The Conserved DNA Binding Protein WhiA Influences Chromosome Segregation in Bacillus subtilis
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ABSTRACT The DNA binding protein WhiA is conserved in Gram-positive bacteria and is present in the genetically simple cell wall-lacking mycoplasmas. The protein shows homology to eukaryotic homing endonucleases but lacks nuclease activity. WhiA was first characterized in streptomycetes, where it regulates the expression of key differentiation genes, including the cell division gene ftsZ, which is essential for sporulation. For Bacillus subtilis, it was shown that WhiA is essential when certain cell division genes are deleted. However, in B. subtilis, WhiA is not required for sporulation, and it does not seem to function as a transcription factor, despite its DNA binding activity. The exact function of B. subtilis WhiA remains elusive. We noticed that whiA mutants show an increased space between their nucleoids, and here, we describe the results of fluorescence microscopy, genetic, and transcriptional experiments to further investigate this phenomenon. It appeared that the deletion of whiA is synthetic lethal when either the DNA replication and segregation regulator ParB or the DNA replication inhibitor YabA is absent. However, WhiA does not seem to affect replication initiation. We found that a ΔwhiA mutant is highly sensitive for DNA-damaging agents. Further tests revealed that the deletion of parAB induces the SOS response, including the cell division inhibitor YneA. When yneA was inactivated, the viability of the synthetic lethal ΔwhiA ΔparAB mutant was restored. However, the nucleoid segregation phenotype remained. These findings underline the importance of WhiA for cell division and indicate that the protein also plays a role in DNA segregation.

IMPORTANCE The conserved WhiA protein family can be found in most Gram-positive bacteria, including the genetically simple cell wall-lacking mycoplasmas, and these proteins play a role in cell division. WhiA has some homology with eukaryotic homing endonucleases but lacks nuclease activity. Because of its DNA binding activity, it is assumed that the protein functions as a transcription factor, but this is not the case in the model system B. subtilis. The function of this protein in B. subtilis remains unclear. We noticed that a whiA mutant has a mild chromosome segregation defect. Further studies of this phenomenon provided new support for a functional role of WhiA in cell division and indicated that the protein is required for normal chromosome segregation.
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The protein WhiA is present in most Gram-positive bacteria, including the genetically simple cell wall-lacking mycoplasmas. WhiA was first characterized in Streptomyces species. In these bacteria, FtsZ is induced at the onset of sporulation, leading to the
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synthesis of septa that divide the tips of aerial hyphae into prespore compartments. Mutations in \textit{whiA} prevent the induction of FtsZ and block sporulation (1–4). WhiA binds DNA and functions as a transcription activator of \textit{ftsZ} and other differentiation genes in \textit{Streptomyces} spp., and recently, it has been shown to regulate \textit{ftsZ} in \textit{Corynebacterium glutamicum} as well (4, 5).

WhiA proteins show some homology to eukaryotic homing endonucleases, and the crystal structure of WhiA from \textit{Thermotoga maritima} reveals a bipartite structure in which a degenerate N-terminal LAGLIDADG homing endonuclease domain is tethered to a C-terminal helix-turn-helix domain. The N-terminal domain has lost residues critical for metal binding and catalysis, and the protein does not show any nuclease activity (6). How exactly WhiA regulates transcription is not yet clear.

In \textit{Bacillus subtilis}, WhiA is essential for growth when certain cell division genes are deleted (7). Cell division begins with the polymerization of FtsZ at midcell into a ring-like structure, the so-called Z-ring. Several conserved proteins support the assembly of the Z-ring, including ZapA that cross-links FtsZ polymers and promotes polymer bundling (8, 9), and the transmembrane protein EzrA (10, 11). Z-ring assembly is regulated by the dynamic protein couple MinCD, which inhibits FtsZ polymerization close to cell poles and nascent septa (12–14), and the nucleoid occlusion protein Noc, which prevents the polymerization of FtsZ over the chromosome (15, 16). \textit{B. subtilis} strains that lack either a functional \textit{zapA}, \textit{minCD}, \textit{ezrA}, or \textit{noc} gene still divide and grow normally. However, when \textit{whiA} is also impaired in these mutants, cells become very filamentous and sick (7). This synthetic lethal cell division defect can be suppressed when \textit{ugtP} is inactivated (7). UgtP transfers glucose from UDP-glucose to diacylglycerol, a key step in the synthesis of glycolipids. However, UgtP also suppresses FtsZ polymerization, and the protein functions as a metabolic sensor that couples nutritional availability to cell division (17).

