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ABSTRACT

Spores of *Bacillus* species can remain in their dormant and resistant states for years, but exposure to agents such as specific nutrients can cause spores’ return to life within minutes in the process of germination. This process requires a number of spore-specific proteins, most of which are in or associated with the inner spore membrane (IM). These proteins include the: 1) germinant receptors (GRs) that respond to nutrient germinants; 2) GerD protein essential for GR-dependent germination; 3) SpoVA proteins that form a channel in spores’ IM through which spore core’s huge depot of dipicolinic acid is released during germination; and 4) cortex-lytic enzymes (CLEs) that degrade the large peptidoglycan cortex layer allowing the spore core to take up much water and swell, thus completing spore germination. While much has been learned about nutrient germination, major questions remain unanswered including: 1) how do nutrient germinants penetrate through spores’ outer layers to access GRs in the IM; 2) what happens during the highly variable and often long lag period between exposure of spores to nutrient germinants and the commitment of spores to germinate; 3) what do GRs and GerD do, and how do these proteins interact; 4) what is the structure of the SpoVA channel in spores’ IM and how is this channel gated; 5) what is the precise state of the spore IM that has a number of novel properties even though its lipid composition is very similar to that of growing cells; 6) how is CLE activity regulated such that these enzymes act only when germination has been initiated; and 7) how does germination of spores of Clostridia compare with that of spores of Bacilli.
INTRODUCTION

The germination of the dormant and highly resistant spores formed by members of the Firmicutes phylum, in particular Bacilli and Clostridia, has long been of significant research interest for four major reasons: i) fascinating regulatory systems allow such spores to remain in their dormant, resistant state for years, yet return to active growth in minutes; ii) while spores of most Firmicutes do not cause disease, spores of some Bacilli and Clostridia cause food spoilage and foodborne disease, as well as human diseases such as gas gangrene, tetanus, botulism, anthrax and pseudomembranous colitis; iii) spores of Bacillus anthracis are a major bioterrorism threat; and iv) spores of Clostridium difficile are an emerging public health threat (1-3).

Invariably it is the germination of spores of these organisms that leads to disease or food spoilage, yet when spores germinate and lose their dormancy, they lose their extreme resistance properties and become relatively easy to kill. Germination is thus both an essential part of disease pathogenesis or food spoilage, and a major weak spot in these organisms’ life cycle. Consequently, there has long been applied interest in spore germination, with researchers seeking to understand this process better in order to either prevent spore germination or to accelerate it and then kill the now sensitive germinated spores.

This review will concentrate on the germination of spores of Bacilli, primarily because of the large amount of detailed knowledge of the germination of spores of these species compared to that of spores of Clostridia. However, some of the differences and similarities between the germination of spores of these related genera will also be presented. Most discussion will focus on the germination of the model spore former, Bacillus subtilis, although the mechanisms of germination of B. subtilis spores appear to be similar for spores of other Bacilli. The properties of the various proteins that are specifically involved in the germination process will not be
discussed in great detail, since these have recently been reviewed comprehensively (4). Rather, this review will focus on major unanswered questions about the mechanisms of spore germination, focusing primarily on germination of spores by nutrient germinants. Detailed information on other aspects of germination of spores of Bacilli and Clostridia, as well as spore outgrowth that follows germination, can be found in other reviews on these topics (4-8).

OVERVIEW OF SPORE FORMATION AND STRUCTURE

Many members of the Bacillales and Clostridiales orders of bacteria can form spores when the environment is not conducive to growth. These spores are formed within the mother cell compartment of a sporulating cell, are released into the environment when the mother cell lyses, and are survival forms that are extremely resistant to most environmental stress factors. Spores of these species also have little or no metabolic activity and are thus considered dormant, although just after their formation there may be a brief period when spores exhibit some metabolic activity (9). However, after this period, spore metabolic activity appears to be minimal and possibly non-existent.

