Ethanol Decreases *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* Flagellar Motility through the Regulation of Flagellar Stators
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**ABSTRACT** *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* frequently encounters microbes that produce ethanol. Low concentrations of ethanol reduced *P. aeruginosa* swim zone area by up to 45% in soft agar. The reduction of swimming by ethanol required the flagellar motor proteins MotAB and two PilZ domain proteins (FlgZ and PilZ). PilY1 and the type 4 pilus alignment complex (comprising PilMNOP) were previously implicated in MotAB regulation in surface-associated cells and were required for ethanol-dependent motility repression. As FlgZ requires the second messenger bis-(3′-5′)-cyclic dimeric GMP (c-di-GMP) to represses motility, we screened mutants lacking genes involved in c-di-GMP metabolism and found that mutants lacking diguanylate cyclases SadC and GcbA were less responsive to ethanol. The double mutant was resistant to its effects. As published previously, ethanol also represses swarming motility, and the same genes required for ethanol effects on swimming motility were required for its regulation of swarming. Microscopic analysis of single cells in soft agar revealed that ethanol effects on swim zone area correlated with ethanol effects on the portion of cells that paused or stopped during the time interval analyzed. Ethanol increased c-di-GMP in planktonic wild-type cells but not in ΔmotAB or ΔsadC ΔgcbA mutants, suggesting c-di-GMP plays a role in the response to ethanol in planktonic cells. We propose that ethanol produced by other microbes induces a regulated decrease in *P. aeruginosa* motility, thereby promoting *P. aeruginosa* colocalization with ethanol-producing microbes. Furthermore, some of the same factors involved in the response to surface contact are involved in the response to ethanol.

**IMPORTANCE** Ethanol is an important biologically active molecule produced by many bacteria and fungi. It has also been identified as a potential marker for disease state in cystic fibrosis. In line with previous data showing that ethanol promotes biofilm formation by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, here we report that ethanol reduces swimming motility using some of the same proteins involved in surface sensing. We propose that these data may provide insight into how microbes, via their metabolic by-products, can influence *P. aeruginosa* colocalization in the context of infection and in other polymicrobial settings.
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Ethanol is a common microbial fermentation product that, in culture supernatants, can vary widely from 0.3% to 0.8% (~45 to 140 mM) to much higher concentrations depending on growth conditions (1–4). For diverse microbes, ethanol can serve as a carbon source and a signaling molecule. For example, fungal gardens formed as part of a symbiosis between ambrosia beetles and their fungal symbionts, *Ambrosiella* and *Raffaelea*, are preferentially localized to sites with higher ethanol (5). In the parasite *Toxoplasma gondii*, low concentrations of ethanol (<200 mM, or 1.2%) facilitate an increase in the second messenger inositol 1,4,5-triphosphate, resulting in increased intracellular calcium and increased host colonization (6, 7). In *Acinetobacter baumanii*,...
a Gram-negative opportunistic pathogen, ethanol causes an increase in virulence and biofilm formation and repression of motility through many mechanisms, some not yet described (8–10). Oral streptococci have been shown to use ethanol as a carbon source, as a majority of these microbes usually express three alcohol dehydrogenases at a given time (11).

Ethanol is also known to be produced in the context of infections, such as in the lungs of individuals with cystic fibrosis (CF), a genetic disorder that results in an accumulation of thick mucus in the airways (12–16). In addition to Pseudomonas aeruginosa, CF lung infections often contain other microbes, many of which are capable of producing ethanol (17). Metabolomic and nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) studies examining the bronchoalveolar lavage fluid (BALF) and exhaled breath condensate (EBC) of patients with CF indicate that volatiles such as ethanol are present in the CF lung (12, 13, 15, 16).

In P. aeruginosa, exogenous ethanol, such as that produced by the fungus Candida albicans, alters phenazine production and promotes biofilm formation on plastic and airway cells (18). Ethanol also leads to increased Pel matrix production and decreased surface motility, two factors that are necessary for biofilm formation and maturation (18–20). In this setting, the ethanol-dependent stimulation of the second messenger, cyclic di-GMP (c-di-GMP), via WspR, a diguanylate cyclase (DGC), caused increased Pel matrix production and motility repression (18). WspR is among the ~40 enzymes in P. aeruginosa thought to metabolize c-di-GMP, including other DGCs (21), c-di-GMP-degrading phosphodiesterases (PDEs) (22–24), and proteins that possess both activities. In P. aeruginosa and other pseudomonads, c-di-GMP metabolic enzymes have additional domains (e.g., PAS, REC, HAMP, CAHCE, and GAF) that can sense external stimuli or promote protein-protein interactions in order to modulate enzyme activities at appropriate times (25–27) or in response to specific cues (26). In addition to the c-di-GMP metabolic enzymes, P. aeruginosa has eight effector proteins with PilZ domains that bind c-di-GMP at various affinities to affect many behaviors, including biofilm formation and motility (28, 29).

In pseudomonads and other bacteria, motility repression occurs in multiple ways, including (i) obstruction of the flagellum by exopolysaccharides (30, 31), (ii) transcriptional downregulation of flagellar gene expression (32, 33), (iii) loss of flagellar rotation by c-di-GMP-bound effector proteins and their interactions with flagellum motor components (32, 34, 35), (iv) sequestration of flagellar motor proteins by c-di-GMP-bound effectors (29, 36, 37), and (v) inhibition of flagellar rotation switching (clockwise versus counterclockwise) (38–40). In most Gram-negative bacteria, the flagellar motor is composed of two structures, the rotor (FliG, FliM, and FliN), which determines clockwise or counterclockwise rotation (the switch complex), and the stator (MotA and MotB), which generates torque for flagellar rotation powered by proton motive force (41–43). Pseudomonads have a second stator set (MotCD) that is incorporated into the stator complex to facilitate optimal motor function (29, 36, 44, 45). In P. aeruginosa, the two stator sets have distinct roles: MotAB is required to reduce swarm motility when c-di-GMP levels are high, while MotCD is critical for promoting swimming and swarm motility (36, 44).