\textit{B. subtilis} WhiA binds DNA, but in contrast to streptomycetes and \textit{C. glutamicum} WhiA, it does not influence the transcription of \textit{ftsZ} or other cell division genes, and chromatin immunoprecipitation with microarray technology (ChIP-chip) experiments showed that the protein does not specifically bind to either promoter regions or a clear DNA consensus sequence (7). In streptomycetes and \textit{C. glutamicum}, WhiA requires for its activity the auxiliary transcription factor WhiB (called WhcD in \textit{Corynebacterium}) (5, 18, 19). However, homologues of these proteins are not present in \textit{B. subtilis}. The WhiA protein is also not required for sporulation in \textit{B. subtilis}, and it is still unclear what function WhiA fulfils in this model organism. We noticed that the space between nucleoids in \textit{whiA} mutants is slightly larger than in wild-type cells, which prompted us to investigate whether WhiA is important for chromosome segregation. Interestingly, it appeared that the removal of either the chromosome replication and segregation regulator ParB or the DNA replication inhibitor YabA is synthetic lethal in a \textit{whiA} mutant background. Extensive genetic, cell biology, and transcription studies revealed that this phenotype could be attributed to the induction of the SOS response and cell division inhibitor YneA. However, this DNA damage response appeared to be unrelated to the chromosome segregation defect observed in \textit{whiA} mutant cells.

\section*{RESULTS}

\textbf{Nucleoid spacing.} When nucleoids of exponentially growing Δ\textit{whiA} cells were observed under the microscope, they seemed to be further segregated than those of wild-type cells (Fig. 1). Figure 1 shows data from cells grown in rich (LB) medium, and the \textit{whiA} mutant grows slower in this medium than do wild-type cells (Fig. 1A). In Spizizen minimal salt medium (SMM), there is no clear growth rate difference between \textit{whiA} mutant and wild-type cells (see Fig. S1 in the supplemental material). However, also in SMM, the nucleoids of the Δ\textit{whiA} mutant cells are localized further apart (Fig. S1), indicating that this increased nucleoid spacing was not due to growth rate differences. The Δ\textit{whiA} mutant that we used was a markerless mutation, containing a stop codon at the beginning of the gene (32 bp from start codon), ruling out any polar effect on downstream genes.
The increase in nucleoid spacing suggested that WhiA could affect chromosome replication or segregation. To examine this, we looked at the localization of green fluorescent protein (GFP)-tagged proteins, including ParB involved in chromosome replication and segregation (20–22), the bacterial condensin homolog SMC (Structural Maintenance of Chromosomes) involved in chromosome condensation and segregation (23, 24), the DNA polymerase beta subunit DnaN (25, 26), the replication terminator protein Rtp (27, 28), and the DNA packaging protein Hbsu (29, 30). These proteins show distinct DNA localization patterns related to their activities (Fig. 2). ParB and SMC accumulate around and close to the origins of replication (24, 31). The DNA replisome, marked by DnaN-GFP, forms foci at the center of nucleoids (32, 33). Rtp binds to chromosome termini, and Hbsu covers the complete nucleoid (29). However, as indi-
In Fig. 2, we did not notice any difference in the cellular localization of these proteins between wild-type and ΔwhiA mutant cells. The number of ParB foci was also unaffected (Fig. S3A). To check whether chromosomes were more condensed in the whiA mutant, the lengths and widths of Hbsu-mCherry labeled nucleoids were measured. Again, we did not notice significant differences between wild-type and ΔwhiA mutant cells (Fig. S3B and C).

WhiA becomes essential when ParAB are absent. The DNA replication initiator protein DnaA binds to the origin of replication gene (oriC) and recruits the DNA replisome (34–36). ParA activates DnaA, whereas ParB inhibits the activity of ParA (31, 37, 38). ParB also has a role in DNA compaction and segregation, since it promotes the recruitment of the SMC complex to oriC (23, 24). Normally, the absence of ParA and ParB does not result in a noticeable growth defect. However, when we tried to introduce a ΔwhiA mutation into a ΔparAB background, only a few transformants were obtained that grew slowly (Fig. 3A), and it was not possible to grow them up in liquid medium.

