

Prokaryotic Utilization of the Twin-Arginine Translocation Pathway: a Genomic Survey

Kieran Dilks,¹ R. Wesley Rose,¹ Enno Hartmann,² and Mechthild Pohlschröder^{1*}

Department of Biology, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania,¹ and Universität Lübeck, Institut für Biologie, 23538 Lübeck, Germany²

Received 9 September 2002/Accepted 18 November 2002

The twin-arginine translocation (Tat) pathway, which has been identified in plant chloroplasts and prokaryotes, allows for the secretion of folded proteins. However, the extent to which this pathway is used among the prokaryotes is not known. By using a genomic approach, a comprehensive list of putative Tat substrates for 84 diverse prokaryotes was established. Strikingly, the results indicate that the Tat pathway is utilized to highly varying extents. Furthermore, while many prokaryotes use this pathway predominantly for the secretion of redox proteins, analyses of the predicted substrates suggest that certain bacteria and archaea secrete mainly nonredox proteins via the Tat pathway. While no correlation was observed between the number of Tat machinery components encoded by an organism and the number of predicted Tat substrates, it was noted that the composition of this machinery was specific to phylogenetic taxa.

Prokaryotes have a number of distinct pathways dedicated to the process of protein secretion. In general, these organisms translocate the majority of their secretory proteins in an unfolded conformation via the universally conserved and essential Sec pathway (15, 16, 20). Proteins secreted by this pathway are directed to the membrane-embedded proteinaceous Sec pore by an N-terminal signal peptide (9). While Sec signal peptides are similar structurally, they do not show sequence conservation (33). Once targeted to the membrane, Sec substrates can be translocated through the pore by the energetics of translation and/or ATP hydrolysis (reference 15 and references therein).

An alternate secretion mechanism, the twin-arginine translocation (Tat) pathway, was originally identified in chloroplasts and has recently been found in bacteria and archaea (24, 27, 32, 37). It is distinct from the Sec pathway in that (i) Tat substrates are secreted in a folded conformation (11, 22, 31), (ii) Tat signal peptides contain a highly conserved twin-arginine motif (3, 6, 18), (iii) the energy driving translocation is provided solely by the proton motive force (7, 24), and (iv) the Tat pathway is not a universally conserved secretion mechanism (36, 37).

Previous analyses of *Escherichia coli* Tat mutants and substrates suggested that the major role of this pathway in prokaryotes is to translocate redox proteins that integrate their cofactors in the cytoplasm and therefore possess some degree of tertiary structure prior to secretion (3, 22, 35). However, the recent identification of nonredox Tat substrates (such as virulence factors from *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*) indicates a broader role for the pathway than merely the secretion of redox proteins (19, 34). Furthermore, genomic data suggest that one group of organisms, the halophilic archaea, have routed nearly all of their secretome to the Tat pathway (23).

While Tat components have been identified in many prokaryotes (36, 37), the extent to which this secretory pathway is utilized in bacteria and archaea is not well characterized. We have identified putative Tat substrates from 84 diverse prokaryotic proteomes available from the National Center for Biotechnology Information (<ftp://ftp.ncbi.nih.gov/>) using a modified version of the previously described program TAT-FIND version 1.1 (23). The results of these studies, as well as phylogenetic analyses of Tat components, allowed us to investigate correlations between the number of components of the Tat system and the number of putative Tat substrates.

TATFIND version 1.2. Previous genome-wide identification of Tat signal sequences by using merely the twin-arginine motif followed by multiple hydrophobic amino acids as search criteria has been shown to drastically overestimate the number of proteins secreted via the Tat pathway. Therefore, to identify putative Tat substrates in this study, we used the more stringent TATFIND program (18), which was designed by using mainly the sequences of putative haloarchaeal Tat signal peptides. It defines a Tat substrate as any protein that meets two criteria: (i) the presence of an $(X^{-1})R^0R^{+1}(X^{+2})(X^{+3})(X^{+4})$ motif within the first 35 amino acids of the protein, where each position X represents a defined set of permitted residues, and (ii) the presence of an uncharged stretch of at least 13 amino acids downstream of the R^0R^{+1} (23). The recent identification of novel Tat substrates in *P. aeruginosa* (19) has led us to extend the rules of TATFIND to allow a methionine at position X^{-1} and a glutamine at position X^{+4} , thus creating the program used in this study, TATFIND version 1.2. Mutational analyses of certain Tat signal sequences suggest that in specific instances the substitution of lysine, asparagine, and glutamine for one of the two conserved arginines does not prevent Tat-dependent export (5, 8, 13, 30). However, only two naturally occurring Tat substrates are known to deviate from the conserved RR motif in their signal sequence (10, 12). Therefore, modifications of the program allowing for a variable RR motif due to these recent reports

* Corresponding author. Mailing address: Department of Biology, University of Pennsylvania, 201 Leidy Laboratories, 415 South University Ave., Philadelphia, PA 19104. Phone: (215) 573-2278. Fax: (215) 898-8780. E-mail: pohlschr@sas.upenn.edu.

