Ferritin Mutants of *Escherichia coli* Are Iron Deficient and Growth Impaired, and *fur* Mutants are Iron Deficient
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*Escherichia coli* contains at least two iron storage proteins, a ferritin (FtnA) and a bacterioferritin (Bfr). To investigate their specific functions, the corresponding genes (*ftnA* and *bfr*) were inactivated by replacing the chromosomal *ftnA* and *bfr* genes with disrupted derivatives containing antibiotic resistance cassettes in place of internal segments of the corresponding coding regions. Single mutants (*ftnA::spc and bfr::kan*) and a double mutant (*ftnA::spc bfr::kan*) were generated and confirmed by Western and Southern blot analyses. The iron contents of the parental strain (W3110) and the *bfr* mutant increased by 1.5- to 2-fold during the transition from logarithmic to stationary phase in iron-rich media, whereas the iron contents of the *ftnA* and *ftnA bfr* mutants remained unchanged. The *ftnA* and *ftnA bfr* mutants were growth impaired in iron-deficient media, but this was apparent only after the mutant and parental strains had been precultured in iron-rich media. Surprisingly, ferric iron uptake regulation (*fur*) mutants also had very low iron contents (2.5-fold less iron than *fur* strains) despite constitutive expression of the iron acquisition systems. The iron deficiencies of the *ftnA* and *fur* mutants were confirmed by Mössbauer spectroscopy, which further showed that the low iron contents of *ftnA* mutants are due to a lack of magnetically ordered ferric iron clusters likely to correspond to FtnA iron cores. In combination with the *fur* mutation, *ftnA* and *bfr* mutations produced an enhanced sensitivity to hydroperoxides, presumably due to an increase in production of “reactive ferrous iron.” It is concluded that FtnA acts as an iron store accommodating up to 50% of the cellular iron during postexponential growth in iron-rich media and providing a source of iron that partially compensates for iron deficiency during iron-restricted growth. In addition to repressing the iron acquisition systems, Fur appears to regulate the demand for iron, probably by controlling the expression of iron-containing proteins. The role of Bfr remains unclear.

Iron is an essential nutrient for nearly all forms of life, but its insolvability and reactivity lead to problems of poor availability and toxicity, respectively. One mechanism used to cope with the need to provide sufficient quantities of iron while maintaining it in a nontoxic state involves the use of iron storage proteins, known generically as ferritins (1, 18). Ferritins have been found in all three domains of life, where they are thought to participate in free-radical-generating reactions (6, 11, 14). Ferritins differ from ferritins in containing up to 12 heme groups per 24 subunits (1). The function of the heme groups is unknown, but evidence suggests that they may mediate iron release from bacterioferritin (3). Iron storage proteins are widely distributed among prokaryotes, having been found in archaea, high- and low-G+C gram-positive bacteria, cyanobacteria, *Bacteroides*, *Thermotogales*, and all four subdivisions of the *Proteobacteria*, suggesting that the facility to store iron is an important general requirement of prokaryotes (1).

*Escherichia coli* contains at least four genes that may play roles in iron storage: *bfd* (previously *gen-64*), encoding the 64-residue [2Fe-2S]-containing bacterioferritin-associated ferredoxin (*Bfd*), and *bfr*, encoding bacterioferritin (*Bfr* or *BFR*), both at 75 min; and *ftnA* (*ftn, gen-165, or *ryeI*), encoding a ferritin (*FtnA or *FTN*), and *ftnB* (or *ycfC*), encoding a ferritin-like protein (*FtnB*), both at 43 min. The *bfd* and *bfr* genes are copolar and are separated by only 71 bp (2). The *ftnB* and *ftnA* genes are also copolar but are 1.4 kb apart (10). Only *Bfd*, *FtnA*, and *Bfr* have been characterized. Whether FtnB functions as an iron storage protein is uncertain because its primary structure suggests that it lacks an active ferroxidase center. Furthermore, the residues involved in subunit interaction in FtnA are poorly conserved in FtnB, indicating that FtnB would not coassemble with FtnA (1). It is speculated that Bfd may interact with Bfr (or other iron complexes) in mediating iron release or delivery (23, 46).

The X-ray structures of FtnA and Bfr have revealed that...
they are structurally analogous to mammalian ferritins (21, 32). In vitro, FtnA and Bfr behave as typical iron storage proteins in their ability to take up iron (4, 33). Overproduction of FtnA or Bfr, to 14 or 18% of total cellular protein (respectively), increases the cellular iron content 2.5- to 2.6-fold, consistent with an iron-sequestering role in vivo (4, 33). FtnA takes up iron ~threefold more rapidly than does Bfr in vitro, but Bfr appears to accumulate more iron per molecule than FtnA in vivo (4, 33). Thus, it has been speculated that FtnA and Bfr play roles in short- and long-term iron storage, respectively, like the mammalian H- and L-subunit-rich ferritins (4, 33). It has also been suggested that Bfr serves as an electron carrier for pyruvate oxidase (15), as an electron storage protein (53), or as an accessory protein in lactose transport (60). Studies with a ferritin mutant of Campylobacter jejuni have shown that ferritin increases resistance to redox stress and enhances growth under iron-restricted conditions (59). Inactivation of the Brucella melitensis bacterioferritin gene had no effect on survival and growth in human macrophages (16). In E. coli, the iron-induced redox stress of ferreic uptake regulation (fur) mutants is alleviated by multiple copies of the ftnA gene but not of the bfr gene (56). This suggests that overproduced FtnA (but not Bfr) can sequester the excess free iron that apparently accumulates in the cytosol of fur mutants and that FtnA may therefore play a role in iron detoxification. In a fur− background, both FtnA and Bfr seem able to sequester free cytosolic iron, because overexpression of either bfr or ftnA induces the fur-regulated fnuF gene (54).