Spore resistance and dormancy are due to both unique spore components as well as to spores’ unique structure (10) (Fig. 1). Thus spores have several layers not found in growing cells including an outermost exosporium in spores of some species, a coat layer that plays major roles in spore resistance to chemicals and predation, with a layer between the exosporium and the coat layers termed the interspace (11,12). The outer membrane (OM) is under the coat layer, and the OM could be the permeability barrier observed in dormant spores’ outer layers (13,14), but also may be only a vestigial structure (12). Under the OM is the peptidoglycan (PG) cortex and then the PG germ cell wall. The structures of PG in the germ cell wall and growing cell wall appear
identical, and cortex PG structure is similar. However, cortex PG has several unique features, at least one of which, muramic acid-δ-lactam (MAL), is recognized by cortex-lytic enzymes (CLEs) that hydrolyze cortex PG but not germ cell wall PG during spore germination. Under the germ cell wall is the inner spore membrane (IM) that has a number of novel features discussed below, and most of the major proteins involved in spore germination are present in or adjacent to the spores’ IM. Finally there is the central core where DNA, ribosomes and most spore enzymes are located. The core has a low water content (25-50% of wet weight) and a huge amount (~ 10% of total spore dry weight) of the spore-specific molecule pyridine-2,6-dicarboxylic acid (dipicolinic acid (DPA)) in a 1:1 chelate with divalent cations, predominantly Ca\(^{2+}\) (CaDPA) (15). Spores’ low core water content is likely the major reason for spores’ minimal metabolic activity, and proteins appear to be immobile in the core (16). However, the great majority of water in the spore core appears to be freely mobile (17).

**OVERVIEW OF SPORE GERMINATION**

Spores can survive for years in their dormant state, but if given the proper stimulus, termed a germinant, spores can rapidly lose their dormant and resistance properties in germination (Fig. 2). The germination process is followed by outgrowth that converts the germinated spore into a growing cell. There are a number of different types of agents that trigger spore germination (Table 1) and some of these, such as CaDPA and cationic surfactants like dodecylamine, probably are important only in the laboratory. One of these germination agents, high-pressures (HP) of many 1000s of atmospheres, has attracted increasing interest in the food industry, in particular when HP is combined with moderately high temperatures (18). However, in nature, it is likely that the presence of specific nutrients is what triggers spore germination. Available
evidence is consistent with nutrient germinants binding in a stereospecific manner to spore-specific protein complexes termed germinant receptors (GRs) in the IM. For example, with *B. subtilis* spores L-alanine, L-valine and L-asparagine trigger germination, while the D-amino acids are inert (20,21). In addition, specific amino acid changes in GR subunits can alter either the specificity or concentration dependence of a GR’s response to a nutrient germinant (22,23). However, there are no studies showing that purified GRs bind specific germinants *in vitro*, which would be definitive proof that these proteins are indeed deserving of being called GRs. Spores of the great majority of Bacilli and Clostridia have multiple GRs with varying germinant specificities, and GR subunits exhibit obvious sequence homology throughout the spore-forming Firmicutes (4,6,8). However, spores of some Clostridia, notably *C. difficile*, do not contain GRs homologous to those in Bacilli and many other Clostridia (see below).

After mixing a nutrient germinant with spores there is a lag period that varies in length from a few minutes to >24 hours for individual spores in populations, and we know essentially nothing about what is happening during this lag period (4). The small fraction of spore populations that exhibit extremely long lag periods in nutrient germination are often termed superdormant (SD), and a major reason for spore superdormancy is very low levels of GRs in the IM (4,24). Ultimately, GR-nutrient germinant binding results in commitment of a spore to continue through germination some minutes later even if the nutrient germinant is removed (25). Precisely what happens in commitment is not known, although it is associated with a major change in IM permeability and perhaps IM structure, such that monovalent cations including H⁺, K⁺ and Na⁺ are released, followed by release of all CaDPA (4,26,27). The release of most CaDPA takes only a few minutes for individual spores and is most likely via channels composed of the multiple spore-specific SpoVA proteins (seven in *B. subtilis* spores) (4). These proteins are
encoded in one or more operons in all spore-forming Bacilli (one heptacistronic operon in *B. subtilis*) and Clostridia, and at least three of these proteins (SpoVAC, SpoVAD, SpoVAEb) are present in all of these organisms (6,8,30). CaDPA release completes Stage I of germination, and also triggers entry into Stage II when CLEs degrade the PG cortex. Spores of *Bacillus* species generally contain two major CLEs, CwlJ and SleB, either of which alone are sufficient to allow completion of spore germination. CwlJ and SleB are likely to be lytic transglycosylases, although this has only been shown directly for SleB (4). Completion of cortex degradation allows the germ cell wall to expand and the core to expand and take up water. As a result of the latter changes, upon completion of Stage II of germination the core water content has risen to ~80% of wet weight, equal to that in growing cells. This increased core water content allows metabolism in the core to begin followed by macromolecular synthesis, ultimately converting the germinated spore into a growing cell in the process of outgrowth, although there are likely several distinct periods during outgrowth (7,28).