TABLE 1. Predicted Tat substrate and component numbers in a diverse group of prokaryotes

Organism	Domain	Phylum	Open reading frames	No. of TATFIND 1.2 positives	Tat component(s) ^a		
					A/E	B	C
<i>Streptomyces coelicolor</i> A3(2)	Bacteria	Actinobacteria	7899	145	1	—	1
<i>Mesorhizobium loti</i>	Bacteria	Proteobacteria (alpha)	7279	95	1	—	1
<i>Sinorhizobium meliloti</i>	Bacteria	Proteobacteria (alpha)	6206	94	1	1	1
<i>Caulobacter crescentus</i>	Bacteria	Proteobacteria (alpha)	3737	88	1	1	1
<i>Ralstonia solanacearum</i>	Bacteria	Proteobacteria (beta)	5116	71	1	1	1
<i>Halobacterium</i> sp. strain NRC-1	Archaea	Euryarchaeota	2446	68	1	—	2
<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	Bacteria	Proteobacteria (gamma)	5567	57	1	1	1
<i>Xanthomonas campestris</i> 3391	Bacteria	Proteobacteria (gamma)	4181	55	1	1	1
<i>Agrobacterium tumefaciens</i>	Bacteria	Proteobacteria (alpha)	5299	51	1	1	1
<i>Xanthomonas axonopodis</i> 306	Bacteria	Proteobacteria (gamma)	4312	50	1	1	1
<i>Escherichia coli</i> K-12	Bacteria	Proteobacteria (gamma)	4279	34	2	1	1
<i>Escherichia coli</i> O157:H7 EDL933	Bacteria	Proteobacteria (gamma)	5335	33	2	1	1
<i>Salmonella enterica</i> serovar Typhimurium LT2	Bacteria	Proteobacteria (gamma)	4559	33	2	1	1
<i>Escherichia coli</i> O157:H7	Bacteria	Proteobacteria (gamma)	5361	32	2	1	1
<i>Mycobacterium tuberculosis</i> H37Rv	Bacteria	Actinobacteria	3927	31	1	—	1
<i>Nostoc</i> sp. strain PCC 7120	Bacteria	Cyanobacteria	6129	31	2	—	1
<i>Mycobacterium tuberculosis</i> CDC1551	Bacteria	Actinobacteria	4187	29	1	—	1
<i>Salmonella enterica</i> serovar Typhi	Bacteria	Proteobacteria (gamma)	4768	28	2	1	1
<i>Deinococcus radiodurans</i>	Bacteria	Deinococcus-Thermus	2997	22	2	—	1
<i>Synechocystis</i> sp. strain PCC 6803	Bacteria	Cyanobacteria	3167	21	2	—	1
<i>Yersinia pestis</i>	Bacteria	Proteobacteria (gamma)	4083	19	2	1	1
<i>Brucella melitensis</i>	Bacteria	Proteobacteria (alpha)	3199	19	1	—	1
<i>Xylella fastidiosa</i> 9a5c	Bacteria	Proteobacteria (gamma)	2768	17	1	1	1
<i>Aquilex aeolicus</i>	Bacteria	Aquificae	1529	15	2	—	1
<i>Corynebacterium glutamicum</i>	Bacteria	Actinobacteria	3041	15	2	—	1
<i>Pyrobaculum aerophilum</i>	Archaea	Euryarchaeota	2605	14	1	—	1
<i>Neisseria meningitidis</i> Z2491	Bacteria	Proteobacteria (beta)	2065	12	1	1	1
<i>Pasteurella multocida</i>	Bacteria	Proteobacteria (gamma)	2015	12	1	1	1
<i>Campylobacter jejuni</i>	Bacteria	Proteobacteria (epsilon)	1654	11	1	1	1
<i>Neisseria meningitidis</i> MC58	Bacteria	Proteobacteria (beta)	2079	11	1	1	1
<i>Haemophilus influenzae</i>	Bacteria	Proteobacteria (gamma)	1714	9	1	1	1
<i>Archaeoglobus fulgidus</i>	Archaea	Euryarchaeota	2420	9	2	—	2
<i>Mycobacterium leprae</i>	Bacteria	Actinobacteria	2720	9	1	—	1
<i>Vibrio cholerae</i>	Bacteria	Proteobacteria (gamma)	3835	7	2	1	1
<i>Bacillus subtilis</i>	Bacteria	Firmicutes	4112	7	3	—	2
<i>Aeropyrum pernix</i>	Archaea	Crenarchaeota	1840	7	2	—	1
<i>Methanosarcina mazei</i> Goe1	Archaea	Euryarchaeota	3371	6	2	—	2
<i>Treponema pallidum</i>	Bacteria	Spirochaetes	1036	6	—	—	—