In the present study, we outline a pathway by which low concentrations of ethanol repress swimming and swarming motility in P. aeruginosa. Genetic screens of mutant collections identified the MotAB flagellar motor proteins, two PilZ domain c-di-GMP effector proteins (FlgZ and PilZ), and two DGCs, SadC and GcbA, as components required for ethanol-dependent motility repression. In addition, PilY1 and the PilMNOP proteins, components of the type 4 pilus (T4P) machinery that are involved in surface sensing, were also required for the ethanol responses in 0.3% (soft) agar and in swarming. Microscopic analysis of the wild type showed that ethanol increased the fraction of cells that were paused or nonmotile within the 8-s time interval analyzed. In contrast, the mutants that were resistant to the effects of ethanol in macroscopic assays did not show a reduction in the fraction of cells that were continuously swimming with ethanol, with the exception of the ΔsadC ΔgcbA strain. We also show that in planktonic
cells, ethanol led to an increase in c-di-GMP that required SadC and GcbA. Taken together with previous studies (18, 37), we propose that ethanol, a common metabolite produced by microbes, acts as a signal to rapidly repress *P. aeruginosa* swimming and swarming motility and induces matrix production to promote biofilm initiation. The proteins involved in the response to ethanol overlap significantly with those involved in the response to surface contact.

**RESULTS**

Subinhibitory concentrations of ethanol repress *P. aeruginosa* PA14 swimming motility independent of changes in growth rate, extracellular matrix production, or catabolism. We previously showed that ethanol (1%) stimulates *P. aeruginosa* attachment to glass and plastic, microcolony formation on airway cells, and pellicle formation and reduces swarming motility across agar surfaces in part through the stimulation of Pel extracellular matrix production (18, 46). To further study how subinhibitory concentrations of ethanol enhance biofilm, we assessed the effects of ethanol on flagellar motility using a 0.3% (soft) agar motility assay. Ethanol led to dose-dependent decreases in swim zone size (Fig. 1A), with a 10% decrease in swim zone area at 0.25% ethanol, and a 39% reduction in swim zone area in medium with 1% ethanol (Fig. 1A). The effects of 1% ethanol on swim zone size were still evident when succinate replaced glucose as the major carbon source. Swim zone area in M63 plus succinate plus CAA was 1,018 ± 46 mm² under control conditions and 521 ± 39 mm² with 1% ethanol for an overall decrease in motility of 49% (P < 0.05 by t test, n = 4).

To assess whether the decrease in motility observed in ethanol was attributable to differences in the rate of growth, we performed a 24-h growth kinetic experiment with wild-type *P. aeruginosa* PA14. We showed that at 1% ethanol, there was no difference in growth from that in control cultures (Fig. 1B). At 2% ethanol, growth was slightly inhibited, and at 5% ethanol, growth was completely inhibited (data not shown). We chose 1% ethanol as our concentration for all further experiments in this study.

Previously published work from our laboratory showed that *P. aeruginosa* increases Pel matrix in a WspR-dependent manner in response to 1% ethanol (18). Alginate and Pel matrix production in *P. aeruginosa* have both been implicated in biofilm formation and motility repression, possibly via steric hindrance of the flagellum (19, 20). Although ethanol activates WspR-dependent production of Pel polysaccharide matrix in cells on 1.5% agar or a hard surface (18), neither PelA nor WspR was required for the reduction in swim zone area (Fig. 1C). Alginate was also not required for reducing the swim zone area in the presence of ethanol, as two mutants altered in alginate production, ΔalgD and ΔalgU strains, showed similar levels of swim zone reduction (51% decrease in swim zone area for both, P < 0.05) as the wild type (Fig. 1D).

Although *P. aeruginosa* can catabolize ethanol (47, 48), ethanol catabolism is not required for the stimulation of biofilm formation or induction of Pel matrix (18). Similarly, we found that reduction in swim zone area by ethanol still occurred in a ΔexaA mutant, which cannot grow with ethanol as a carbon source (18). The ΔexaA strain still showed a 49% decrease in motility in soft agar with 1% ethanol (Fig. 1E). Overall, these data indicated that motility repression in medium with 1% ethanol was not a result of a change in growth rate or increased matrix production, nor did it require ethanol catabolism.

Ethanol-dependent motility repression is mediated by the MotAB stator. In *P. aeruginosa*, the ability of the flagellum to rotate, and thereby move the cell, is dependent on two proton-driven stator sets, MotAB and MotCD. Both of these stators are capable of generating torque to turn the flagellum, though they are not equal (36, 44, 45, 49–51). Previous work showed that *P. aeruginosa* motility is regulated by the two stator sets via a stator exchange mechanism (29, 36, 37). MotAB incorporation into the flagellum was associated with repressed motility in surface-associated cells (29, 36, 37).

We found that the ΔmotAB mutant did not show reduced swim zone size upon inclusion of ethanol in the medium (Fig. 2). In fact, ethanol caused a modest but significant 13% increase in swim zone area in the ΔmotAB mutant (Fig. 2). The ΔmotCD mutant formed a swim zone that was ~90% smaller than that of the wild type in the
Absence of ethanol (36) (Fig. 2), and like the ΔmotAB mutant, the ΔmotCD mutant formed a larger swim zone in the presence of ethanol. A ΔflgK mutant that lacks a flagellum and is nonmotile demonstrated the size of the swim zone of a strain lacking flagellar motility (Fig. 2). Overall, these data support the conclusion that the MotAB and MotCD stator sets are required for ethanol to affect swim zone area.

Ethanol-dependent motility repression requires two PilZ domain proteins, FlgZ and PilZ. Stator complex composition in the flagellum is controlled by c-di-GMP through c-di-GMP effector proteins (PilZ domain proteins) that sequester stators from the motor (29, 36, 37). PilZ domain proteins such as FlgZ/YcgR mediate c-di-GMP-dependent decreases in motility through effects on flagellar stators in P. aeruginosa and other species (29, 31, 36, 37, 52–54). In P. aeruginosa, FlgZ, upon c-di-GMP binding, promotes binding of MotC and delocalization of MotCD from the flagellum. The delocal-
ization of MotCD leads to increased incorporation of MotAB, which cannot support swimming in viscous environments such as in soft agar or on swarm agar (29, 36, 37). There are eight known or predicted PilZ domain proteins in *P. aeruginosa* that control cellular behaviors, which include motility and biofilm formation (29, 55). We therefore assessed whether the stator-dependent motility repression in the presence of ethanol was dependent on one or more PilZ domain proteins.

