

Characterization of Six Lipoproteins in the σ^E Regulon

Christina Onufryk,¹ Marie-Laure Crouch,² Ferric C. Fang,²
and Carol A. Gross^{3*}

Department of Biochemistry & Biophysics, University of California, San Francisco, California 94143¹; Departments of Microbiology and Laboratory Medicine, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington 98195²; and Departments of Stomatology and Microbiology & Immunology, University of California, San Francisco, California 94143-2200³

Received 22 December 2004/Accepted 24 March 2005

In *Escherichia coli*, σ^E regulon functions are required for envelope homeostasis during stress and are essential for viability under all growth conditions. The *E. coli* genome encodes approximately 100 lipoproteins, and 6 of these are regulated by σ^E . Phenotypes associated with deletion of each of these lipoproteins are the subject of this report. One lipoprotein, YfiO, is essential for cellular viability. However, overexpression of this protein is not sufficient to alleviate the requirement of σ^E for viability, suggesting that the σ^E regulon provides more than one essential function. The remaining five lipoproteins in the σ^E regulon are nonessential; cells are viable even when all five are removed simultaneously. Deletion of three nonessential lipoprotein genes (*nlpB*, *yraP*, *yfgL*) results in the exhibition of phenotypes that suggest they are important for maintenance of the integrity of the cell envelope. Δ *nlpB* cells are selectively sensitive to rifampin; Δ *yraP* cells are selectively sensitive to sodium dodecyl sulfate. Such selective sensitivity has not been previously reported. Both Δ *yraP* and Δ *nlpB* are synthetically lethal with *surA::Cm*, which encodes a periplasmic chaperone and PPIase, suggesting that NlpB and YraP play roles in a periplasmic folding pathway that functions in parallel with that of SurA. Finally, the Δ *yfgL* mutant exhibits a broad range of envelope defects, including sensitivity to several membrane-impermeable agents, an altered outer membrane protein profile, synthetic lethality with both *surA::Cm* and Δ *fkpA::Cm* strains, and sensitivity to a bactericidal permeability-increasing peptide. We suggest that this lipoprotein performs a very important but as-yet-unknown function in maintaining the integrity of the cell envelope.

When exposed to denaturants such as heat or ethanol, all cells induce stress responses to restore protein homeostasis. In *Escherichia coli*, the alternative sigma factor σ^{32} responds to the accumulation of misfolded and unfolded cytoplasmic proteins (55). Two stress-response pathways, the two-component CpxAR system (reviewed in reference 34) and the σ^E signal transduction cascade (reviewed in reference 2), respond to stress in the envelope. In addition to mitigating envelope stress, the σ^E regulon provides an essential function to the cells during normal cell growth, as σ^E is essential under all conditions tested (4). In this report, we investigate the physiological role of the σ^E regulon.

To understand the general role of the σ^E regulon, three genomic strategies have been employed to identify its members. First, two groups (11, 35) searched for σ^E -dependent promoters by screening for increased expression of genomic DNA sequences fused to *lacZ* upon increased expression of σ^E . Second, whole-genome expression analysis identified genes that were up-regulated in response to σ^E overexpression during exponential growth (V. A. Rhodius, submitted for publication). Finally, transcription start-site mapping and bioinformatic analysis identified additional σ^E -dependent promoters (Rhodius, submitted). Taken together, 47 σ^E -dependent promoters, which control the expression of approximately 100 genes, have been identified. The majority of the promoters

drive the production of envelope proteins, including periplasmic chaperones and proteases that act on misfolded periplasmic proteins, phospholipid and lipopolysaccharide biosynthesis and transport proteins, and a variety of inner and outer membrane proteins (OMP). However, σ^E also directs transcription of many genes of unknown function, including six lipoprotein genes, identified because their mRNAs increased after σ^E overexpression (Rhodius, submitted). In addition, each of these genes (except for *nlpB*) is preceded by promoters recognized by σ^E (Rhodius, submitted). These six lipoproteins are the subject of the present work.

Lipoproteins are envelope proteins whose N-terminal cysteine residues are each covalently modified with a lipid moiety (reviewed in references 29 and 49). Proteins targeted for lipid modification each have a lipobox motif encoded in their signal sequences. The consensus sequence of the lipobox is Leu (Ala, Val)₋₄-Leu₋₃-Ala (Ser)₋₂-Gly (Ala)₋₁-Cys₊₁, where Cys₊₁ designates the cysteine to be modified. A transacetylase reaction occurs at the periplasmic face of the inner membrane (IM), wherein the sulfhydryl group of Cys₊₁ is initially modified by addition of diacylglycerol. The signal sequence is then cleaved after the Gly₋₁ residue by signal peptidase II, and Cys₊₁ becomes the N-terminal residue of the mature lipoprotein. Finally, the amino group of Cys₊₁ is substituted by a fatty acid residue, usually palmitate (40). These steps take place on the periplasmic face of the inner membrane.

Lipoproteins are inserted into either the inner membrane or the outer membrane (OM) via their lipid modification. Most are localized to the inner leaflet of the outer membrane; the remainder reside on the periplasmic face of the inner mem-

* Corresponding author. Mailing address: 600 16th Street, Genentech Hall, Suite S372E Box 2200, San Francisco, CA 94143-2200. Phone: (415) 476-4161. Fax: (415) 514-4080. E-mail: cgross@cgl.ucsf.edu.