To confirm that the absence of WhiA in the ΔparAB background was lethal, we placed whiA under the control of the isopropyl-β-D-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG)-
inducible Pspac promoter, by means of a Campbell integration (see Materials and Methods for details). An extra copy of lacI was introduced at the ectopic aprE locus to increase the LacI concentration, ensuring a tight regulation of the Pspac promoter. As shown in Fig. 3B, the resulting strain (strain LB53) was unable to form normal colonies when IPTG was absent. In liquid medium, the effect of WhiA depletion took several generations before it became noticeable and the optical density (OD) leveled off (Fig. 3C). However, microscopic examination revealed that after approximately 1.5 h of growth without IPTG, the number of cells with aberrant nucleoids became apparent, and after two more hours, the percentages of anucleate cells and cells with nonsegregated nucleoids had risen from 0.1% to 0.6% and 1.7% to 4.3%, respectively, while the

![FIG 3](http://jb.asm.org/) WhiA is essential in a ΔparAB mutant. (A) Transformants of either wild-type (wt) cells or ΔwhiA cells transformed with ΔparAB genomic DNA. (B) Strain LB53, containing the ΔparAB mutation and an IPTG-inducible whiA allele, streaked out on plates with and without 0.1 mM IPTG. (C) Growth curves of strain LB53 grown in LB in the presence or absence of 0.1 mM IPTG. After 60 min, the culture was diluted into fresh medium. (D) At t1 and t2, samples were taken for microscopic analyses: fluorescence microscopy images of cells stained with DAPI (cyan) and FM-95 dye (red) to mark nucleoids and membranes, respectively. Scale bar is 5 μm. Arrowheads indicate anucleate cells and cells with aberrant nucleoids. Examples of more cells are shown in Fig. S4.
number of cells with dissected nucleoids increased from 0% to 2.5% (~750 cells counted) (Fig. 3D, t2, and S4).

**DNA replication initiation.** The parAB genes form a bicistronic operon. To determine whether the synthetic lethal phenotype was caused by the absence of ParB alone, the IPTG-inducible whiA allele was introduced in a wild-type, ΔparA mutant, and ΔparB mutant background strain (resulting in strains LB36, LB418, and LB419, respectively). The ΔparA mutant was constructed so that expression of ΔparB was unaffected (31). A spot dilution assay was used to assess viability when grown in the absence of IPTG. As shown in Fig. 4A, depletion of WhiA in the ΔparB background has the same detrimental effect on colony formation as WhiA depletion in the ΔparAB background, whereas there was no effect in the wild-type background, and the effect on the ΔparA background was only mild.

Since the absence of ParB results in the stimulation of ParA and overinitiation of DNA replication (31), it might be that this effect is lethal when WhiA is absent. To corroborate this, we tested a yabA mutant. YabA is a direct inhibitor of DnaA, and the absence of yabA also results in overreplication (39). We combined the ΔyabA knockout with the IPTG-inducible whiA allele (strain LB534), and as shown in Fig. 4A, depletion of WhiA in the ΔyabA background severely affected growth as well.

These data might suggest that replication initiation is affected in a ΔwhiA mutant. To test this, we analyzed the origin copy number by determining the origin-to-terminus ratio (ori/ter ratio) using quantitative PCR (qPCR) (31). Two whiA mutants were tested, strain KS400 containing a kanamycin resistance cassette insertion, and the markerless whiA mutant (strain KS696). As controls, wild-type cells and ΔparB mutant cells (strain KS382) were used. The different strains were grown in LB medium at 37°C until mid-log phase, after which the ori/ter ratio was determined. Surprisingly, the ori/ter ratio appeared to be unaffected in the whiA mutants (Fig. 4B), indicating that the synthetic lethal phenotype is not directly related to DNA replication initiation.

**Suppressor mutations.** As described in the introduction, the ΔwhiA mutation is synthetic lethal when zapA is knocked out, and this phenotype can be suppressed by inactivation of the glucosyltransferase UgtP (7). To determine whether this phenotype is different from the synthetic lethal phenotype of the ΔwhiA ΔparAΔB double mutant, we examined whether the deletion of ugtP could suppress the lethal ΔwhiA ΔparAB combination. To this end, the IPTG-inducible whiA allele was introduced into a ΔparAB ΔugtP double-mutant background, which also contained an extra copy of lacI to allow tighter regulation of the Pspac promoter (strain LB630). However, a spot dilution assay of this strain grown in the presence and absence of IPTG showed that the ΔugtP mutation did not restore viability when WhiA was depleted (Fig. 4C). This was also not the case when PgcA, which provides the UDP-glucose substrate for the glucosyltransferase UgtP, was absent (Fig. 4C), whereas such a mutation restores the growth of the ΔwhiA ΔzapA double mutant (7). A deletion of pgcA was also not able to restore viability when WhiA was depleted in the ΔyabA background (strain LB728) (Fig. 4C). These results indicated that the synthetic lethality of both the ΔwhiA ΔparAB and the ΔwhiA ΔyabA double mutants is related to a different pathway from the one that is affected in the ΔwhiA ΔzapA double mutant.