Most of the proteins involved in spore germination are present in or adjacent to the IM, including GRs and the GerD protein essential fashion for normal GR function. In addition, recent work has shown that GRs in *B. subtilis* spores are in a single cluster in the IM termed the germinosome, and GerD is essential for germinosome assembly (29). Other proteins present in or adjacent to the IM include the SpoVA proteins that appear to be uniformly distributed in the IM (29). Much of the spores’ SleB is also localized in the IM, probably by its partner protein YpeB (4). Some of these proteins are integral IM proteins including the A and B subunits of GRs, probably the GR’s likely D subunits, and all but two of the seven SpoVA proteins in *B. subtilis* spores, while SleB, YpeB, GerD and the GRs’ C subunits are peripheral IM proteins.
Two SpoVA proteins, SpoVAD and SpoVAEa are soluble proteins with no obvious membrane anchoring segments, although these proteins are associated with the IM (4,30).

The lipid composition of the IM is not notably different from that of the plasma membrane of growing cells or even fully germinated spores. However, the IM appears to be in a gel or semi-solid state as indicated by its low passive permeability even to water, its high viscosity and the immobility of lipid probes in this membrane (17,26,31-33). The fact that so many of the germination proteins act in the IM, a membrane that has rather novel properties, makes the understanding of the structure of and protein action in this membrane important. Some germination proteins are not in the IM, including the CLE CwIJ that is at the cortex-coat boundary perhaps associated with its partner protein GerQ (34-36); some SleB is also likely in this region of the spore (4,36). In addition, the multiple small GerP proteins that appear to facilitate access of a variety of low molecular weight germinants to the IM (37-39) are likely in the spore coat (see below).

MAJOR UNANSWERED QUESTIONS ABOUT SPORE GERMINATION BY NUTRIENTS

While much has been learned about spore germination in recent years, a number of fundamental questions about nutrient germination remain unanswered. Answers to these questions will be crucial to a detailed understanding of spore germination. These major questions are discussed below.

1) What is the precise effect of activation treatments that potentiate spore germination? It is well known that rates and extents of spore germination can be greatly increased by treatments termed activation with sublethal heat being the most widely used and studied activation
treatment (4,40). The effects of heat activation on spore germination can be dramatic, as for some species heat activation markedly increases the apparent viability of spore preparations. The effects of heat activation are generally seen only on GR-dependent germination, suggesting that heat activation makes GRs more accessible or receptive to nutrient germinants, although how this is accomplished is not clear. Since activation is reversible, it may be due to a reversible conformational change in a protein as such changes accompany heat activation (41). However, specific proteins affected by heat activation have not been identified.

2) How do germinants gain access to GRs or the SpoVA channel in the IM? The spore coats restrict access of molecules of 2-8 kDa to inner spore layers (42), and therefore lysozyme does not hydrolyze the PG cortex in intact dormant spores. However, with spores treated to remove the OM and spore coat protein, lysozyme can hydrolyze the cortex and trigger germination, but lysozyme also degrades the germ cell wall that can lead to osmotic rupture of germinated spores. There are reports that there may be a significant permeability barrier to small molecules in the coat/cortex region of intact spores (13,14), although whether this is the OM or some other structure is not clear. Since intact spores respond well to low molecular weight germinants such as nutrients and dodecylamine, both of which act on IM proteins, these germinants must find their way to the IM from the medium. However, access of at least dodecylamine is slow in intact spores, as spores lacking most coat proteins and their OM germinate much faster with dodecylamine than do intact spores (43). In at least three Bacillus species, mutants lacking one or all of the GerP proteins germinate slower with nutrients than intact spores (37-39). The gerP phenotype is suppressed either by spore coat/OM removal chemically or in appropriate coat assembly mutants, or by using nutrient germinant concentrations far above what are saturating for intact spores (37-39). These observations suggest that the GerP proteins facilitate nutrient
germinant access to GRs in the IM. While GerP proteins are most likely coat proteins (37), their specific location in the coat is not known. Unfortunately, the multiple GerP proteins exhibit no sequence homology to known proteins, and structures of these proteins have not been determined. Clearly, the mechanism whereby low molecular weight germinants access the IM is not yet clear.

3) What is happening in the lag period between nutrient germinant addition and commitment?