In the absence of ethanol, seven mutants had swim zones similar to those of the wild type with the exception of ΔPA14_25420, which had a smaller swim zone area (Fig. 3A). In the presence of ethanol, two mutants displayed slightly greater swimming motility than the wild-type strain, the ΔflgZ and ΔpilZ strains (Fig. 3A), and their increased resistance was significant (Fig. 3B). We constructed a ΔpilZ ΔflgZ double mutant and found that it did not show ethanol-dependent motility repression (Fig. 3B). Interestingly, both PilZ and FlgZ were previously shown to be involved in the repression of swarming motility on agar surfaces in a *P. aeruginosa* strain that had high levels of c-di-GMP due to the absence of a phosphodiesterase; furthermore, FlgZ regulates

![FIG 2](source_url) Ethanol effects on swimming motility require the MotAB flagellar stator complex. Swim zone areas of wild-type *P. aeruginosa* PA14 (WT) and the ΔflgK, ΔmotAB, and ΔmotCD mutants in soft agar without (gray) and with (black) 1% ethanol after 18 to 20 h. Error bars indicate standard deviations, *n* = 4 replicates. Each sample was statistically compared to every other sample; the same lowercase letters indicate samples that are not significantly different and different lowercase letters indicate significant differences (*P* < 0.05) as determined by two-way ANOVA with multiple comparisons.

![FIG 3](source_url) PilZ domain proteins, PilZ and FlgZ, are required for ethanol effects on swim zone area. (A) Swim zone areas for wild-type *P. aeruginosa* PA14 (WT) and the ΔflgZ, ΔPA14_00130, ΔPA14_60970, ΔPA14_27930, ΔPA14_56180, Δalg44, ΔPA14_25420, and ΔpilZ mutants in soft agar without and with 1% ethanol (EtOH) after 18 to 20 h. Floating bars represent the minimum, maximum, and mean values from 4 replicates. Shaded boxes represent the ethanol samples that are significantly different from their controls (*P* < 0.05) as determined by one-way ANOVA with multiple comparisons. Arrows indicate the candidate mutants that were analyzed further. (B) WT and the ΔpilZ, ΔflgZ, and ΔpilZ ΔflgZ mutants in soft agar without (gray) and with (black) 1% ethanol after 18 to 20 h. Error bars indicate standard deviations, *n* = 4 replicates. Each sample was statistically compared to every other sample; the same lowercase letters indicate samples that are not significantly different and different letters indicate significant differences (*P* < 0.05) as determined by two-way ANOVA with multiple comparisons.
flagellar motility in other species (29, 30, 56, 57). Our data indicated that PilZ and FlgZ play either independent or partially functionally redundant roles in motility repression by ethanol.

**Ethanol elicits an increase in c-di-GMP levels via a MotAB-dependent mechanism.** FlgZ is a c-di-GMP-binding effector protein that leads to decreased motility in response to c-di-GMP (29) and in the presence of ethanol (Fig. 3). Thus, we examined the effects of ethanol on c-di-GMP levels. We extracted c-di-GMP from cells grown planktonically in the same medium as the swim assay in order to capture the ethanol-mediated changes to c-di-GMP without any influences from the soft agar, which does impact bacterial movement (58). We found that wild-type cells grown for 16h in liquid medium with 1% ethanol had 3.2-fold higher levels of c-di-GMP ($P_{H11021}$ 0.0001) than the control cultures (Fig. 4A). We previously showed that WspR is involved in c-di-GMP-dependent production of Pel matrix exopolysaccharide in surface-associated cells (18). However, in liquid, a ΔwspR mutant behaved like the wild type in that it had 2.6-fold higher levels of c-di-GMP than controls when grown with ethanol ($P_{H11022}$ 0.05) (Fig. 4A).

The c-di-GMP profiles of the stator mutants, shown as described above to lack ethanol-dependent motility repression (Fig. 2), were also assessed. The ΔmotAB mutant did not have higher c-di-GMP levels (37) when grown in ethanol, indicating the importance of MotAB in the c-di-GMP production observed in the wild type (Fig. 4A). We also noted that under control conditions, the ΔmotAB mutant had c-di-GMP levels that were 27% lower than those seen in the wild type (0.8 pmol/O600 nm versus 1.1 pmol/O600 nm, respectively) (Fig. 4A) (37). The ΔmotCD mutant showed induction of c-di-GMP when grown with ethanol, but the levels were lower (1.8-fold compared to the 3.2-fold increase seen in the wild type in the same experiment) (Fig. 4A). These data suggest that the relationship between stator activity and c-di-GMP levels that is observed in the absence of ethanol is also true in the presence of ethanol (37).

**A screen of proteins that contribute to c-di-GMP metabolism reveals multiple enzymes involved in the ethanol response.** We next screened the collection of the reported *P. aeruginosa* PA14 in-frame deletion mutant library containing mutants lacking each of the ~40 known c-di-GMP-metabolizing enzymes (25) to identify the gene(s) involved in increasing c-di-GMP in response to ethanol and the ethanol-dependent motility repression. Our primary focus was on mutants that (i) had a swim zone area greater than or equal to that of the wild type under control conditions and (ii) showed less reduction in swim zone area when ethanol was present in the medium.
Using these criteria, analysis of the data from three independent screens of the mutant collection identified SadC and GcbA as the most promising candidates (see Table S1 in the supplemental material); data for mutants with swim zone areas smaller than that of the wild type under control conditions are provided (see Table S2) but not pursued as part of these studies.