TABLE 1. Bacterial strains

Strain	Relevant genotype, plasmid, and/or phenotype	Source or reference
BW25141	$\Delta(\text{araD-araB})567 \Delta\text{lacZ4787 lacIp-4000}(\text{lacI}^q) \Delta(\text{phoB-phoR})580 \text{galU95 } \Delta\text{uidA3::pir}^+$ <i>rpoS396(Am) $\Delta\text{end::FRT rph-1 } \Delta(\text{rhaD-rhaB})568 \text{md3 pKD4 Ap}^r \text{Kan}^r$</i>	12
BW25141	$\Delta(\text{araD-araB})567 \Delta\text{lacZ4787 lacIp-4000}(\text{lacI}^q) \Delta(\text{phoB-phoR})580 \text{galU95 } \Delta\text{uidA3::pir}^+$ <i>rpoS396(Am) $\Delta\text{end::FRT rph-1 } \Delta(\text{rhaD-rhaB})568 \text{md3 pKD3 Ap}^r \text{Cm}^r$</i>	12
BW25113	$\Delta(\text{araD-araB})567 \Delta\text{lacZ4787 lacIp-4000}(\text{lacI}^q) \Delta(\text{phoB-phoR})580 \text{rpoS396(Am) rph-1 } \Delta(\text{rhaD-}$ <i>rhaB)568 md3 hsdR514 pKD46 Ap}^r</i>	12
BT340	<i>supE44 $\Delta\text{lacU169 } (\text{f80 lacZDM15}) \text{hsdR17 recA1 endA1 gyrA96 thi-1 relA1 pCP20 Ap}^r$</i>	10
KM44	<i>argE3 his4 leuB6 proA2 thr1 ara14 galK2 lacY1 mtl1 xyl5 thi1 rpsL31 tsx33 D(recC ptr recB</i> <i>recD)::P_{lac}-bet exo kan</i>	28
CAG12158	MG1655 <i>pheA18::Tn10 Tet}^r</i>	41
CAG37125	$\Delta\text{lacX74 galK galU } \Delta(\text{araABC-leu})7679 \text{araD139 hsdR rpsL mcrB rpoE::}\Omega\text{Cm nadB51::Tn10}$ <i>Cm}^r \text{Tet}^r</i>	De Las Peñas (unpublished)
CAG41241	CAG45114 <i>$\Delta\text{yaeY::Cm Cm}^r$</i>	This study
CAG41245	CAG45114 <i>$\Delta\text{yraP::Cm Cm}^r$</i>	This study
CAG41247	CAG45114 <i>ΔyaeY</i>	This study
CAG41251	CAG45115 <i>ΔyraP</i>	This study
CAG41254	CAG45114 <i>$\Delta\text{nlpB::Cm Cm}^r$</i>	This study
CAG41258	CAG45114 <i>ΔnlpB</i>	This study
CAG41264	KM44 <i>pheA18::Tn10 Tet}^r</i>	This study
CAG41265	CAG45114 <i>surA::Cm Cm^r</i>	This study
CAG41292	CAG45114 <i>degP::Km Km^r</i>	This study
CAG41293	CAG45114 <i>$\Delta\text{yraP degP::Km Km}^r$</i>	This study
CAG41295	CAG45114 <i>$\Delta\text{nlpB degP::Km Km}^r$</i>	This study
CAG41311	CAG45114 <i>$\Delta\text{yraP } \Delta\text{fkpA::Cm Cm}^r$</i>	This study
CAG41313	CAG45114 <i>$\Delta\text{nlpB } \Delta\text{fkpA::Cm Cm}^r$</i>	This study
CAG41318	CAG45114 <i>$\Delta\text{fkpA::Cm Cm}^r$</i>	This study
CAG41323	CAG45114 <i>$\Delta\text{skp zae502::Tn10 Tet}^r$</i>	This study
CAG41324	CAG45114 <i>$\Delta\text{yraP } \Delta\text{skp zae502::Tn10 Tet}^r$</i>	This study
CAG41326	CAG45114 <i>$\Delta\text{nlpB } \Delta\text{skp zae502::Tn10 Tet}^r$</i>	This study
CAG41349	CAG41264 <i>$\text{lacZ::ptrc-b2595-bla Ap}^r \text{Tet}^r$</i>	This study
CAG41350	CAG45114 <i>pCO109 Ap}^r</i>	This study
CAG41351	CAG45114 <i>pCO10 Ap}^r</i>	This study
CAG41356	CAG41349 <i>$\Delta\text{yfiO::Cm Cm}^r \text{Ap}^r \text{Tet}^r$</i>	This study
CAG41408	CAG45114 <i>$\Delta\text{yfeY::Cm Cm}^r$</i>	This study
CAG41413	CAG45114 <i>ΔyfeY</i>	This study
CAG41434	CAG45114 <i>$\Delta\text{yfgL::Km Cm}^r$</i>	This study
CAG41445	CAG45114 <i>ΔyfgL</i>	This study
CAG41510	CAG45114 <i>pBA169 Ap}^r</i>	This study
CAG41511	CAG45114 <i>pCO123 Ap}^r</i>	This study
CAG41512	CAG41251 <i>pBA169 Ap}^r</i>	This study
CAG41513	CAG41251 <i>pCO123 Ap}^r</i>	This study
CAG41516	CAG41445 <i>pBA169 Ap}^r</i>	This study
CAG41517	CAG41445 <i>pCO109 Ap}^r</i>	This study
CAG41534	CAG41258 <i>pCO122 Ap}^r</i>	This study
CAG41535	CAG41258 <i>pBA169 Ap}^r</i>	This study
CAG41536	CAG45114 <i>pCO122 Ap}^r</i>	This study
CAG41541	CAG45114 <i>$\Delta\text{yraP } \Delta\text{nlpB } \Delta\text{yaeY yfeY::Cm yfgL::Km Cm}^r \text{Km}^r$</i>	This study
CAG41543	CAG45114 <i>$\Delta\text{yfgL degP::Km Km}^r$</i>	This study
CAG41544	CAG45114 <i>$\Delta\text{yfgL } \Delta\text{skp zae502::Tn10 Tet}^r$</i>	This study
CAG41551	CAG45114 <i>ΔfkpA</i>	This study
CAG41552	CAG45114 <i>$\Delta\text{fkpA::Cm } \Delta\text{skp zae502::Tn10 Cm}^r \text{Tet}^r$</i>	This study
CAG41553	CAG45114 <i>$\Delta\text{fkpA::Cm degP::Km Cm}^r \text{Km}^r$</i>	This study
CAG41554	CAG45114 <i>$\Delta\text{fkpA surA::Cm Cm}^r$</i>	This study
CAG41560	CAG45114 <i>$\Delta\text{skp degP::Km Cm}^r \text{Km}^r$</i>	This study
CAG49001	CAG45114 <i>pKD46 Ap}^r \text{Cm}^r</i>	This study

brane. The Lol system plays a critical role in the membrane specificity of lipoprotein targeting (reviewed in reference 29). An ABC transporter (LolCDE) releases newly assembled lipoproteins from the inner membrane (51), allowing them to interact with LolA, a periplasmic chaperone specific for lipoproteins (22, 27, 43). The lipoprotein is then transferred from LolA to LolB, a lipoprotein anchored to the outer membrane (23, 44). LolB facilitates the incorporation of lipoproteins into the outer membrane by an unknown mechanism. The

lipoproteins associated with the inner membrane usually have an Asp residue immediately following the modified Cys₊₁ (52) and an Asp, Glu, Gln, or Asn at position +3 (reviewed in reference 29). These amino acids prevent a lipoprotein that is to be retained in the inner membrane from interacting with LolCDE (“lol avoidance”) (22, 51, 52).

The *E. coli* chromosome is predicted to encode approximately 100 lipoproteins, about 90 of which have been experimentally confirmed (26). However, few of these have been

TABLE 2. Plasmids

Plasmid	Insert/construction	Source/reference
pTrc99A	Expression vector, pBR322 ori, pTrc promoter, Ap ^r	Amersham Pharmacia Biotech
pBA169	pTrc99A ΔNcoI; eliminates vector ATG; Ap ^r	Alba (unpublished)
pCO109	<i>yfgL</i> in pBA169, Ap ^r	This study
pCO110	<i>yfiO</i> in pBA169, Ap ^r	This study
pCO122	<i>nlpB</i> in pBA169, Ap ^r	This study
pCO123	<i>yraP</i> in pBA169, Ap ^r	This study
pCP20	FLP ⁺ ΔcI857 ⁺ λP Rep(Ts) Cm ^r Ap ^r	9
pKD3	FRT-flanked Cm ^r gene from pSC140 cloned into pANTS _γ , Cm ^r	11
pKD4	FRT-flanked Km ^r gene from pCP15 cloned into pANTS _γ , Km ^r	11
pKD46	<i>araC</i> , P _{araB} —γ, β, and <i>exo</i> in pINT(Ts), Ap ^r	11

extensively characterized. Lpp, the most abundant lipoprotein in *E. coli*, anchors the OM to the peptidoglycan layer (reviewed in references 32 and 49). *lpp* mutants exhibit decreased envelope integrity, as indicated by leakage of periplasmic proteins and by hypersensitivity to both EDTA and low-osmotic-strength media (53, 54). The OM lipoprotein Pal also functions in a very important yet poorly understood process that helps to maintain the barrier function of the OM. Like Lpp, Pal anchors the OM to the peptidoglycan. However, the functions of Pal require the proton motive force of the IM in a manner that is similar to that of the TonB transport systems (18). Mutations in *pal* result in membrane alterations similar to those observed in *lpp* mutants (6). Other lipoproteins, such as PulS and PrsA, have been shown to assist in the secretion of proteins across the outer membrane and in the release of bacteriocins (31). Three inner-membrane-localized lipoproteins, AcrA (21), AcrE (21), and EmrA (19, 20, 45), function together with an RND (metal resistance, nodulation, cell division) permease to form an efflux pump that transfers its substrates across the IM and the OM to remove them from the *E. coli* cell (14). Several lipoproteins, including NlpE (34) and YafY (26), are potent inducers of the CpxAR two-component system, which, like σ^E , monitors envelope integrity (reviewed in reference 34). To date, LolB is the only essential lipoprotein to have been identified (30, 44). Given the importance of the σ^E regulon in maintaining envelope integrity, we thought it probable that the six lipoproteins in the σ^E regulon might play important roles in this process. Phenotypes associated with deletion of each of these lipoproteins are the subject of this report.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Media. Luria-Bertani (LB) broth, LB agar, M9 minimal medium, M9 agar, and tryptic soy broth (TSB) were prepared as described in the work of Miller (24). M9 minimal medium and M9 agar were supplemented with 0.2% glucose, 1 mM MgSO₄, vitamins, and all amino acids (40 μg/ml). These media are referred to as M9 complete medium and M9 complete agar, respectively. When necessary, media were supplemented with 100 μg/ml ampicillin, 10 μg/ml tetracycline, 30 μg/ml kanamycin, or 20 μg/ml chloramphenicol.

