, was similar between the three strains (Fig. 1), indicating that MprAB does not regulate this gene under normal growth conditions.

Transcriptional analyses of the espA operon. To delineate transcription in the espA region, we identified transcriptional start points (TSPs). Primer extension analysis localized TSP1 within a row of cytosines approximately 65 bp upstream of the predicted start codon (Fig. 2A). 5'-RACE analysis with primer Rv3616c Ext-1 (Fig. 2B, lane 4) localized TSP1 as the first cytosine, at position -67 (Fig. 2D), consistent with a recent study (25). Use of the upstream primer Rv3616c-R3 in 5' RACE generated another amplicon (Fig. 2B, lane 5), sequence analysis of which identified a second TSP at position -169 (Fig. 2D). TSP2 has not been previously reported. Therefore, we confirmed its location by primer extension analysis with primer Rv3616c-Ext3 (Fig. 2C and 2D), and two additional primers (data not shown). The sequence GACGA upstream of TSP2 (Fig. 2D) is also present just upstream of the mprA TSP (41), and is a potential -10 box.

espA and espD belong to a cluster of five genes with similar expression patterns in Rv-D981 (41), so we used RT-PCR to determine whether the genes are cotranscribed (Fig. 2E and 2F). Transcripts were
detected between \( espA \) and \( espC \) using primers P1 and P2, and analyses with other primer pairs revealed transcription across each of the downstream intergenic regions (Fig. 2F). These results confirmed a recent report that Rv3616c-Rv3612c comprise a single operon (25). However, the band generated by primers P7 and P8 was very weak, suggesting that some transcripts are not extended fully through the operon, or that the 3’ ends of the transcripts have reduced stability, possibly as a regulatory mechanism.

**MprA binds the \( espA \) promoter.** To determine whether MprA directly regulates the \( espA \) operon, probes were generated to proximal and distal regions of the \( espA \) promoter (Fig. 3A) to test for MprA binding. Both probes were shifted by MprA in EMSAs (Fig. 3B and 3C), and a gradual retardation of these probes was observed with increasing MprA concentrations, particularly with the proximal promoter probe (Fig. 3B). We have previously observed this step-wise shift with promoters that contain multiple MprA binding sites (MprA boxes) (39, 40).

Screening of the \( ephA-espA \) intergenic region for sequences similar to the MprA box consensus (23, 41) revealed several potential boxes (Fig. 3A, 3D, and S1), five of which mapped within either the distal or proximal probes (Fig. 3A). EMSAs were conducted with 45-mer probes containing the individual boxes (Figs. 3D-G). Boxes 1, 2, 3, and 7 did not show detectable MprA binding (Fig. 3D, 3G, and data not shown), although they may be auxiliary sites that enhance MprA binding to other MprA boxes, similar to sites found in other MprA-regulated promoters (22, 39). However, Boxes 4 and 5 bound MprA (Fig. 3D-F), and Box 6 was also shifted by MprA, albeit very weakly (Fig. 3G). Overall, binding of MprA to individual boxes was markedly weaker than to the distal and proximal probes, which contained more than one predicted MprA box (Fig. 3A-C). Cooperativity between boxes may contribute to the stronger binding observed with the larger probes. Additionally, the proximal and distal probes potentially contain other MprA boxes not detected by our screening. Considerable variation from the consensus sequence for MprA
boxes can occur, particularly with weak or auxiliary MprA binding sites (22, 23, 39, 40).

We verified the location of Boxes 4 and 5 by generating mutations within the half-sites or spacer region (Fig. 3E and 3F, probes m1-m3). No binding was detected with mutations m2 and m3 for Box 4 (Fig. 3E), or with any of the mutations for Box 5 (Fig. 3F), suggesting the predicted boxes are correct. On long exposures, a weak band was detectable with mutation m1 for Box 4 (data not shown), which included a deletion in the spacer region. This shift was surprising given that deletions in the spacer region usually disrupt MprA binding (23). However, it is possible that the deletion generated a cryptic MprA binding site not present with the other mutations.