Continued on following page

Downloaded from <http://jlb.asm.org/> on November 15, 2018 by guest

TABLE 1—Continued

Organism	Domain	Phylum	Open reading frames	No. of TATFIND 1.2 positives	Tat component(s) ^a		
					A/E	B	C
<i>Bacillus halodurans</i>	Bacteria	Firmicutes	4066	5	2	—	2
<i>Methanosarcina acetivorans</i> strain C2A	Archaea	Euryarchaeota	4540	5	2	—	2
<i>Sulfolobus solfataricus</i>	Archaea	Crenarchaeota	2977	5	3	—	2
<i>Chlorobium tepidum</i> TLS	Bacteria	Chlorobi	2252	5	2	—	1
<i>Pyrococcus horikoshii</i>	Archaea	Euryarchaeota	1801	5	—	—	—
<i>Sulfolobus tokodaii</i>	Archaea	Crenarchaeota	2826	4	2	—	1
<i>Helicobacter pylori</i> 26695	Bacteria	Proteobacteria (epsilon)	1576	3	1	1	1
<i>Helicobacter pylori</i> J99	Bacteria	Proteobacteria (epsilon)	1491	3	1	1	1
<i>Clostridium perfringens</i>	Bacteria	Firmicutes	2723	3	—	—	—
<i>Pyrococcus furiosus</i> DSM3638	Archaea	Euryarchaeota	2065	3	—	—	—
<i>Thermotoga maritima</i>	Bacteria	Thermotogae	1858	3	—	—	—
<i>Listeria innocua</i>	Bacteria	Firmicutes	3043	2	1	—	1
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> Mu50	Bacteria	Firmicutes	2714	2	1	—	1
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> MW2	Bacteria	Firmicutes	2632	2	1	—	1
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> N315	Bacteria	Firmicutes	2625	2	1	—	1
<i>Thermoplasma acidophilum</i>	Archaea	Euryarchaeota	1482	2	1	—	1
<i>Thermoplasma volcanium</i>	Archaea	Euryarchaeota	1500	2	1	—	1
<i>Chlamydia trachomatis</i>	Bacteria	Chlamydiae	895	2	—	—	—
<i>Chlamydophila pneumoniae</i> AR39	Bacteria	Chlamydiae	1112	2	—	—	—
<i>Chlamydophila pneumoniae</i> CWL029	Bacteria	Chlamydiae	1054	2	—	—	—
<i>Pyrococcus abyssi</i>	Archaea	Euryarchaeota	1769	2	—	—	—
<i>Listeria monocytogenes</i> EGD-e	Bacteria	Firmicutes	2846	1	1	—	1
<i>Rickettsia conorii</i>	Bacteria	Proteobacteria (alpha)	1374	1	1	—	1
<i>Rickettsia prowazekii</i>	Bacteria	Proteobacteria (alpha)	835	1	1	—	1
<i>Chlamydia muridarum</i>	Bacteria	Chlamydiae	909	1	—	—	—
<i>Chlamydophila pneumoniae</i> J138	Bacteria	Chlamydiae	1069	1	—	—	—
<i>Clostridium acetobutylicum</i>	Bacteria	Firmicutes	3848	1	—	—	—
<i>Lactococcus lactis</i> subsp. <i>lactis</i>	Bacteria	Firmicutes	2267	1	—	—	—
<i>Methanothermobacter thermoautotrophicus</i>	Archaea	Euryarchaeota	1873	1	—	—	—
<i>Streptococcus pneumoniae</i> R6	Bacteria	Firmicutes	2043	1	—	—	—
<i>Streptococcus pyogenes</i>	Bacteria	Firmicutes	1697	1	—	—	—
<i>Streptococcus pyogenes</i> MGAS8232	Bacteria	Firmicutes	1845	1	—	—	—
<i>Thermoanaerobacter tengcongensis</i>	Bacteria	Firmicutes	2588	1	—	—	—
<i>Buchnera aphidicola</i>	Bacteria	Proteobacteria (gamma)	545	—	—	—	—
<i>Buchnera</i> sp. strain APS	Bacteria	Proteobacteria (gamma)	564	—	—	—	—
<i>Fusobacterium nucleatum</i> 25586	Bacteria	Fusobacteria	2067	—	—	—	—
<i>Methanococcus jannaschii</i>	Archaea	Euryarchaeota	1729	—	—	—	—
<i>Methanopyrus kandleri</i> AV19	Archaea	Euryarchaeota	1687	—	1	—	—
<i>Mycoplasma genitalium</i>	Bacteria	Firmicutes	484	—	—	—	—
<i>Mycoplasma pneumoniae</i>	Bacteria	Firmicutes	689	—	—	—	—
<i>Mycoplasma pulmonis</i>	Bacteria	Firmicutes	782	—	—	—	—
<i>Streptococcus pneumoniae</i> TIGR4	Bacteria	Firmicutes	2094	—	—	—	—
<i>Ureaplasma urealyticum</i>	Bacteria	Firmicutes	614	—	—	—	—
<i>Borrelia burgdorferi</i>	Bacteria	Spirochaetes	1638	—	—	—	—