**Transcriptome analysis.** To better understand what causes lethality when both whiA and parAB are absent, we analyzed the effect on the transcriptome using RNA sequencing (RNA-seq). Strain LB53 containing the conditional Pspac-whiA allele, an extra copy of lacI, and the ΔparAB mutation (see Fig. 3) was grown in LB with 0.1 mM IPTG, washed, and resuspended in prewarmed LB medium with or without 0.1 mM IPTG. After approximately 100 min of growth (OD at 600 nm [OD600] ~0.5), cells were harvested for RNA isolation. This time period was chosen since aberrant nucleoids became visible in the culture without IPTG, yet the growth rate was still normal, reducing secondary effects due to growth retardation.

The volcano plot in Fig. 5 shows the fold change relative to the P values. The expression differences (fold change) appeared to be surprisingly limited considering...
FIG 4 WhiA depletion effects on colony formation and DNA replication initiation. (A) Viability of WhiA
depletion in either a wild-type (strain LB36), ΔparAB mutant (strain LB53), ΔparA mutant (strain LB418),
ΔparB mutant (strain LB419), or ΔyabA mutant (strain LB534) genetic background assessed using a spot
(Continued on next page)
the clear phenotypic difference, and their downregulation varied between 1.4-fold upregulated and 0.6-fold. Table 1 lists 23 differentially expressed genes with an adjusted \( P \) value of \(<0.05\) and fold difference of \(>0.5\). The downregulation of \(\text{whiA}\) was apparent, although the fold change of only 0.6 suggested that there was still a substantial amount of \(\text{whiA}\) mRNA left in cells grown without IPTG. The downregulation of \(\text{aprE}\) was an artifact caused by the extra \(\text{lacI}\) gene inserted into the \(\text{aprE}\) locus. \(\text{yvcN}\) is located downstream of \(\text{whiA}\) in the operon and therefore is downregulated in cells grown without IPTG. The most upregulated (1.4-fold) gene was \(\text{clpE}\), encoding a chaperone normally upregulated during heat shock (40). Another upregulated stress gene was the essential chaperone \(\text{groEL}\) (1.2-fold). In a previous transcriptome study of a \(\text{whiA}\) mutant, \(\text{clpE}\) was also upregulated (7). In the list of downregulated genes, we found only one stress gene, \(\text{pspA}\) (0.8-fold), involved in membrane stress (41). In conclusion, a reduction in WhiA seems to affect protein folding, but the transcriptome data did not provide a clear explanation as to why the absence of WhiA is lethal in the \(\Delta\text{parAB}\) background.

**SOS response.** The RNA-seq data did not show induction of DNA repair (SOS) genes. This was remarkable considering the observed nucleoid segregation. To ensure that the
key SOS protein RecA was not activated, we looked at the localization of a GFP-RecA reporter fusion (strain LB583). Normally, RecA localizes throughout the cell and is enriched at nucleoids; however, after DNA damage, RecA is activated and forms large filaments over the nucleoid (42) (Fig. 6A). These RecA nucleofilaments mediate homologous DNA pairing (43). After depleting WhiA in the ΔparAB knockout by growing cells without IPTG for approximately an hour, GFP-RecA filaments became visible (Fig. 6B). These filaments were not observed when cells were grown with IPTG (Fig. 6B) and were also not observed in the ΔwhiA single mutant (Fig. 6A). The same results were obtained when WhiA was depleted in the ΔyabA background (Fig. S5).

These data suggested that WhiA is somehow important for DNA integrity. If that is the case, it is likely that a ΔwhiA mutant is more sensitive to DNA-damaging agents. To test this, the ΔwhiA mutant strain containing the kanamycin resistance cassette and the clean whiA operon, unknown protein
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key SOS protein RecA was not activated, we looked at the localization of a GFP-RecA reporter fusion (strain LB583). Normally, RecA localizes throughout the cell and is enriched at nucleoids; however, after DNA damage, RecA is activated and forms large filaments over the nucleoid (42) (Fig. 6A). These RecA nucleofilaments mediate homologous DNA pairing (43). After depleting WhiA in the ΔparAB knockout by growing cells without IPTG for approximately an hour, GFP-RecA filaments became visible (Fig. 6B). These filaments were not observed when cells were grown with IPTG (Fig. 6B) and were also not observed in the ΔwhiA single mutant (Fig. 6A). The same results were obtained when WhiA was depleted in the ΔyabA background (Fig. S5).