The reason why E. coli has multiple iron storage proteins is unknown. However, other bacteria such as Mycobacterium tuberculosis, Clostridium acetobutylicum, and Vibrio cholerae (1) also possess both ferritin and bacterioferritin genes, suggesting that the combined presence of ferritins and bacterioferritins may be common in bacteria and that the elucidation of their respective roles would be of general relevance. In this study, the relative roles of FtnA and Bfr in E. coli have been investigated by inactivating the corresponding genes, singly and in combination. The results indicate that FtnA is involved in the storage of iron during stationary phase and in subsequently enhancing growth under iron starvation conditions. The role of Bfr remains uncertain. Neither FtnA nor Bfr would appear to have an iron detoxification function in fur− backgrounds.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Bacterial strains, plasmids, bacteriophages, and growth conditions.** The genotypes and sources of E. coli K-12 strains and plasmids are listed in Table 1. The source plasmids used for insertional inactivating the ftnA and bfr genes were pUC18A2 (34) and pGS280 (2). M13mp18 and M13mp19 were used for subcloning, preparing templates for site-directed deletion mutagenesis, and DNA sequencing. Transduction with P1 was performed by the method of Miller (40). Bacteriophages and plasmid DNA were isolated from pGS637 and pGS358 transformants of E. coli K-12 strains and plasmids are listed in Table 1. The medium used for routine subculture was Luria broth (L broth) or L agar containing 50 mg/ml kanamycin (kan; spc amp) or chloramphenicol (25 mg/ml), or spectinomycin (25 mg/ml), or tetracycline (10 mg/ml) and 0.5% glucose, sealed with Subaseal caps, and incubated at 37°C in a water bath without shaking. Anaerobic respiratory conditions were identical, except that either 40 mM sodium fumarate or 40 mM sodium nitrate was added to the growth medium.

**Site-directed deletion mutagenesis.** Site-directed mutagenesis of uracil-containing M13 template DNA was performed with the single-primer method (36). The target for mutagenesis was a single-stranded M13mp18 derivative containing the 429-bp EcoRI-HindIII fbr fragment from pGS280 (2). Single-stranded DNA was prepared (51) from BW313 with uridine (0.25 mM) in the growth medium. The phosphorylated mutagenic oligonucleotide primer was annealed to the template before use in the primer extension ligation reaction and transfection into JM101 (sonc; 4). Prophage phages were screened by single-channel tracking and nucleotide sequence analysis with the Klenow fragment of DNA polymerase by the method of Sanger et al. (51). The mutagenic primer 5′-CCATCCGAGGTTGGGAGGATCGCCGAAAGAAGG; coordinates 543 to 557 and 969 to 983 (2) was designed to direct the deletion of nucleotides 558 to 968 at the center of the cloned fbr gene, simultaneously creating a unique BamHI restriction site (boldface type in the above sequence) at the point of deletion. The complete fbr sequence of a mutant (M13-fbrΔ1) was validated with specific primers; 5′-TCACTGGAATGCATTGATG; 645 to 662) and 5′-CGTAAGCCATACCAATGAGCGTTCTAC; 724 to 744), and the corresponding 1.2-kb EcoRI-PstI fragment of replacive-form M13-fbrΔ1 was cloned in pUC119, generating pGS327.

**Gene replacement.** Two plasmids, pGS358 (bfr-kan) and pGS637 (bfr-expc), containing disrupted bfr and ftnA genes, respectively (Fig. 1), were constructed for use in gene replacement (27). Plasmid pGS358 was made by cloning the BamHI-treated 1.3-kb kan (kanamycin resistance) fragment of pGS637 into pUC119, generating pGS37. The 2.1-kb EcoRI-PstI bfr-kan fragment of pGS358 was then cloned into the thermosensitive Cm+ replicon, pMK705, to give pGS358 (Fig. 1A). Similarly, pGS637 was generated by subcloning the 2-kb HindIII sp (specifying ftnA resistance) cassette of pUX-Δ (44) into pMT24 (13) to generate pGS635 and provide the spc cassette with flanking AccI sites. The 0.3-kb AvaI-CfrI fragment of pUC18A2 (34), containing most of the ftnA gene, was then replaced by the 2.3-kb AccI spc cassette from pGS635 to give pGS637 and the 2.4-kb KpnI-BamHI fragment of pGS636 was cloned into the corresponding sites of pMK705 (27) to give pGS637 (Fig. 1B). Coinintegrates were isolated from pGS637 and pGS358 transformants of E. coli MC4100 and

### Table 1. Strains of E. coli K-12 and plasmids used in this study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strains or plasmid</th>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>Source or reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H1673</td>
<td>W3110 fur-31 zbt::Tn10</td>
<td>Klaus Hanke, Tubingen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H941</td>
<td>MC3400 Δfur</td>
<td>Klaus Hanke, Tubingen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JM101</td>
<td>Δ(fur-proAB) thi supE F [trd36 proAB] lacZAM15</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JRG2712</td>
<td>MC4100 fnaA::spc</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JRG2157</td>
<td>JM101 bfr-kan, F</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JRG2844</td>
<td>MC4100 fnaA::spc</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JRG2846</td>
<td>MC4100 bfr-kan</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JRG2847</td>
<td>JRG2846 fnaA::spc</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JRG2951</td>
<td>W3110 bfr-kan</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JRG2952</td>
<td>W3110 fnaA::spc</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JRG2953</td>
<td>JRG2953 fnaA::spc</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JRG3236</td>
<td>H1941 bfr-kan</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JRG3238</td>
<td>JRG3238 fnaA::spc</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JRG3240</td>
<td>H1941 fnaA::spc</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MC4100</td>
<td>∆ana, ∆arg-4-lac, pLS relAIdeoC pSβF5</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W3110</td>
<td>Prototroph</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Plasmids**

| pGS280 | bfr amp | 2 |
| pGS277 | bfr amp | This work |
| pGS357 | kan amp | This work |
| pGS327 | bfr-kan conl rep(Ts) | This work |
| pGS635 | spc amp | This work |
| pGS636 | fnaA::spc amp | This work |
| pGS637 | fnaA::spc conl rep(Ts) | This work |
| pMAK705 | rep(Ts) lacZ cm1 | 27 |
| pMTL24 | amp | 13 |
| pUC18A2 | fnaA amp | 34 |
| pUX-Δ | spc | 44 |
JM101, respectively, by selecting Sp⁺ Cm⁺ or Km⁺ Cm⁺ colonies at 42°C (non-permissive temperature for plasmid replication). Resolution products were obtained by culturing pools of cointegrant colonies in L broth for three to six growth cycles (30 to 60 generations) at 30°C (the permissive temperature) and then screening colonies that were Spr or Km⁺ at 42°C for Cm⁺ phenotypes, consistent with chromosomal gene replacement and loss of plasmid. A total of 3 of 240 Spr colonies and 7 of 140 Km⁺ colonies had the desired Cm⁺ phenotype. From these, only one fnmA::spc derivative of MC4100 but six bfr::kan derivatives of JM101 were confirmed by Western blotting with FtnA- and Bfr-specific polyclonal antisera.