Following mixing of nutrient germinants and spores of Bacilli there is a lag period prior to commitment and rapid DPA release, and the length of this lag period is extremely variable between individual spores in populations (4). This lag period is also seen in germination triggered by a HP of ~ 150 megaPascals that activates GRs (44), and also in germination with CaDPA and dodecylamine that trigger germination in a GR-independent fashion (4). Germination of spores of C. perfringens with various germinants also exhibits this variable lag period (45). The length of the lag period in nutrient germination of spores of Bacilli or C. perfringens is determined by multiple factors and is decreased by: i) increasing germinant concentration up to saturation; ii) heat activation; and iii) increased GR levels (4). However, exactly what is happening during the lag period, other than slow CaDPA release in some cases, is not clear.

4) What is involved in commitment? Commitment is defined as the point at which dissociation of a nutrient germinant by a strong competitive inhibitor (D-alanine for some L-alanine-dependent germinations) or acidification to pH ~ 4.5 no longer block completion of germination. Commitment is generally one of the earliest events in nutrient germination and precedes CaDPA release by a few minutes (25). The binding of nutrient germinants to GRs is essential for
commitment (25), but the event that causes commitment is not known. Other events taking place prior to CaDPA release include loss of Hg\(^{2+}\) resistance, a large change in the elastic light scattering intensity from individual spores, release of H\(^+\), Na\(^+\) and K\(^+\), and loss of most spore wet heat resistance (26,27,46). The latter event is surprising, since spore core water content, the major factor in spore resistance to wet heat, does not change until CaDPA is released. However, recent work has shown that spore wet heat resistance appears to be lost at about the time of commitment because committed spores readily lose their CaDPA during the incubation at elevated temperatures (70-80°C) used to measure spore wet heat resistance (47). The resulting DPA-less spores that are generated are now heat sensitive due to their elevated core water content (10, 47). Overall, it appears likely that commitment involves a change in the IM’s permeability and/or strength such that monovalent cations are readily released and CaDPA is not retained during a heat treatment that has no effect on dormant spores. However, the precise change in spores’ IM associated with commitment is not known. Perhaps analysis of the kinetics of changes in IM permeability of individual spores coupled with analysis of these spores’ commitment will lead to further information on the precise mechanism of commitment.

5) What do GRs do and how do they do it? The GRs are clearly of crucial importance to nutrient germination, as spores of several *Bacillus* species that have been engineered to lack functional GRs exhibit extremely low levels of germination – as low as 0.1% per day on nutrient media (48,49). The precise mechanism of this spontaneous GR-independent germination is not clear, but requires at least one CLE, since *Bacillus* spores that lack CwIJ and SleB exhibit <0.001% of the colony forming ability of wild-type spores (50). In spores of Bacilli as well as in spores of Clostridia that have GRs (see below), GRs are composed of A, B and C subunits, and at least in Bacilli all three subunits are required for normal GR function (4). However, there are
recent data suggesting that a GR C subunit alone can facilitate the germination of *Clostridium perfringens* spores (51). There is also recent evidence suggesting that at least some GRs have an additional D subunit (52). Genes encoding a GR’s subunits are often cotranscribed, although there are many examples of orphan GR subunits, some of which can interact with other GR subunits. Spores can have multiple GRs, each with different nutrient germinant specificity, and in some cases multiple GRs interact in various ways to determine spores’ responses to nutrient mixtures, either by increasing or decreasing germination rates (4,53-55). Unfortunately, how these GR interactions are mediated is not known. The amino acid sequences of the various GR subunits show obvious conservation across the *Bacillales* and *Clostridiales*, and a *B. subtilis* A, B or C subunit sequence will readily identify a *C. perfringens* A, B or C subunit by Blast analyses. However, despite the significant similarity (both identity and homology) in GR subunits’ amino acid sequences, these sequences match extremely poorly with other proteins in available databases. The only exception is a weak homology between some GR B-proteins and members of a family of amino acid transporters. However, this homology is rather weak, and could be somewhat biased because both types of proteins contain almost exclusively transmembrane domains (TMDs). The fact that at least two GR subunits are almost certainly integral membrane proteins has hindered the purification of these proteins, and thus the study of their biochemical properties.