The ΔsadC and ΔgcbA single mutants had slightly larger swim zone areas than observed for the wild type (Fig. 4B). These modest phenotypes in the ΔsadC and ΔgcbA mutants were able to be complemented in trans with the wild-type sadC and gcbA genes, respectively (see Fig. S1A and B). The effects of SadC and GcbA on changes in motility in response to ethanol were additive, as the ΔsadC ΔgcbA double mutant showed no significant difference in swim zone area in medium without and with ethanol (Fig. 4B).

Both SadC (in surface-associated cells) and GcbA (in planktonic cells) have been reported to contribute to c-di-GMP levels (20, 21, 39). In planktonic cultures, we show that the ΔsadC ΔgcbA mutant had lower levels of c-di-GMP than the wild type under the same conditions, both in the absence and presence of ethanol (Fig. 4C). These data suggest that SadC and GcbA contribute to ethanol-dependent motility repression and that they are involved in the increase in c-di-GMP levels in cells grown with ethanol.

**Ethanol-mediated motility repression requires PilY1, the PilMNOP T4P alignment complex, and the minor pilins PilVWX but is independent of T4P activity.** PilY1 is proposed to serve as a surface-sensing protein required for decreased motility in cells on 0.5% agar surfaces (swimming motility) and for the stimulation of biofilm formation (58, 59) (Fig. 5A). Previous studies indicated that for swarming in *P. aeruginosa*, PilY1, in conjunction with the type 4 pili (T4P) alignment complex, PilMNOP (58), appears to function upstream of SadC (59), FlgZ (29), and the MotAB stator (59) to control the production of and the response to c-di-GMP. Because the responses of SadC, FlgZ, PilZ, and MotAB to ethanol were altered in soft agar, we tested the roles of PilY1 and PilMNOP in ethanol-induced repression of swimming motility. In contrast to the wild-type strain, the ΔpilY1 mutant did not show decreased motility when ethanol was added to the medium (Fig. 5B). Instead, the ΔpilY1 mutant showed a reproducible and significant ~35% increase in the swim zone area when ethanol was added to the medium (Fig. 5B), and a wild-type copy of the pilY1 gene complemented this phenotype (Fig. 5C). Moreover, a mutant lacking pilMNOP showed no difference in swimming motility when ethanol was added to the medium (Fig. 5B and Table 1). The single mutants, ΔpilM, ΔpilN, ΔpilO, and ΔpilP strains, were similarly resistant to ethanol-mediated repression of swimming motility (Table 1) (*P > 0.05*).

Finally, since PilY1 and the PilMNOP complex are necessary for T4P activity (60), we determined if PilY1- and PilMNOP-dependent reduction in flagellar motility by ethanol could be attributed to a change in T4P activity. A ΔpilA mutant (which lacks pili) showed the same level of responsiveness to ethanol as wild-type cells while maintaining hypermotility under control conditions (59, 61, 62) (Fig. 5D), indicating that pili are not required for the effects of ethanol on motility. In fact, ethanol did not reduce twitching motility in wild-type cells; rather, a significant 30% increase in twitch zone area was observed (Fig. 5E). In addition, with the previously observation that the PilVWX minor pilins, together with PilY1, control the virulence of *P. aeruginosa* to *Caenorhabditis elegans*, we assessed the role of minor pilins in motility repression. To this end, the ΔpilW and ΔpilX single mutants as well as the ΔpilVWX triple mutant all phenocopied the ΔpilY1 mutant, having significant 18.2%, 23.9%, and 23.0% increases in motility, respectively, in the presence of ethanol (*P < 0.01*), with ΔpilIV trending the same with an 8.8% increase (*P > 0.05*) (Table 1). These data suggest that the ethanol-induced decreases in swimming motility require PilY1, PilMNOP, and PilVWX but occur independently of changes in T4P function.

**Previously described elements involved in PilY1 activation were dispensable for the ethanol-dependent reduction in motility.** We sought to determine if known factors involved in PilY1 activation were involved in the ethanol response. Previous studies had shown that pilY1 transcription is regulated by PilU, a component of the Pil-Chp pathway, in response to surface engagement (58) through stimulation of cAMP...
The \( \text{cyaAB} \) genes, which encode adenylate cyclases responsible for cAMP production by \( P. \text{aeruginosa} \), were also implicated in PilY1 activation (58). We found that the \( \Delta\text{pilJ} \) and \( \Delta\text{cyaAB} \) mutants, although hypermotile in control cultures, both exhibited motility repression in response to ethanol (\( P < 0.0001 \)) (see Fig. S2).

These data indicated that the upstream cAMP signal previously shown to be required for \( \text{pilY1} \) transcription, upon surface contact, was not required for the PilY1-dependent changes in motility in response to ethanol.

The von Willebrand factor A (vWA) domain of PilY1, depicted in the schematic in Fig. 5A, is necessary for surface-associated swarming motility repression (59). To probe whether the same domain of PilY1 required for surface-sensing was also necessary for ethanol responsiveness, we used a strain where a mutated \( \text{pilY1} \) with the vWA domain deleted (\( \text{pilY1-ΔvWA} \)) was placed at the native \( \text{pilY1} \) locus. The \( \text{pilY1-ΔvWA} \) strain was still responsive to ethanol-dependent motility repression (\( P < 0.0001 \)) (Fig. 5C).