Bacterial strains. The bacterial strains used in this study are listed in Table 1, and plasmids are listed in Table 2. The construction of strains and plasmids is described briefly below. The chromosomal disruptions of the *yeaY*, *yfgL*, *nlpB*, *yfeY*, and *yraP* open reading frames were generated according to the procedure described in the work of Datsenko and Wanner (12) as follows: PCR products were generated by using several pairs of 56- to 70-nucleotide (nt)-long primers that included 36- to 50-nt regions of homology upstream and downstream of the gene of interest and 20-nt priming sequences for pKD3 or pKD4 as templates. Sequences are available upon request. The 1.1-kb PCR products were gel-purified, digested with DpnI, repurified, and suspended in elution buffer (10 mM Tris, pH 8.0). Fifty microliters of cells and 10 to 100 ng of PCR product were

used in each electroporation for transformation into strain CAG49001. Strain CAG49001 was grown in 500 ml SOB medium (0.5% yeast extract, 2.0% tryptone, 10 mM NaCl, 2.5 mM KCl, 10 mM MgCl₂, 10 mM MgSO₄) supplemented with 100 μg/ml ampicillin and 0.2% L-arabinose at 30°C to an optical density at 600 nm (OD₆₀₀) of 0.6 and then made electrocompetent by concentrating it 100-fold and washing it three times with ice-cold 10% glycerol. Electroporations were performed with Bio-Rad *E. coli* pulser according to the manufacturer's instructions. One milliliter of SOC medium (0.5% yeast extract, 2.0% tryptone, 10 mM NaCl, 2.5 mM KCl, 10 mM MgCl₂, 20 mM MgSO₄, 20 mM glucose) was added to shocked cells, which were then incubated 1 h at 37°C. The cells were then spread onto LB agar supplemented with 20 μg/ml chloramphenicol to select Cm^r transformants or with 30 μg/ml kanamycin to select Km^r transformants. After primary selection, mutants were maintained on medium without an antibiotic. They were colony purified once nonselectively at 37°C and then tested for ampicillin sensitivity to test for the loss of the pKD46 plasmid. If it was not lost, then a few isolates of each mutant were colony purified once at 43°C and similarly tested. Each knockout was confirmed via PCR.

pCP20 is an Ap^r and Cm^r plasmid that shows temperature-sensitive replication and thermal induction of FLP synthesis. Cm^r and Km^r mutants were transformed with pCP20, and Ap^r transformants were selected at 30°C, after which a few were colony purified once nonselectively at 43°C. The transformants were then tested for loss of all antibiotic resistances. The majority of the mutants lost the FRT-flanked Cm^r gene and the FLP helper plasmid simultaneously.

To generate a strain carrying a targeted disruption of *yfiO* linked to the cotransducible marker *pheA18::Tn10*, strain KM44 was transduced with a P1 lysate grown on CAG12158 to generate CAG41264. A second copy of *yfiO* was inserted into the chromosomal *lacZ* gene of CAG41264 via the targeted insertion of a 2.26-kb PCR fragment encoding the promoter *P*_{trc}, *yfiO*, and β-lactamase with its endogenous promoter. This PCR fragment was amplified from pCO110 using primers 5'-ttatttttgacaccagaccactggaatgtagcgaccggcgctcagctCTGACAGTTACCAATGCTTAATCA-3' and 5'-atgaccatgattacggatcactgcccgtcttttacaacgctgtagctTGAGCTGTTGACAATTAATCATCCGG-3', each of which contain 40 bp of homology to *lacZ* (shown in lowercase) at their 5' ends.

The targeted disruption of *lacZ* was performed using methods described in the work of Murphy (28), and transformants were selected on LB plates containing 30 μg/ml ampicillin. The resultant strain CAG41439 was confirmed to carry two wild-type (WT) alleles of *yfiO* via PCR performed with primers of sequences flanking each gene. The endogenous chromosomal copy of *yfiO* in CAG41439 was then disrupted, using methods described in the work of Murphy (28), by a 1.1-kb PCR product amplified from pKD3 using primers 5'-aaacggcagctcaaggcgtcccttttgtgttcaggtttctgCATATGAATATCCTCCTTA-3' and 5'-gagaatccctgta ttacattttgaggaaagcaaacgctGTGTAGGCTGGAGCTGCTTC-3'. Transformants were selected on LB plates containing 20 μg/ml chloramphenicol and 1 mM IPTG (isopropyl-β-D-thiogalactopyranoside), generating CAG41356. The chromosomal disruption of endogenous *yfiO* in CAG41356 was confirmed via PCR. Lysates were prepared from CAG41356 and used in linked marker cotransduction experiments to determine whether *yfiO* is essential.

Motif search. The lipobox motifs at the N termini of YeaY, YfgL, YfiO, YfeY, and YraP polypeptides were identified using the program Motif included in version 10.3 of the Genetics Computer Group, Inc. sequence analysis software package.

Linked marker cotransductions. The *yfiO::Cm* allele was linked to *pheA18::Tn10* from strain CAG12158 as described above. These two genes are 95% linked. CAG45114 and CAG41351 were infected with P1 lysate grown on CAG41356 using a standard P1 transduction protocol. Tetracycline-resistant transductants were selected at 30°C on LB agar plates with 10 μg/ml tetracycline

and 10 mM sodium citrate added. After approximately 16 h, tetracycline-resistant transductants were streak purified and then screened for chloramphenicol resistance at 30°C on LB agar plates supplemented with 20 $\mu\text{g}/\text{ml}$ chloramphenicol. The frequency of cotransduction of *yfiO::Cm* with *pheA18::Tn10* was calculated by dividing the number of *yfiO::Cm pheA18::Tn10* transductants by the total number of *pheA18::Tn10* transductants. This experiment was repeated twice and yielded similar results both times. The linked marker cotransduction assays into CAG45114 and CAG41351 using P1(*rpoE::Cm nadB::Tn10*) were performed as described above. P1(*rpoE::Cm nadB::Tn10*) was prepared from CAG37125.

Phenotypic assays. To identify the phenotypes of the $\Delta yeaY$, $\Delta yfgL$, $\Delta nlpB$, $\Delta yfeY$, or $\Delta yraP$ strains, efficiency of plating (EOP) assays were performed as follows: overnight cultures of strains of CAG41241, CAG41434, CAG41254, CAG41408, CAG41245, the *surA::Cm* strain CAG41265, and WT strain CAG45114 were grown in LB at 30°C. The cultures were serially diluted 10⁷-fold in 10-fold increments. One-hundred-microliter portions of each dilution of each culture were spread on LB agar and LB agar plates supplemented with one of the following agents: 1.5 $\mu\text{g}/\text{ml}$ crystal violet, 0.15 $\mu\text{g}/\text{ml}$ polymyxin B, 3.5% SDS, 1 mM EDTA, 3.5% SDS plus 1 mM EDTA, 150 $\mu\text{g}/\text{ml}$ novobiocin, 5 $\mu\text{g}/\text{ml}$ rifampin, and 0.1% phenethyl alcohol. The EOPs were calculated by dividing the number of CFU of a given mutant on supplemented LB plates by the number of CFU of that same mutant on LB plates. Where phenotypes were observed, EOP assays for each phenotype for each strain were performed three times with three independent isolates.

To determine whether the phenotypes of the $\Delta nlpB$, $\Delta yraP$, and $\Delta yfgL$ strains could be complemented, the EOP experiments were repeated as described above using strains CAG41350 and CAG41517, which carry pCO109; CAG41534 and CAG41536, which carry pCO122; CAG41511 and CAG41513, which carry pCO123; and CAG41510, CAG41512, CAG41516, and CAG41535, which carry pBA169.

Assays for sensitivity to growth on LB agar at 18, 30, 37, and 42°C and on M9 complete agar at 18, 30, 37, and 42°C were performed by diluting overnight cultures of strains CAG41241, CAG41434, CAG41254, CAG41408, CAG41245, the *surA::Cm* strain CAG41265, and WT strain CAG45114 10⁵-fold in 10-fold increments and determining titers of 10 μl of each dilution of each strain on LB agar and M9 complete agar plates. Plates were placed at 18°C for 72 h or at 30, 37, or 42°C for 16 h. The mutant strains' growth under these conditions was compared to that of the WT strain, and no differences were observed. The sensitivity of these strains to growth on MacConkey agar at 30°C was also tested in this manner. Again, no differences between WT and the mutants were observed.