The GR’s C-subunits are largely on the IM’s outer surface where they are held by a diacylglycerol anchor and presumably interaction with their cognate A and B proteins (4,56,57). The structure of the C-protein of the *B. subtilis* GerB GR has been determined to 2.3 Å resolution (58). Unfortunately, this novel structure gives no hints as to this protein’s function, although predictive programs suggest that all GR C proteins have a similar structure. The GR B
proteins are comprised primarily of TMDs with 10-12 helices spanning the IM, while the A proteins have 6-8 TMDs, but also a small C-terminal globular domain and a large likely N-terminal soluble domain that is probably on the IM’s outer surface (56,57). The amino acid sequences of the putative GR D subunits suggest that these proteins are composed primarily of 2 TMDs, but these proteins’ sequences are minimally conserved both within and across species.

What then do GRs do? It seems certain that it is the GRs that bind nutrient germinants, since a number of specific mutations in GR subunits affect the apparent affinity of this GR for specific germinants (4,22,23,59). Similarly, spores with elevated levels of specific GRs germinate more effectively with low germinant concentrations (4). While GRs thus are almost certainly the sensors of nutrient germinants, what does germinant-GR binding do? One possibility is that the GRs transport something, with germinant binding gating an IM channel made up of GRs. This is possible, but CaDPA is most likely not transported by GRs, since there is much evidence that a SpoVA protein channel does this (4,30). However, a GR activated by germinant binding could form a channel for monovalent cations. Another possibility is that GRs signal to another germination protein, either the SpoVA channel or perhaps the GerD protein essential for normal GR function. Clearly, more work is needed to resolve exactly what GRs do.

A stumbling block to a thorough understanding of what GRs do is that there is currently no system in which to study GRs in vitro. Perhaps reconstituted membrane vesicles containing GRs would be helpful in determining what these proteins do, even if the properties of a membrane in vitro would likely not duplicate those of the IM.

6) What does the GerD protein do? While the GRs are essential for nutrient germination, the normal function of GRs requires the GerD protein (4,60). This small protein is also on the outer surface of the IM and held there at least in part by a lipid anchor (56,61). The sequence of GerD
is well conserved among Bacilli but GerD is not present in spores of Clostridia (6,8,62). Spores of Bacillus mutants lacking GerD exhibit much slower germination with all GR-dependent germinants, but not with GR-independent germinants (60; Gupta, S., and Christie, G. personal communication). The lack of GerD also results in the disruption of the GR cluster in spores termed the germinosome (see below), such that GRs are dispersed throughout the IM (29). The structure of the C-terminal 2/3 of GerD has been determined at 2.7 Å resolution and the protein is made up of 8 short α-helices that are wrapped around each other in a trimer in the structure, and the protein is also a trimer in solution (62). Unfortunately the GerD structure is novel, and has yet to give definitive insight into GerD function, although this protein may function as a scaffold in the germinosome.

7) What is the structure of the germinosome, and how does this structure accelerate GR-dependent germination?

Formation of the cluster of GRs in the IM termed the germinosome requires the GerD protein that also is found almost exclusively in this structure. GerD forms a single IM cluster even in the absence of GRs, but in spores lacking GerD, the GRs are dispersed throughout the IM (29). While the slow nutrient germination of gerD spores suggests that germinosome formation is essential for rapid GR-dependent germination, this has not been proven. Other unanswered questions about this novel structure include: 1) are there other proteins in the germinosome besides GRs and GerD; 2) what is the germinosome structure and might this promote GR-GR interactions; 3) how and when is the germinosome assembled during sporulation; and 4) what is the fate of the germinosome during spore germination and outgrowth. The latter question is of particular interest, since GerD is reported to disappear soon after germination is initiated (61).
What is the structure and function of the SpoVA protein channel and how is it gated?

The SpoVA proteins are involved in DPA movement into the developing spore in sporulation, and genes for many SpoVA proteins are conserved in *Bacillus* and *Clostridium* species (4,6,8).

SpoVA proteins have also been strongly implicated in DPA release in germination as: 1) a temperature sensitive *spoVA* mutant is defective in CaDPA release in spore germination; ii) overexpression of the *spoVA* operon in spores markedly increases rates of CaDPA release in germination; and iii) loss of the SpoVAEa and SpoVAF proteins from *B. subtilis* spores has no effect on DPA uptake in sporulation, but decreases the rate of DPA release in spore germination ~ 3-fold (4,30). Five of the *B. subtilis* SpoVA proteins are likely integral membrane proteins that are almost certainly in the IM, and one of these proteins, SpoVAC, appears to have mechanosensitive properties, while the hydrophilic SpoVAEa and SpoVAD proteins are also associated with the IM, presumably interacting with other SpoVA proteins (4,30,63). Together these data are consistent with SpoVA proteins forming an IM channel for CaDPA. However, there is minimal information on the structure of this channel, although most if not all of the SpoVAD and SpoVAEa proteins are likely on the IM’s outer surface, and SpoVAD is a DPA binding protein (30,56,64).