^a —, no homologs identified.

were not included, as these are likely to be exceptions and would lead to strong overprediction.

Tat substrates. TATFIND 1.2 predicted that the Tat pathway is utilized to various extents in the 84 different organisms

analyzed, based on the number and identity of their putative Tat substrates (Table 1 and www.sas.upenn.edu/~pohlschr/tatprok.html). TATFIND 1.2 identified all previously confirmed Tat substrates containing twin-arginine motifs in *E. coli* and

other prokaryotes (14, 19, 21, 29, 34) and predicted few or no substrates of this pathway in organisms which lack all Tat component homologs or contained only a TatA homolog (e.g., *Chlamydia trachomatis* and *Methanopyrus kandleri* AV19, respectively) (Table 1). Strikingly, in the analyses of the 29 prokaryotic genomes with zero or one identified Tat component(s), we predicted a total of only 37 false positives (including cytoplasmic, membrane, and secreted proteins), of which only 4 were putative secreted Sec substrates. This result strongly suggests that our program is highly efficient in distinguishing Tat signal peptides from Sec signal peptides.

Surprisingly, some organisms (e.g., *Rickettsia prowazekii* and *Staphylococcus aureus*) seem to have maintained the Tat pathway for the secretion of a small number of proteins, as TATFIND 1.2 identified only one and two Tat substrates, respectively, for these bacteria (Table 1). Moreover, it was intriguing that other bacteria and archaea appear to make extensive use of this pathway, which originally was thought to be required for the translocation of only a minor subset of secreted proteins (Table 1). For example, 88, 94, and 145 putative Tat substrates were identified in *Caulobacter crescentus*, *Sinorhizobium meliloti*, and *Streptomyces coelicolor*, respectively (Table 1). While analyses of putative Sec substrates suggest that the haloarchaea remain the only organisms that use this pathway for the translocation of the majority of their secreted proteins, our results predict that some prokaryotes use the Tat pathway for the secretion of as many as 20% of their extracytoplasmic proteins (see below and reference 2).

The diverse utilization of the Tat pathway observed in the 84 prokaryotes was also observed within phylogenetically related groups. For example, we found a range of 9 (*Mycobacterium leprae*) to 145 (*S. coelicolor*) putative Tat substrates in the bacterial phylum *Actinobacteria* (Table 1). Similarly, we observed that the number of predicted Tat substrates varies widely within the phyla *Proteobacteria*, *Cyanobacteria*, *Euryarchaeota*, and *Crenarchaeota* (Table 1). Hence, our results indicate that the degree to which the Tat pathway is used is quite variable, even among related organisms.

To further characterize the utilization of the Tat pathway by the prokaryotes examined in this study, we identified the function and localization of TATFIND 1.2-positive proteins of four organisms by their annotation (or that of their homolog) in the SWISS-PROT database. Since the Tat pathway has been shown to play a role in the transport of only secreted proteins, we excluded known and putative multispreading membrane proteins (predicted by TMHMM [28]) and cytoplasmic proteins from further analyses. Proteins predicted to be secreted in *Pyrobaculum aerophilum* were exclusively redox proteins, while the majority of putative Tat substrates of the gram-positive *Bacillus subtilis*, the gram-negative *C. crescentus*, and the archaeon *Halobacterium* sp. strain NRC-1 were nonredox proteins (Table 2). A relatively large number of the TATFIND 1.2-positive nonredox proteins found in *C. crescentus* and *Halobacterium* sp. strain NRC-1 were identified as substrate-binding proteins, a phenomenon also predicted for the plant pathogen *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* (Christie, personal communication). Thus, while some organisms seem to preferentially use this pathway for the secretion of redox proteins (as does *E. coli*, for example; see Table 2), our results suggest that