Sensitivities to 1 M each of CuCl₂, NiCl₂, CrCl₂, CoCl₂, FeCl₂, CdCl₂, and dithiothreitol (DTT) were tested as well. The solutions listed above were spotted onto sterile Whatman 3 MM filter paper disks that were allowed to dry before being placed onto lawns of CAG41241, CAG41434, CAG41254, CAG41408, and CAG41245 on LB. Zones of clearing on mutant strain lawns were compared to that of WT strain CAG45114, and no differences were observed.

The motility of the null mutants was also tested. Overnight cultures of strains were grown in LB at 30°C. The next day, the cultures were diluted 1:10 in LB, the OD₆₀₀ of each culture was recorded, and the number of cells per μl of each culture was calculated. Volumes of each culture equivalent to 10⁶ cells were then inoculated into the centers of LB soft agar plates (4 mg/ml agar in LB broth). After 24 h of incubation at 30°C and 37°C, the diameters of the swarms were measured and compared to that of WT. No differences were observed.

The sensitivities of the mutants to osmotic shock were tested in the following manner: mutant strains were grown at 30°C in low-osmolarity medium, described in the work of Lacroix et al. (17), and in low-osmolarity medium supplemented with 0.1 M NaCl, 0.2 M NaCl, 0.3 M NaCl, and 0.4 M NaCl. The OD₆₀₀ for each culture was measured every 60 min for 600 min. The slopes of log₁₀ OD₆₀₀ versus time plots for each strain grown in each medium were compared to that of WT to determine whether NaCl concentration affected the mutants' growth rates. No differences were found.

σ^E activity assay. σ^E activity was assayed by monitoring β -galactosidase activity from a chromosomal σ^E -dependent *lacZ* reporter gene $\Phi\lambda$ [*rpoHP3-lacZ*] as described previously (1). Cells to be assayed were grown at 30°C in M9 complete medium (100 $\mu\text{g}/\text{ml}$ ampicillin and 1 mM IPTG were added when necessary), and single point determinations were made at the indicated optical densities. The rate of β -galactosidase synthesis from the σ^E -dependent reporter gene increases as the cells enter mid-log phase when grown in LB (J. Mecsas and C. A. Gross, unpublished). Due to this growth phase effect, differences in σ^E activity were determined by comparing the slopes of the initial linear portions of the plots of β -galactosidase activity/0.5 ml cells to the OD₄₅₀. Slopes were determined by linear regression analysis. The value of each slope was normalized to the WT value, taken as 1.0.

YfgL ***MQLRKLLLPGLLSVTLLSGC*** (20)

YraP ***MKALSPIAVLVISALLLQGC*** (19)

YfiO ***MTRMKYLVAAATLSLFLAGC*** (20)

YeaY ***MAVQKNVIKIGLAGTFAIMLSGC*** (23)

NlpB ***MAYSVQKSRLAKVAGVSLVLLLAAC*** (25)

YfeY ***MKSLRLMLCAMPMLTGC*** (18)

FIG. 1. The lipoboxes of the six σ^E -transcribed lipoproteins. Displayed are the N-terminal sequences of each lipoprotein in the σ^E regulon. The lipobox motifs are depicted in large bold type. The conserved cysteine residue in each lipobox, which is destined for lipid modification, is shaded. For each sequence, the number in parentheses to the right refers to the position of the cysteine from the N-terminal methionine.

Preparation and analysis of outer membrane proteins. Outer membrane proteins were prepared from strains grown in LB broth at 37°C (100 $\mu\text{g}/\text{ml}$ ampicillin and 1 mM IPTG were added when necessary). Cultures were grown to an OD₆₀₀ of 0.5 to 1.0, whereupon 10 ml of cells was harvested by centrifugation at 5,000 rpm for 10 min. The OD₆₀₀ of each culture was recorded at the time of harvest. Pellets were resuspended in 100 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8, and 20% glucose and incubated on ice for 10 min. The cells were spun again at 5,000 rpm for 10 min, and pellets were resuspended in 100 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8, and 20% glucose supplemented with 10 mM EDTA. Cell walls were digested with lysozyme (10 $\mu\text{g}/\text{ml}$) on ice for 30 min. Each sample was adjusted to 10 mM MgCl₂ and was then lysed by the addition of 1 ml of ice-cold water followed by three freeze-thaw cycles. Five micrograms per milliliter each of DNase I and RNase A were added to each sample, and the cell extracts were incubated on ice until they became completely fluid, indicating that the nucleic acids in the extracts had been digested. The extracts were then centrifuged for 15 min at 15,000 rpm, and the pellets were washed with 20 mM NaPO₄, pH 7.0. Cytoplasmic membranes were solubilized with 1 ml of 0.5% sarcosyl in 20 mM NaPO₄ at room temperature for 30 min. The insoluble outer membranes were pelleted by centrifugation at 15,000 rpm for 15 min, washed twice with 1 ml sarcosyl solution, and resuspended in 40 μl of SDS sample buffer (100 mM Tris-HCl, 200 mM DTT, 4% SDS, 0.2% bromophenol blue, 20% glycerol). The numbers of cells/ μl for each sample were calculated from the OD₆₀₀s recorded at harvest. A volume of each sample equivalent to 10⁹ cells was loaded and resolved on a 12% polyacrylamide-SDS gel containing 50% wt/vol urea. (The addition of urea is necessary to resolve OmpC and OmpF.)

Assay of susceptibility to BPI-derived peptide P2. Peptide P2, as described by Barker et al. (3) and containing residues 86 to 104 of bactericidal permeability-increasing (BPI) peptide flanked by cysteines (SKISGKWKAKQRFLKMSGNF GC), was synthesized by the Macromolecular Resources Facility at Colorado State University (Fort Collins, CO). The size and purity of the peptide were confirmed by matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization-mass spectroscopy and analytical high-performance liquid chromatography. The method of Barker et al. (3) was modified to determine the P2 susceptibility of strains CAG45114, CAG41241, CAG41434, CAG41254, CAG41408, and CAG41245. Bacteria were cultured to stationary phase without agitation in 5 ml TSB, washed twice in 0.9% NaCl, and diluted to the desired concentration of CFU/ml in 50 ml of 20 mM sodium phosphate buffer, pH 6.0. Samples were incubated with 4 $\mu\text{g}/\text{ml}$ P2 peptide for 90 min at 37°C without agitation. Aliquots (20 μl) were serially diluted, plated on LB agar plates, and allowed to grow for 18 h at 37°C for enumeration of surviving CFU.

RESULTS

Identification of lipoproteins in the σ^E regulon. A computational motif search of the 96 members of the σ^E regulon revealed that the amino acid sequences of 6 of these genes encode lipobox motifs embedded in signal sequences (Fig. 1) but did not provide any clues as to their function. The proteins encoded by these genes have each been confirmed to carry lipid modifications (26). Because these lipoproteins lack an Asp at position +2, they are all likely to interact with the Lol

TABLE 3. P1 transduction experiments demonstrate that *yfiO* is essential

Recipient	Donor P1($\Delta yfiO::Cm$; <i>pheA18::Tn10</i>)			Donor P1(<i>pheA18::Tn10</i>)
	Number of Tet ^r transductants	Number of Tet ^r Cm ^r cotransductants	Linkage (%)	Number of Tet ^r transductants
MG1655 + <i>pyfiO</i>	100	97	97	>100
MG1655	7	0	0	>100

pathway and to be localized to the outer membrane. To determine whether any of these lipoproteins are essential, we used the methods described by Datsenko and Wanner (12) to delete the entire open reading frame of each gene encoding a lipoprotein. We were able to delete *yfgL*, *yraP*, *yeaY*, *nlpB*, and *yfeY*, indicating that these genes are not essential. The results for *nlpB* and *yfgL* validate previous reports wherein null alleles of these genes were generated via insertional mutagenesis (8, 15). Because *YraP* and *OsmY* exhibit 29% identity and 50% similarity, we postulated that these two genes perform redundant functions; however, a strain carrying both the $\Delta yraP$ and $\Delta osmY$ null alleles is still viable. We considered the possibility that the five genes were not essential because their encoded lipoproteins perform redundant functions. Were this so, a strain lacking all five lipoproteins would be nonviable. We constructed such a strain using methods described in the work of Datsenko and Wanner (12) and found this strain to be viable at temperatures ranging from 18°C to 42°C in both standard LB medium and M9 medium supplemented with all the amino acids. We concluded that the five nonessential lipoproteins as a group do not perform an essential function in *E. coli*.