**FIG 5** PilY1 and PilMNOP, but not T4P activity, are necessary for ethanol effects on swim zone area. (A) schematic of the PilY1 protein showing the amino acid positions of the signal sequence (SS), von Willebrand A factor domain (vWA), calcium-binding domain (red), and the PilC domain (green). (B) Swim zone areas of wild-type \( P. \text{aeruginosa} \) PA14 (WT) and the \( \Delta\text{pilY1} \) and \( \Delta\text{pilMNOP} \) mutants in soft agar without (gray) and with (black) 1% ethanol measured after 18 to 20 h. Error bars indicate standard deviations, \( n = 4 \) replicates. The hashed bar indicates mutant that swim more in ethanol than in control cultures. (C) Swim zone areas of WT and the \( \Delta\text{pilY1} \), \( \Delta\text{pilY1} \) mutants with an empty vector (EV), with a plasmid-borne \( \text{pilY1} \) (\( \text{pilY1} \)), or \( \text{pilY1} \) with the vWA domain deleted (\( \text{pilY1-ΔvWA} \)) and placed at the native \( \text{pilY1} \) locus in soft agar without (gray) and with (black) 1% ethanol measured after growth for 18 to 20 h; 0.05% arabinose was added to the medium. Error bars indicate standard deviations, \( n = 4 \) replicates. Hashed bars indicate mutants that swim more in ethanol than in control cultures. (D) Swim zone areas of WT and the \( \Delta\text{pilA} \) (pilus-deficient mutant) mutant in soft agar without (gray) and with (black) 1% ethanol after 18 to 20 h. Error bars indicate standard deviations, \( n = 4 \) replicates. (E) Twitch zone areas of WT and the \( \Delta\text{pilY1} \) (twitching deficient) mutant in medium without (gray) and with (black) 1% ethanol after 40 h. Error bars depict standard deviations, \( n = 4 \) replicates and repeated in more than four separate experiments. Pictures at the top show representative twitch zones from the indicated sample. Each sample was statistically compared to every other sample; the same lowercase letters indicate samples that are not significantly different and different lowercase letters indicate significant differences (\( P < 0.05 \)) as determined by two-way ANOVA with multiple comparisons.
incubated for 30 min, and then imaged for 8 s to assess motility behavior (scheme in Fig. 6A). Microscopic observation of single wild-type cells revealed that in the presence of ethanol, a larger fraction of cells paused or stopped during an 8-s time interval as low as 15 μm/s immediately before a reversal (64). While ethanol led to significant increases in the average reversal frequency, from 4.6 ± 4.1 to 22 ± 9.1 reversals/10 s, in wild-type cells in medium with 3% Ficoll (P < 0.0001) (see Fig. S3A), the effects of ethanol on reversal rates were similar in mutants that were resistant to the effects of ethanol in the macroscopic swim zone area assay (ΔsadC ΔgcbA, ΔpilY1, and ΔpilMNOP strains) (Fig. S3A and B), suggesting that changes in reversal frequency were not responsible for this phenotype.

To quantify the effect of ethanol on the motility of cells, the wild type and mutants from exponential-phase cultures were inoculated in soft agar without and with ethanol, incubated for 30 min, and then imaged for 8 s to assess motility behavior (scheme in Fig. 6A). Microscopic observation of single wild-type cells revealed that in the presence of ethanol, a larger fraction of cells paused or stopped during an 8-s time interval (38% ± 11% motile [control] and 22% ± 9% motile [ethanol]; P ≤ 0.05) (Fig. 6B). For most of the ethanol-resistant mutants (ΔmotAB, ΔpilZ ΔflgZ, ΔpilY1, and ΔpilMNOP strains), motility in the control samples was similar to the wild type (Fig. 6B), but cells were either unaffected by ethanol or there was an increase in the fraction of cells that were continuously moving during the assay in ethanol-containing medium (Fig. 6B). A ΔmotCD mutant, which lacks the stator set needed to drive motility under viscous conditions and on surfaces, had very fewer cells moving, but similar numbers of cells were motile in control and ethanol-treated samples (11% ± 4% and 8% ± 3%, respectively). Of the mutants that were resistant to the effects of ethanol in the macroscopic swim zone assay, only the ΔsadC ΔgcbA double mutant behaved like the wild-type strain (46% ± 6% motile [control] and 29% ± 6% motile [ethanol]) (Fig. 6B). These data suggest that after a 30-min exposure to ethanol, P. aeruginosa is less able to maintain motility in soft agar and that this response is dependent on MotAB, PilZ, FlgZ, PilY1, and PilMNOP proteins. We see a strong correspondence between the macroscopic and single-cell phenotypes for all but one of the mutants we have analyzed. These data also suggest that although SadC and GcbA are both necessary and sufficient for ethanol-mediated reduction of swimming in the macroscopic swim assay, these two diguanylate

### Table 1: Swim zone area for P. aeruginosa strains in the absence and presence of ethanol

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PA14 strain or genotype</th>
<th>No EtOH</th>
<th>1% EtOH</th>
<th>% reduction (EtOH/control)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WT</td>
<td>780.4</td>
<td>490.9</td>
<td>37.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔpilIM</td>
<td>617.8</td>
<td>605.0</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔpilIN</td>
<td>573.0</td>
<td>617.4</td>
<td>−7.7</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔpilIO</td>
<td>605.8</td>
<td>616.6</td>
<td>−1.8</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔpilIP</td>
<td>584.2</td>
<td>605.0</td>
<td>−3.6</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔpilMNOP</td>
<td>627.0</td>
<td>605.4</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔpilIV</td>
<td>672.2</td>
<td>731.3</td>
<td>−8.8</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔpilIWX</td>
<td>649.8</td>
<td>768.0</td>
<td>−18.2</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔpilVWX</td>
<td>551.8</td>
<td>683.8</td>
<td>−23.9</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔpilVWX</td>
<td>594.2</td>
<td>730.9</td>
<td>−23.0</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Representative data from three assays of swim zone area and percent reduction in the absence and presence of ethanol (EtOH) for T4P alignment complex mutants and minor pilin mutants.

b Negative percentages indicate strains where motility in ethanol was greater than that of the no ethanol control.

c P values of statistical analysis comparing control and ethanol samples using two-way ANOVA.

data indicate that the vWA domain of PilY1 is dispensable for ethanol-mediated swim repression.

