

SleC Is Essential for Germination of *Clostridium difficile* Spores in Nutrient-Rich Medium Supplemented with the Bile Salt Taurocholate[∇]

David A. Burns, John T. Heap, and Nigel P. Minton*

School of Molecular Medical Sciences, Centre for Biomolecular Sciences, University of Nottingham, University Park, Nottingham NG7 2RD, United Kingdom

Received 7 September 2009/Accepted 12 November 2009

Clostridium difficile is the major cause of infectious diarrhea and a major burden to health care services. The ability of this organism to form endospores plays a pivotal role in infection and disease transmission. Spores are highly resistant to many forms of disinfection and thus are able to persist on hospital surfaces and disseminate infection. In order to cause disease, the spores must germinate and the organism must grow vegetatively. Spore germination in *Bacillus* is well understood, and genes important for this process have recently been identified in *Clostridium perfringens*; however, little is known about *C. difficile*. Apparent homologues of the spore cortex lytic enzyme genes *cwlJ* and *sleB* (*Bacillus subtilis*) and *sleC* (*C. perfringens*) are present in the *C. difficile* genome, and we describe inactivation of these homologues in *C. difficile* 630 Δ *erm* and a B1/NAP1/027 clinical isolate. Spores of a *sleC* mutant were unable to form colonies when germination was induced with taurocholate, although decoated *sleC* spores formed the same number of heat-resistant colonies as the parental control, even in the absence of germinants. This suggests that *sleC* is absolutely required for conversion of spores to vegetative cells, in contrast to CD3563 (a *cwlJ/sleB* homologue), inactivation of which had no effect on germination and outgrowth of *C. difficile* spores under the same conditions. The B1/NAP1/027 strain R20291 was found to sporulate more slowly and produce fewer spores than 630 Δ *erm*. Furthermore, fewer R20291 spores germinated, indicating that there are differences in both sporulation and germination between these epidemic and nonepidemic *C. difficile* isolates.

The Gram-positive anaerobe *Clostridium difficile* causes diarrheal diseases ranging from asymptomatic carriage to a fulminant, relapsing, and potentially fatal colitis (8, 30). This organism is resistant to various broad-spectrum antibiotics and capitalizes on disruption of the normal intestinal flora to colonize and cause disease symptoms through the action of toxins A and B (16, 40). While these toxins are the principal virulence factors, the ability of the organism to produce endospores is necessary for disease transmission.

Clostridial spores are extremely resistant to all kinds of chemical and physical agents and provide the mechanism by which *C. difficile* can evade the potentially fatal consequences of exposure to heat, oxygen, alcohol, and certain disinfectants (35). Thus, the spores shed in fecal matter are very difficult to eradicate and can persist on contaminated surfaces in health care facilities for extended periods of time (35). This leads to infection or reinfection of cohabitating individuals through inadvertent ingestion of infected material (10, 32). Once in the anaerobic environment of the gut, spores presumably germinate to form toxin-producing vegetative cells and, in susceptible individuals, diarrheal disease.

Spore germination is defined as the events that result in the irreversible loss of spore characteristics. However, current mechanistic knowledge of the germination process is based principally on data derived from studying *Bacillus subtilis*. Lit-

tle is known about spore germination in clostridia and, in particular, in *C. difficile*. Germination is initiated when the bacterial spore senses specific effectors, termed germinants. These effectors can include nutrients, cationic surfactants, peptidoglycan, and a 1:1 chelate of pyridine-2,6-dicarboxylic acid (dipicolinic acid) and Ca²⁺ (CaDPA) (23, 34, 36). Spores of *B. subtilis* can germinate in response to nutrients through the participation of three sensory receptors located in the spore inner membrane, GerA, GerB, and GerK (23). After activation, the events include the release of monovalent cations (H⁺, K⁺, and Na⁺) and CaDPA (accounting for approximately 10% of the spore dry weight) (36). The third major step in germination involves hydrolysis of the spore peptidoglycan (PG) cortex. It is during this hydrolysis that the previously low water content of the spore is restored to the water content of a normal vegetative cell and the core is able to expand, which in turn allows enzyme activity, metabolism, and spore outgrowth (36).

CwlJ and SleB are two specific spore cortex-lytic enzymes (SCLEs) involved in *Bacillus* cortex hydrolysis, which break down PG containing muramic- δ -lactam (28). SleB has been shown to localize in both the inner and outer layers of *B. subtilis* spores through interaction of the enzyme peptidoglycan-binding motif and the δ -lactam structure of the cortex (7, 19) and in association with YpeB, which is required for *sleB* expression during sporulation (4, 7). SleB is a lytic transglycosylase muramidase, but so far its mode of activation is unknown (21). CwlJ is localized to the spore coat during sporulation (3) and is required for CaDPA-induced germination in *B. subtilis*. Activation can be due to either CaDPA released from the spore core at the onset of germination or exogenous CaDPA (22). Neither enzyme is individually essential for com-

* Corresponding author. Mailing address: Centre for Biomolecular Sciences, School of Molecular Medical Sciences, University Park, University of Nottingham, Nottingham NG7 2RD, United Kingdom. Phone: 44 (0)115 84 67458. Fax: 44 (0)115 82 32120. E-mail: nigel.minton@nottingham.ac.uk.

[∇] Published ahead of print on 20 November 2009.