**Analysis of espA promoter regions.** To define critical portions of the espA promoter and to further evaluate the role of MprA in regulation, lacZ-promoter fusion constructs were generated (Fig. 4A), and used to transform H37Rv and Rv-D981. β-galactosidase activity was conducted on cell lysates and compared to the vector controls (Fig. 4B). In H37Rv, the highest β-galactosidase activity was observed with construct P1, which contains the entire intergenic region. We anticipated that lacZ expression levels from P1 would be higher in Rv-D981 than H37Rv, given that espA was derepressed in Rv-D981 by qPCR (Fig. 1) and DNA microarray analyses (41). In contrast, β-galactosidase activity was lower in the mutant (Fig. 4B). The basis for this unexpected finding is unclear. However, we speculate that the region upstream of the intergenic region contains regulatory sites that enhance espA transcription in the absence of MprAB, but which are missing from the P1 construct. MprAB may also downregulate a secondary repressor that binds in the P1 promoter region, leading to the lower expression levels observed in Rv-D981. Additional studies are required to clarify this issue.

Activity with the P2 construct was more consistent with anticipated results. In H37Rv, lacZ expression from P2 was significantly reduced compared to P1 (Fig. 4B), likely due to loss of EspR site C (Fig. S1), a
major EspR activating site (49). P2 is also missing most of the espA activating region that lies between bases -884 to -1004 and that overlaps EspR site C (25). In contrast to H37Rv, P2 generated the highest β-galactosidase activity in Rv-D981, with levels nearly three-fold higher than in H37Rv (Fig. 4B). MprABoxes 1 and 2 were deleted from P2, but the construct retained five MprA boxes potentially involved in repression, including Boxes 4-6 that exhibited MprA binding (Fig. 3D-G). The elevated activity of P2 in Rv-D981, despite the loss of EspR site C, suggests a major role of EspR is to overcome transcriptional repression by MprA.

Construct P3, which is missing both EspR sites B and C, generated similar β-galactosidase activity in H37Rv as P2, which is missing only EspR site C (Fig. 4B and S1). The EspR sites function cooperatively (49), and this may explain why no further reduction in activity was observed, although other studies have reported diminished activity with loss of EspR sites A or B (25, 44). The difference between H37Rv and Rv-D981 was smaller with P3 than with P2 (Fig. 4B), possibly due to loss of the potential repressor sites MprA Box 4 and Box 3 from P3 (Fig. S1). However, confirmation of the role of these sites and the other MprA boxes in espA repression requires further analyses.

Promoter activity from construct P4 trended higher than P3, with a significant difference observed in H37Rv (H37Rv, p < 0.05; Rv-D981, p = 0.047) (Fig. 4B). P4 contains a 429-bp section of the espA promoter, and was the shortest region we tested (Fig. 4A). Using espA promoter-lacZ constructs in M. smegmatis, Hunt et al. (25) demonstrated a small increase in activity with a similar 427-bp region, compared to regions of 464-bp and 217-bp. Their 427-bp promoter construct also showed higher activity than the 217-bp promoter construct in M. tuberculosis (25). These findings suggest the region between -429 and -217 contains an activation site that is normally repressed in the presence of upstream sequences. Interestingly, P4 retains two MprA boxes, Boxes 5 and 6, yet there was only a small elevation in activity in
Rv-D981 compared to H37Rv. It is possible these are only weak repression sites and/or that espA repression involves cooperation with upstream MprA boxes.

**Effects of MprAB on the ESX-1 secretion system.** Our transcriptional analyses indicated that MprA represses the espA operon. Therefore, we anticipated that Rv-D981 would overexpress EspA. Synthesis and secretion of EspA were examined using Rv-D981 and control strains, and an anti-EspA antibody (Fig. 5). Surprisingly, Western blot analysis of culture filtrates (secreted proteins) demonstrated that Rv-D981 exported lower levels of EspA than control strains, with EspA only weakly detectable by day 7 (Fig. 5A). The defect in EspA secretion in Rv-D981 was not reflected in cell lysates, which showed similar levels of EspA levels as control strains (Fig. 5B). These results contrasted with lysates of the phoP mutant ST100 in which EspA was barely detectable (Fig. 5B), indicating that MprAB and PhoPR have differing regulatory effects on ESX-1 proteins.