**Chromosomal DNA isolation and Southern blotting.** Representative strains, JRG2712 (MC4100 fnmA::spc) and JRG2157 (JM101 bfr::kan), were tested for disruption of the corresponding parental gene and acquisition of the resistance cassette by Southern blot analysis. The 760-bp HindIII-EcoRI fragment of

**FIG. 1.** Restriction maps of the fnmA (A) and bfr (B) regions of the E. coli chromosome and derived plasmids. The locations and polarities of the fnmA (clockwise) and bfr (anticlockwise) genes are shown in the E. coli physical map (8). Lightly shaded bars represent chromosomal DNA; thin horizontal lines represent plasmid DNA; solid bars represent antibiotic resistance cassettes (kan, kanamycin; spc, spectinomycin), and open bars represent the fnmA or bfr gene. Relevant restriction sites are shown: Ac, AccI; As, AsuII; B, BamHI; Bg, BglII; C, ClaI; D, DraI; H, HindIII; K, KpnI; P, PstI; Pr, PvuI; Xb, XbaI; XhoI. Ac/C and As/Ac denote hybrid restriction sites no longer recognized by the corresponding restriction enzymes, and B* denotes an engineered BamHI site flanking a site-directed internal deletion in the bfr gene.
pUC18A2 was used as an fnA probe (Fig. 1A), the 0.36-kb DraI-XhoI and 1.2-kb EcoRI-PstI fragments of pGS280 were used as bfr probes (Fig. 1B), and the spe and kan cassettes served as probes for the corresponding resistance gene. The sizes of the hybridizing fnA, bfr, spe, and kan probes were very close to the predicted values. Those hybridizing with the fnA probe in HindIII, EcoRI, BglII, KpnI, PstI, and PvuII digests of MC4100 chromosomal DNA, in kilobases, were as follows (observed values): 16.0 (17.5), 3.0 (3.3), 1.0 + 1.7 (1.0 + 1.8), 5.4 (5.8), 20.0 (20.0), and 5.0 (5.5). For JRG2712 (fnA::spe), they were 5.6 + 6.7 (7.8 + 7.5), 4.6 (4.9), 1.0 + 1.5 (1.1 + 1.8), 7.2 (7.4), 10.7 + 5.8 (12.5 + 7.5), and 6.6 (7.2). Those hybridizing with the bfr probe in BamHI and HindIII digests of JM101 DNA were 24 (25.1) and 11.2 (10.5) with the EcoRI-PstI probe and 24.5 (25.1) and 11.5 (10.5) with the DraI-XhoI probe. With JRG2157 (bfr::kan), the hybridizing fragments were 7.7 (8.0) and 7.0 (7.2) with the EcoRI-PstI probe but, as expected, no hybridization was observed with the DraI-XhoI probe. Hybridizations with the spe and kan probes further confirmed that the resistance cassettes had been inserted at the desired sites in the corresponding strains. Thus, the hybridization patterns of the mutants and parental strains (MC4100 and JM101) matched those predicted from the physical maps of the 42.9- and 74.6-kb regions (Fig. 1), assuming that the desired replacements had been effected.

Southern blotting was performed by the method of Sambrook et al. (50) with restriction fragments of E. coli chromosomal DNA (37). Hybridization probes for detecting insertional inactivation of fnA or bfr were prepared by random primed DNA polymerase I (Klenow)-dependent incorporation of digoxigenin-11–dUTP into freshly denatured single-stranded template DNA prepared from the fnA gene (the 760-bp HindIII-EcoRI fragment of pUC18A2) and the spe cassette, the 2.0-kb HindIII fragment of pUX-D1, and from the bfr gene (the 1.2-kb EcoRI-PstI and the 0.36-kb DraI-XhoI fragments of pGS280) and the kan cassette (the 1.3-kb EcoRI fragment of pGS328). The prehybridization, hybridization (68°C), and washing conditions were those of Sambrook et al. (50), and immunoreaction detection was performed as specified by the manufacturer (Boehringer Mannheim Biochemicals). Molecular weight markers were prepared from HindIII and HindIII-EcoRI digests of λDNA labeled with digoxigenin-11–dUTP by T4 DNA polymerase-dependent replacement synthesis (50).

Mössbauer spectroscopy of whole cells. γFe Mössbauer absorption spectra of bacterial strains prepared by the method of Hudson et al. (33) were obtained with a constant-acceleration spectrometer, using a triangular velocity waveform. The spectrum at 20°C of metallic iron (National Bureau of Standards, 1971, iron foil, Mössbauer Standard 1541) was used to calibrate the spectrometer, and spectra were stored in a 1,024-channel analyzer operating in the time mode. A 25-mCi 57CoRh Mössbauer source was used, and the center shifts quoted are relative to α-iron.

Other methods. Sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS)-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and Western blotting were performed as previously described (33). The iron contents of E. coli cells were assayed in triplicate by the method of Drysdale and Munro (17) with 1% ferrozine instead of 2,2′-bipyridyl. An atomic absorption spectrometer (Perkin-Elmer M2100) was also used to assay the iron contents of growth media (directly) and bacteria (after extraction with 30% nitric acid at 80°C for 6 h). These two methods gave very similar results.

**RESULTS**

Inactivation of the chromosomal fnA and bfr genes. The plasmid-contained fnA and bfr genes were inactivated by replacing central segments of their coding regions with spe and kan cassettes, respectively (see Materials and Methods) (Fig. 1). The disrupted genes were then subcloned into the thermo-sensitive replicon, pMAK705, generating plasmids pGS358 and pGS637, which were used to replace the chromosomal genes with the disrupted genes by homologous recombination (see Materials and Methods) (Fig. 1). Potential fnA+ and bfr+ mutants were selected by virtue of their Sp° Cm° and Kan° Cm° phenotypes and were screened for the absence of FtnA or Bfr protein by Western blot analysis (results not shown). In this way, one FtnA− mutant and six Bfr− mutants were obtained. The FtnA− mutant, JRG2272 (MC4100 fnA::spe), and one Bfr− mutant, JRG2157 (JM101 bfr::kan), were confirmed by Southern blot analysis (see Materials and Methods). The two confirmed mutant strains served as donors for transferring the disrupted genes to W3110 (wild type) by single and sequential phage P1-mediated transductions to produce both single and double mutants: JRG2951 (bfr::kan), JRG2952 (fnA::spe), and JRG2953 (fnA::spe bfr::kan) (Table 1). Inactivation of the fnA and bfr genes in the W3110 derivatives was confirmed by Western blotting (Fig. 2).

FIG. 2. Western blots confirming the absence of FtnA and Bfr in the corresponding E. coli mutants. Bacteria were grown aerobically to stationary phase in L broth before being harvested. Whole-cell E. coli proteins (approximately 50 µg per lane) were electrophoresed in SDS-containing 15% polyacrylamide gels, electroblotted, and immunostained with anti-FtnA (A) or anti-Bfr (B) polyclonal antibodies. The positions of the immuno reactive peptides corresponding to FtnA and Bfr are indicated.