Because we were unable to delete *yfiO* using the strategy by which we constructed the other null mutants, we considered that *yfiO* might be essential. To test this possibility, we first constructed a null allele of *yfiO* in a strain carrying a second copy of this gene inserted in the *lac* operon (see Materials and Methods). We then performed linked marker cotransduction to assess whether *yfiO* was essential. Whereas $\Delta yfiO::Cm$ was >95% cotransducible with *pheA18::Tn10* in a WT strain carrying a plasmid-encoded copy of *yfiO* (Table 3, columns 2 to 4, row 1), $\Delta yfiO::Cm$ could not be cotransduced with *pheA18::Tn10* into the WT strain alone, in which successful cotransduction would result in a lack of YfiO (Table 3, columns 2 to 4, row 2). Due to the close linkage of $\Delta yfiO::Cm$ and *pheA18::Tn10*, we obtained only very few Tet^r transductants in the WT strain, even though both recipient strains are equally transducible by *pheA18::Tn10* when it is not linked to $\Delta yfiO::Cm$ (Table 3, column 5, rows 1 and 2). We conclude that *yfiO* is essential.

The essentiality of *yfiO* raised the possibility that σ^E is essential solely because it provides YfiO. We tested this idea by determining whether *rpoE::Cm* could be transduced into WT cells with a plasmid carrying *yfiO* transcribed from a P_{trc} promoter. Linked marker cotransduction experiments demonstrated that such cells were not able to accept the *rpoE::Cm* marker even when P_{trc} expression of YfiO was induced by addition of IPTG (Table 4, columns 2 to 4, row 1). Again, due to the close linkage of $\Delta yfiO::Cm$ and *pheA18::Tn10*, we ob-

TABLE 4. P1 transduction experiments demonstrate that providing YfiO is not sufficient to relieve σ^E essentiality

Recipient	Donor P1(<i>rpoE::Cm</i> ; <i>nadB::Tn10</i>)			Donor P1(<i>nadB::Tn10</i>)
	Number of Tet ^r transductants	Number of Tet ^r Cm ^r cotransductants	Linkage (%)	Number of Tet ^r transductants
MG1655 + <i>pyfiO</i>	3	0	0	>100
MG1655	5	0	0	>100

tained only very few Tet^r transductants in the WT strain (Table 4, columns 2 to 4, row 2). Both recipient strains are equally transducible by *nadB::Tn10* when it is not linked to *rpoE::Cm* (Table 4, column 5, rows 1 and 2). Additional control transductions established that the P1(*rpoE::Cm nadB::Tn10*) lysate used in these experiments was of a high titer (data not shown). Thus, the σ^E regulon must provide two or more proteins that are essential for cell viability.

Phenotypes of strains with deletions of nonessential lipoproteins. We assessed whether any of the individual nonessential lipoprotein deletion mutants altered the growth properties of cells under a variety of conditions. All strains were able to grow at temperatures ranging from 18°C to 42°C both in standard LB medium and in M9 minimal medium supplemented with all of the amino acids, indicating that none of these lipoproteins were essential for growth at temperature extremes. Because the σ^E regulon is induced under hypo-osmotic conditions (data not shown), we next tested whether the mutants were altered in their osmotic sensitivities. This phenotype is also a general indicator of envelope integrity (reviewed in references 32 and 49). However, all strains grew equally well in hypo-osmotic medium alone (described in reference 17) and in hypo-osmotic medium supplemented with 0.1 M, 0.2 M, 0.3 M, or 0.4 M NaCl (data not shown). All deletion strains were also unimpaired in their motilities, in their sensitivities to DTT, and in

TABLE 5. EOP of various deletion mutant strains \pm complementing plasmids on selected agents

Strain	EOP ^a		
	3.5% SDS	5 μ g/ml rifampicin	150 μ g/ml novobiocin
WT	0.9	0.1	0.7
$\Delta yfgL$	0.4*	6×10^{-9}	0.3*
$\Delta yfgL$ + <i>pyfgL^b</i>	NT	1	NT
$\Delta nlpB$	0.8	4×10^{-5}	0.7
$\Delta nlpB$ + <i>pnlpB^b</i>	NT	0.4	NT
$\Delta yraP$	4×10^{-7}	0.6	0.8
$\Delta yraP$ + <i>pyraP^b</i>	1	NT	NT
<i>surA::Cm</i>	2×10^{-6}	1×10^{-8}	6×10^{-5}

^a Values for EOP are the averages of three determinations; almost all values differed from the mean by less than 50%. An asterisk indicates that colonies were not apparent until 48 hr at 30°C. For comparison, all other strains formed colonies after 18 hr at 30°C. NT, not tested.

^b All complementation experiments were performed in the presence of IPTG to induce expression.




FIG. 2. Effects of deleting nonessential lipoprotein genes on sensitivity to BPI-derived P2 peptide. Stationary-phase bacteria grown without agitation in 5 ml TSB were diluted to $\sim 2 \times 10^7$ CFU/ml in 20 mM sodium phosphate buffer, pH 6.0, in a final volume of 50 μ l. Samples were incubated with 4 mg/ml BPI for 90 min at 37°C. Data represent the mean CFU per ml from serial dilutions of triplicate samples plated on LB agar and incubated for 18 h at 37°C.

their sensitivities to a variety of metals (LB agar containing 1 M each of CuCl_2 , NiCl_2 , CrCl_2 , CoCl_2 , FeCl_2 , or CdCl_2). The mutants exhibited growth similar to that of the WT strain on MacConkey agar at 30°C, 37°C, and 42°C. Finally, given that the σ^E regulon lipoproteins are all targeted to the outer membrane, we tested the lipoprotein deletion mutants for their sensitivities to a variety of agents that are normally excluded by a WT outer membrane. These agents included amphipathic antibiotics (rifampin, novobiocin), detergents (SDS, bile salts), hydrophobic dyes (crystal violet), and EDTA, which destabilizes the outer membrane by chelating divalent cations bound to lipopolysaccharide (reviewed in reference 31). Three of the mutants exhibited EOP phenotypes that were reversed in each case by supplying the missing lipoprotein on a plasmid (Table 5). $\Delta yfgL$ exhibited the broadest phenotypes: plating was eliminated on rifampin, and although cells grew on SDS and novobiocin, colony growth was dramatically slowed. This phenotype was reversed in $\Delta yfgL$ strains carrying *pyfgL* (Table 5 and data not shown). In contrast, $\Delta nlpB$ and $\Delta yraP$ exhibited highly specific phenotypes, with the former exhibiting sensitivity to rifampin only and the latter exhibiting sensitivity to SDS only. In contrast, a *surA::Cm* mutant strain, which interferes with OMP assembly and destabilizes the outer membrane, exhibits general sensitivity to hydrophobic dyes, hydrophobic amphipathic antibiotics, and detergents (38) (Table 5). The human BPI protein has potent antimicrobial activity against gram-negative bacteria (see reference 3; also reviewed in reference 48). The P2 peptide derived from BPI retains much of the antimicrobial properties of BPI itself. BPI and P2 increase outer membrane permeability and decrease cellular O_2 con-

servation (48). The cytotoxic mechanism, though not well understood, may result from membrane rupture. We tested whether the lipoprotein deletion mutants survived more poorly than WT strains in the presence of the P2 peptide. We find that the $\Delta yfgL$ strain is significantly more sensitive to P2 than are WT cells (Fig. 2). In addition, $\Delta yraP$ and $\Delta nlpB$ are marginally more sensitive to P2 than are WT cells. This modest difference has been reproducible, but given the variability of these assays, this may not be statistically significant. We cannot determine whether the increased sensitivity of cells of the $\Delta yfgL$ strain to P2 is simply a consequence of envelope defects or whether it results instead from loss of a specific mechanism conferring resistance to the effects of the peptide. We favor the former idea because these cells have multiple alterations in their envelope.