Since EspA is required for ESX-1 function (8, 14, 30), we investigated whether Rv-D981 also secreted lower amounts of other ESX-1 substrates (Fig. 5A). Secretion of ESAT-6 was greatly reduced in Rv-D981 compared to control strains (Fig. 5A), consistent with the mutually-dependent secretion of ESAT-6 and EspA (14). ESAT-6 levels were similar in lysates of Rv-D981 and control strains (Fig. 5A), so, as with EspA, ESAT-6 is synthesized in Rv-D981, but secretion is aberrant. Rv-D981 also secreted lower levels of EspB (Rv3881c), indicating a broad effect of MprAB on ESX-1 function. The observed differences in secreted proteins was not due to differential cell lysis among the strains, as the cytosolic protein GroEL2 was not detected in any of the culture filtrates (Fig. 5A, lower panel). Additionally, Rv-D981 does not appear to have a general defect in protein export, as evidenced by the normal extracellular levels of Mpt32, a protein secreted independently of ESX-1 (38).

It is notable that in contrast to other ESX-1 proteins, secretion of the substrate CFP10 was not reduced
in Rv-D981 (Fig. 5A). This result revealed a surprising disassociation between ESAT-6 and CFP10 activity in the *mprAB* mutant, as these proteins are usually cosecreted in a one-to-one ratio (46). Overall, our analyses indicate there is a major disruption in ESX-1 function in Rv-D981, and further suggest a loss of regulatory controls on export of specific ESX-1 substrates.

**Altered cytokine induction.** ESAT-6 promotes secretion of the proinflammatory IL-1β by macrophages through activation of the inflammasome (37). Therefore, we hypothesized that reduced secretion of ESAT-6 by Rv-D981 would lessen macrophage stimulation, thereby diminishing IL-1β secretion. We compared the secretion of IL-1β and other cytokines by human monocyte-derived macrophages infected with Rv-D981 and H37Rv, using MOIs of 5:1 and 10:1 (bacteria/macrophage) (Fig. 6). IL-1β levels remained below detection limits in uninfected control cells. However, in cells infected with H37Rv, IL-1β production was induced by 6 hr, increased 3-5 fold by 12 hr, with further elevation by 24 hr, at both MOIs (Fig. 6A). Rv-D981 induced significantly lower levels of IL-1β than H37Rv at 6 hr and 24 hr at each MOI (Fig. 6A), and levels remained below 100 pg/ml at the MOI of 5:1. Although an MOI of 10:1 was more stimulatory for both strains, Rv-D981 induced lower IL-1β levels at that MOI than H37Rv induced at the lower MOI of 5:1. This weaker induction of the pro-inflammatory cytokines proIL-1β is consistent with the reduced secretion of ESAT-6 by Rv-D981 (Fig. 5A).

We also examined the pro-inflammatory cytokines TNF-α and IL-8, and the anti-inflammatory cytokine IL-10 (Fig. 6B-6D). H37Rv and Rv-D981 induced equivalent levels of IL-8 (Fig. 6B). However, similar to IL-1β, Rv-D981 induced significantly less TNF-α than H37Rv at all three timepoints, and at both MOIs (Fig. 6C). Both strains induced relatively low amounts of IL-10 compared to IL-1β and TNF-α, with no significant differences between the two strains (Fig. 6D), indicating that the reduced induction of IL-1β and TNF-α by Rv-D981 was not due to suppression by IL-10.
DISCUSSION

Our analyses reveal a major regulatory connection between MprAB and ESX-1. These findings augment the growing evidence that genes associated with the ESX-1 system contribute to the structure and integrity of the cell envelope. The espA operon is activated by thioridazine, an agent that damages the cell envelope (11), and an espA mutant was more susceptible to the detergent SDS (17). Furthermore, a recent paper reports that EspR regulates numerous genes involved in cell wall function, and that it binds within the Rv0986-Rv0989c region (2), part of the in vivo genomic island containing mprAB (54). MprAB activates the response to envelope stresses through sigma factor SigE and the SigE regulon (22, 41). Genes of the MprAB/SigE regulons are induced by agents or environments that impair the integrity of the cell envelope (22, 32, 41, 42, 52, 57), and sigE mutants are more susceptible to envelope stress, including thioridazine (11, 32, 42). Using real-time PCR and promoter fusion constructs, we determined that espA expression was increased in our mprAB deletion mutant Rv-D981. Notably, DNA microarray studies by other groups showed that the espA operon was also derepressed in an mprA insertion mutant (22), and in a sigE mutant (31), with derepression in the sigE mutant likely resulting from lower expression of mprAB (32).