**Growth characteristics and morphology.** Phase-contrast microscopy revealed no differences in either the shape or size of the mutants compared to the wild type. The growth rates and growth yields of the mutant and parental strains were identical for batch cultures grown aerobically in L broth and M9 salts medium (with 8 µM iron and either glucose or succinate as the carbon source) and also for cultures grown under anaerobic fermentative and respiratory conditions (results not shown). To determine whether high concentrations of iron might be toxic for the iron storage mutants, the wild type and mutants were grown in glucose (0.4%) minimal medium supplemented with 1 mM iron citrate (throughout the work described here, the iron-to-citrate ratio was 1:100). However, all strains grew identically, indicating that the mutants and wild type are equally tolerant to high concentrations of iron (results not shown).

**Whole-cell iron contents.** Any effects that the mutations might have on the iron storage capacity of E. coli were investigated by measuring the iron contents of the wild-type and mutant strains grown aerobically in L broth (17 µM iron). The iron contents of all four strains (~0.014% [dry weight]) were similar in mid-logarithmic phase (Fig. 3A), but the iron contents of the fnA− strains, W3110 and JRG2951 (bfr−), were twofold higher (~0.027%) in the stationary phase than in the log phase whereas those of the fnA+ mutants, JRG2952 (fnA+) and JRG2953 (fnA::spe bfr−), were approximately the same (0.013%) in the stationary phase as in the log phase (Fig. 3A). This indicates that E. coli doubles its iron content during the transition from exponential to stationary phase in rich medium and that FtnA is responsible for this postexponential accumulation of iron, amounting to ~50% of stationary-phase cellular iron. Lack of Bfr seems not to affect the iron content of E. coli, even when FtnA is also absent, suggesting that Bfr plays no significant role in iron storage. The cellular iron contents reported
above are consistent with results of previous studies showing that after growth under iron-sufficient conditions, the iron content of stationary-phase *E. coli* is typically 0.02% of the dry weight (31, 33, 49). Western blot and lacZ fusion studies have shown that *ftnA* and *bfr* are highly expressed in the postexponential phase of growth under iron-rich conditions (9, 24). Therefore, the failure of the *bfr* mutation to affect cellular iron content is not due to weak *bfr* expression.

The influence of iron availability on the iron contents of wild-type and mutant strains was examined by lowering the iron concentration in the growth medium from 17 to 3 μM by extraction with 8-hydroxyquinoline. Although growth was not affected (data not shown), the cellular iron contents were lowered 1.5- to 3.4-fold to almost the same levels in both logarithmic- and stationary-phase cultures (Fig. 3B). This shows that the cellular iron content depends on iron availability and suggests that the *ftnA* strains accumulate more iron than *ftnA* mutants only when the iron concentration in the medium is high enough to permit the deposition of intracellular iron stores.

The effects of iron availability on growth yield and bacterial iron content were further investigated by measuring aerobic growth in 0.4% glucose M9-salts medium containing up to 128 μM iron citrate. The growth yields for all four strains (data not shown) were increased ∼twofold by the addition of ≥2 μM iron citrate, and since no increase was observed with 400 μM sodium citrate, this indicates that the iron concentration (1.8 μM) of glucose M9-salts medium is growth limiting. The stationary-phase iron contents (0.002 to 0.02%) were directly related to the concentration of added iron citrate up to 8 μM but were not increased further by raising the concentration to 16 μM or higher (Fig. 3C). In the logarithmic phase, cellular iron contents reached a maximum (0.015%) with only 2 μM iron citrate (Fig. 3C). This is presumably because 2 μM iron citrate is sufficient to support high cellular iron contents at the low cell densities achieved in the logarithmic phase but not at the higher cell densities achieved in the stationary phase. Adding sodium citrate (400 μM) had no effect on the cellular iron content. These results confirm that the cellular iron content depends on the iron concentration of the medium, as previously suggested by Hartmann and Braun (31). The results also indicate that cellular iron uptake is tightly regulated, presumably to ensure that *E. coli* does not sequester excessive quantities of iron. The cellular iron content was lower after growth in minimal medium than in L broth (0.020 and 0.027%, respectively). The reason for this is not known, but it may reflect metabolic and/or gene expression differences in rich and minimal media.

As shown in Fig. 3D, the stationary-phase iron contents of the *ftnA* mutants (0.012%) were lower than those of the *ftnA* strains (0.018%) after growth in glucose M9-salts medium supplemented with 16 μM iron citrate. These observations resemble those made with L-broth cultures (Fig. 3A). The effects were likewise dependent on the iron concentration of the me
dium, because in iron-deficient (1.8 μM iron) M9-salts medium the cellular iron contents (−0.002%) were six- to nine-fold lower than in the iron-supplemented medium (Fig. 3D), and the differences between the *ftnA* and *ftnA* strains were abolished, as observed in Fig. 3B with iron-depleted L-broth cultures. This confirms that the iron storage defect of *ftnA* mutants is apparent only when the iron concentration of the medium is sufficient to provide opportunities for iron storage, i.e., ≥8 μM.

The whole-cell iron contents of stationary-phase cultures grown in L broth (17 μM iron) plus glucose under fermentative conditions or after anaerobic growth with nitrate or fumarate as the electron acceptor did not reveal any major differences between the wild-type and mutant strains (results not shown). Thus, it appears that neither FtnA nor Bfr is involved in the iron storage defect of *ftnA* mutants (Fig. 3B with iron-depleted L-broth cultures). This confirms that the iron storage defect of *ftnA* mutants are due to lack of magnetically ordered ferric iron clusters in stationary-phase bacteria but not on those

**Whole-cell Mössbauer spectroscopy.** Although the *ftnA* mutants are clearly iron deficient in the stationary phase, the nature of the “missing iron” was not revealed. Therefore, the forms of cellular iron present in the mutant and parental strains were examined by Mössbauer spectroscopy. The strains were grown to stationary phase (~18 h) in glucose (0.4%) M9-salts medium containing 8 μM Fe(III) citrate. The cells were washed, cooled rapidly to −196°C, and analyzed by Mössbauer spectroscopy at 60 K (Fig. 4A). By using a computerized least-squares approach, good fits to the data were achieved with three quadrupole doublet components: species A, corresponding to high-spin hexacoordinate Fe(III) complexes; and species B and C, representing discrete Fe(II) high-spin hexacoordinate components, as observed previously (33). The relative iron contents of the four strains estimated by Mössbauer spectroscopy reflect the chemically defined iron contents (Table 2) and confirm that the *ftnA* mutants have ~50% less iron than do *ftnA* strains in stationary phase. The data also indicate that the lower iron contents of the *ftnA* mutants are due to a 2.0- to 2.5-fold reduction in the amounts of ferric iron (component A), the amounts of total ferrous iron being approximately the same in all four strains (Table 2 and Fig. 4A). The ferric species exhibited a higher quadrupole splitting in the *ftnA* mutants (0.97 mm/s). This probably reflects the presence of smaller iron clusters, which in turn may be related to the lack of FtnA and ferric iron deficiency.