**Microscopic analysis of the effects of ethanol on swimming motility.** P. aeruginosa is a monotrichous flagellated bacterium whose flagellar motility is governed by a run-reverse pattern (38, 64) rather than the run-tumble pattern in organisms with peritrichous flagella such as *Escherichia coli* (38). *P. aeruginosa* directional movement is driven by the control of flagellar rotation (clockwise or counterclockwise), and this rotation change is called a “reversal.” The frequency of reversals can impact the area covered, since *P. aeruginosa* must slow its normal speed from 40 to 55 μm/s (45, 64, 65) to as low as 15 μm/s immediately before a reversal (64). While ethanol led to significant increases in the average reversal frequency, from 4.6 ± 4.1 to 22 ± 9.1 reversals/10 s, in wild-type cells in medium with 3% Ficoll (P < 0.0001) (see Fig. S3A), the effects of ethanol on reversal rates were similar in mutants that were resistant to the effects of ethanol in the macroscopic swim zone area assay (ΔsadC ΔgcbA, ΔpilY1, and ΔpilMNOP strains) (Fig. S3A and B), suggesting that changes in reversal frequency were not responsible for this phenotype.

### Table 1: Swim zone area for P. aeruginosa strains in the absence and presence of ethanol

- **PA14 strain or genotype**: WT, ΔpilIM, ΔpilIN, ΔpilIO, ΔpilIP, ΔpilMNOP, ΔpilIV, ΔpilIW, ΔpilIX, ΔpilVWX
- **Swim zone area (mm²)**: No EtOH, 1% EtOH
- **% reduction (EtOH/control)**: Calculated as (No EtOH - 1% EtOH) / No EtOH * 100%
- **P value**: Calculated using two-way ANOVA

- **WT**: No EtOH 780.4, 1% EtOH 490.9, % reduction 37.1, P value <0.0001
- **ΔpilIM**: No EtOH 617.8, 1% EtOH 605.0, % reduction 2.1, P value >0.05
- **ΔpilIN**: No EtOH 573.0, 1% EtOH 617.4, % reduction −7.7, P value >0.05
- **ΔpilIO**: No EtOH 605.8, 1% EtOH 616.6, % reduction −1.8, P value >0.05
- **ΔpilIP**: No EtOH 584.2, 1% EtOH 605.0, % reduction −3.6, P value >0.05
- **ΔpilMNOP**: No EtOH 627.0, 1% EtOH 605.4, % reduction 3.4, P value >0.05
- **ΔpilIV**: No EtOH 672.2, 1% EtOH 731.3, % reduction −8.8, P value >0.05
- **ΔpilIWX**: No EtOH 649.8, 1% EtOH 768.0, % reduction −18.2, P value <0.01
- **ΔpilVWX**: No EtOH 551.8, 1% EtOH 683.8, % reduction −23.9, P value <0.01
- **ΔpilVWX**: No EtOH 594.2, 1% EtOH 730.9, % reduction −23.0, P value <0.01

- **No EtOH**: 1% EtOH
- **% reduction (EtOH/control)**: Calculated as (No EtOH - 1% EtOH) / No EtOH * 100%
- **P value**: Calculated using two-way ANOVA

---
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cyclases are not sufficient for such an effect in the single-cell assay. This point is further explored below.

**Ethanol inhibits swarming motility.** In addition to its effects on planktonic cells and motility in soft agar, ethanol also inhibits flagellum-dependent swarming motility on agar surfaces (Fig. 7A) (18). Swarming motility is also influenced by matrix production (66, 67). We found that wild-type swarming motility was strongly repressed in the presence of 1% ethanol (Fig. 7A) and that mutants lacking MotAB, the PilZ and FlgZ c-di-GMP effector proteins, PilY1, and the PilMNOP alignment complex were all more resistant to the effects on ethanol on swarming motility (Fig. 7A,B,D, and E). Furthermore, while the vWA domain of PilY1 has been shown to be important for surface sensing (59), we found that this domain was not required for ethanol-mediated repression of swarming motility (Fig. 7D). Consistent with our observation that the ΔsadC, ΔgcbA, and ΔsadC ΔgcbA mutants were resistant, to various degrees, to the effects of ethanol on motility in the soft agar macroscopic assay, these mutants were also less responsive to ethanol in the surface-associated swarming motility assay (Fig. 7C). Together, these data suggest that common factors are involved in the repression of flagellar motility by ethanol in cells grown under viscous conditions (0.3% agar) as well as in cells on a surface.

**DISCUSSION**

Here, we present a model (Fig. 8) in which ethanol leads to decreased flagellar motility in *P. aeruginosa* under conditions that promote both swimming and swarming. Previous work from our groups and the work of other labs showed that ethanol at low concentrations increases biofilm formation on abiotic and biotic surfaces (18, 46). We propose that this impacts multiple steps in the initial formation of polymicrobial communities formed by *P. aeruginosa* with ethanol-producing microbes. Our model proposes that stator occupancy, or ratio of the MotAB/MotCD stators, changes in response to ethanol. Based on our phenotypic analyses, we suggest that MotAB contributes to the
delocalization of MotCD from the flagellar motor, resulting in a motor that is now less able to support full motility in soft agar, which is consistent with the model proposed in a previous report (37). We also propose that this delocalization of MotCD requires the PilZ domain protein FlgZ (29, 37) and/or PilZ (this report), which might indicate either that there are functional redundancies between these two proteins or that they participate in two distinct pathways. FlgZ, a homolog of *E. coli* YcgR, has been shown to regulate flagellar motility by directly interacting with the flagellar motor proteins, thereby behaving like a “brake” on flagellar rotation (29, 52, 68). We propose that c-di-GMP-bound PilZ likely functions in a similar fashion. A recent study showed that MotC interacts with the diguanylate cyclase SadC and stimulates SadC activity (37).

**FIG 7** Ethanol effects on swarming motility repression require the same components needed for motility repression in soft agar. Representative images of swarming motility assays of wild-type *P. aeruginosa* PA14 (WT) and ΔmotAB mutant (A), WT and the ΔflgZ, ΔpilZ, and ΔpilZ ΔflgZ mutants (B), WT and the ΔsadC, ΔgcbA, and ΔsadC ΔgcbA mutants (C), WT, the ΔpilY1 mutant, and the ΔpilY1 mutant with an empty vector (EV) or with a plasmid that enables arabinose-inducible expression pilY1 (PpilY1) or pilY1 without a vWA domain (pilY1-ΔvWA) (D), and WT and ΔpilmNOP mutant (E) on M8 medium with 0.5% agar (swarm agar) without and with 1% ethanol and grown for 16 h. Images are representative of observed phenotypes, n = 4 replicates per experiment, and each experiment was performed 3 to 5 times.