While it appears that the SpoVA channel is a conduit for CaDPA, this same channel could also allow passage of other compounds across the IM, including the monovalent cations released before CaDPA in germination. However, this channel has some selectivity, as compounds such as AMP, inorganic phosphate and 3-phosphoglycerate are not released in the first minutes of germination (65). It is unclear how this channel is gated, and determination of this mechanism will be a major advance in our understanding of the detailed mechanism of spore germination.
9) **How is the gel-like state of the IM generated and maintained?** As noted above, the IM has some quite different properties than the plasma membrane of growing cells or fully germinated spores, in that the IM appears to be in a gel or semi-solid state (17,26,31-33). These novel IM properties are lost upon completion of spore germination, when the volume of the IM encompassed spore core increases 1.5 to 2-fold in the absence of ATP synthesis (31). Two major unanswered questions about the IM are: i) what causes the novel IM structure, and ii) how does this IM structure affect the function of germination components such as GRs, GerD and SpoVA proteins in this membrane. The answers to the second question are unknown, although it appears most likely that the dormant spores’ low core water and high DPA content is not important in IM structure, since lipid probe mobility in Stage I germinated spores that have lost DPA and have gained some core water is still very low, and only increases when the cortex is degraded and the core expands (31). Thus it is presumably some action of the cortex that constrains the IM and gives it its semi-solid characteristics. However, the precise mechanism of this effect and the exact structure of the IM are unclear.

10) **How is CLE activity triggered by Stage I germination events?** To complete spore germination after CaDPA is released in Stage I of germination, CLEs must degrade the PG cortex to allow core expansion and full hydration (4). Spores of Bacilli and Clostridia have spore-specific CLEs, although these proteins are rather different in spores of these two groups of organisms (see below). In *Bacillus* species the CLE CwlJ’s molecular weight does not change upon germination, so the enzyme is not activated by proteolysis. Rather, CwlJ is activated during germination by CaDPA with the CaDPA released from a spore activating that same spore’s CwlJ. Exogenous CaDPA, although only at relatively high concentrations, can also activate CwlJ and is how exogenous CaDPA triggers spore germination. While this simple signal
transduction system ensures that Stage I germination events trigger Stage II of germination, several questions about this activation mechanism remain, including: i) does CaDPA activate CwIJ directly or indirectly; and ii) since CwIJ is present in spores when DPA is taken up, how is CwIJ activity suppressed during spore maturation when DPA is taken up by the developing forespore.

While we have a reasonable idea of how CwIJ is activated to allow progression to Stage II of germination, how the other redundant CLE in *Bacillus* species, SleB, is activated is not clear, although this is not directly by CaDPA. Like CwIJ, SleB is also present in spores in a form that is potentially active, and SleB is also not modified proteolytically early in germination. Since DPA-less spores of *cwlJ* mutants normally germinate spontaneously very rapidly, the presence of DPA in the dormant spore core somehow prevents SleB activation, but how is not clear. There is some evidence that SleB’s partner protein, YpeB, can inhibit SleB’s catalytic activity (66), but it is not clear how this inhibition is achieved since no direct interactions have been seen *in vitro* between YpeB and SleB. The structure of SleB from several *Bacillus* species has been solved by X-ray crystallography, and these structures are consistent with SleB being a lytic transglycosylase (67,68). However, the structures alone provide no insight into how SleB activity is regulated.