Low-temperature Mössbauer spectra of the same samples were recorded at 1.7 K to investigate the presence of magnetically ordered iron clusters (Fig. 4B). The measured ratios of ferrous to ferric iron were higher at 1.7 K than at 60 K due to an increase in the recoilless fraction at the lower temperature resulting in an improved efficiency of ferrous iron detection. Three components were required to obtain satisfactory fits to the data: A', representing nonmagnetic ferric iron; B', representing total ferrous iron; and M, corresponding to magnetic ferric cores. The spectra clearly show that the magnetic component (M), which is present in the wild type and the bfr mutant, is absent from the *ftnA* and *ftnA* bfr mutants (Table 3 and Fig. 4B). Furthermore, because the ferrous-to-ferric iron ratios of the non-magnetically ordered iron are approximately the same in all four strains, the lower levels of iron in the *ftnA* mutants are due to lack of magnetically ordered ferric iron clusters, likely to correspond to ferritin iron cores.

High-temperature (267 K) whole-cell Mössbauer spectra revealed an absorbing “recoilless fraction” species present in *ftnA* strains but absent from the *ftnA* mutants (Fig. 4C). The recoilless fraction is likely to correspond to ferric iron clusters within FtnA molecules. The Mössbauer studies therefore support the view that the lower iron contents of *ftnA* mutants are due to the absence of FtnA iron cores.

**Growth in the presence of iron chelators.** The wild-type and mutant strains grew identically in iron-sufficient M9-salts medium (data not shown). However, in iron-deficient M9-salts medium (1.8 μM iron), growth of the *ftnA* and *bfr* *ftnA* mutants was slightly impaired (~5%) relative to that of W3110 and the *bfr* mutant (Fig. 5A). Addition of the iron chelator diethylene-triaminepentaaetic acid (DTPA; 5 μM) impaired the growth of all strains, but, significantly, this effect was far greater for the *ftnA* and *bfr* *ftnA* mutants, which gave ~threefold lower growth yields relative to those obtained for the *ftnA* strains (Fig. 5A). The growth-inhibitory effects of DTPA were reversed by adding equimolar or greater amounts of iron citrate to the DTPA-containing medium (M9-DTPA), and the growth differences between the *ftnA* and *ftnA* strains were abolished (Fig. 5B). The addition of sodium citrate had no effect (results not shown). These results strongly suggest that the DTPA-mediated growth inhibition is due to iron chelation and therefore that the *ftnA* mutants are much more sensitive to iron starvation than are the *ftnA* strains. The *ftnA* mutation also resulted in increased sensitivity to other chelators: 2,2'-bipyridyl (200 μM), ferrizone (0.05 to 5 mM), desferroxamine (50 to 800 μM), EGTA (50 to 200 μM), EDTA (200 μM), ortho-phenantroline (10 μM), and nitroliotricaric acid (50 to 200 μM) (data not shown). The increased sensitivity of *ftnA* mutants to DTPA was also observed in other types of media: minimal-morpholine-propanesulfonic acid (MOPS) medium with and without amino acids (41), minimal medium E (58), and minimal medium containing other carbon sources (0.4% maltose, 0.4% mannose, 0.4% fructose, or 0.4% xylose). However, the enhanced DTPA sensitivity of *ftnA* mutants was not observed during anaerobic growth in M9-salts medium containing 0.4% glucose with and without 40 mM fumarate or 40 mM nitrate or during aerobic or anaerobic growth in L broth, nor was it apparent during aerobic growth with succinate, fumarate, malate, or acetate (all at 40 mM) as the sole carbon source. These observations may reflect greater iron availability under anaerobic conditions (due to the presence of ferrous iron), high concentrations of iron complexes in L broth, and the possibility that carboxylic acids serve as weak iron chelators in minimal medium. *E. coli* precultured in M9-DTPA did not subsequently grow when inoculated into fresh M9-DTPA unless iron citrate (5 μM) had been added. This indicates that growth in M9-DTPA exhausts the cellular iron reserves to such an extent that subsequent growth is possible only when supplementary iron is provided.

The possibility that the growth impairment of the *ftnA* mutants in M9-DTPA is due to the lack of iron stores in the inoculated bacteria was tested by preincubating the *ftnA* and *ftnA* strains in iron-rich (16 μM iron citrate) and iron-poor (no additions) M9-salts medium. The use of iron-deficient inocula (0.002% iron, compared with 0.012 or 0.018% for iron-rich inocula) dramatically reduced subsequent growth in M9-DTPA to equivalently low levels for both the *ftnA* and *ftnA* strains (Fig. 6C). A similar but less dramatic effect was observed in the absence of DTPA (Fig. 5B). Therefore, the high iron contents of iron-rich inocula enhance subsequent growth under iron deficiency and iron-deficient growth is further improved by iron stores predeposited in FtnA. This indicates that the increased growth of the *ftnA* strains during iron deprivation is a direct consequence of their FtnA-associated iron stores.

Because iron stores appear to be deposited postexponentially (Fig. 3A and D), it was anticipated that FtnA would confer an iron-deficient-growth advantage upon cultures inoculated with stationary-phase bacteria but not on those
FIG. 4. Mössbauer spectra of *E. coli*. Wild-type and mutant *E. coli* were grown in minimal medium containing 0.4% glucose and 8 mM Fe citrate. Fits are superimposed on the experimental data. (A) Mössbauer spectra recorded at 60 K. Component A represents ferric iron, whereas B and C correspond to ferrous iron. (B) Mössbauer spectra recorded at 1.7 K. Component A represents nonmagnetic ferric iron, component B represents total ferrous iron, and component M (shaded) corresponds to magnetic ferric iron cores. The parameters for components A, A, B, and C are listed in Tables 2 and 3. (C) Mössbauer spectra recorded at 267 K.
inoculated with logarithmic-phase bacteria. To test this prediction, the growth of M9-DTPA cultures was monitored after inoculation with precultures grown to log and stationary phase in iron-rich M9-salts medium (Fig. 6D). The growth differences between the wild-type and bfr ftnA strains were much greater with stationary-phase inocula (~3-fold) than with log-phase inocula (~1.3-fold). This further supports the conclusion that FtnA accumulates iron stores mainly in the stationary phase rather than in the log phase.