Effect of lipoprotein deletions on σ^E activity and porin content of the outer membrane. The activity of σ^E is a sensitive indicator of the integrity of the outer membrane (reviewed in reference 2). The assembly status of β -barrel porins is sensed directly by the signal transduction pathway controlling σ^E activity (47). Additionally, this pathway indirectly senses the status of LPS assembly and protein folding (2). Because several of the lipoprotein deletion strains exhibit sensitivities to agents normally excluded by the outer membrane, we thought it probable that these mutants would also exhibit increased σ^E activity (Fig. 3). $\Delta yfgL$, which is sensitive to the broadest range of agents tested in Table 3, exhibited a 10-fold increase in σ^E activity, which is only slightly less than the induction mediated by the *surA::Cm* mutation, a potent inducer of σ^E (38). The induction phenotype of the $\Delta yfgL$ mutant was relieved by expression of YfgL from a plasmid (Fig. 3). $\Delta yraP$ also exhibited slight (approximately twofold) induction of the response. Many mutations that increase σ^E activity also affect OMP profiles. For example, the levels of porins OmpF, OmpC, OmpA, and LamB are significantly reduced in the *surA::Cm* mutant, in which σ^E activity is highly induced (38). We determined the levels of OmpF, OmpC, OmpA, and LamB in outer membrane preparations purified from each lipoprotein deletion mutant, as well as *surA::Cm*, a mutant known to exhibit severe defects in porin content in the OM, using both the *surA::Cm* mutant and WT strain MG1655 as a basis for comparison. The contents of $\Delta yfgL$ strains were deficient for the four porins tested, exhibiting a phenotype almost as severe in this regard as *surA::Cm* (Fig. 4A and B). This phenotype was complemented when YfgL was expressed from a plasmid (Fig. 4C). No other lipoprotein deletion mutant showed any OMP profile defects.

Do these lipoproteins contribute to the protein-folding capacity of the envelope? A number of periplasmic chaperones and periplasmic peptidyl prolyl isomerases (PPIases) facilitate the folding, assembly, and insertion of trimeric porins into the outer membrane. SurA has both chaperone and PPIase activity and is required for the proper assembly of porins (5, 37). DegP is predominantly a chaperone at low temperatures and has both chaperone and protease activity at elevated temperatures (42). Skp also exhibits chaperone activity and has been found to bind to outer membrane porin precursors (7, 9, 13). Deletion of the gene encoding any one of these three folding agents is not lethal. However Δskp *surA::Cm* and *degP::Km surA::Cm* double mutants are synthetically lethal, whereas the Δskp *degP::Km* double mutant is not (36). This finding led the Silhavy group to propose the existence of two parallel periplasmic




FIG. 3. σ^E activity of strains in which each of the nonessential lipoproteins has been deleted. Relative σ^E activities in WT (CAG45114), $\Delta yeaY$ (CAG41241), $\Delta yraP$ (CAG41251), $\Delta nlpB$ (CAG41258), $\Delta yfeY$ (CAG41413), $\Delta yfgL$ (CAG41445), and *surA::Cm* (CAG41265) strains growing at 30°C in LB were assayed by monitoring β -galactosidase activity from a single-copy $\Phi\lambda$ [*rpoHP3-lacZ*] fusion as described in Materials and Methods. The increased σ^E activity observed in the $\Delta yfgL$ mutant can be complemented by *pyfgL*.

folding pathways: one involving SurA and the other involving Skp and DegP (36). We have expanded this study to include our lipoprotein deletion strains, as several confer envelope phenotypes. We have also included FkpA, a periplasmic PPIase and chaperone, in this analysis, because mutations in *fkpA* exhibit phenotypes similar to but much less severe than those reported for *surA* (25).

Our studies were performed with MG1655 rather than with the MC4100 strain utilized by Rizzitello et al. (36). Therefore, we determined that the previously reported synthetic phenotypes were maintained in MG1655, although they were less severe in MG1655 than in MC4100 (Table 6). The Δskp *surA::Cm* and *degP::Km surA::Cm* double mutants were distinctly impaired, as they formed very small colonies that could be visualized only after 48 h at 30°C. We refer to this phenotype as “very small” (vs). In contrast, the single-mutant strains formed colonies after about 18 h at 30°C. Moreover, we found that $\Delta fkpA$ is not synthetically lethal with Δskp , *degP::Km*, or *surA::Cm*.

Two of the lipoprotein deletion strains, $\Delta nlpB$ and $\Delta yraP$, exhibited a synthetic phenotype with *surA::Cm*, forming vs colonies on LB after 48 h. However, neither $\Delta nlpB$ nor $\Delta yraP$ exhibited any synthetic phenotypes with any of the other chaperone mutants (Table 7). Thus, $\Delta nlpB$ and $\Delta yraP$ exhibit genetic interactions very similar to those shown for Δskp and *degP::Km*. The simplest interpretation of these results is that the NlpB and YraP lipoproteins are part of the DegP/Skp folding pathway. A third lipoprotein deletion strain, $\Delta yfgL$, exhibited a novel synthetic phenotype: when a $\Delta yfgL$ strain was transduced with either P1(*surA::Cm*) or P1($\Delta fkpA::Cm$), the

resulting double mutants formed vs colonies. These phenotypes would be explained if YfgL functioned in more than one periplasmic protein-folding pathway.

DISCUSSION

The cellular roles of most lipoproteins are very poorly understood. The *E. coli* genome is predicted to encode approximately 100 lipoproteins, making it a daunting task to characterize this entire group. In addition, very few previously characterized lipoproteins proved to have significant phenotypes. Because σ^E is essential for viability and plays a major role in envelope homeostasis, we hoped that choosing to study lipoproteins encoded in the σ^E regulon would enrich for those with roles in envelope homeostasis. Indeed, four of the six lipoproteins in the regulon are functionally important. One of these lipoproteins, YfiO, is essential, making it the second essential lipoprotein in *E. coli* and the most important lipoprotein in the σ^E regulon. The other three lipoproteins are non-essential, and their absences confer distinct envelope phenotypes.

LPS rough mutants and other mutations that alter the porin content of the outer membrane are known to destabilize the cell envelope and increase its permeability to a wide variety of detergents, dyes, and antibiotics (31). Both NlpB and YraP also appear to be involved in maintaining envelope integrity, as deleting either gene alters the barrier function of the outer membrane. Interestingly, each mutant strain is affected in its permeability to a specific class of molecules only. Cells lacking YraP become selectively sensitive to SDS but not to rifampin,




FIG. 4. The OMP profiles of wild type, $\Delta yfgL$, and $\Delta surA::Cm$ strains. (A) Outer membrane fractions were prepared from cells that had been growing exponentially at 37°C in LB plus 0.2% maltose, as described in Materials and Methods. The fractions were analyzed on a 12% SDS-polyacrylamide gel with 50% urea and stained with Coomassie blue. The positions of LamB, OmpC, OmpF, and OmpA are indicated. (B) The levels of LamB, OmpC, OmpF, and OmpA were quantified via spot densitometry as described in Materials and Methods. (C) The OMP profile defect in the $\Delta yfgL$ mutant can be complemented by *pyfgL*.

whereas those lacking NlpB are sensitive to rifampin but exhibit unaltered sensitivity to SDS. Such specific sensitivity has not been previously reported. These lipoproteins may be required for processes that impart very subtle alterations to the outer membrane, thereby conferring the selective permeability we observe. This idea is consistent with the fact that each selectively permeable mutant exhibits a synthetic phenotype with *surA::Cm*. These data, together with the previous observations by Rizzitello et al. (36), lead us to posit that both NlpB and YraP work in the DegP/Skp pathway to facilitate assembly of porins and other outer membrane proteins.

Cells lacking YfgL exhibit a number of different phenotypes, all of which indicate a disturbance in the barrier function of the

cell envelope. $\Delta yfgL$ cells are modestly pleiotropic in their sensitivities to agents normally excluded by the outer membrane and exhibit significant sensitivity to BPI-derived peptide P2. Additionally, $\Delta yfgL$ cells are defective in assembling porins in the outer membrane and exhibit synthetic phenotypes not only with *surA::Cm* but also with $\Delta fkpA::Cm$. Taken together, these data indicate that YfgL participates in an important process or processes that ensure outer membrane integrity. Like SurA, YfgL could be a chaperone whose activity is integral to the insertion of outer membrane porins. Alternatively, YfgL could participate more generally in assembly of some outer membrane component. Whatever the particular function of YfgL, the large increase in σ^E activity exhibited by these

TABLE 6. Synthetic phenotypes of strains lacking periplasmic chaperones

Recipient	P1 donor strains ^a			
	<i>surA::Cm</i>	<i>degP::Km</i>	<i>Δskp zae::Tn10</i>	<i>ΔfkpA::Cm</i>
<i>surA::Cm</i>	NT	vs	vs	NT
<i>degP::Km</i>	vs	NT	+	+
<i>Δskp zae::Tn10</i>	vs	+	NT	+
<i>ΔfkpA</i>	+	+	+	NT

^a vs indicates that colonies appeared after 48 hr on LB at 30°C; for comparison, single mutants formed colonies after 18 hr at 30°C. A plus sign indicates that the phenotype of the double mutant was the same as that of the single mutant. NT, not tested.

mutants suggests that, either directly or indirectly, the lack of YfgL results in a rather large increase in the pools of unassembled porins, which then act as an inducing signal for σ^E .