**11) What is the mechanism of germination of spores of *Clostridium* species?**

Spores of Clostridia exhibit some similarities in their germination to that of spores of Bacilli, but also some notable differences (4,6,8) (Table 2). There are also some significant differences in the germination of spores of different Clostridia, presumably because this is such an extremely diverse group of organisms (69). Similarities between nutrient germination of spores of Clostridia and Bacilli include that: i) spores of Clostridia contain multiple SpoVA proteins that
are essential at least for CaDPA uptake in sporulation; ii) spores of Clostridia most often contain GRs that are composed of subunits quite similar to those in spores of Bacilli (6); and iii) germination of individual *C. perfringens* spores in populations with nutrients, CaDPA and dodecylamine exhibit the same variable lag period seen during germination of spores of Bacilli (45). However, there are also four major differences. First, while GR function in germination of spores of Bacilli requires all three GR subunits, it appears that a GR C-subunit alone can facilitate *C. perfringens* spore germination (51). Second, spores of some Clostridia, most notably *C. difficile*, lack GRs with any similarity to those in spores of Bacilli (6,70,71), likely a reflection of the great diversity in the Clostridia. Indeed, recent work suggests that *C. difficile* should be moved to the *Peptococcaceae* family (69), and it will be interesting to track the presence and absence of genes encoding GRs as the taxonomy of the class Clostridia improves due to genome sequencing. However, despite lacking GRs, *C. difficile* spores do germinate well with specific bile salts, and also respond to various amino acids as co-germinants for bile salts (6,8,71,72). How then do spores of these GR-less spores germinate in response to specific low molecular weight compounds? Third, even spores of Clostridia that contain GRs lack GerD, yet can still germinate with specific low molecular weight germinants. Are GRs in these latter species present in the IM in a germinosome-like structure, and if so, is there a protein that replaces GerD in germinosome assembly and GR function?

The fourth major difference is that spores of Clostridia appear to regulate CLE activity differently than spores of Bacilli (4,6,8). Thus at least *C. perfringens* and *C. difficile* spores have only a single CLE, SleC, that is essential for completion of spore germination (4,73,74). Like its *Bacillus* spore counterparts, SleC has lytic transglycosylase activity and is also in spore’s outer layers (4,73,75,76). However, SleC is present in spores as an inactive zymogen, proSleC, which
is activated by protease cleavage in the first minutes of germination (4,77-80). One or multiple subtilisin-like serine proteases, termed Csp proteases, cleave and activate proSleC in the germination of spores of Clostridia (77-80). Like subtilisins, Csp proteases are synthesized as zymogens that autoactivate by cleavage of a small pro-domain. The structure of CspB from *C. difficile* spores has been solved by X-ray crystallography, and while the structure is similar to that of subtilisins, there are some major differences (78). These include: i) at least *in vitro* the pro-domain of CspB remains bound to and inhibits enzyme activity even after the cleavage between the pro-domain and the protease domain; and ii) there is a large insertion in the protease domain that is only rarely seen in subtilisins, and this insertion may stabilize the protein in spores’ outer layers (78).

To date, the mechanism(s) that regulate the action of Csp proteases on proSleC in spore germination are not known. One clue to this regulation is the recent identification of missense mutations in the *C. difficile* *cspC* gene that essentially eliminate these spores’ germination in response to the bile salt taurocholate *in vitro* (81). Notably, another *cspC* mutation alters the spectrum of bile salts that trigger *C. difficile* spore germination (81). Together these findings suggest that CspC is the protein that interacts with bile salts to trigger *C. difficile* spore germination. However, CspC most likely has no protease activity, since it lacks several amino acids that comprise subtilisin’s catalytic triad, including the catalytic serine (78). Indeed, the only functional Csp protease that can act on proSleC in *C. difficile* spores is almost certainly CspB, and how the binding of specific bile salts to CspC activates CspB is not known. In addition, it is most likely that additional signals need to be integrated in *C. difficile* spore germination, since glycine is an essential co-germinant, at least *in vitro* (72).
In addition to the differences in nutrient germination between spores of Bacilli and Clostridia, there are notable differences in germination with other agents, as well as at least one similarity. Thus the surfactant dodecylamine appears to trigger germination of spores of Bacilli by opening the SpoVA protein channel and causing CaDPA release, and available data are consistent with this also being the case with spores of *C. perfringens* (51,73). The two notable differences are as follows. First, while DPA-less spores of Bacilli are extremely unstable and germinate spontaneously, most likely via activation of SleB (4,6), DPA-less *C. perfringens* spores are stable (82). This suggests that DPA release is not part of the signal transduction pathway in the germination of spores of Clostridia. The second difference is in the mechanism of spore germination by exogenous CaDPA, which with spores of Bacilli is via activation of the CLE CwlJ (4). *C. perfringens* spores lacking CspB or SleC do germinate extremely poorly with CaDPA (45,77). However, GR subunits also appear to play an important role in CaDPA germination of spores of Clostridia, since *C. perfringens* spores lacking their only GR C subunit, GerKC, germinate very poorly with CaDPA (51). Thus the mechanism of CaDPA germination of *C. perfringens* spores is not as simple as activation of a CLE.