Iron storage and ferric uptake regulation mutants. The Fur protein, encoded by fur, is an iron-sensing, global transcription regulator of E. coli and many other proteobacteria. Fur uses ferrous iron as a corepressor in repressing the iron acquisition apparatus and other genes under iron-sufficient conditions (18). The combined effects of the fur mutation (leading to derepression of the iron transporters and other Fur-repressed genes) and the iron storage lesions were studied by transferring the fur mutation (leading to derepression) and the corresponding fur" strain (MC4100) by P1-mediated transduction. The resulting isogenic strains were grown to stationary phase in L broth, together with W3110, H1673 (W3110 fur), and JRG2953 (W3110 ftnA), and their iron contents were measured (Fig. 3E). The growth of the fur mutants was impaired relative to that of the fur" strains but was not further impaired by the bfr and/or ftnA mutations (results not shown).

The iron content of MC4100 (0.022%) was somewhat lower than that of W3110 (0.027%). The reason for this is unknown. The ftnA mutation lowered the stationary-phase iron content of MC4100 to nearly half (Fig. 3E), which is consistent with the effect of the ftnA mutation on W3110 (Fig. 3A). Surprisingly, the fur mutation lowered the cellular iron content (0.008 to 0.009%) to a greater extent than did the ftnA mutation, and the iron content was not lowered any further by combining the ftnA and/or bfr mutations with the fur mutation (Fig. 3E). It is likely that the low iron contents of the fur mutants are partly due to reduced ftnA expression (Fig. 7). Mössbauer spectroscopic analysis of 57Fe-labeled cells grown in L broth (plus 40 μM 57Fe citrate) confirmed that the fur mutants are iron deficient and revealed that ferric and ferrous iron contents are equally lowered (results not shown). In M9-DTPA, MC4100 and its bfr derivative had higher growth rates and reached a higher optical density (A650 = 0.25 at 8 h) than did the corresponding ftnA and ftnA bfr derivatives (A650 = 0.19 at 8 h) in M9-DTPA. However, when combined with the fur deletion of H1941, growth in the presence of DTPA, although poorer than that of MC4100, was not further impaired by the iron storage defects (results not shown).

**TABLE 2. Mössbauer parameters derived from the spectra shown in Fig. 4A**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>Component A, Fe(III)</th>
<th>Component B, Fe(II)</th>
<th>Component C, Fe(II)</th>
<th>Iron contenta (%)</th>
<th>K</th>
<th>Iron contentb (%) of dry wt</th>
<th>Fe(II) (%) of dry wt</th>
<th>Fe(III) (%) of dry wt</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CS (mm/s)</td>
<td>QS (mm/s)</td>
<td>W (mm/s)</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>CS (mm/s)</td>
<td>QS (mm/s)</td>
<td>W (mm/s)</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W3110</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>3.06</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JRG2951 (bfr)</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>3.06</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JRG2952 (ftnA)</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>3.06</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JRG2953 (ftn A bfr)</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>3.06</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*All center shift values (CS) are quoted relative to α-iron. Errors are typically ±0.01, although they may be larger for components B and C due to their partially resolved nature and low intensities. QS, quadrupole splitting; W, line width at half height.*

**TABLE 3. Mössbauer parameters derived from the spectra shown in Fig. 4B**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>Component A, Fe(III)</th>
<th>Component B, Fe(II)</th>
<th>Component M, magnetic field</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CS (mm/s)</td>
<td>QS (mm/s)</td>
<td>W (mm/s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W3110</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JRG2951 (bfr)</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JRG2952 (ftnA)</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JRG2953 (ftn A bfr)</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*All center shift values (CS) are quoted relative to α-iron. Errors are typically ±0.01. QS, quadrupole splitting; W, line width at half height.*
extracellular iron concentration was high (Fig. 8B). The protection afforded by iron citrate is probably due to direct extracellular decomposition of \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) by iron citrate (26, 61).

The above studies suggest that intracellular iron enhances redox stress sensitivity, indicating that factors, such as iron storage proteins, that influence cellular iron could affect susceptibility to oxidative stress. Growth of the iron storage mutants (JRG2951 to JRG2953) in L broth containing hydroperoxides (\( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) at 1 to 8 \( \text{mM} \), tert-butyl hydroperoxide at 0.25 to 2 \( \text{mM} \), or cumen hydroperoxide at 2.5 to 40 \( \text{mM} \)), superoxide

---

**FIG. 5. Effects of iron deficiency on the aerobic growth of E. coli wild type and iron storage mutants.** The growth medium was 0.4% glucose M9-salts minimal medium (1.8 \( \mu\text{M} \) iron). Precultures were grown with 16 \( \mu\text{M} \) iron citrate (A) or 1.6 mM sodium citrate (B) and were washed with saline before inoculation at dilutions of 1/100. Error bars represent standard deviations of three cultures: \( \triangle \), W3110; \( \bigcirc \), JRG2951 (bfr); \( \bigodot \), JRG2952 (ftnA); \( \square \), JRG2953 (ftnA bfr).