Research performed concurrently with ours provides strong evidence that two of the nonessential lipoproteins that we implicated in porins assembly, along with the essential lipoprotein YfiO, participate together in porins assembly (39, 50). These three lipoproteins form a multiprotein complex with YaeT, another essential member of the σ^E regulon (39, 50). YaeT is required for insertion of β -barrel proteins, including outer membrane porins, into the outer membrane (39, 50). Moreover, orthologues of YaeT, including Omp85 (*Neisseria* spp.) (46), Tob55 (mitochondria) (16, 33), and Toc75 (chloroplasts) (33), are also necessary for the insertion of β -barrel proteins into the outer membrane. Taken together, this suggests that YaeT, together with three lipoproteins, is part of the machine that inserts OMPs into the outer membrane.

Many questions are unresolved. First, although YfiO, YfgL and NlpB are all implicated in OMP assembly, deletions of each have distinct phenotypes. Clearly, the role played by each lipoprotein in OMP assembly remains to be determined. Second, although YraP was not detected in the four-protein YfiO/NlpB/YfgL/YaeT complex (39, 50), the experiments performed thus far do not rule out the possibility that YraP is a loosely associated component of that complex. Finally, we suggested that YfgL might have multiple roles in the cell because it had the unusual property of synthetic lethality with two different chaperones. Likewise, the Silhavy-Kahne groups showed that YfgL deletions had multiple effects in the cell, participating not only in OMP assembly but also in the alteration of the permeability properties of cells defective in LPS insertion (39, 50). These studies open the door to multiple lines of investigation.

TABLE 7. $\Delta nlpB$, $\Delta yraP$, and $\Delta yfgL$ exhibit synthetic phenotypes with strains lacking periplasmic chaperones

Recipient	P1 donor strains ^a			
	<i>surA::Cm</i>	<i>degP::Km</i>	<i>Δskp zae::Tn10</i>	<i>ΔfkpA::Cm</i>
$\Delta nlpB$	vs	+	+	+
$\Delta yraP$	vs	+	+	+
$\Delta yfgL$	vs	+	+	vs

^a vs indicates that colonies appeared after 48 hr on LB at 30°C; for comparison, single mutants formed colonies after 18 hr at 30°C. A plus sign indicates that the phenotype of the double mutant was the same as that of the single mutant.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by Public Health Service grant GM036278 (to C.A.G.) and AI44486 (to F.C.F.) from the National Institutes of Health.

We thank Joyce West for helpful guidance in the performance of this work.

REFERENCES

- Ades, S. E., L. E. Connolly, B. M. Alba, and C. A. Gross. 1999. The *Escherichia coli* σ^E dependent extracytoplasmic stress response is controlled by the regulated proteolysis of an anti-sigma factor. *Genes Dev.* **13**:2449–2461.
- Alba, B. M., and C. A. Gross. 2004. Regulation of the *Escherichia coli* σ^E -dependent envelope stress response. *Mol. Microbiol.* **52**:613–619.
- Barker, H. C., N. Kinsella, A. Jaspe, T. Friedrich, and C. D. O'Connor. 2000. Formate protects stationary-phase *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella* cells from killing by a cationic antimicrobial peptide. *Mol. Microbiol.* **35**:1518–1529.
- Beck, B. J., L. E. Connolly, A. De Las Peñas, and D. M. Downs. 1997. A role for *rseC*, a gene in the *rpoE* cluster, in thiamine synthesis in *Salmonella typhimurium*. *J. Bacteriol.* **179**:6504–6508.
- Behrens, S., R. Maier, H. de Cock, F. X. Schmid, and C. A. Gross. 2001. The SurA periplasmic PPIase lacking its parvulin domains functions in vivo and has chaperone activity. *EMBO J.* **20**:285–294.
- Bernadac, A., M. Gavioli, J. C. Lazzaroni, S. Raina, and R. Llobes. 1998. *Escherichia coli* *tol-pal* mutants form outer membrane vesicles. *J. Bacteriol.* **180**:4872–4878.
- Bothmann, H., and A. Pluckthun. 1998. Selection for a periplasmic factor improving phage display and functional periplasmic expression. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **16**:376–380.
- Bouvier, J., A. P. Pugsley, and P. Stragier. 1991. A gene for a new lipoprotein in the *dapA-purC* interval of the *Escherichia coli* chromosome. *J. Bacteriol.* **173**:5523–5531.
- Chen, R., and U. Henning. 1996. A periplasmic protein (Skp) of *Escherichia coli* selectively binds a class of outer membrane proteins. *Mol. Microbiol.* **19**:1287–1294.
- Cherepanov, P. P., and W. Wackernagel. 1995. Gene disruption in *Escherichia coli*: TcR and KmR cassettes with the option of Flp-catalyzed excision of the antibiotic-resistance determinant. *Gene* **158**:9–14.
- Dartigalongue, C., D. Missiakas, and S. Raina. 2001. Characterization of the *Escherichia coli* σ^E regulon. *J. Biol. Chem.* **276**:20866–20875.
- Datsenko, K. A., and B. L. Wanner. 2000. One-step inactivation of chromosomal genes in *Escherichia coli* K-12 using PCR products. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **97**:6640–6645.
- De Cock, H., U. Schafer, M. Potgeter, R. Demel, M. Muller, and J. Tommassen. 1999. Affinity of the periplasmic chaperone Skp of *Escherichia coli* for phospholipids, lipopolysaccharides and non-native outer membrane proteins. Role of Skp in the biogenesis of outer membrane protein. *Eur. J. Biochem.* **259**:96–103.
- Dinh, T., I. T. Paulsen, and M. H. Saier, Jr. 1994. A family of extracytoplasmic proteins that allow transport of large molecules across the outer membranes of gram-negative bacteria. *J. Bacteriol.* **176**:3825–3831.
- Eggert, U. S., N. Ruiz, B. V. Falcone, A. A. Branstrom, R. C. Goldman, T. J. Silhavy, and D. Kahne. 2001. Genetic basis for activity differences between vancomycin and glycolipid derivatives of vancomycin. *Science* **294**:361–364.
- Gentle, I., K. Gabriel, P. Beech, R. Waller, and T. Lithgow. 2004. The Omp85 family of proteins is essential for outer membrane biogenesis in mitochondria and bacteria. *J. Cell Biol.* **164**:19–24.
- Lacroix, J. M., I. Llobes, M. Tempete, B. Menichi, and J. P. Bohin. 1991. The *mdoA* locus of *Escherichia coli* consists of an operon under osmotic control. *Mol. Microbiol.* **5**:1745–1753.
- Llobes, R., E. Cascales, A. Walburger, E. Bouveret, C. Lazuński, A. Bernadac, and L. Journet. 2001. The Tol-Pal proteins of the *Escherichia coli* cell envelope: an energized system required for outer membrane integrity? *Res. Microbiol.* **152**:523–529.
- Lomovskaya, O., and K. Lewis. 1992. Emr, an *Escherichia coli* locus for multidrug resistance. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **89**:8938–8942.
- Lomovskaya, O., K. Lewis, and A. Matin. 1995. EmrR is a negative regulator of the *Escherichia coli* multidrug resistance pump EmrAB. *J. Bacteriol.* **177**:2328–2334.
- Ma, D., D. N. Cook, M. Alberti, N. G. Pon, H. Nikaido, and J. E. Hearst. 1993. Molecular cloning and characterization of *acrA* and *acrE* genes of *Escherichia coli*. *J. Bacteriol.* **175**:6299–6313.
- Matsuyama, S., T. Tajima, and H. Tokuda. 1995. A novel periplasmic carrier protein involved in the sorting and transport of *Escherichia coli* lipoproteins destined for the outer membrane. *EMBO J.* **14**:3365–3372.
- Matsuyama, S., N. Yokota, and H. Tokuda. 1997. A novel outer membrane lipoprotein, LolB (HemM), involved in the LolA (p20)-dependent localization of lipoproteins to the outer membrane of *Escherichia coli*. *EMBO J.* **16**:6947–6955.
- Miller, J. H. 1972. Experiments in molecular genetics. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, N.Y.