TABLE 1. Strains and plasmids used in this study

Strain or plasmid	Relevant properties	Source or reference
Strains		
<i>E. coli</i> TOP10		Invitrogen
<i>E. coli</i> CA434	Conjugation donor	31
CRG789	<i>C. difficile</i> 630 Δ erm <i>spo0A</i> ::intron <i>ermB</i>	12
<i>C. difficile</i> 630 Δ erm		14
<i>C. difficile</i> R20291	Stoke Mandeville (2004-2005) isolate	Anaerobe Reference Laboratory, Cardiff, Wales
CRG878	<i>C. difficile</i> 630 Δ erm CD3563::intron <i>ermB</i>	This study
CRG1115	<i>C. difficile</i> 630 Δ erm <i>sleC</i> ::intron <i>ermB</i>	This study
CRG1166	<i>C. difficile</i> R20291 <i>sleC</i> ::intron <i>ermB</i>	This study
CRG1375	<i>C. difficile</i> R20291 <i>spo0A</i> ::intron <i>ermB</i>	S. A. Kuehne and N. P. Minton, unpublished data
CRG1555	CRG1115 containing pMTL-DB1 (<i>sleC</i> complementation plasmid)	This study
CRG1556	CRG1115 containing pMTL84151	This study
CRG1628	CRG1166 containing pMTL84151	This study
CRG1634	CRG1166 containing pMTL-DB1 (<i>sleC</i> complementation plasmid)	This study
CRG1651	<i>C. difficile</i> 630 Δ erm containing pMTL84151	This study
CRG1652	<i>C. difficile</i> R20291 containing pMTL84151	This study
Plasmids		
pMTL007C-E2	CloStron plasmid containing <i>catP</i> and intron containing <i>ermB</i> RAM	11
pMTL84151	<i>Clostridium</i> modular plasmid containing <i>catP</i>	13
pMTL-DB1	pMTL84151 containing 1,272-bp <i>SleC</i> coding region and 244-bp upstream promoter region	This study

plete cortex hydrolysis during nutrient germination, although inactivation of both *cwlJ* and *sleB* in *B. subtilis* results in a spore unable to complete this process (15). The role of *SleL* has recently been studied in *Bacillus anthracis*. Mutants unable to produce this enzyme are still able to germinate, but the process is retarded (18).

The SCLs of *Clostridium* are less well studied than those of *Bacillus*. The SCLs *SleC* (20) and *SleM* (6) have been identified in *Clostridium perfringens*, and a recent study demonstrated that *SleC* is required during germination for complete cortex hydrolysis (26). Although *SleM* can degrade spore cortex peptidoglycan and inactivation of both *sleC* and *sleM* decreased the ability of spores to germinate more than inactivation of *sleC* alone did, *SleM* was not essential (26). It has also been shown that the germination-specific serine protease *CspB* is essential for cortex hydrolysis and converts the inactive pro-*SleC* found in dormant spores to an active enzyme (24). So far, there has been no detailed study of any gene responsible for spore germination in *C. difficile*, although genes showing homology to *cwlJ* and *sleB* of *B. subtilis* (CD3563) and *sleC* of *C. perfringens* (CD0551) have now been identified in the *C. difficile* 630 genome (33).

With germinant receptors in *C. difficile* yet to be identified, the mechanism by which the spores sense a suitable environment for germination is unclear. Recent studies have suggested that this process may involve the interaction of *C. difficile* with bile. Taurocholate has been shown to enhance recovery of *C. difficile* spores in nutrient-rich medium (42), and it has been proposed that glycine and taurocholate act as cogerminants (38), while chenodeoxycholate inhibits *C. difficile* spore germination (39).

The emergence of *C. difficile* B1/NAP1/027 strains has increased the burden on health care services worldwide. Such strains have been shown to produce higher levels of toxin in the laboratory than many other types of strains (41), although the

mechanism behind this production is not fully understood. However, while the observed higher levels of toxin production is doubtless important, perhaps the recent attention given to B1/NAP1/027 strains has focused too much on toxins. As spores represent the infectious stage of *C. difficile*, processes such as spore germination may also contribute to the greater virulence of these strains. In this study we evaluated the sporulation and germination efficiencies of an "epidemic" B1/NAP1/027 *C. difficile* strain (R20291, isolated from the Stoke Mandeville outbreak in 2004 and 2005) and the "nonepidemic" strain 630 Δ erm (14). We then constructed strains with mutations in CD3563 (a *cwlJ/sleB* homologue) and a *sleC* homologue to analyze the role of these genes in the germination of *C. difficile* spores.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Strains and growth conditions. Unless indicated otherwise, all *C. difficile* strains were grown at 37°C in an anaerobic workstation (Don Whitley, United Kingdom) in BHIS (brain heart infusion supplemented with L-cysteine [0.1%; Sigma, United Kingdom] and yeast extract [5 mg/ml; Oxoid]) broth or agar, which has been shown to aid *C. difficile* sporulation (38). All *Escherichia coli* strains were grown using Luria-Bertani broth or agar at 37°C. Plasmid DNA was transferred into *C. difficile* 630 Δ erm and R20291 by conjugation from an *E. coli* donor, as previously described (13, 31). Table 1 shows the strains used in this study.

Sporulation of *C. difficile* was achieved by incubating cultures anaerobically in BHIS broth for 5 days at 37°C. To ensure that no spores were present when the sporulation medium was inoculated, a starter culture was prepared in BHIS broth using a 1% inoculum of a *C. difficile* culture and incubated until the optical density at 600 nm (OD_{600}) was between 0.2 and 0.5. The sporulation medium was then inoculated with 0.01 volume of this exponential starter culture. To measure the growth rates of *C. difficile* strains, 1-ml samples were removed from the sporulation medium at different time points and the OD_{600} was measured (Biomat 3; Thermo Scientific).

Measurement of *C. difficile* heat-resistant colony formation. Sporulating cultures of *C. difficile* were prepared as described above. At different times, samples (500 μ l) were removed from the anaerobic chamber and heated at 60°C for 25 min to kill the vegetative cells but not the spores. To control for any effects of