**FIG. 6. Effects of the iron chelator DTPA on the aerobic growth of E. coli wild-type and iron-storage mutants.** Details are as for Fig. 5A except where stated. (A) Effects of DTPA (5 \( \mu\text{M} \)). (B) Reversal of the effects of 5 \( \mu\text{M} \) DTPA by iron citrate. W3110 is indicated by solid symbols, and JRG2953 (ftnA bfr) is indicated by open symbols, with iron citrate concentrations as follows: \( \triangle \) and \( \bigtriangleup \), 0.5 \( \mu\text{M} \); \( \bigcirc \) and \( \bigodot \), 1.5 \( \mu\text{M} \); \( \square \) and \( \blacksquare \), 5 \( \mu\text{M} \); and \( \bigtriangleup \) and \( \bigtriangledown \), 16 \( \mu\text{M} \). (C) Effect of low-iron preculture on subsequent growth in 5 \( \mu\text{M} \) DTPA: precultures were grown with 1.6 mM sodium citrate instead of 16 \( \mu\text{M} \) iron citrate, as in Fig. 5B. (D) Comparison of log-phase (open symbols) and stationary-phase (solid symbols) preculture on subsequent growth in 5 \( \mu\text{M} \) DTPA: \( \triangle \) and \( \bigtriangleup \), W3110; \( \blacktriangle \) and \( \blacksquare \), JRG2953 (ftnA bfr).
generators (paraquat at 1 to 2.5 mM, menadione at 0.25 to 1.5 mM, or plumbagin at 0.025 to 0.15 mM), or NO-generating agents (S-nitrosoglutathione at 0.01 to 1.5 mM or sodium nitroprusside at 0.5 to 5 mM) revealed that the mutants are as sensitive to redox stress as is the parental strain, W3110 (results not shown). Sensitivity to \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) was also studied by measuring the decline in viability after exposing log- and stationary-phase cultures to various concentrations of \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) (0.25 to 16 mM). Again, no significant differences between the iron storage mutants and wild type were detected (data not shown). Similarly, no differences in \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) resistance were observed in filter disc diffusion assays in which zones of growth inhibition around \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \)-soaked (2 to 10 \( \mu \text{mol} \)) filter discs were measured on L-agar plates seeded with \( 5 \times 10^7 \) bacteria. However, growth studies did show that a \( \text{fur} \) mutant (H1941) was more sensitive to the NO and superoxide generators, although this sensitivity was not further enhanced by \( \text{ftnA} \) and/or \( \text{bfr} \) mutations (results not shown). Thus, it appears that \( \text{Fur} \) plays a role in protection against \( \text{O}_2^- \) and NO, presumably through the iron-dependent regulation of either cellular iron or redox protective factors (e.g., superoxide dismutase). Significantly, when the \( \text{fur} \) mutation was combined with the \( \text{ftnA} \) and/or \( \text{bfr} \) mutations, the resulting strains were more sensitive to hydroperoxides (Fig. 9). This increased sensitivity was exhibited after 2 to 3 h of growth, suggesting that the toxic effect takes several hours to be expressed (as seen for paraquat [40a]) and that it may be growth phase dependent. In contrast, the combination of the \( \text{fur} \) and iron storage mutations did not further increase the sensitivity to NO or \( \text{O}_2^- \) generators (results not shown). Thus, when \( \text{Fur} \) is absent, \( \text{FtnA} \) and \( \text{Bfr} \) seem to contribute to protection against hydroperoxides, presumably by sequestration of the increased quantities of “redox-active iron” that arise in \( \text{fur} \) mutants (35). This finding is consistent with the ability of overproduced \( \text{FtnA} \) to counteract the increased toxicity of intracellular iron in \( \text{fur} \) mutants (56).

**DISCUSSION**

The physiological roles of the *E. coli* iron storage proteins, \( \text{FtnA} \) and \( \text{Bfr} \), were investigated by insertionally inactivating the chromosomal \( \text{ftnA} \) and \( \text{bfr} \) genes and generating isogenic sets of \( \text{ftnA} \), \( \text{bfr} \), and \( \text{ftnA} \) \( \text{bfr} \) mutants. The iron contents of the \( \text{ftnA} \) and \( \text{ftnA} \) \( \text{bfr} \) strains grown to stationary phase in rich media were half those of the wild-type and \( \text{bfr} \) strains. However, no such difference was observed in log-phase cells or in *E. coli* grown in media with low iron concentrations. Whole-cell Mössbauer spectroscopy revealed that the low stationary-phase iron contents of the \( \text{ftnA} \) and \( \text{ftnA} \) \( \text{bfr} \) strains are due to a 2- to 2.5-fold reduction in the amounts of cellular ferric iron. Subsequently, low- and high-temperature studies showed that the lower ferric iron contents of \( \text{ftnA} \) mutants correlate with a deficiency in magnetically ordered iron clusters. These results show that \( \text{FtnA} \) is responsible for the postexponential accumulation and storage of up to 50% of the cellular iron during iron sufficient growth. This correlates with the ~10-fold induction of \( \text{ftnA} \) expression in the postexponential growth phase under iron-rich conditions (9). In contrast, \( \text{Bfr} \) would seem to be uninvolved in, or dispensable for, iron accumulation and storage. This is supported by the finding that less than 1% of total cellular iron can be attributed to \( \text{Bfr} \) (7).

The \( \text{ftnA} \) and \( \text{ftnA} \) \( \text{bfr} \) mutants exhibited a major growth defect when cultured in iron-deficient minimal medium, but only when the mutant and parental strains had been precultured to stationary phase in iron-rich medium, giving precultures the opportunity to deposit iron stores. It would thus appear that iron stored in \( \text{FtnA} \) during iron sufficiency can

---

**FIG. 8.** Effects of intracellular and extracellular iron on hydrogen peroxide toxicity. (A) Washed suspensions of W3110 grown to stationary phase in 0.4% glucose M9-salts medium with 1.6 mM sodium citrate or 16 \( \mu \text{M} \) iron citrate, to produce inocula with low (0.002%) or high (0.018%) intracellular iron contents, respectively, were diluted 100-fold in L broth containing 250 \( \mu \text{M} \) \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) (solid lines) or no \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) (broken lines); low-iron inocula (■); high-iron inocula (▲). (B) Low-iron inocula (as above) of W3110 were diluted 100-fold in fresh sodium citrate-containing glucose M9-salts medium with 50 \( \mu \text{M} \) \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) (solid lines) or no \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) (broken lines) and 16 \( \mu \text{M} \) iron citrate (▲) or no iron citrate (□).
subsequently be used to enhance iron-limited growth. FtnA therefore fulfills the classical role assigned to ferritins, as an iron-storing protein that releases iron upon demand. This capacity should be important in \textit{E. coli} and other bacteria that occupy environments where iron availability is variable, because FtnA facilitates growth under iron-limited conditions and would thus be expected to confer a selective advantage.

The iron content of wild-type \textit{E. coli} grown to stationary phase in L broth was 0.027% of dry weight. Under iron-limiting conditions, the iron content was up to 14-fold lower (0.002% of dry weight), indicating that \textit{E. coli} considerably lowers its need for cellular iron during iron-deficient growth. The total iron content (as a percentage of dry weight) of W3110, grown to stationary phase in rich broth, can be allocated as follows: iron stored in FtnA (0.013%); iron associated with Fur-regulated factors, excluding FtnA (0.006%); “residual iron” (0.006%) (the difference between the iron contents of \textit{fur} mutants [-0.008%] and iron-starved \textit{E. coli} [-0.002%]); and “essential iron” (-0.002%). The essential iron is likely to reside in highly important proteins such as ribonucleotide reductase, tricarboxylic acid cycle enzymes such as aconitase, and respiratory-chain components. The residual iron at least partly corresponds to the redox-active iron that is enhanced in \textit{fur} mutants (35).