**FIG 8** Model for the effects of ethanol on *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* motility. We propose that in the absence of ethanol (No EtOH), *P. aeruginosa* remains motile with a bias toward a MotCD-dominant stator. As shown on the right, ethanol (depicted as red curvy lines) leads to decreased motility through a mechanism that requires the MotAB stator complex, PilY1, and PilVWX and PilMNOP protein complexes. We propose that PilZ and FlgZ, two PilZ domain proteins, may aid in MotCD delocalization from the motor. Two diguanylate cyclases, SadC and GcbA, also participate in ethanol-induced motility repression. As we show, ethanol may interact with the bacterial membrane, directly affect proteins, such as PilY1, or have other indirect effects such as the increase in c-di-GMP observed in this pathway.
Thus, delocalization of the MotCD stator from the flagellar motor would make MotC available to interact with and stimulate the activity of SadC, thereby increasing c-di-GMP levels. Increased c-di-GMP may have two nonexclusive roles, including increased c-di-GMP to cause the reduction in motility as well as induction of matrix production (18). Because the ΔsadC ΔgcbA mutant had a motility phenotype in the macroscopic assay but not in the short-term microscopic assay, we favor a model in which c-di-GMP may be downstream of the initial effects on stator function.

The genes required for the response to ethanol in soft agar overlap with genes that were shown previously to play key roles in surface sensing, the regulation of swarming motility, and early biofilm formation by *P. aeruginosa*, including MotAB, PilZ, FlgZ, and the diguanylate cyclase SadC, as discussed above, as well as PilY1 and PilMNOP (29, 36, 58, 59). This observation suggests that ethanol may provide a direct or indirect signal that is analogous or complementary to surface engagement. That is, this chemical signal may contribute to *P. aeruginosa* reducing its motility in order to colocalize with ethanol-producing microbes.

Microscopic observations of cells in soft agar, a medium that is widely used to assess chemotaxis and swimming motility (25, 69–73), showed that ethanol decreased the fraction of cells that remained continuously motile in the 8-s time interval analyzed, and this phenotype likely contributes to the differences in swim zone size for most mutants. The “pausing” of single cells in the microscopic assay, performed at 30 min after exposure to ethanol, required all of the components of the pathway outlined above, with the exception of SadC and GcbA. We speculate that perhaps other DGCs may contribute to this pausing phenomenon and that SadC and GcbA may affect swimming and swarming motility at later time points.

A key question is how do the proteins PilY1, PilVWX, PilMNOP, and MotAB/MotCD, which are localized to the inner membrane and at the cell surface (59, 74), contribute to the repression of motility in the presence of ethanol? Alcohols such as ethanol have been implicated in changing membranes (75–79). For example, ethanol can intercalate into membranes with lipid compositions similar to those for *P. aeruginosa* and associate with the head groups of phospholipids (78). Ethanol will disrupt membrane structure and composition even at concentrations of 1% (80). The effects of ethanol on lipid bilayers include increased fluidity, changes to lateral pressure within the bilayer, and disruption of the structure of membrane anchored proteins such as the ones outlined in our pathway described above (78, 79). These effects on membrane structure could cause conformation changes in the membrane-localized/associated PilY1, PilVWX, and PilMNOP proteins and may lead to their activation as signaling molecules. Ethanol can also have other physiological impacts on other microorganisms. Cao et al. showed that in *E. coli*, 2.5% to 5% (428 to 855 mM) ethanol resulted in increased reactive oxygen species (ROS) stress, reduced peptidoglycan, and a decrease in the proton gradient that might be explained by increased membrane fluidity (76).

Ethanol (<3% [vol/vol]) was also shown to increase proton influx across the plasma membrane and disrupt the proton motive force in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* (81). Thus, if ethanol perturbs the membrane or disrupts the proton motive force in *P. aeruginosa*, such effects might impact proteins such as MotAB/MotCD, which use the proton gradient to generate the torque needed to rotate the flagellum. It is also possible that there are unknown regulators that are activated by ethanol to induce the activity of the pathway described above.

We also showed that the N-terminal vWA domain of PilY1 is dispensable for responding to ethanol, implicating the C-terminal “PilC” domain of this protein as key for the observed ethanol response. The C terminus of the PilY1 protein has a seven-bladed, modified β-propeller structure that shares structural similarity to the quinohemoprotein alcohol dehydrogenase from *Comamonas testosteroni* (60). Therefore, it is possible that PilY1 has the ability to bind ethanol, or the cofactor (PQQ) required for its catabolism, to cause the activation of downstream components required for motility repression. Future work will address the structural role of the PilY1 C-terminal domain.
in the ethanol motility response, but our findings suggest that PilY1 might be a central point to integrate nutritional and surface-sensing signals for this microbe.

To conclude, our findings indicate that ethanol triggers a complex response that modulates behaviors related to biofilm initiation in order to facilitate the transition from motility to a sessile lifestyle. Therefore, the effects of ethanol on microbes, at concentrations much lower than those used for the purpose of sterilization, is of interest in the context of biofuel production, microbial remediation of industrial waste, and the activity of naturally occurring communities in the environment and in association with humans. Future studies will determine if ethanol’s effects on P. aeruginosa motility contribute to the stimulation of biofilm formation and if the effects of ethanol on motility and biofilm formation in other Gram-negative species, such as Acinetobacter baumannii (8), occur through a common pathway.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Strains and media. Strains and plasmids used in this study are listed in Table S3 is the supplemental material. P. aeruginosa PA14 and E. coli strains were routinely cultured on lysogeny broth (LB) solidified with 1.5% agar, or in LB broth at 37°C with shaking. Gentamicin (Gm) was used at 60 μg/ml and carbenicillin (Cb) at 700 μg/ml for P. aeruginosa. Gm was used at 10 μg/ml for E. coli. For P. aeruginosa phenotypic assays, either M63 [22 mM KH₂PO₄, 40 mM K₂HPO₄, and 15 mM (NH₄)₂SO₄] or M8 (42 mM Na₂PO₄, 22 mM KH₂PO₄, and 8.5 mM NaCl) minimal salts medium supplemented with MgSO₄ (1 mM), glucose (0.2%), and Casamino Acids (CAA; 0.5%), was used. When stated, 1% (vol/vol) ethanol (200 proof, non-denatured; Koptec) was added to cooled medium (~50°C), and an equivalent volume of water was added to control medium. For expression plasmids harboring the pBAD promoter, arabinose was added to the culture as needed (0.02% or 0.05%).