TABLE 2. Oligonucleotides used in this study

Oligonucleotide	Sequence (5'–3')
Intron retargeting	
Cdi-CD3563-226s-IBS	AAAAAAGCTTATAATTATCCTTAATGAGCGACAGGGTGCGCCAGATAGGGTG
Cdi-CD3563-226s-EBS1d	CAGATTGTACAAATGTGGTGATAACAGATAAGTCGCACAGGTTAACTTACCTTTCTTTGT
Cdi-CD3563-226s-EBS2	TGAACGCAAGTTTCTAATTTTCGGTTCTCATCCGATAGAGGAAAGTGTCT
Cdi- <i>sleC</i> -493s-IBS	AAAAAAGCTTATAATTATCCTTAGTAGTCCCTGAAGTGCGCCAGATAGGGTG
Cdi- <i>sleC</i> -493s-EBS1d	CAGATTGTACAAATGTGGTGATAACAGATAAGTCCCTGAATTTAACTTACCTTTCTTTGT
Cdi- <i>sleC</i> -493s-EBS2	TGAACGCAAGTTTCTAATTTTCGATTACTACTCGATAGAGGAAAGTGTCT
Cdi- <i>sleC</i> -128a-IBS	AAAAAAGCTTATAATTATCCTTACATTACTTCTTAGTGCGGCCAGATAGGGTG
Cdi- <i>sleC</i> -128a-EBS1d	CAGATTGTACAAATGTGGTGATAACAGATAAGTCTTCTTAGGTAACCTTACCTTTCTTTGT
Cdi- <i>sleC</i> -128a-EBS2	TGAACGCAAGTTTCTAATTTTCGGTTAATGTCGATAGAGGAAAGTGTCT
EBS Universal	CGAAATTAGAACTTGCCTTCAGTAAAC
ClosTron sequencing	
Spofdx_F1	GATGTAGATAGGATAATAGAATCCATAGAAAATATAGG
pMTL007_R1	AGGGTATCCCCAGTTAGTGTAAAGTCTTGG
Mutant screening	
CD3563_F1	CTTTTAGAACTGTTAATCCACCTAATCCCG
CD3563_R1	CTTTACATTTTGTGTTTAAACAACAACCTAATTTATCGC
<i>sleC</i> _F1	GGAACTAAATTCATTTAAAGAAAGGGTG
<i>sleC</i> _R1 (630Δ <i>erm</i>)	GGCTGTTATGAACTAATATATACCATAAGTATTAC
<i>sleC</i> _R1 (R20291)	GTATTTTACTTATAAGTATTGTAGTCTTAACAGCC
Complementation	
pSleC_F1	TAAAGAATGCGGCCGAGATTATTTCTTTCAAATTTTGTATTATTATGATTATATCAT CTAC
pSleC_R1	TAAAGAATCATATGATCACCCTTTCTTTAAATGAATTTAGTTCCC
SleC_F2	TAAAGAATCATATGCAAGATGGTTTCTTAACAGTAAGCATAATTGATGC
SleC_R2	TAAAGAATCTCGAGATCTCCATGGTTAAATTAAGGATTTAAAGAAGCTATTCTAGTTGTAGC

oxygen exposure during heat treatment, a nonheated sample was also removed from the anaerobic chamber for 25 min. Samples were then returned to the anaerobic chamber, serially diluted in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), and plated onto BHIS agar supplemented with 0.1% taurocholate (Sigma, United Kingdom). *C. difficile spo0A* mutants of both 630Δ*erm* and R20291 were used as sporulation-negative controls (12). Plates were incubated for 24 h before CFU were enumerated. Samples were analyzed in the same way every 24 h for 5 days. The total sporulation after 5 days was measured by counting spores by phase-contrast microscopy using a Bright-Line hemocytometer (Sigma, United Kingdom).

Assaying the viability of *C. difficile* spores by decoating. Sporulation cultures were set up as described above. To prepare pure *C. difficile* spores following 5 days of incubation, cultures were repeatedly washed with ice-cold distilled H₂O (dH₂O) until they contained no cell debris or vegetative cells as observed by phase-contrast microscopy. Spores were stored at –20°C in dH₂O.

Purified spores were decoated by resuspending a spore pellet in 1 ml of 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0)-8 M urea-1% (wt/vol) sodium dodecyl-sulfate-50 mM dithiothreitol and incubating the preparation at 37°C for 90 min as described by Popham and coworkers (29). Following incubation, decoated spores were washed three times in PBS. Samples were then heat treated as described above and plated onto BHIS medium supplemented with lysozyme (1 μg/ml).

Strain construction. Target genes were insertionally inactivated with the ClosTron system as previously described (12), using modular ClosTron plasmid pMTL007C-E2 (11). Table 2 lists the oligonucleotides used for construction of insertional mutants. Erythromycin (2.5 μg/ml) was used to select *C. difficile* 630Δ*erm* integrants, while lincomycin (20 μg/ml) was used for selection of *C. difficile* R20291 integrants (Table 3). Mutants were confirmed by PCR and sequencing.

For complementation studies, a 1,516-bp fragment encompassing the *sleC* structural gene and 5' noncoding region was cloned into plasmid pMTL84151 (13) to generate plasmid pMTL-DB1. The 244-bp 5' noncoding region likely encompassing the *sleC* promoter and the 1,272-bp region containing the *sleC* structural gene were independently amplified by PCR using oligonucleotide primer pairs pSleC_F1/pSleC_R1 and SleC_F2/SleC_R2, respectively. The primers were designed to allow subsequent cleavage of the two fragments generated with NotI/NdeI and NdeI/XhoI, respectively, where the ATG of the NdeI site was synonymous with the translational start codon of *sleC*. The two cleaved fragments were subsequently ligated with plasmid pMTL84151 cut with NotI and

XhoI, which yielded plasmid pMTL-DB1, in which the two fragments were inserted contiguously. The strategy of separating the two regions into two independent fragments was adopted to allow subsequent use of a heterologous promoter if the presence of the 5' noncoding region did not result in expression of *sleC*. As the protein encoded by *sleC* is the same in *C. difficile* 630Δ*erm* and R20291, only one plasmid (pMTL-DB1) was constructed for use with both mutants.

Both the pMTL-DB1 complementation plasmid and a pMTL84151 empty vector control were transferred into *C. difficile* by conjugation, using additional lincomycin (20 μg/ml) selection in *sleC* mutant strains.

TABLE 3. ClosTron insertion frequencies with erythromycin or lincomycin selection

Strain	Target site ^a	Frequency of desired mutant among clones screened ^b	
		%	No. positive/no. screened
<i>C. difficile</i> 630Δ <i>erm</i> CD3563	226s	100	8/8
<i>C. difficile</i> 630Δ <i>erm</i> <i>sleC</i>	493s	0	0/20 ^c
<i>C. difficile</i> 630Δ <i>erm</i> <i>sleC</i>	128a	100	5/5
<i>C. difficile</i> R20291 <i>sleC</i>	128a	100	4/4

^a Introns were inserted after the indicated number of bases from the start of the open reading frame in either the sense (s) or antisense (a) orientation.

^b Genomic DNA was extracted from erythromycin-resistant (630Δ*erm*) or lincomycin-resistant (R20291) clones at random and used in a PCR to amplify the intron-exon junction. One clone of each desired mutant was selected, and the intron insertion site was verified by sequencing.