We identified two TSPs within the espA promoter, one between MprA Boxes 5 and 6, and one between MprA Boxes 6 and 7 (Fig. S1). During preparation of this manuscript, two other laboratories also reported TSPs for espA (2, 25), and one of these groups (25) further reported that Rv3613c and Rv3612c are part of the espA operon, consistent both with our findings, and with the coregulation of the five genes in CRP (47) and mprAB (41) mutants. Hunt et al. (25) identified the same TSP site for the espA operon that we detected at position -67 (TSP1), while Blasco et al. (2) identified a TSP at the adjacent cytosine at position...
Neither group detected the TSP we found at position -169 (TSP2), but Hunt et al. provided evidence for a potential minor TSP within the espA coding region. The basis for the different findings is unclear. However, technical approaches, growth phase of the cultures, and variation among H37Rv strains in different laboratories may be contributing factors. Nevertheless, all three groups identified a major TSP at approximately -67, at least 300 bp away from the nearest EspR activation site (Fig. S1). EspR generates loops or hairpins within the espA promoter (3, 49) that may bring EspR sites closer to the TSPs during activation. More recent analyses (2) indicate that EspR is a nucleoid-associated protein, with the potential for inducing major changes in promoter conformation.

We discovered several potential MprA boxes within the espA promoter, and confirmed the location of three of these boxes. The derepression of espA in Rv-D981 detected by several different experimental approaches suggests that at least some MprA boxes are repressor sites. These sites may function cooperatively to repress espA, as suggested by the relatively weak binding of MprA to individual boxes compared to larger promoter probes. Although we hypothesize that MprA directly represses espA, further analyses are needed to verify the role of the MprA boxes, as it is possible that MprA represses espA indirectly through another repressor protein. Indeed, the unexpectedly low activity from the P1 lacZ construct in Rv-D981 suggests that additional factors are at play here. Results with the P4 construct also suggest that other unidentified regulators are involved in modulating espA activity. A previous study with reporter constructs reported enhanced transcription from a 427-bp region of the proximal espA promoter (25). They proposed that a transcription factor other than EspR activates the region downstream of position -427. Although there are two confirmed MprA boxes in this region, it appears that this factor is neither MprA nor part of the MprA regulon, as activity from the 429-bp (P4) promoter region was higher than from P3 in both H37Rv and Rv-D981.
EspR site C is the major EspR activating site (49), and via extensive promoter mapping, Hunt et al (25) also identified an espA activating region overlapping this site. In confirmation of previous findings (25), we found that deletion of EspR site C produced the greatest drop in promoter activity in H37Rv. This contrasted markedly with Rv-D981 in which the P2 construct generated the highest promoter activity. P2 contains the three strongest MprA boxes (Boxes 4, 5, and 6), and these findings are consistent with the hypothesis that MprA directly represses espA. Mutational analyses of the individual MprA boxes should help clarify their contributions. However, evidence suggests MprA Box 4 is a key repressor site as this box was present in construct P2, but not in constructs P3 and P4 which generated smaller expression differences between H37Rv and Rv-D981. Cooperative binding of EspR at site C and other sites is believed to enable transcript initiation at the distant TSPs by bending the espA promoter (3, 49)(25). We hypothesize that this bending occludes, and thereby inhibits repression by, MprA, so that in the absence of MprA, EspR site C is no longer needed. Given their roles in regulating envelope-associated genes (2, 22, 41), these two regulatory factors may compete, or perhaps, cooperate, at other promoters.

The regulatory effects of MprAB on ESX-1 are likely more complex than have been determined so far. MprAB may further impact ESX-1 through WhiB6 (Rv3862c), a transcriptional regulator predicted to regulate ESX-1 components (9). whiB6 was highly upregulated in Rv-D981 under detergent stress (41), and its promoter binds MprA (X. Pang, S. Howard, unpublished data). Analyses by the TB Database (www.tbdb.org) (45) predict that the espA promoter contains binding sites for WhiB6, and for Rv0081, a transcription factor regulated by MprA (21). We also identified a potential MprA box in the esxA (ESAT-6) promoter (41), and esxA was upregulated in a sigE mutant (31). These findings connote a strong association between ESX-1 and the MprAB/SigE regulons, and are avenues for further study.