TABLE 2. Classification of TATFIND 1.2 positives^a

Organism	No. of secreted proteins		No. of cytoplasmic/integral membrane proteins	No. of proteins with no annotated function or localization
	Redox	Nonredox		
<i>B. subtilis</i>	1	2	0	4
<i>P. aerophilum</i>	7	0	0	7
<i>E. coli</i> K-12	12	5	6	11
<i>Halobacterium</i> sp. strain NRC-1	5	17	1	45
<i>C. crescentus</i>	6	28	5	49

^a For comparative purposes, only proteins whose function and putative localization could be determined (see text) were classified as redox, nonredox, and cytoplasmic/integral membrane proteins.

in certain organisms the Tat pathway is responsible for the secretion of a wider variety of substrates.

Certain protein homologs were identified as Tat substrates in many prokaryotes. Analyses of some redox proteins, such as the dimethyl sulfoxide reductase chain A (DmsA) that is found in many of the 84 organisms analyzed, revealed that the majority of the DmsA homologs in these prokaryotes contained Tat signal peptides (see www.sas.upenn.edu/~pohlschr/tatprok.html). Surprisingly, we also noted that virtually all homologs of certain nonredox proteins, such as alkaline phosphatase D and phospholipase C, had typical Tat signal sequences (Fig. 1). This suggests the existence of an unknown selective pressure favoring the conserved targeting of these and other nonredox proteins to the Tat pathway.

An exception to the observed Tat motif conservation among phospholipase C homologs was found in *Xanthomonas axonopodis*, as one of its two phospholipase C homologs contained an aspartic acid residue at position X⁺² (a variation not accepted by the rules of TATFIND 1.2). While this phospholipase C homolog in fact may not be a Tat substrate, this single deviation may reflect the existence of certain organism-specific Tat substrate characteristics. Possible organism specificity is also indicated by the 54 additional putative Tat substrates identified in *S. coelicolor* when alanine is allowed at position X⁺⁴, as suggested by Ochsner et al. (19); alanine at this position, however, does not markedly affect predictions for virtually all other organisms (data not shown). Further in vivo and in silico analyses of Tat substrates may lead to the development of different versions of TATFIND tailored to phylogenetically related groups of organisms (similar to the separate analyses performed by SignalP to identify Sec signal sequences [17]).

Tat components in sequenced prokaryotes. At least one copy of a TatA homolog and one copy of a TatC homolog are required for a functional Tat pathway (4, 25, 37). In certain prokaryotes (such as *E. coli*), an additional protein, TatB, is necessary for Tat-dependent secretion (26). Expanding on previous analyses (37), we searched for the presence of these components in all organisms analyzed using PSI-BLAST and its iterations (Table 1) (1). Detailed phylogenetic analyses revealed that all bacteria in the obligate intracellular pathogen phylum *Chlamydiae* completely lacked Tat component homologs. Conversely, the presence of the Tat machinery was conserved in all *Crenarchaeota*, *Actinobacteria*, *Cyanobacteria*, and *Proteobacteria* (with the exception of the obligate symbi-

(A) Alkaline Phosphatase D

<i>X. axonopodis</i>	gi 21244883	MPVIDPPAASPLPDCAATLVPPDP <u>RRRRI</u> LAGASLAAAGLLSPFARA
<i>Nostoc</i> sp. PCC 7120	gi 17229726	MVNYHNVQKFLQSRK <u>RRNLI</u> IGAGFTGLAIASQFSPQRAIAKSR
<i>M. loti</i>	gi 13473498	MNKLSM <u>TRRAFV</u> TSASAAGLLGASGLALPYYSRASQR
<i>C. glutamicum</i>	gi 19553463	MPQL <u>SRROFL</u> QTAVTAGLATFAGTPARAEER
<i>C. crescentus</i>	gi 13422954	MSVLDRYALS <u>RRRML</u> AVFGAAVSAMAI PLESGKALA
<i>S. coelicolor</i>	gi 21219349	MAPTGRPSALAEHAFSPHDAVLGAAARHLG <u>RRRFL</u> TVTAAAAALAFSTNLPARGAVA
<i>P. aeruginosa</i>	gi 9950093	MSGMDLK <u>RRRVV</u> QGLGAGLLLPALGAPAVIASPRAR
<i>B. subtilis</i>	gi 2632548	MAYDSRFDEWVQKLKEESFQNN <u>TRRKFI</u> QGACKIAGLSLGLTIAQSVGAFEVNAAPR