^c Further screening of a pool of genomic DNA from >100 erythromycin-resistant clones indicated that no desired mutants were present using the base 493 target site. The intron or target site was therefore judged to be inefficient (as occasionally occurs using group II intron technology), so another target (base 128 with the antisense orientation) was chosen for *sleC*.




FIG. 1. Development of *C. difficile* heat-resistant CFU over a 5-day period. Cultures of *C. difficile* 630Δerm (●), *C. difficile* R20291 (▲), and CRG789 (*spo0A*) (▼) were incubated anaerobically at 37°C in BHIS broth. At 24-h intervals, samples were heat treated at 60°C for 25 min before they were plated onto BHIS agar supplemented with 0.1% taurocholate and incubated at 37°C for 24 h. The numbers of heat-resistant CFU per milliliter were determined. The symbols indicate the averages of three independent experiments, and the error bars indicate the standard errors of the means. The detection limit for the assay was 50 CFU/ml.

RESULTS

Comparison of sporulation and germination of *C. difficile* 630Δerm and *C. difficile* R20291. It has been suggested that B1/NAP1/027 “epidemic” strains of *C. difficile* may have different sporulation characteristics than nonepidemic strains (1, 9), although the evidence for this is currently limited. As sporulation is important in the study of spore germination, we decided to study *C. difficile* germination both in a B1/NAP1/027 strain, R20291, and in a nonepidemic strain, 630Δerm. First, we compared the differences in sporulation and germination between these two strains using a series of assays. In this analysis, the development of heat-resistant CFU was observed over a 5-day period (Fig. 1). At different times, samples were heated at 60°C for 25 min before they were plated onto BHIS agar supplemented with 0.1% taurocholate. The resultant colony formation clearly showed that *C. difficile* 630Δerm developed heat-resistant spores more rapidly than R20291. 630Δerm formed countable heat-resistant CFU after 24 h of incubation, while it was only after 48 h that this was the case for R20291. In addition, 630Δerm cultures contained approximately 400-fold more heat-resistant CFU than R20291 cultures after 5 days.

It is possible that the observed difference in heat resistance was not due to sporulation or germination and instead was a result of growth differences between the two strains. The data for non-heat-treated CFU recovered on BHIS agar supplemented with taurocholate after 5 days were also compared, and as the colony formation was found to be 30-fold lower for R20291 than for 630Δerm (Fig. 2), the changes in OD₆₀₀ for both 630Δerm and R20291 were analyzed over a 5-day period. No difference was seen between the two strains (data not shown), which ruled out an elementary growth difference between 630Δerm and R20291 and suggested that the observed difference in the number of non-heat-treated CFU for R20291 was due to the death of nonsporulating cells.

To pinpoint any difference in sporulation between the two




FIG. 2. Numbers of untreated CFU, spores, and heat-resistant CFU of *C. difficile* 630Δerm and *C. difficile* R20291 after 5 days of incubation. Following 5 days of anaerobic incubation at 37°C in BHIS broth, the numbers of heat-resistant CFU were determined. Spore titers were determined by counting spores by phase-contrast microscopy using a Bright-Line hemocytometer (Sigma, United Kingdom). The bars indicate the averages of three independent experiments, and the error bars indicate standard errors of the means. The detection limit for colony counts was 50 CFU/ml, and the detection limit for spore counts was 1.6×10^3 spores.

strains, the cultures used to measure development of heat resistance were analyzed by phase-contrast microscopy, and the numbers of spores per milliliter were determined. *C. difficile* 630Δerm was found to produce approximately 100-fold more spores per milliliter than R20291 (Fig. 2), suggesting that the previously observed difference in heat resistance between the two strains was due in part to sporulation. However, as 630Δerm produced 400-fold more heat-resistant CFU than R20291, we also hypothesized that fewer R20291 spores than 630Δerm spores germinated under the conditions used for our assay. To test the hypothesized differences in germination between 630Δerm and R20291, the observed spore titers were compared to the numbers of heat-resistant CFU on BHIS agar supplemented with taurocholate. It was found that a greater proportion of 630Δerm spores (97%) than of R20291 spores (30%) formed heat-resistant colonies (Fig. 2). Thus, our data suggest not only that the nonepidemic strain *C. difficile* 630Δerm sporulates earlier and to a greater degree than the B1/NAP1/027 isolate R20291 under the growth conditions employed but also that a higher proportion of 630Δerm spores than of R20291 spores form colonies in association with the bile salt taurocholate.

Heat-resistant colony formation by *C. difficile* mutant cultures supplemented with taurocholate. Previous studies have identified CwlJ and SleB as proteins that are important for cortex hydrolysis in *B. subtilis* (15), while SleC is essential for the same process in *C. perfringens* (26). Homologues are present in *C. difficile* 630 (33); the product of CD0551 (annotated as *sleC*) shows 53% amino acid identity to the previously characterized SleC of *C. perfringens* (17, 26), and the product of CD3563 shows 30% and 45% amino acid identity to *B. subtilis* CwlJ and SleB, respectively. Examination of an incomplete genome sequence available at <http://www.sanger.ac.uk>

indicated that equivalent homologues are also present in *C. difficile* R20291. To assess the importance of these genes in *C. difficile* germination, the ClosTron system (12) was used to create independent insertional mutants of *C. difficile* 630 Δ erm in which either the CD3563 or *sleC* gene was inactivated, yielding strains CRG878 and CRG1115, respectively (Table 3 shows intron insertion sites and the frequencies of the desired mutants obtained). These strains were then tested to determine their abilities to form heat-resistant colonies over a 5-day period on BHIS agar supplemented with 0.1% taurocholate (Fig. 3A). Although inactivation of CD3563 had no effect on colony formation, a *sleC* mutant was unable to form heat-resistant CFU at any point over the 5-day period. The data suggest that *sleC* is absolutely required for sporulation and/or taurocholate-induced germination in *C. difficile* 630 Δ erm, while CD3563 plays no obvious role in either process.