The damage inflicted during redox stress depends to a large extent on the collaboration of intracellular iron (38, 48), as confirmed here by the dependence of \textit{H}_{2}\textit{O}_{2} toxicity on the intracellular iron content. Iron chelators such as dipyridyl and desferrioxamine can protect cells from oxidants (22), and this implies that the sequestration of endogenous iron is a vital antioxidant strategy. Furthermore, previous studies have suggested that the iron-sequestering function of iron storage proteins is important for limiting the prooxidant hazard posed by iron (14, 56, 59). It was therefore surprising to find that sensitivity to NO, \textit{O}_{2}^{\cdot-}, and \textit{H}_{2}\textit{O}_{2} is not increased by a lack of FtnA and/or Bfr. However, this is consistent with previous work with \textit{ftnA} and \textit{bfr} mutants showing that neither FtnA nor Bfr influences the quantity of redox-active iron in \textit{E. coli} (35). It is also consistent with the finding that levels of ferrous iron are not significantly influenced by the iron storage defects (Tables 2 and 3). Apparently FtnA and Bfr do not protect against the redox stress-inducing effects of iron in vivo in \textit{fur} \textsuperscript{-} backgrounds, nor do the lower iron contents of \textit{ftnA} mutants affect resistance to redox stress. The latter observation implies that iron present in the iron cores of FtnA does not contribute to oxidative stress, supporting previous reports that the iron core provides a “safe” means of handling iron (6, 11, 14).

However, in a \textit{fur} \textsuperscript{-} background, \textit{ftnA} and \textit{bfr} mutations increase sensitivity to hydroperoxides but not to superoxide (or NO). Hydroperoxides elicit iron-dependent cytotoxicity through the Fenton reaction, in which ferrous iron is oxidized and the highly reactive hydroxyl radical is generated (\textit{H}_{2}\textit{O}_{2} + \textit{Fe}^{2+} \rightarrow \textit{OH} + \textit{OH}^{\cdot} + \textit{Fe}^{3+}). In contrast, the iron-dependent toxicity of superoxide is mediated by reduction of ferric to ferrous iron (\textit{O}_{2}^{\cdot-} + \textit{Fe}^{3+} \rightarrow \textit{O}_{2} + \textit{Fe}^{2+}). The resulting ferrous iron may then participate in the Fenton reaction. Thus, the enhanced sensitivity to hydroperoxides is probably due to the presence of increased levels of “reactive” ferrous iron in the strains lacking both Fur and iron storage proteins. Therefore, in the absence of Fur, the FtnA and Bfr...
proteins apparently lower the levels of reactive ferrous iron. This is consistent with the ferrous iron-oxidizing activity of FtnA and Bfr.

As observed with the *E. coli* ftnA mutant, the growth of the ferritin mutant (cft) of *Campylobacter jejuni* was impaired by the presence of an iron chelator, but in marked contrast to the ftnA mutant of *E. coli*, the *C. jejuni* cft mutant exhibited an enhanced sensitivity to redox stress (59). The reason for this difference is unknown, but it could be related to the microaerophilicity of *C. jejuni*, differences in the levels of reactive intracellular ferrous iron, the presence of the ftnB gene in *E. coli*, or differences in the functional properties of the corresponding ferritins (they are only 46% identical in amino acid sequence). Whether the cft mutation lowers the iron content of *C. jejuni* was not reported, although this would be expected.

Previous studies have shown that the enhanced sensitivity of fur mutants to redox stress can be reversed by multiple copies of ftnA (but not bfr), by iron chelators, or by inactivation of the iron transport apparatus (56). This strongly suggests that the redox sensitivity of fur mutants is due to an increase in redox-active (i.e., free or labile) iron. However, this seems to be contradicted by the present studies showing that the iron contents of fur mutants are 2.5-fold lower than those of fur+ strains. Nevertheless, because fur mutants have low levels of iron storage proteins (9, 24) and other iron-containing proteins such as fumarases A and B, aconitase A, and superoxide dismutase B (25, 42, 43, 57), as well as having a high capacity for iron transport, it is possible that the amount of redox-active iron is higher in fur mutants (despite their low overall contents of cellular iron), as indicated by Keyer and Imlay (35).

The weakly bound ferrous species (component B) revealed by Mössbauer spectroscopy may represent a discrete iron pool, such as free cellular iron or the redox-active iron implicated in enhancing redox stress. The concentration of the weakly bound ferrous species in the cytosol can be estimated as ~200 μM from the distribution of iron in different components (Tables 2 and 3). However, this value is 20-fold higher than previous estimates of redox-active or free iron in *E. coli*, based on electron paramagnetic resonance analysis of desferrioxamine-bound iron (35) or on the binding affinity (~10 μM) of Fur for iron (5), although it is similar to the value (300 to 500 μM) determined by assaying the total-acid-soluble nonheme iron (35). Moreover, the amounts of weakly bound ferrous iron were 2.5-fold lower in fur mutants, yet such strains are reported to contain increased quantities of redox-active iron (35). Therefore, it is unlikely that the weakly bound ferrous species corresponds to the redox-active iron observed by Keyer and Imlay (35), and so it presumably represents another, more abundant cellular iron pool.

The 2.5-fold-lower iron content of the fur mutants is surprising in view of the enhanced rates of iron transport reported for fur mutants (28) and highlights a novel role for Fur in regulating cellular demand for iron. Several genes expressing iron-containing proteins, e.g., fumarases (FumA and FumB), aconitase A, and superoxide dismutase (SodB), are known to be repressed under iron-deficient conditions (25, 42, 43, 57) in a Fur-dependent manner, and the abundances of at least nine unidentified proteins are reduced in a fur mutant of *Salmonella typhimurium* (20). This suggests that the low iron contents of fur mutants may be due to weak expression of iron-requiring proteins (Fig. 10). It would therefore appear that *E. coli* compensates for iron starvation in two ways (Fig. 10): by inducing iron transport systems, and by reducing the cellular demand for iron, which in turn can be achieved by repressing iron-requiring systems and derepressing alternative systems, such as replacing SodB by the Mn-containing SodA and FumA by the iron-free FumC and possibly by replacing ferredoxin by flavodoxin as observed for cyanobacteria (19, 42, 43, 47).

The studies reported here establish that the physiological function of FtnA in *E. coli* is to store iron under iron-sufficient conditions in order to provide a source of iron for subsequent use under iron-limited conditions. This probably reflects the general role of ferritins in other bacteria as well as in more complex organisms, including plants and animals. The other characterized iron storage protein of *E. coli*, Bfr, appears to have no important role in these pro-
cesses, and its function remains obscure. The role of FtnB is being investigated. It has an unusual complement of amino acid residues at the ferroxidase center that suggests that the protein does not function as a typical ferritin (1). Fur mutants were found to be iron deficient, and this reveals a new and important role for Fur in the conservation of cellular iron sources.
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