(B) Phospholipase C

<i>X. axonopodis</i>	gi 21241789	MTSSS <u>RRD</u> FLKRVAALTAAGALPSSIGRALA
<i>R. solanacearum</i>	gi 17545038	MTSN <u>SRG</u> FLRSAQAQAGAAAAMGAMPAGIRQALA
<i>C. crescentus</i>	gi 13424671	MPHLD <u>RRSL</u> LAALGALALPPALARAAAIDAD
<i>X. campestris</i>	gi 21230416	MTASPS <u>RRD</u> FLKRVAALTAAGALPASIGRALA
<i>M. tuberculosis</i> CDC1551	gi 13881445	MSQSHIGGV <u>SREF</u> LAKVAAGGAGALMSFAGVIEKAYG
<i>P. aeruginosa</i>	gi 9949449	MISK <u>RRSF</u> IRLAAGTVCATVATSMLEPSSIQAALAI PAHRR
<i>P. aeruginosa</i>	gi 9946740	MTENWK <u>FRRRT</u> FLKHGAQAATLAGLSGLFPETLRRALA

FIG. 1. Signal sequences of alkaline phosphatase D (A) and phospholipase C homologs (B) contain the conserved twin-arginine motif (underlined) and a downstream uncharged stretch (highlighted).

otic genus *Buchnera*) that we examined, even though the extent to which this machinery was utilized varied dramatically within each phylum (Table 1). Interestingly, homologs of TatB were found exclusively in the proteobacteria and were missing from only a few organisms in the α subdivision (Table 1).

In the archaeal phylum *Euryarchaeota* and the bacterial phylum *Firmicutes*, approximately half of the organisms analyzed had homologs of the Tat machinery components. Curiously, a number of organisms from these phyla, unlike the *Proteobacteria* or *Actinobacteria*, were found to contain multiple copies of TatC homologs (Table 1) (37). It is intriguing that the majority of the *Firmicutes* and *Euryarchaeota* organisms were predicted to have a relatively small number of putative Tat substrates, which suggests that in prokaryotes, there is no direct correlation between the number of Tat substrates and TatC homologs. Furthermore, additional examination of the 84 proteomes demonstrated that the number of TatA homologs or the presence of a TatB homolog in any of these organisms is also unrelated to the number of Tat substrates. This notion is underscored by the observation that *B. subtilis* contains three TatA and two TatC homologs, yet has only seven putative Tat substrates (Table 1). As suggested for *B. subtilis*, multiple copies of the Tat translocon components may be present in order to form separate translocons, each responsible for the secretion of a subset of distinct Tat substrates (14).

Concluding remarks. The development of a program that identifies proteins with typical Tat signal sequences provides intriguing insight into the extent to which prokaryotes use this pathway. Furthermore, our results raise a number of questions concerning the mechanism of the poorly understood Tat pathway. While our analyses suggest that this pathway is used to widely varying extents and for diverse substrates, we do not yet understand the selective pressure that favors the use of the Tat pathway. We did not observe a correlation between the num-

ber of Tat component homologs and substrates encoded by the 84 organisms, leaving the question as to why some organisms encode several copies of TatA and TatC homologs unanswered. It was, however, intriguing that organisms with only one copy of these homologs possess a variety of predicted substrates, which suggests that similar to the Sec system, the Tat pathway is a general secretory pathway.

The identification of organisms that use this pathway extensively may offer new opportunities for the production of heterologous secretory proteins. Furthermore, the absence of Tat translocon components (TatA/B and TatC) in mammals, along with the observation that the elimination of a functional TatC attenuates virulence of *P. aeruginosa*, suggests that the Tat translocon may represent a novel antibiotic target (19, 34). Our results strongly suggest that it is critical to study this process in a diverse set of microorganisms in order to reveal the importance of this pathway in bacteria and archaea.

We thank David Roos, Marjan van der Woude, and Nicholas Hand for valuable comments on the manuscript.

Support was provided to K.D. by a predoctoral training grant from the National Institutes of Health (T32GM-007229), to R.W.R. by a predoctoral fellowship from the American Heart Association (reference no. 01110093U), to E. H. by a Fond der Chemischen Industrie grant, and to M.P. by a Department of Energy grant (reference no. DE-FG02-01ER15169).