In light of our findings of sporulation and germination differences between *C. difficile* 630 Δ erm and R20291, we analyzed the effect of *sleC* inactivation in both strains. In this analysis, an equivalent ClosTron-derived *sleC* mutant of *C. difficile* R20291 (CRG1166) was constructed (Table 3 shows the intron insertion site and frequency of mutants obtained), and the effect on colony formation was determined using the conditions employed for strain 630 Δ erm. This mutant exhibited the same phenotype as its 630 Δ erm counterpart (Fig. 3B), suggesting that the role of *sleC* in *C. difficile* is the same in both strains.

To ensure that the observed phenotypes of the *sleC* mutants of 630 Δ erm and R20291 did not result from growth deficiencies, the change in OD₆₀₀ was used to monitor growth over 5 days. The growth of both mutants was indistinguishable from the growth of their parental strains (data not shown), suggesting that there were no obvious growth defects.

Complementation of *sleC* mutant with parental *SleC*. In order to show that the observed phenotypes of CRG1115 and CRG1166 were a specific consequence of *sleC* inactivation, we performed complementation studies through construction of plasmid pMTL-DB1 carrying the parental *sleC* structural gene and the 244-bp region immediately upstream of the open reading frame presumed to contain its promoter. Strains CRG1555 and CRG1634 were created by introducing this plasmid into *sleC* mutants of *C. difficile* 630 Δ erm and *C. difficile* R20291, respectively. Empty vector control strains CRG1556 (630 Δ erm) and CRG1628 (R20291) were also created through introduction of pMTL84151 into the respective *sleC* mutant strains. Successful plasmid transfer was confirmed by recovery of the plasmid, followed by PCR and/or restriction analysis (data not shown). To control for the thiamphenicol selection needed to maintain the plasmids in culture, strains CRG1651 and CRG1652 were created by introducing pMTL84151 into parental strains 630 Δ erm and R20291, respectively. The development of heat-resistant CFU was then measured, and the data were compared to data obtained previously. The levels of heat-resistant colony formation associated with the taurocholate supplement were fully restored in the *sleC* derivatives of both *C. difficile* 630 Δ erm (Fig. 3A) and R20291 (Fig. 3B) carrying pMTL-DB1 to the levels in the parental strains containing the control vector pMTL84151. In the case of 630 Δ erm, there was a difference between the level obtained for the strain with the plasmid and the level obtained for the plasmid-free parental strain, presumably as a result of growth under thiam-




FIG. 3. Development of heat-resistant CFU of *C. difficile* mutants over 5 days. Cultures of *C. difficile* were incubated anaerobically at 37°C in BHIS broth. Every 24 h, samples were heat treated at 60°C for 25 min before they were plated onto BHIS agar supplemented with 0.1% taurocholate and incubated at 37°C for 24 h. The numbers of heat-resistant CFU per milliliter were determined. The symbols indicate the averages of three independent experiments, and the error bars indicate standard errors of the means. The detection limit for the assay was 50 CFU/ml. (A) ●, *C. difficile* 630 Δ erm; ▲, CRG1115 (*sleC*); ○, CRG1555 (CRG1115 complemented with parental *sleC* gene); □, CRG1556 (CRG1115 harboring pMTL84151 empty vector control); ■, CRG1651 (*C. difficile* 630 Δ erm harboring pMTL84151); ▼, CRG878 (CD3563); ◆, CRG789 (*spo0A*). (B) ○, *C. difficile* R20291; ●, CRG1166 (*sleC*); ■, CRG1634 (CRG1166 complemented with parental *sleC*); ▼, CRG1628 (CRG1166 harboring pMTL84151); ◆, CRG1652 (*C. difficile* R20291 harboring pMTL84151); ▲, CRG1375 (*spo0A*). All data points for CRG1115 and CRG789 in panel A and for CRG1166, CRG1628, and CRG1375 in panel B are overlaid because there was no heat-resistant colony formation.

phenicol selection conditions. The *sleC* mutant controls containing the empty vector performed like the original mutant. Thus, it was possible to complement the heat-resistant CFU defect, indicating that the observed phenotype was due solely to inactivation of *sleC*.

Effect of *sleC* mutation on *C. difficile* sporulation. An inability to develop heat-resistant colonies could be a consequence of a number of possible defects. By definition, a heat-resistant colony represents a successfully sporulated vegetative cell that was able to survive heat treatment, germinate, and grow vegetatively. A reduction in the number of observed CFU following heat shock would suggest that a strain is unable to complete one or more of these processes. To examine the sporulation




FIG. 4. Numbers of heat-resistant CFU and spore titers after 5 days. Spore counts (bars with diagonal lines) and numbers of heat-resistant CFU (filled bars) were determined for (A) *C. difficile* 630Δerm, CRG1115 (*sleC*), CRG878 (CD3563), and CRG789 (*spo0A*) and (B) *C. difficile* R20291, CRG1166 (*sleC*), and CRG1375 (*spo0A*) after 5 days of anaerobic incubation at 37°C in BHIS broth. Following this incubation, numbers of heat-resistant CFU were determined as described in the legend to Fig. 2. Spore titers were obtained by counting spores by phase-contrast microscopy using a Bright-Line hemocytometer (Sigma, United Kingdom). The bars indicate the averages of three independent experiments, and the error bars indicate standard errors of the means. The detection limit for colony counts was 50 CFU/ml, and the detection limit for spore counts was 1.6×10^3 spores.

efficiencies of the mutant strains, spores of all *C. difficile* 630Δerm and R20291 strains were counted after 5 days by using phase-contrast microscopy, and the results were compared to the observed development of heat-resistant CFU (Fig. 4). Except for a sporulation-negative control, in which the master regulator of sporulation *spo0A* was insertionally inactivated (12), the sporulation frequencies of all mutant strains were found to be equivalent to those of the corresponding parental strains. This suggests that *sleC* mutants of both *C. difficile* 630Δerm and *C. difficile* R20291 sporulate at parental levels but are unable to form colonies even in the presence of taurocholate.