These data suggested that WhiA is somehow important for DNA integrity. If that is the case, it is likely that a ΔwhiA mutant is more sensitive to DNA-damaging agents. To test this, the ΔwhiA mutant strain containing the kanamycin resistance cassette and the clean whiA-knockout strain (ΔwhiA* mutant) were exposed on a plate to the DNA-damaging agent mitomycin C in a colony spot assay. As shown in Fig. 7A, both whiA mutants were highly sensitive to mitomycin C. In fact, we were unable to obtain a ΔwhiA ΔrecA double knockout (data not shown). Figure 7A also shows that the ΔparAB mutant itself was not that much more sensitive to mitomycin C than wild-type cells.

**Cell division inhibitor YneA.** In many bacteria, the SOS response blocks cell division, and in *B. subtilis*, YneA is responsible for this blockage (44). The protein inhibits FtsZ polymerization by an as-yet-unknown mechanism (45). Since previous research showed that WhiA is required for cell division under certain conditions, the question arises as to whether the activation of YneA due to DNA damage and subsequent SOS response cause the sensitivity of the ΔwhiA mutant to mitomycin C. To test this, we removed the yneA operon (ΔyneABC) in the ΔwhiA mutant. Indeed, as shown in Fig. 7A, the removal of YneA increases the resistance of the ΔwhiA mutant to mitomycin C.

**TABLE 1 Transcriptome analysis of strain LB53 grown in the absence or presence of IPTG**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>FC</th>
<th>P value</th>
<th>Function</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>clpE</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.0005</td>
<td>ATPase subunit of the ClpE-ClpP protease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>purK</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.0110</td>
<td>Purine biosynthesis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>purE</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.0199</td>
<td>Purine biosynthesis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yrzJ</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.0094</td>
<td>Unknown protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yrmQ</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.0143</td>
<td>Unknown protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>truA</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.0153</td>
<td>tRNA modification, pseudouridylate synthase I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yabE</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.0202</td>
<td>Similar to cell wall binding protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yobE</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.0287</td>
<td>Similar to general secretion pathway protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ywdA</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.0302</td>
<td>Unknown protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>guaC</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.0315</td>
<td>Purine salvage and interconversion, GMP reductase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>groEL</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.0317</td>
<td>Protein folding and refolding, chaperonin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aprE</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>5.02E-12</td>
<td>Countertranscript from integrated lacI copy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>whiA</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1.95E-7</td>
<td>whiA operon, unknown protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yvL</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.0024</td>
<td>whiA operon, unknown protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pspA</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.0141</td>
<td>pspA operon, cell envelope stress proteins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ydiG</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.0050</td>
<td>pspA operon, unknown protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ydiH</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.0118</td>
<td>pspA operon, unknown protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ydiJ</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.0061</td>
<td>pspA operon, unknown protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lytB</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.0103</td>
<td>Modifier protein of major autolysin LytC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tmSL-Val1</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.0061</td>
<td>Translation, tRNA-Val</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tmB-Arg</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.0214</td>
<td>Translation, tRNA-Arg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tmJ-Val</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.0225</td>
<td>Translation, tRNA-Val</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yhfS</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.0214</td>
<td>Similar to acetyl-CoA C-acetyltransferase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yepL</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.0187</td>
<td>Unknown protein</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a* LB53 contains the ΔparAB mutation and whiA under the control of the IPTG-inducible Pspac promoter. An extra lacI repressor was inserted into the aprE locus. Genes with a P value of <0.05 and fold change (FC) of >0.5 are listed. The data are based on three biological replicates.

*b* CoA, coenzyme A.
Importantly, when the ΔyneABC mutation was introduced in the ΔparAB background, it became possible to deplete WhiA without greatly affecting colony formation (Fig. 7B). In fact, we were able to obtain a ΔyneABC ΔparAB ΔwhiA triple knockout (Fig. S6 and S7). However, this triple mutant still showed a high frequency of aberrant nucleoids, similar to what was observed when WhiA was depleted in the ΔparAB background (Fig. 7C and S4B). Nevertheless, cell length was restored and comparable to that of wild-type cells (Fig. S6C). Clearly, the lethality of the ΔwhiA ΔparAB double mutant is caused by a blockage of cell division.