MprAB is the second TCS known to regulate ESX-1. There is evidence for at least indirect regulation
of ESX-1 by PhoPR. The attenuated strain H37Ra has a point mutation in \textit{phoP} (15), and its weak secretion of ESAT-6 likely results from downregulation of the \textit{espA} operon (15, 56). We detected EspA in lysates of Rv-D981, but not in lysates of the \textit{phoP} mutant ST100, further supporting a role for PhoPR in \textit{espA} regulation, and indicating differing regulatory effects of PhoPR and MprAB on ESX-1. Regulation of ESX-1 by two TCSs, by EspR, and by other transcription factors (2, 18, 44, 47), suggests there is fine-tuning of the system in response to environmental cues. Indeed, Rv3616c-Rv3614c are upregulated by phosphate starvation, a stress response regulated by the SenX3-RegX3 TCS, which also regulates MprAB (48). Direct regulation of the \textit{espA} promoter by RegX3 or PhoP has not been reported. However, our laboratories (39) and the Zahrt laboratory (21) have discovered two promoters directly regulated by MprA and another response regulator, so this potentially occurs with the \textit{espA} promoter as well.

Despite the increased transcription of \textit{espA} in Rv-D981, EspA levels were not elevated, suggesting there are posttranscriptional controls on EspA production. Additionally, although Rv-D981 secreted lower levels of EspA and ESAT-6, the proteins did not accumulate in lysates of Rv-D981. This finding contrasts with an \textit{espA} mutant which also had impaired secretion, but in which ESAT-6 accumulated in cell lysates (14). Either Rv-D981 has aberrant secretion coupled with increased degradation of the proteins in the cell, or synthesis of these proteins is reduced in Rv-D981 and the amount of protein maintained within the bacteria is tightly controlled.

ESAT-6 and CFP10 are usually secreted as a heterodimer (46, 53), so we anticipated that CFP10 secretion would also be impaired in the mutant. However, Rv-D981 secreted normal levels of CFP10, indicating pronounced malfunctioning of ESX-1. The structure of the secretory apparatus may be altered in Rv-D981, permitting secretion of unpaired CFP10, which unlike ESAT-6, contains a specialized signal sequence (7). Interestingly, deletion of several ESX-1 genes from \textit{M. marinum} also allowed CFP10 secretion.
secretion without ESAT-6 (16), suggesting the aberration in Rv-D981 results from reduced synthesis of other ESX-1 proteins. However, similar to EspD (8), CFP10 may also be secreted by mechanisms independent of ESX-1.

mprA mutants have enhanced growth in macrophages (41, 58). The basis for this “hypergrowth” phenotype is unknown, and is surprising in view of the decreased secretion of ESAT-6, EspA, and EspB, all virulence factors (17, 24, 34, 53). However, Rv-D981 secretes low levels of these proteins, and perhaps this is sufficient for some functions. Additionally, upregulation of other virulence and stress-associated genes (41), may compensate for diminished ESAT-6 secretion, particularly in the context of the weaker cytokine response induced by Rv-D981. In contrast to the growth profile in macrophages, an mprA mutant had reduced survival during chronic infection of mice (58). ESX-1 mutants are normally impaired early during infection (14, 24, 44, 53), so it will be interesting to determine whether malfunction of the ESX-1 system is a factor in the decline of the mutant at the later stage.

*M. tuberculosis* stimulates cytokine production through the interaction of bacterial components with macrophage pattern recognition receptors, including TLR2 and TLR4 (35, 55). This interaction triggers the synthesis of an inactive form of the proinflammatory cytokine IL-1β (27). Proteolytic cleavage and release of mature IL-1β involves activated inflammasomes (12, 27), and secretion of ESAT-6 is necessary for this activation by *M. tuberculosis* (26, 37). Weaker induction of IL-1β by macrophages infected with Rv-D981 is consistent with the reduced secretion of ESAT-6, and indicates a less robust stimulation of the inflammasome by this mutant. Mature IL-1β appears critical for controlling *M. tuberculosis* infection (33), so it seems contradictory that ESAT-6 would both stimulate IL-1β and yet be a major virulence factor. However, activation of the inflammasome can exacerbate mycobacterial disease (5), and the outcome of infection is likely influenced by multiple host and bacterial factors.
In conclusion, we demonstrate that MprAB directly regulates the \textit{espA} operon, and that deletion of \textit{mprAB} disrupts ESX-1 function, and modulates the macrophage cytokine response. These studies are further evidence that regulation of ESX-1 is complex and multifactorial, and provide new insights into the role of MprAB in the pathogenesis of \textit{M. tuberculosis}.
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FIGURE LEGENDS