Effect of *sleC* mutation on *C. difficile* spore viability. Having shown that the rate of sporulation of an *sleC* mutant was not affected compared to the rate of sporulation of the parental strain for both *C. difficile* 630Δerm and R20291, we next dem-




FIG. 5. Viability of *C. difficile* *sleC* mutant spores. Numbers of heat-resistant CFU were determined for (A) *C. difficile* 630Δerm and CRG1115 (*sleC*) spores and (B) *C. difficile* R20291 and CRG1166 (*C. difficile* R20291 *sleC*) spores. Spore cultures were heated at 60°C for 25 min before they were plated onto BHIS agar (filled bars) or BHIS agar supplemented with 0.1% taurocholate (bars with diagonal lines). Spores were also decoated as described in Materials and Methods before they were plated onto BHIS agar supplemented with 1 μg/ml lysozyme (bars with horizontal lines). The bars indicate the averages of three independent experiments, and the error bars indicate standard errors of the means. The detection limit for the assay was 50 CFU/ml.

onstrated that the previously noted reduction in heat-resistant colony formation was not a result of altered spore heat resistance properties. Decoating of spores has previously been used to distinguish between spore viability and germination phenotypes (26, 29) as decoating and plating with supplemental lysozyme allow a germination-deficient spore to form heat-resistant colonies. Purified spores of both *C. difficile* 630Δerm and R20291 plated without taurocholate formed few heat-resistant CFU compared to spores plated with taurocholate (Fig. 5). Decoating spores of the parental strains resulted in much higher numbers of heat-resistant CFU even in the absence of taurocholate. Purified spores of *sleC* mutants of both *C. difficile* 630Δerm and *C. difficile* R20291 were unable to form heat-resistant CFU on BHIS agar supplemented with taurocholate (Fig. 4), but decoated *sleC* spores formed parental levels of heat-resistant CFU (Fig. 5), confirming that they were viable.

Finally, we observed *C. difficile* spores by phase-contrast microscopy after heat treatment and incubation in BHIS broth supplemented with taurocholate. Spores of *sleC* mutants of both 630Δerm and R20291 appeared to lose their “phase-

bright” characteristics to the same degree as parental spores after 2 h of incubation. This is consistent with the characterization of *sleC* in *C. perfringens* (26) and suggests that *C. difficile* *sleC* mutant spores undergo the initial stages of germination in association with taurocholate but do not complete the process and grow as vegetative cells.

DISCUSSION

In this study we identified a homologue of *sleC* from *C. perfringens* that is essential for complete germination (in the presence of taurocholate) of *C. difficile* spores in both the non-epidemic strain 630 Δ *erm* and the B1/NAP1/027 isolate R20291. Loss of SleC eliminated the development of heat-resistant CFU over 5 days despite spore formation by the parental strain, and the viability of *sleC* mutant spores was proven as their colony-forming ability after heat shock was restored by decoating. It is interesting that SleC is essential for *C. difficile* spore germination and vegetative cell outgrowth. While *Bacillus* has traditionally been thought of as the model genus for spore germination studies and previous work has identified three SCLEs, the *cwlJ*, *sleB*, and *sleL* products (4, 15, 18), these enzymes are not individually essential for complete cortex lysis (15, 22). On the other hand, recent work with *C. perfringens* suggests that the mechanisms of germination are somewhat different in *Clostridium* (26, 27). The identification of SleC as an essential *C. perfringens* SCLE underlined the difference between this clostridial species and the *Bacillus* paradigm (26), and our findings support the hypothesis that there is a generic difference between the germination of *Bacillus* spores and the germination of *Clostridium* spores. Furthermore, while germination-specific serine proteases have been characterized in *C. perfringens* (24, 37) and homologues have been identified in *C. difficile* 630 (33), these proteases are not known to play any role in *Bacillus* germination.

It has been shown that in *B. subtilis* CwlJ is important for CaDPA-induced germination (22), providing evidence that there is a CaDPA-mediated germination signaling pathway in *Bacillus*. In contrast, we found that a *B. subtilis* *cwlJ* homologue, CD3563, plays no obvious role in taurocholate-induced *C. difficile* spore germination. Indeed, recent studies have suggested that SCLEs in *C. perfringens* are not triggered by CaDPA (26), although further analysis of CD3563 is required to understand its importance, if any, to *C. difficile* spore germination. As CD3563 shares homology with both *B. subtilis* *cwlJ* and *sleB*, it is worthwhile to consider the possibility that a variety of functions are possible, although under our assay conditions CD3563 does not seem to play any role. This becomes more important with the knowledge that CD0552 has been annotated as *sleB* in the *C. difficile* 630 genome (33), although the 238-residue protein shares no similarity with any known SCLE.

While we can argue that our characterization of SleC and early studies of a *cwlJ/sleB* homologue suggest similarities between the germination of *C. difficile* spores and the germination of *C. perfringens* spores, it must be noted that there are obvious differences when germination characteristics as a whole are compared. Early characterization of *C. perfringens* germinant receptors indicated that monocistronic *gerA* and *gerKB* operons and a bicistronic *gerKA-gerKC* operon play a

role in the early stages of germination (25, 27), and similar mechanisms have been described for other spore formers. *B. subtilis* has three tricistronic operons, *gerA*, *gerB*, and *gerK* (36), while a tricistronic *gerA* operon has also been identified and studied in both *Clostridium botulinum* and *Clostridium sporogenes* (2, 5). In contrast, none of these systems are present in *C. difficile*. Spore cortex lysis may proceed in similar ways in *C. difficile* and *C. perfringens*, but the circumstances under which the spores germinate likely differ. As a result, identification of *C. difficile* germinant receptors is crucial to understanding *C. difficile* spore germination.

The emergence of *C. difficile* B1/NAP1/027 strains (8) has strengthened the need to understand *C. difficile* spore germination, and our report is the first report of gene characterization by mutagenesis in a B1/NAP1/027 isolate of *C. difficile*. These strains are associated with more severe disease and greater virulence, and although it is still unclear how sporulation and germination in epidemic and non-epidemic strains compare, it has been suggested that the rate of sporulation is higher in particular B1/NAP1/027 isolates (1, 9). Therefore, the data that we present showing that R20291 sporulates and germinates less efficiently than 630 Δ *erm* is perhaps surprising. We showed that *C. difficile* R20291 sporulates slower (Fig. 1) and forms fewer spores than *C. difficile* 630 Δ *erm* over a 5-day period (Fig. 2). Furthermore, a lower number of the observed spores of R20291 than of the observed spores of 630 Δ *erm* formed heat-resistant colonies, indicating that fewer R20291 spores germinate under our assay conditions. These findings indicate that caution should be used when general conclusions are drawn about the properties of types of *C. difficile* strains without an adequate sample size. Studies encompassing a large number of *C. difficile* isolates are necessary to define what role sporulation and germination play in disease.