REFERENCES

1. Altschul, S. F., T. L. Madden, A. A. Schaffer, J. Zhang, Z. Zhang, W. Miller, and D. J. Lipman. 1997. Gapped BLAST and PSI-BLAST: a new generation of protein database search programs. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 25:3389–3402.
2. Bentley, S. D., K. F. Chater, A. M. Cerdeno-Tarraga, G. L. Challis, N. R. Thomson, K. D. James, D. E. Harris, M. A. Quail, H. Kieser, D. Harper, A. Bateman, S. Brown, G. Chandra, C. W. Chen, M. Collins, A. Cronin, A. Fraser, A. Goble, J. Hidalgo, T. Hornsby, S. Howarth, C. H. Huang, T. Kieser, L. Larke, L. Murphy, K. Oliver, S. O'Neil, E. Rabinowitz, M. A. Rajandream, K. Rutherford, S. Rutter, K. Seeger, D. Saunders, S. Sharp, R. Squares, S. Squares, K. Taylor, T. Warren, A. Wietzorrek, J. Woodward,

- B. G. Barrell, J. Parkhill, and D. A. Hopwood. 2002. Complete genome sequence of the model actinomycete *Streptomyces coelicolor* A3(2). *Nature* **417**:141–147.
3. Berks, B. C. 1996. A common export pathway for proteins binding complex redox cofactors? *Mol. Microbiol.* **22**:393–404.
 4. Bogsch, E. G., F. Sargent, N. R. Stanley, B. C. Berks, C. Robinson, and T. Palmer. 1998. An essential component of a novel bacterial protein export system with homologues in plastid and mitochondria. *J. Biol. Chem.* **273**:18003–18006.
 5. Buchanan, G., F. Sargent, B. C. Berks, and T. Palmer. 2001. A genetic screen for suppressors of *Escherichia coli* Tat signal peptide mutations establishes a critical role for the second arginine within the twin-arginine motif. *Arch. Microbiol.* **177**:107–112.
 6. Chaddock, A. M., A. Mant, I. Karnauchov, S. Brink, R. G. Herrmann, R. B. Klosgen, and C. Robinson. 1995. A new type of signal peptide: central role of a twin-arginine motif in transfer signals for the delta pH-dependent thylakoidal protein translocase. *EMBO J.* **14**:2715–2722.
 7. Cline, K., W. F. Ettinger, and S. M. Theg. 1992. Protein-specific energy requirements for protein transport across or into thylakoid membranes. Two luminal proteins are transported in the absence of ATP. *J. Biol. Chem.* **267**:2688–2696.
 8. DeLisa, M. P., P. Samuelson, T. Palmer, and G. Georgiou. 2002. Genetic analysis of the twin arginine translocator secretion pathway in bacteria. *J. Biol. Chem.* **277**:29825–29831.
 9. Fekkes, P., and A. J. Driessen. 1999. Protein targeting to the bacterial cytoplasmic membrane. *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.* **63**:161–173.
 10. Hinsley, A. P., N. R. Stanley, T. Palmer, and B. C. Berks. 2001. A naturally occurring bacterial Tat signal peptide lacking one of the 'invariant' arginine residues of the consensus targeting motif. *FEBS Lett.* **497**:45–49.
 11. Hynds, P. J., D. Robinson, and C. Robinson. 1998. The sec-independent twin-arginine translocation system can transport both tightly folded and misfolded proteins across the thylakoid membrane. *J. Biol. Chem.* **273**:34868–34874.
 12. Ignatova, Z., C. Hornle, A. Nurk, and V. Kasche. 2002. Unusual signal peptide directs penicillin amidase from *Escherichia coli* to the Tat translocation machinery. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **291**:146–149.
 13. Ize, B., F. Gerard, M. Zhang, A. Chanal, R. Voulhoux, T. Palmer, A. Filloux, and L. F. Wu. 2002. In vivo dissection of the Tat translocation pathway in *Escherichia coli*. *J. Mol. Biol.* **317**:327–335.
 14. Jongbloed, J. D., U. Martin, H. Antelmann, M. Hecker, H. Tjalsma, G. Venema, S. Bron, J. M. van Dijk, and J. Muller. 2000. TatC is a specificity determinant for protein secretion via the twin-arginine translocation pathway. *J. Biol. Chem.* **275**:41350–41357.
 15. Matlack, K., W. Mothes, and T. Rapoport. 1998. Protein translocation: tunnel vision. *Cell* **92**:381–390.
 16. Mori, H., and K. Ito. 2001. The Sec protein-translocation pathway. *Trends Microbiol.* **9**:494–500.
 17. Nielsen, H., J. Engelbrecht, S. Brunak, and G. von Heijne. 1997. Identification of prokaryotic and eukaryotic signal peptides and prediction of their cleavage sites. *Protein Eng.* **10**:1–6.