FIG 1. Regulatory effect of mprAB on three genes of the ESX-1 secretion system. Expression of espA (Rv3616c), espD (Rv3614c), and exsA (ESAT-6) was examined by real-time PCR using RNA extracted from H37Rv (grey bars), Rv-D981 (black bars), and Rv-D981COM (white bars) during exponential phase growth. Results were normalized for 16S RNA, and are shown as fold change over the results for the H37Rv control, which was given the value of 1 for each gene. Data are the mean +/- SD of three separate experiments.

FIG 2. Transcriptional mapping of the espA operon. RNA was extracted from H37Rv during exponential phase growth. (A) TSP1 was mapped by primer extension using primer Rv3616c Ext-1. The same primer was used to generate the sequence ladder. Bolded letters correspond to the short ladder of bands detected for H37Rv (Rv). (B) Identification of TSP1 and TSP2 by 5'-RACE. RNA was incubated without (Lanes 1 and 2) or with (Lanes 3, 4, and 5) reverse transcriptase (RTase), and the resulting cDNA was ligated to an adaptor. The cDNA ends were first amplified using an outer set of primers to the adaptor and espA, followed by reamplification with inner (nested) primers. TSP1 and TSP2 were identified from amplicons generated with an inner primer to the adaptor paired, respectively, with (Lane 4) primer Rv3616c Ext-1 or (Lane 5) primer Rv3616cR3. The inner adaptor primer and Rv3616c Ext-1 were also used in PCR to confirm the absence of chromosomal DNA (Lane 2). M, 100-bp ladder.
(C) Primer extension analysis of TSP2. Analysis was performed using primer Rv3616c Ext-3, as described for (A). The asterisk marks the nucleotide corresponding to TSP2.  

(D) Locations of TSPs in the espA promoter. TSP1 and TSP2 are marked by curved arrowheads and a bolded nucleotide, and are located at positions -67 and -169 with respect to the espA start codon (bolded ATG). The -35 and -10 boxes for TSP1 (25) are underlined. Bolded, underlined bases denote the potential -10 box for TSP2 that matches the sequence upstream of the mprA TSP (41). The locations of primers used in TSP mapping are indicated by arrows below the corresponding line of sequence.  

(E) Schematic representation of the DNA region comprising espA (Rv3616c) through Rv3612c. Location and orientation of primers P1-P8 used in RT-PCR analysis in (F) are marked. TSPs identified in this study are identified by bent arrows.  

(F) Rv3616c-Rv3612c are co-transcribed. Reverse transcription was conducted with (Lanes 1 and 5) or without (Lanes 2 and 6) reverse transcriptase, and then PCR was performed with primers P1-P8 to detect transcripts between adjacent genes. Genomic DNA (Lanes 3 and 7) and distilled water (Lanes 4 and 8) were included, respectively, as positive and negative PCR controls. To enhance the visibility of the band generated by primers P7 and P8, the entire panel was lightened using image contrast.  

FIG 3. MprA binds the ephA-espA intergenic region. (A) Schematic diagram of the ephA-espA intergenic region. Vertical bars mark 200-bp increments from the espA start codon. Predicted MprA boxes are numbered and those confirmed by EMSAs are in black. Flat grey boxes represent EspR sites. The location of the proximal and distal probes used, respectively, in panels (B) and distal (C) are indicated. Short vertical arrowheads denote TSPs and positions are shown relative to the espA start codon.  