The absolute requirement for SleC for *C. difficile* to complete bile-induced spore germination could represent a therapeutic target in the health care environment. Spore germination in the patient could be prevented through inhibition of SleC, and this could reduce the ability of *C. difficile* to cause disease. Conversely, activating SleC could force spores to germinate on surfaces. As vegetative cells are far more susceptible to traditional decontamination procedures, this may allow a second, or combined, disinfection step. Thus, dissemination of *C. difficile* infection may be reduced, and control of disease in the health care environment could be a more realistic target.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the BBSRC (grant BBS/S/L/2003/10242), the MRC (grant G0601176), and Morvus Technology Ltd. We thank Jon Brazier for donation of *C. difficile* R20291.

REFERENCES

1. Akerlund, T., I. Persson, M. Unemo, T. Noren, B. Svenungsson, M. Wullt, and L. G. Burman. 2008. Increased sporulation rate of epidemic *Clostridium difficile* type 027/NAP1. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* **46**:1530–1533.
2. Alberto, F., L. Botella, F. Carlin, C. Nguyen-The, and V. Broussolle. 2005. The *Clostridium botulinum* GerAB germination protein is located in the inner membrane of spores. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* **253**:231–235.
3. Bagyan, I., and P. Setlow. 2002. Localization of the cortex lytic enzyme CwlJ in spores of *Bacillus subtilis*. *J. Bacteriol.* **184**:1219–1224.
4. Boland, F. M., A. Atrih, H. Chirakkal, S. J. Foster, and A. Moir. 2000. Complete spore-cortex hydrolysis during germination of *Bacillus subtilis* 168 requires SleB and YpeB. *Microbiology* **146**:57–64.
5. Broussolle, V., F. Alberto, C. A. Shearman, D. R. Mason, L. Botella, C.