**FIG 6** Depletion of WhiA in a ΔparAB mutant activates RecA. (A) Left, fluorescence microscopy images of exponentially growing wild-type cells expressing a GFP-RecA reporter (strain UG10) exposed to a sublethal concentration (50 ng/ml) of mitomycin C for 1 h (+MMC). Right, ΔwhiA mutant cells expressing a GFP-RecA reporter (strain LB557). (B) Fluorescence microscopy images of ΔparAB mutant strain LB583 expressing the GFP-RecA reporter and whiA under the control of the IPTG-inducible Pspac promoter. Cells were grown in the presence or absence of IPTG for 1 h. Cells were stained with DAPI (cyan) and FM-95 (red) to mark nucleoids and cell membranes, respectively. Arrowheads indicate RecA filaments. Scale bars for panels A and B are 2 μm and 5 μm, respectively.
SOS induction. The above-mentioned results suggested that the induction of YneA was the primary cause for the observed synthetic lethal phenotype. However, there was no evidence for SOS induction in our transcriptome data. Possibly, the relatively short depletion time of 100 min, which reduced \( \text{whiA} \) levels by only 0.6-fold, was not sufficient to activate the SOS response. To check this, we measured \( \text{yneA} \) and \( \text{recA} \) expression by constructing \( \beta \)-galactosidase (\( \text{lacZ} \)) promoter reporter fusions. The promoter fusions were inserted into the \( \Delta \text{parAB} \) strain, resulting in strains LB649 and LB648, respectively. Compared to wild-type cells, there is a clear induction of both the \( \text{yneA} \) and \( \text{recA} \) promoters (Fig. 8B and D, compare left and middle columns). However, there was no difference between growth in the presence and absence of IPTG. This suggested that the SOS response was already activated by the absence of ParAB alone. Indeed, when we transformed the promoter reporters into the \( \Delta \text{parAB} \) single-mutant strains, we
observed a clear activation of both promoters in the ΔparAB background but not in the ΔwhiA background (Fig. 8Band D, right columns). In fact, these promoters were also more active in a ΔyabA background (Fig. 8B and D, right panels). Thus, the synthetic lethality of WhiA depletion in ΔparAB and ΔyabA mutant strains is caused by the constitutive expression of YneA in these backgrounds. This also explains why we did not observe SOS induction in the transcriptome experiment, as both the IPTG-grown and non-IPTG-grown samples contained the ΔparAB mutation.
DISCUSSION

Despite the fact that WhiA is conserved in Gram-positive bacteria and is required for optimal growth in *B. subtilis*, surprisingly little is known about its mechanistic role. The only other organisms in which the function of WhiA has been studied are streptomycetes and *C. glutamicum*, and in these organisms, the protein functions as a transcription factor (4, 5, 19). In *B. subtilis*, WhiA also binds DNA, but the protein does not bind specifically to promoter regions and does not seem to function as a transcription factor in this organism (7).

Previously, we have shown that WhiA becomes essential in *B. subtilis* when either *zapA*, *minCD*, or *noc* is deleted. MinCD and Noc inhibit the polymerization of FtsZ, and ZapA and EzrA bind to FtsZ to form the Z-ring. In the current study, we have shown that a Δ*whiA* mutation is very sensitive for the induction of the SOS response-related cell division inhibitor YneA, which delays FtsZ-ring assembly (45). This fits well with our previous findings that pointed toward a functional role of WhiA in the assembly of the Z-rings. However, we argue that the functional role of WhiA is more complicated and extends to chromosome maintenance.

First, we were unable to construct a Δ*whiA ΔrecA* double knockout. RecA cleaves LexA, the transcriptional repressor of the SOS regulon (46), and RecA is necessary for the induction of *yneA* (44). Therefore, the synthetic lethality of a Δ*whiA ΔrecA* double knockout suggests that WhiA is required for processes other than Z-ring formation. Second, a Δ*whiA* mutant shows an increased distance between nucleoids, indicative of a chromosome segregation defect, and this defect is not restored when *yneA* is deleted. Third, depletion of WhiA in the Δ*parAB* background not only caused cell filamentation, indicative of cell division blockage, but it also resulted in anucleate cells and cells with deformed nucleoids, which again are not restored when YneA is absent.

As far as we know, SOS induction in *parAB* mutants has not been reported before. ParB is involved in loading of the bacterial condensin homolog SMC onto the chromosome (23, 24), and the absence of ParB interferes with both segregation and organization of the genome (47, 48). SMC also interacts with the DNA helicase AddAB, which is essential for recombinational DNA repair, and an smc mutant is highly sensitive for mitomycin C (49). Possibly, the impaired loading of SMC onto the chromosome in the absence of ParB interferes with its interaction with AddAB and may therefore lead to activation of the SOS response in a *parAB* mutant. However, the deletion of *yabA* also induces the SOS response. YabA interacts with DnaA and prevents the proper oligomerization of DnaA on *oriC* (50). YabA also tethers DnaA to the polymerase clamp protein DnaN (51). Possibly, the activation of the SOS response in the Δ*yabA* mutant is related to this, since DnaN serves as a platform for mismatch detection and coupling of repair to DNA replication (52). On the other hand, the absence of both ParB and YabA leads to overreplication of DNA, and it is plausible that this will cause problems when DNA segregation is affected, as is the case in cells lacking WhiA.