25. Missiakas, D., F. Schwager, J. M. Betton, C. Georgopoulos, and S. Raina. 1996. Identification and characterization of HsIV HsIU (ClpQ ClpY) proteins involved in overall proteolysis of misfolded proteins in *Escherichia coli*. *EMBO J.* **15**:6899–6909.
26. Miyadai, H., K. Tanaka-Masuda, S. I. Matsuyama, and H. Tokuda. 2004. Effects of lipoprotein overproduction on the induction of DegP (HtrA) involved in quality control in the *Escherichia coli* periplasm. *J. Biol. Chem.* **279**:39807–39813.
27. Miyamoto, A., S. Matsuyama, and H. Tokuda. 2001. Mutant of LolA, a lipoprotein-specific molecular chaperone of *Escherichia coli*, defective in the transfer of lipoproteins to LolB. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **287**: 1125–1128.
28. Murphy, K. C. 1998. Use of bacteriophage λ recombination functions to promote gene replacement in *Escherichia coli*. *J. Bacteriol.* **180**:2063–2071.
29. Narita, S., S. Matsuyama, and H. Tokuda. 2004. Lipoprotein trafficking in *Escherichia coli*. *Arch. Microbiol.* **182**:1–6.
30. Narita, S., K. Tanaka, S. Matsuyama, and H. Tokuda. 2002. Disruption of *lolCDE*, encoding an ATP-binding cassette transporter, is lethal for *Escherichia coli* and prevents release of lipoproteins from the inner membrane. *J. Bacteriol.* **184**:1417–1422.
31. Nikaido, H. 1996. Outer membrane, p. 29–47. *In* F. C. Neidhardt, R. Curtiss III, J. L. Ingraham, E. C. C. Lin, K. B. Low, B. Magasanik, W. S. Reznikoff, M. Riley, M. Schaechter, and H. E. Umbarger (ed.), *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella*: cellular and molecular biology, 2nd ed., vol. 1. ASM Press, Washington, D.C.
32. Nikaido, H. 1994. Porins and specific diffusion channels in bacterial outer membranes. *J. Biol. Chem.* **269**:3905–3908.
33. Paschen, S. A., T. Waizenegger, T. Stan, M. Preuss, M. Cyrklaff, K. Hell, D. Rapaport, and W. Neupert. 2003. Evolutionary conservation of biogenesis of beta-barrel membrane proteins. *Nature* **426**:862–866.
34. Raivio, T. L., and T. J. Silhavy. 2001. Periplasmic stress and ECF sigma factors. *Annu. Rev. Microbiol.* **55**:591–624.
35. Rezuchova, B., H. Miticka, D. Homerova, M. Roberts, and J. Kormanec. 2003. New members of the *Escherichia coli* σ^E regulon identified by a two-plasmid system. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* **225**:1–7.
36. Rizzitello, A. E., J. R. Harper, and T. J. Silhavy. 2001. Genetic evidence for parallel pathways of chaperone activity in the periplasm of *Escherichia coli*. *J. Bacteriol.* **183**:6794–6800.
37. Rouviere, P. E., A. De Las Penas, J. Mecsas, C. Z. Lu, K. E. Rudd, and C. A. Gross. 1995. *rpoE*, the gene encoding the second heat-shock sigma factor, σ^E , in *Escherichia coli*. *EMBO J.* **14**:1032–1042.
38. Rouviere, P. G., and C. A. Gross. 1996. SurA, a periplasmic protein with peptidyl-prolyl isomerase activity, participates in the assembly of outer membrane porins. *Genes Dev.* **10**:3170–3182.
39. Ruiz, N., B. Falcone, D. Kahne, and T. J. Silhavy. 2005. Chemical conditionality: a genetic strategy to probe organelle assembly. *Cell* **121**:307–317.
40. Sankaran, K., and H. C. Wu. 1994. Lipid modification of bacterial lipoprotein. Transfer of diacylglycerol moiety from phosphatidylglycerol. *J. Biol. Chem.* **269**:19701–19706.
41. Singer, M., T. A. Baker, G. Schnitzler, S. M. Deischel, M. Goel, W. Dove, K. J. Jaacks, A. D. Grossman, J. W. Erickson, and C. A. Gross. 1989. A collection of strains containing genetically linked alternating antibiotic resistance elements for genetic mapping of *Escherichia coli*. *Microbiol. Rev.* **53**:1–24.
42. Spiess, C., A. Beil, and M. Ehrmann. 1999. A temperature-dependent switch from chaperone to protease in a widely conserved heat shock protein. *Cell* **97**:339–347.
43. Tajima, T., N. Yokota, S. Matsuyama, and H. Tokuda. 1998. Genetic analyses of the in vivo function of LolA, a periplasmic chaperone involved in the outer membrane localization of *Escherichia coli* lipoproteins. *FEBS Lett.* **439**:51–54.
44. Tanaka, K., S. I. Matsuyama, and H. Tokuda. 2001. Deletion of *lolB*, encoding an outer membrane lipoprotein, is lethal for *Escherichia coli* and causes accumulation of lipoprotein localization intermediates in the periplasm. *J. Bacteriol.* **183**:6538–6542.
45. Tegos, G., F. R. Stermitz, O. Lomovskaya, and K. Lewis. 2002. Multidrug pump inhibitors uncover remarkable activity of plant antimicrobials. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **46**:3133–3141.
46. Voulhoux, R., M. P. Bos, J. Geurtsen, M. Mols, and J. Tommassen. 2003. Role of a highly conserved bacterial protein in outer membrane protein assembly. *Science* **299**:262–265.
47. Walsh, N. P., B. M. Alba, B. Bose, C. A. Gross, and R. T. Sauer. 2003. OMP peptide signals initiate the envelope-stress response by activating DegS protease via relief of inhibition mediated by its PDZ domain. *Cell* **113**:61–71.
48. Weiss, J. 2003. Bactericidal/permeability-increasing protein (BPI) and lipopolysaccharide-binding protein (LBP): structure, function and regulation in host defence against Gram-negative bacteria. *Biochem. Soc. Trans.* **31**: 785–790.
49. Wu, H. C. 1996. Biosynthesis of lipoproteins, p. 1005–1014. *In* F. C. Neidhardt, R. Curtiss III, J. L. Ingraham, E. C. C. Lin, K. B. Low, B. Magasanik, W. S. Reznikoff, M. Riley, M. Schaechter, and H. E. Umbarger (ed.), *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella*: cellular and molecular biology, 2nd ed., vol. 1. ASM Press, Washington, D.C.
50. Wu, T., J. Malinverni, N. Ruiz, S. Kim, T. J. Silhavy, and D. Kahne. 2005. Identification of a multicomponent complex required for outer membrane biogenesis in *Escherichia coli*. *Cell* **121**:235–245.
51. Yakushi, T., K. Masuda, S. Narita, S. Matsuyama, and H. Tokuda. 2000. A new ABC transporter mediating the detachment of lipid-modified proteins from membranes. *Nat. Cell Biol.* **2**:212–218.
52. Yamaguchi, K., F. Yu, and M. Inouye. 1988. A single amino acid determinant of the membrane localization of lipoproteins in *E. coli*. *Cell* **53**:423–432.
53. Yem, D. W., and H. C. Wu. 1977. Genetic characterization of an *Escherichia coli* mutant altered in the structure of murein lipoprotein. *J. Bacteriol.* **131**:759–764.
54. Yem, D. W., and H. C. Wu. 1978. Physiological characterization of an *Escherichia coli* mutant altered in the structure of murein lipoprotein. *J. Bacteriol.* **133**:1419–1426.
55. Yura, T., and K. Nakahigashi. 1999. Regulation of the heat-shock response. *Curr. Opin. Microbiol.* **2**:153–158.