(B) Proximal promoter. The 342-bp proximal promoter probe extends from -312 to the espA start codon, and was generated with primers Rv3616c-F2/R1. The radiolabeled probe was incubated with no MprA...
(Lane 1); 0.45-3.6 µg MprA (Lanes 2-5); 3.6 µg MprA, and 70-fold, 210-fold, or 420-fold excess of unlabeled specific probe (Lanes 6-8); 3.6 µg MprA, and 210-fold or 420-fold excess of unlabeled nonspecific probe (Lanes 9 and 10).

(C) Distal promoter. The 380-bp distal promoter probe extends from -848 to -468, and was generated with primers Rv3616c-F7/R2. The radiolabeled probe was incubated with no MprA (Lane 1); 0.55-3.3 µg MprA (Lanes 2-4); 3.3 µg MprA, and 120-fold, 240-fold, or 480-fold excess of unlabeled specific probe (Lanes 5-7); 3.3 µg MprA, and 180-fold or 360-fold excess of unlabeled nonspecific probe (Lanes 8 and 9).

(D) Predicted MprA boxes were identified based on similarity to the published consensus sequence. Bases matching the consensus sequence are capitalized, and those present in each half-site are bolded. Half-sites are underlined. Numbers indicate the position of the first base relative to the espA start codon. 45-bp probes containing the boxes showed strong (+), weak, or no (-) binding to MprA in EMSAs, as indicated.

(E-F) EMSAs with predicted MprA Boxes 4-7. Short radiolabeled probes containing each box were incubated with (+) or without (-) 6 µg MprA. For Box 4 (E) and Box 5 (F), probes with the indicated mutations (m1, m2, m3) were tested for MprA binding. Small letters and the dash mark indicate altered nucleotides and a deletion, respectively. (G) Boxes 6 and 7. An asterisk marks the shifted band containing Box 6.

FIG 4. espA promoter analysis using lacZ reporter constructs. (A) Schematic representation of promoter constructs generated from the ephA-espA intergenic region. Dashed lines indicate sections inserted upstream of lacZ in constructs P1-P4. Numbers indicate the starting position relative to the espA start codon. P1 contains the full intergenic region. “Vector” represents the promoterless lacZ control plasmid pSM128.
H37Rv and Rv-D981 were transformed with the lacZ promoter constructs, and β-galactosidase activity was measured in Miller units. Grey bars, H37Rv; black bars, Rv-D981. Data are the mean of two experiments +/- SD. Statistically significant differences (p < 0.05) between constructs in a given strain were determined using an unpaired two-tailed t test and are indicated by horizontal brackets.

**FIG 5.** Secretion of ESX-1 substrate proteins is dysregulated in Rv-D981. (A, B) Western blot analyses. Culture supernatants (filtrates) and lysates of cell pellets were analyzed using 5µg of total protein for each sample. Blots were probed with antibodies to the indicated proteins, followed by a secondary antibody conjugated with horseradish peroxidase. Rv, H37Rv; D981, Rv-D981; Com, Rv-D981COM.

(A) Strains were grown in Sauton’s medium for 5, 6, or 7 days. Mpt32, a substrate of the Sec pathway that is exported independently of ESX-1, was used as a control for secreted proteins. GroEL was used as the control for lysis of cells during growth, using day 7 cultures. P, pellet; S, supernatant.

(B) Comparison of EspA expression in lysates of Rv-D981, the phoP mutant ST100, and control strains. Rv-2 and ST117 are the parental strain of ST100, and phoPR-complemented strain, respectively.

**FIG 6.** Cytokine production by macrophages infected with Rv-D981 and H37Rv. Monocyte-derived macrophages were isolated from seven healthy donors and infected with H37Rv (Rv) and Rv-D981 (D981) at a MOI of 5:1 or 10:1 (bacteria/monocyte). Culture supernatants were analyzed by ELISA for IL-1β (A), IL-8 (B), TNF-α (C), and IL-10 (D), at 6, 12 and 24 hrs postinfection. Data are the mean +/- SD. White bars, H37Rv, MOI 5:1; Light grey bars, H37Rv, MOI 10:1; Dark grey bars, Rv-D981, MOI 5:1; Black bars, Rv-D981, MOI 10:1. Paired Student’s t test and Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed rank tests were used for
statistical analysis. *, statistically significant difference (p < 0.05) between Rv-D981 and H37Rv at the same MOI and time point.
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