In conclusion, in *B. subtilis* WhiA seems to play a role in both cell division and DNA segregation. This pleiotropic role suggests that the protein is involved in a rather basal cellular process, which explains why WhiA is conserved and is even present in the reduced genomes of mycoplasmas.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bacterial strains and growth conditions. Luria-Bertani (LB) medium was used for routine selection and maintenance of both *B. subtilis* and *Escherichia coli* strains. Minimal medium was based on Spizizen’s minimal medium (SMIM) (53) and consisted of 2 g/liter (NH₄)₂SO₄, 14 g/liter K₂HPO₄, 6 g/liter KH₂PO₄, 1 g/liter sodium citrate, 2 g/liter MgSO₄, 5 g/liter glucose, 2 g/liter tryptophan, 0.2 g/liter Casamino Acids, and 2.2 g/liter ammonium ferric citrate. All strains were grown at 37°C, or at 30°C when GFP reporter fusions were expressed. Supplements were added at the following concentrations: 20 mg/ml tryptophan, 100 mg/ml ampicillin, 5 mg/ml chloramphenicol, 5 mg/ml kanamycin, 100 mg/ml spectinomycin, 10 mg/ml tetracycline, 1 mg/ml erythromycin, 0.1 mM IPTG, and 0.1% (wt/vol) xylose. The *B. subtilis* strains used in this study are listed in Table S1 in the supplemental material. The mutant strains provided by other labs were transformed into our laboratory strain to ensure isogenic backgrounds.
WhiA depletion strains were always grown in the presence of the selection marker (erythromycin), due to the Campbell type integration of the Pspac-whiA construct into the whiA locus. Cells from a single colony were inoculated into LB medium with 0.1 mM IPTG and grown at 37°C to an OD₆₀₀ of -1. Subsequently, the cells were harvested, washed in prewarmed LB medium, resuspended to an OD₆₀₀ of 0.01, and grown in the absence of IPTG.

**Strain constructions.** Molecular cloning, PCRs, and transformations were carried out by standard techniques. The plasmids and oligonucleotides used in this study are listed in Tables S2 and S3, respectively.

The whiA gene was deleted by replacing its coding sequence with a tet resistance cassette. The region approximately 4 kb upstream and downstream of the coding sequence of whiA was amplified using the LH10-LH11 and LH12-LH13 oligonucleotide pairs, and using the genomic DNA of strain 168 as the template. The tet cassette was amplified using the oligonucleotides LH7-LH8 and the plasmid pBEST309 (54) as the template. BamHI and PstI restriction sites were inserted into the primers. The PCR fragments were digested with the same restriction enzymes, and the ligation reaction mixture was assembled with equimolar concentrations of each fragment in a total volume of 10 µl. Competent cells of *B. subtilis* were directly transformed with the ligation reaction. Transformants were selected on antibiotic plates and verified by PCR, restriction enzyme digestion, and sequencing, and the resulting strain was labeled LB21.

Plasmid pMutlYvcL (7) was transformed into *B. subtilis*, resulting in single-crossover (Campbell type) integration positioning the IPTG-inducible Ps pac promoter upstream of whiA. To allow tight regulation of whiA expression, an extra copy of lacI was introduced by transforming plasmid pAPNC213 (55), which integrates into the apoE locus and contains a lacI gene, resulting in strain LB36. Competent cells of the strain LB36 were transformed with genomic DNA of a ΔparAB mutant (strain HM31) (31), resulting in strain LB53.

A xylene-inducible GFP-RecA fusion was constructed as follows. A PCR fragment containing recA was amplified with the UG01b-UG02 oligonucleotide pair and genomic DNA of strain 168 as the template. Xhol and EcoRI restriction sites, a flexible linker, and terminator were inserted into the primers. The PCR product and the amyE integration vector pSG1729 (56) were digested with Xhol and EcoRI restriction enzymes and ligated. The resulting plasmid was verified by sequencing and transformed into *B. subtilis* competent cells, resulting in strain UG10.

recA and yneA promoter reporters were constructed by amplifying the promoter regions with the LB141-LB142 and LB145-LB146 primer pairs, respectively, and using the genomic DNA of strain 168 as the template. The amyE integration vector pPG40 (57) containing the β-galactosidase gene (lacZ) was amplified with LB141-LB144 and LB53 sensing primers for cloning PreA and PyneA, respectively. Overlapping sequences (20 nucleotides [nt]) were inserted into the primers to the adjacent sequences of interest for cloning using the one-step isothermal assembly method (58). In short, equimolar concentrations of the two DNA fragments sharing terminal sequence overlaps (20 nt) were mixed with T5 exonuclease (New England BioLabs [NEB]), Phusion high-fidelity DNA polymerase (NEB), and Taq DNA ligase (NEB) in a total volume of 10 µl. The reaction mixtures were incubated at 50°C for 1 h. The resulting plasmids pLB74 and pLB75 were verified by restriction enzyme digestion and sequencing and transformed into *B. subtilis* competent cells, resulting in strains LB641 and LB642, respectively.