 18. Niviere, V., S. L. Wong, and G. Voordouw. 1992. Site-directed mutagenesis of the hydrogenase signal peptide consensus box prevents export of a beta-lactamase fusion protein. *J. Gen. Microbiol.* **138**:2173–2183.
 19. Ochsner, U. A., A. Snyder, A. I. Vasil, and M. L. Vasil. 2002. Effects of the twin-arginine translocase on secretion of virulence factors, stress response, and pathogenesis. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **99**:8312–8317.
 20. Pohlschroder, M., W. A. Prinz, E. Hartmann, and J. Beckwith. 1997. Protein translocation in the three domains of life: variations on a theme. *Cell* **91**:563–566.
 21. Robinson, C., and A. Bolhuis. 2001. Protein targeting by the twin-arginine translocation pathway. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* **2**:350–356.
 22. Rodrigue, A., A. Chanal, K. Beck, M. Muller, and L. F. Wu. 1999. Co-translocation of a periplasmic enzyme complex by a hitchhiker mechanism through the bacterial tat pathway. *J. Biol. Chem.* **274**:13223–13228.
 23. Rose, R. W., T. Bruser, J. C. Kissinger, and M. Pohlschroder. 2002. Adaptation of protein secretion to extremely high-salt conditions by extensive use of the twin-arginine translocation pathway. *Mol. Microbiol.* **45**:943–950.
 24. Santini, C. L., B. Ize, A. Chanal, M. Muller, G. Giordano, and L. F. Wu. 1998. A novel sec-independent periplasmic protein translocation pathway in *Escherichia coli*. *EMBO J.* **17**:101–112.
 25. Sargent, F., E. G. Bogsch, N. R. Stanley, M. Wexler, C. Robinson, B. C. Berks, and T. Palmer. 1998. Overlapping functions of components of a bacterial Sec-independent protein export pathway. *EMBO J.* **17**:3640–3650.
 26. Sargent, F., N. R. Stanley, B. C. Berks, and T. Palmer. 1999. Sec-independent protein translocation in *Escherichia coli*. A distinct and pivotal role for the TatB protein. *J. Biol. Chem.* **274**:36073–36082.
 27. Settles, A. M., A. Yonetani, A. Baron, D. R. Bush, K. Cline, and R. Martienssen. 1997. Sec-independent protein translocation by the maize Hcf106 protein. *Science* **278**:1467–1470.
 28. Sonnhammer, E. L., G. von Heijne, and A. Krogh. 1998. A hidden Markov model for predicting transmembrane helices in protein sequences. *Proc. Int. Conf. Intell. Syst. Mol. Biol.* **6**:175–182.
 29. Stanley, N. R., K. Findlay, B. C. Berks, and T. Palmer. 2001. *Escherichia coli* strains blocked in Tat-dependent protein export exhibit pleiotropic defects in the cell envelope. *J. Bacteriol.* **183**:139–144.
 30. Stanley, N. R., T. Palmer, and B. C. Berks. 2000. The twin arginine consensus motif of Tat signal peptides is involved in Sec-independent protein targeting in *Escherichia coli*. *J. Biol. Chem.* **275**:11591–11596.
 31. Thomas, J. D., R. A. Daniel, J. Errington, and C. Robinson. 2001. Export of active green fluorescent protein to the periplasm by the twin-arginine translocase (Tat) pathway in *Escherichia coli*. *Mol. Microbiol.* **39**:47–53.
 32. Voelker, R., and A. Barkan. 1995. Two nuclear mutations disrupt distinct pathways for targeting proteins to the chloroplast thylakoid. *EMBO J.* **14**:3905–3914.
 33. von Heijne, G. 1990. The signal peptide. *J. Membr. Biol.* **115**:195–201.
 34. Voulhoux, R., G. Ball, B. Ize, M. L. Vasil, A. Lazdunski, L. F. Wu, and A. Filloux. 2001. Involvement of the twin-arginine translocation system in protein secretion via the type II pathway. *EMBO J.* **20**:6735–6741.
 35. Weiner, J. H., P. T. Bilous, G. M. Shaw, S. P. Lubitz, L. Frost, G. H. Thomas, J. A. Cole, and R. J. Turner. 1998. A novel and ubiquitous system for membrane targeting and secretion of cofactor-containing proteins. *Cell* **93**:93–101.
 36. Wu, L. F., B. Ize, A. Chanal, Y. Quentin, and G. Fichant. 2000. Bacterial twin-arginine signal peptide-dependent protein translocation pathway: evolution and mechanism. *J. Mol. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* **2**:179–189.
 37. Yen, M. R., Y. H. Tseng, E. H. Nguyen, L. F. Wu, and M. H. Saier, Jr. 2002. Sequence and phylogenetic analyses of the twin-arginine targeting (Tat) protein export system. *Arch. Microbiol.* **177**:441–450.