12) **Can spore germination efficiently be manipulated in applied settings?**

Since spores must germinate to exert their deleterious effects, the food industry has expended significant effort to identify compounds that effectively inhibit spore germination yet are compatible with food safety, generally with no great success. There has also been interest in stimulating spore germination to allow spores to be more readily killed. While the inhibition or stimulation of spore germination in applied settings is somewhat off the focus of this review, these topics have attracted renewed interest in recent years because of the need for effective decontamination regimens for spores of organisms such as *C. difficile* and *B. anthracis*, but
without the concerns unique to the food industry. Thus there are some recent reports of promising results in using a germination step prior to spore decontamination for promoting inactivation of \textit{B. anthracis} and \textit{C. difficile} spores (83-85), as well as enzymatic spore coat removal allowing spore killing by lytic enzymes such as lysozyme (86). Several compounds have also been identified that may be effective in inhibiting the germination or outgrowth of spores of organisms such as \textit{B. anthracis} and \textit{C. difficile} (87-91), and perhaps compounds analogous to these could be useful in applied settings. However, at least in the case of \textit{C. difficile}, it is not clear if germination inhibitors identified in laboratory studies with one strain will have similar potency against all clinical isolates (92).

**SUMMARY**

Work in the past five years has provided a huge amount of new information about the germination of spores of \textit{Bacillus} and \textit{Clostridium} species. Major advances in this area have come from development of sophisticated methodology for analyzing the germination of individual spores in populations and from the vast amount of bioinformatics data contained in the large number of spore-forming \textit{Bacillales} and \textit{Clostridiales} genomes that have been sequenced. However, despite these advances, major fundamental questions about the function, structure and regulation of proteins that play central roles in spore germination remain. Clearly, spores do not give up their dormancy simply!
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Germinant</th>
<th>Germination Component Activated</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nutrients</td>
<td>GRs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaDPA</td>
<td>CwI (GR-independent)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dodecylamine</td>
<td>SpoVA channel (GR-independent)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peptidoglycan fragments</td>
<td>Protein kinase (GR-independent)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HP of 100-350 megaPascals</td>
<td>GRs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HP of 500-1000 megaPascals</td>
<td>SpoVA channel (GR-independent)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Data for the information in this table is from references 4, 18 and 19.
Comparison of the germination of spores of Bacilli and Clostridia*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Germination aspect</th>
<th>Bacilli</th>
<th>Clostridia</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Presence of similar GRs</td>
<td>yes^1</td>
<td>most^1 but not all^2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SpoVA proteins</td>
<td>yes^3</td>
<td>yes^3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLEs</td>
<td>CwIJ^4 and SleB</td>
<td>SleC^5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regulation of CLE activity</td>
<td>CwIJ activated by CaDPA</td>
<td>proteolytic activation by Csp^6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaDPA germination mechanism</td>
<td>activates CwIJ</td>
<td>not clear – may activate GRs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dda^7 germination mechanism</td>
<td>activates SpoVA channel</td>
<td>likely activates SpoVA channel</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

^1All three GR subunits are required for GR function, but perhaps not in *C. perfringens*.

^2An example of a *Clostridium* species with no GRs is *C. difficile*.

^3The precise number of SpoVA proteins varies between species.

^4Spores of some Bacilli have multiple CwJs.

^5SleC is present in dormant spores as an inactive zymogen, proSleC.

^6SleB is activated in DPA-less spores of Bacilli, while ProSleC, and CspB are not activated in DPA-less *C. perfringens* spores.

^7Dda - dodecylamine

*Information for the conclusions in this Table is described in the text and in references 4, 6, 45, 51, 73, 77 and 82.*
Fig. 1. Outline of the structure of a *Bacillus* spore. The sizes of the various spore layers are not drawn to scale, spores of some species lack an exosporium, and at least for *B. subtilis* spores, there are several coat layers as well as a layer outside the coats termed the “crust” (11). All spore dipicolinic acid is in the spore core. Note that a defining feature of spores that have an exosporium is the layer between the coat and exosporium defined as the interspace (11), although the precise composition of this interspace layer is not known.

Fig. 2. Schematic outline of nutrient germination of spores of *Bacillus* species. The precise events in the activation step are not known, and are thus denoted as question marks. The first step seen following addition of a nutrient germinant to an activated spore is commitment, and release of monovalent cations is associated with commitment. The germ cell wall is not shown in the figure, but this expands somehow as the cortex is hydrolyzed in Stage II of germination.
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