The parA single mutant (strain HM161) was constructed elsewhere (31) and was transformed into our laboratory wild-type strain to ensure an isogenic background (strain KS383). The parA in-frame deletion was designed so that expression of ParB is not affected (Heath Murray, personal communication).

**Microscopy.** Membranes were stained with the fluorescent dye FM-95, and the DNA was stained with 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI). Cells were mounted on microscope slides covered with a thin film of 1% agarose. Microscopy was performed on an inverted fluorescence Nikon Eclipse Ti microscope.

The digital images were acquired and analyzed with ImageJ version 1.48d (National Institutes of Health).

**Quantitative PCR.** To assess the ori/ter ratio, DNA isolation and qPCR were performed as previously described (31). In brief, cells were grown to exponential phase, and 0.5% sodium azide was added to 1 ml of cell suspension. The DNA was isolated using the DNeasy blood and tissue kit (Qiagen). For each PCR, 2 µl of the qOri-F-qOri-R or qTer-F-qTer-R primer pair (3 µM), 10 µl of SYBR green PCR master mix (Applied Biosystems), and 8 µl of 400×-diluted chromosomal DNA were mixed. The qPCR was performed using the Roche LightCycler 480 instrument. Spore DNA, where the ori/ter ratio is expected to be 1, was used to normalize the qPCRs. The relative ori/ter ratio was calculated from the difference in the cycle number when fluorescence crosses an arbitrary line ([Cp]).

**Transcriptome analysis.** Cells (2-ml cultures) were spun down (Eppendorf centrifuge at 14,000 rpm and 4°C for 30 s), resuspended in 0.4 ml ice-cold growth medium, and added to a screw-cap Eppendorf tube containing 1.5-g glass beads (0.1 mm), 500 µl phenol-chloroform-isomyl alcohol (25:24:1), 50 µl 10% SDS, and 50 µl RNase-free water (59). All solutions were prepared with diethylpyrocarbonate (DEPC)-treated water. After vortexing, tubes were frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80°C. Cells were broken using a bead beater for 4 min at room temperature. After 5 min of Eppendorf centrifugation (2 min, 10,000 rpm, 4°C), the water phase (~400 µl) was transferred to a clean tube containing 400 µl chloroform. After vortexing and centrifugation (2 min, Eppendorf centrifuge, 14,000 rpm, 4°C), the water phase (~300 µl) was transferred to a clean tube, and RNA was isolated with a High Pure RNA isolation kit (Roche Diagnostics GmbH, Mannheim, Germany). RNA was eluted in 50 µl elution buffer and quantified using a NanoDrop 1000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific), yielding >3 µg total RNA per sample, a 260/230 ratio above 2.0, and a 260/280 ratio above 2.1. The TapeStation system (Agilent) was used for checking the integrity of the RNA, and RNA integrity number (RIN) values of 8.3 to 9.2 were obtained.
For next-generation sequencing, RNA depletion was performed on the total RNA using the Riboprobe Zero RNA removal kit (Gram-positive bacteria) (Illumina). Barcoded RNA libraries were generated according to the manufacturer’s protocols using the Ion Total RNA-Seq kit version 2 and the Ion Xpress RNA-Seq barcoding kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The size distribution and yield of the barcoded libraries were assessed using the 2200 TapeStation system with Agilent D1000 ScreenTape (Agilent Technologies). Sequencing templates were prepared on the Ion Chef system using the Ion PI Hi-Q Chef kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Sequencing was performed on an Ion Proton system using an Ion PI version 3 Chip (Thermo Fisher Scientific), according to the instructions of the manufacturer.

After quality control and trimming, the sequence reads were mapped onto the genome (bacterial draft accession NCBI assembly no. GCA_000009045.1) using the Torrent Mapping Alignment Program (60). The Ion Proton system generates sequence reads of various lengths, and this program combines a short-read algorithm (61) and long-read algorithm (62, 63) in a multistage mapping approach. The gene expression levels were quantified using HTSeq (64). The data were normalized and analyzed for differential expression using R statistical software and the DESeq2 package (65).

β-Galactosidase activity assay. β-Galactosidase assays were performed as described by Daniel et al. (66) and enzymatic activity calculated as described by Miller (67).
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