- Nguyen-The, M. W. Peck, and F. Carlin. 2002. Molecular and physiological characterisation of spore germination in *Clostridium botulinum* and *C. sporogenes*. *Anaerobe* **8**:89–100.
6. Chen, Y., S. Miyata, S. Makino, and R. Moriyama. 1997. Molecular characterization of a germination-specific muramidase from *Clostridium perfringens* S40 spores and nucleotide sequence of the corresponding gene. *J. Bacteriol.* **179**:3181–3187.
 7. Chirakkal, H., M. O'Rourke, A. Atrih, S. J. Foster, and A. Moir. 2002. Analysis of spore cortex lytic enzymes and related proteins in *Bacillus subtilis* endospore germination. *Microbiology* **148**:2383–2392.
 8. Dawson, L. F., E. Valiente, and B. W. Wren. 16 June 2009, posting date. *Clostridium difficile*—a continually evolving and problematic pathogen. *Infect. Genet. Evol.* doi:10.1016/j.meegid.2009.06.005.
 9. Fawley, W. N., S. Underwood, J. Freeman, S. D. Baines, K. Saxton, K. Stephenson, R. C. Owens, Jr., and M. H. Wilcox. 2007. Efficacy of hospital cleaning agents and germicides against epidemic *Clostridium difficile* strains. *Infect. Control Hosp. Epidemiol.* **28**:920–925.
 10. Gerding, D. N., C. A. Muto, and R. C. Owens, Jr. 2008. Measures to control and prevent *Clostridium difficile* infection. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* **46**:S43–S49.
 11. Heap, J. T., S. A. Kuehne, M. Ehsaan, S. T. Cartman, C. M. Cooksley, J. C. Scott, and N. P. Minton. 3 November 2009, posting date. The CloStron: mutagenesis in *Clostridium* refined and streamlined. *J. Microbiol. Methods.* doi:10.1016/j.mimet.2009.10.018.
 12. Heap, J. T., O. J. Pennington, S. T. Cartman, G. P. Carter, and N. P. Minton. 2007. The CloStron: a universal gene knock-out system for the genus *Clostridium*. *J. Microbiol. Methods* **70**:452–464.
 13. Heap, J. T., O. J. Pennington, S. T. Cartman, and N. P. Minton. 2009. A modular system for *Clostridium* shuttle plasmids. *J. Microbiol. Methods* **78**:79–85.
 14. Hussain, H. A., A. P. Roberts, and P. Mullany. 2005. Generation of an erythromycin-sensitive derivative of *Clostridium difficile* strain 630 (630 Δ erm) and demonstration that the conjugative transposon Tn916 Δ E enters the genome of this strain at multiple sites. *J. Med. Microbiol.* **54**:137–141.
 15. Ishikawa, S., K. Yamane, and J. Sekiguchi. 1998. Regulation and characterization of a newly deduced cell wall hydrolase gene (*cwlI*) which affects germination of *Bacillus subtilis* spores. *J. Bacteriol.* **180**:1375–1380.
 16. Johnson, S., M. H. Samore, K. A. Farrow, G. E. Killgore, F. C. Tenover, D. Lyras, J. I. Rood, P. DeGirolami, A. L. Baltch, M. E. Rafferty, S. M. Pear, and D. N. Gerding. 1999. Epidemics of diarrhea caused by a clindamycin-resistant strain of *Clostridium difficile* in four hospitals. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **341**:1645–1651.
 17. Kumazawa, T., A. Masayama, S. Fukuoka, S. Makino, T. Yoshimura, and R. Moriyama. 2007. Mode of action of a germination-specific cortex-lytic enzyme, SleC, of *Clostridium perfringens* S40. *Biosci. Biotechnol. Biochem.* **71**:884–892.
 18. Lambert, E. A., and D. L. Popham. 2008. The *Bacillus anthracis* SleL (YaaH) protein is an *N*-acetylglucosaminidase involved in spore cortex depolymerization. *J. Bacteriol.* **190**:7601–7607.
 19. Masayama, A., H. Fukuoka, S. Kato, T. Yoshimura, M. Moriyama, and R. Moriyama. 2006. Subcellular localization of a germination-specific cortex-lytic enzyme, SleB, of bacilli during sporulation. *Genes Genet. Syst.* **81**:163–169.
 20. Miyata, S., R. Moriyama, N. Miyahara, and S. Makino. 1995. A gene (*sleC*) encoding a spore-cortex-lytic enzyme from *Clostridium perfringens* S40 spores; cloning, sequence analysis and molecular characterization. *Microbiology* **141**:2643–2650.
 21. Moir, A. 2006. How do spores germinate? *J. Appl. Microbiol.* **101**:526–530.
 22. Paidhungat, M., K. Ragkousi, and P. Setlow. 2001. Genetic requirements for induction of germination of spores of *Bacillus subtilis* by Ca²⁺-dipicolinate. *J. Bacteriol.* **183**:4886–4893.
 23. Paidhungat, M., and P. Setlow. 2000. Role of Ger proteins in nutrient and nonnutrient triggering of spore germination in *Bacillus subtilis*. *J. Bacteriol.* **182**:2513–2519.
 24. Paredes-Sabja, D., P. Setlow, and M. R. Sarker. 23 July 2009, posting date. The protease CspB is essential for initiation of cortex hydrolysis and dipicolinic acid (DPA) release during germination of spores of *Clostridium perfringens* type A food poisoning isolates. *Microbiology.* doi:10.1099/mic.0.030965-0.
 25. Paredes-Sabja, D., P. Setlow, and M. R. Sarker. 2009. Role of GerKB in germination and outgrowth of *Clostridium perfringens* spores. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **75**:3813–3817.
 26. Paredes-Sabja, D., P. Setlow, and M. R. Sarker. 2009. SleC is essential for cortex peptidoglycan hydrolysis during germination of spores of the pathogenic bacterium *Clostridium perfringens*. *J. Bacteriol.* **191**:2711–2720.
 27. Paredes-Sabja, D., J. A. Torres, P. Setlow, and M. R. Sarker. 2008. *Clostridium perfringens* spore germination: characterization of germinants and their receptors. *J. Bacteriol.* **190**:1190–1201.
 28. Popham, D., J. Helin, C. Costello, and P. Setlow. 1996. Muramic lactam in peptidoglycan of *Bacillus subtilis* spores is required for spore outgrowth but not for spore dehydration or heat resistance. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **93**:15405–15410.
 29. Popham, D. L., S. Sengupta, and P. Setlow. 1995. Heat, hydrogen peroxide, and UV resistance of *Bacillus subtilis* spores with increased core water content and with or without major DNA-binding proteins. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **61**:3633–3638.
 30. Poxton, I. R., J. McCoubrey, and G. Blair. 2001. The pathogenicity of *Clostridium difficile*. *Clin. Microbiol. Infect.* **7**:421–427.
 31. Purdy, D., T. A. O'Keefe, M. Elmore, M. Herbert, A. McLeod, M. Bokori-Brown, A. Ostrowski, and N. P. Minton. 2002. Conjugative transfer of clostridial shuttle vectors from *Escherichia coli* to *Clostridium difficile* through circumvention of the restriction barrier. *Mol. Microbiol.* **46**:439–452.
 32. Riggs, M. M., A. K. Sethi, T. F. Zabarsky, E. C. Eckstein, R. L. P. Jump, and C. J. Donskey. 2007. Asymptomatic carriers are a potential source for transmission of epidemic and nonepidemic *Clostridium difficile* strains among long-term care facility residents. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* **45**:992–998.
 33. Sebahia, M., B. W. Wren, P. Mullany, N. F. Fairweather, N. Minton, R. Stabler, N. R. Thomson, A. P. Roberts, A. M. Cerdeno-Tarraga, H. Wang, M. T. G. Holden, A. Wright, C. Churcher, M. A. Quail, S. Baker, N. Bason, K. Brooks, T. Chillingworth, A. Cronin, P. Davis, L. Dowd, A. Fraser, T. Feltwell, Z. Hance, S. Holroyd, K. Jagels, S. Moule, K. Mungall, C. Price, E. Rabinovitch, S. Sharp, M. Simmonds, K. Stevens, L. Unwin, S. Whithead, B. Dupuy, G. Dougan, B. Barrell, and J. Parkhill. 2006. The multidrug-resistant human pathogen *Clostridium difficile* has a highly mobile, mosaic genome. *Nat. Genet.* **38**:779–786.
 34. Setlow, P. 2008. Dormant spores receive an unexpected wake-up call. *Cell* **135**:410–412.
 35. Setlow, P. 2007. I will survive: DNA protection in bacterial spores. *Trends Microbiol.* **15**:172–180.
 36. Setlow, P. 2003. Spore germination. *Curr. Opin. Microbiol.* **6**:550–556.
 37. Shimamoto, S., R. Moriyama, K. Sugimoto, S. Miyata, and S. Makino. 2001. Partial characterization of an enzyme fraction with protease activity which converts the spore peptidoglycan hydrolase (SleC) precursor to an active enzyme during germination of *Clostridium perfringens* S40 spores and analysis of a gene cluster involved in the activity. *J. Bacteriol.* **183**:3742–3751.
 38. Sorg, J. A., and A. L. Sonenshein. 2008. Bile salts and glycine as cogerminants for *Clostridium difficile* spores. *J. Bacteriol.* **190**:2505–2512.
 39. Sorg, J. A., and A. L. Sonenshein. 2009. Chenodeoxycholate is an inhibitor of *Clostridium difficile* spore germination. *J. Bacteriol.* **191**:1115–1117.
 40. Voth, D. E., and J. D. Ballard. 2005. *Clostridium difficile* toxins: mechanism of action and role in disease. *Clin. Microbiol. Rev.* **18**:247–263.
 41. Warny, M., J. Pepin, A. Fang, G. Killgore, A. Thompson, J. Brazier, E. Frost, and L. C. McDonald. 2005. Toxin production by an emerging strain of *Clostridium difficile* associated with outbreaks of severe disease in North America and Europe. *Lancet* **366**:1079–1084.
 42. Wilson, K. H., M. J. Kennedy, and F. R. Fekety. 1982. Use of sodium taurocholate to enhance spore recovery on a medium selective for *Clostridium difficile*. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* **15**:443–446.