Growth curve of P. aeruginosa PA14 wild type in the presence of ethanol. Growth curve analysis was performed by diluting overnight P. aeruginosa cultures to an OD₆₀₀ of ~0.01 in 6 ml M63 medium without and with 1% (vol/vol) ethanol and incubation at 37°C on a roller drum. OD₆₀₀ was measured at specified time points using a Spectronic 20 spectrophotometer. Each sample type was analyzed in triplicates.

Molecular techniques. Plasmids were made using previously described homologous recombination in Saccharomyces cerevisiae (82). Plasmids were then extracted from the yeast using the “smash and grab” method, electroporated into E. coli S-17 cells, and confirmed via colony PCR. E. coli with confirmed constructs were then conjugated with the various P. aeruginosa strains to generate in-frame deletion mutants using allelic replacement as previously described (82). Merodiploids were selected on solid LB using gentamicin and nalidixic acid followed by counterselection on 5% sucrose. PCR amplification and DNA sequencing, using primers that flanked the site of deletion, were used to confirm all resulting mutants.

For arabinose-inducible complementation, the gene being complemented was expressed on either pMQ80 (60 μg/ml gentamicin) or pDPM73 (700 μg/ml carbenicillin) plasmid backbones. Confirmed constructs were electroporated into the various P. aeruginosa strains, selecting for the appropriate antibiotic resistance marker. Arabinose (0.02% or 0.05%) was added to the medium, and complementation was confirmed via the indicated phenotypic assay.

Swarming motility assays. Swarm assays were performed as previously described (25). Briefly, M63 medium without and with 1% (vol/vol) ethanol and solidified with 0.3% agar (soft agar) was poured into petri plates and allowed to dry at room temperature (~25°C) for ~4 h prior to inoculation. Sterile toothpicks were used to inoculate bacteria into the center of the agar without touching the bottom of the plate; liquid cultures grown for 8 to 16 h were used as inoculum. No more than four strains were assayed per plate. Plates were incubated upright at 37°C in stacks of no more than four plates per stack for 18 to 20 h; the swim zone area was then measured. P. aeruginosa wild type was included in each experiment so that the change in motility between the conditions for each mutant could be assessed despite day-to-day variation in swim zone area for wild-type cultures. The wild-type swim zone areas for the control condition usually ranged from ~750 to 850 mm², with most being ~800 mm². Each strain was inoculated in four replicates, and replicate values were averaged to obtain a final swim zone area for each strain. All strains were assessed on at least three separate days.

Twitching motility assays. Twitching motility assays were performed with T-agar medium (10 g tryptone, 5 g NaCl, and 15 g agar in 1 liter) without and with 1% ethanol in petri plates that were allowed to dry at room temperature for 24 h prior to inoculation. Sterile toothpicks were used to inoculate into the agar until the toothpick touched the bottom of the petri plate; liquid cultures grown for 16 h were used as inoculum. No more than four strains were analyzed per plate, and six replicate plates were included in each experiment. Plates were incubated in inverted stacks of four at 37°C for 40 h. To visualize the twitch zone, a spatula was used to gently ease the agar out of the petri plates, and 2 ml of 0.1% (wt/vol) crystal violet in water was added to each plate and allowed to stand for 10 min. The crystal violet was removed, and the plates were rinsed with water and allowed to air dry. Twitch zone area was measured and recorded. All strains were assessed on at least three separate days.

Swarming motility assays. Swarm assays were performed as previously described (25). Briefly, M8 medium, without and with 1% ethanol, and with 0.5% agar (warm agar) was poured into 60 mm by 15 mm plates and allowed to dry at room temperature for ~4 h prior to inoculation. Each plate was inoculated with 0.5 μl of a liquid culture that was grown for 8 to 16 h, and the plates incubated face up at 37°C in stacks of no more than four for 16 h. Each strain was inoculated in four replicates and was
were assessed on at least three separate days. Images were captured using a Canon EOS Rebel T6i camera, and images were measured for ethanol-dependent swarm repression.

Reversal rate measurements. To measure the frequency at which a motile cell changes its direction, we used a modified version of a method that was previously described (39, 67). Briefly, overnight liquid cultures were subcultured 1:100 in 5 ml M63 medium and incubated at 37°C for 2 h. Once cultures reached exponential phase, they were then diluted 1:1,000 in fresh M63 medium, and Ficoll was added to a final concentration of 3% to obtain higher viscosity conditions that slowed the swimming cells sufficiently to allow the monitoring of reversal rates and mimic swimming in soft agar. Cells were then exposed to either control medium or medium containing 1% (vol/vol) ethanol for 15 min. Two hundred fifty microliters of treated cell culture was next gently pipetted into a 35-mm glass bottom MatTek dish, and a glass coverslip was placed over the added culture. Four time-lapse movies per strain and condition were captured with dark field using the Nikon Eclipse Ti microscope (Nikon Instruments Inc., Melville, NY) equipped with a 10× lens objective, a Hamamatsu ORCA-Flash 4.0 camera, and Nikon NIS Elements AR 4.13.04 64 bit software. Time-lapse movies were 8 s in duration, with images captured at 20- to 25-ms intervals with RAM capture and 50 fps. Fiji ImageJ TrackMate (83) was used to process, analyze, and quantify the reversal rates of 40 cells per movie. Movies were advanced frame by frame, and individual cells were evaluated for the number of times they changed direction within the field of view; reversal rates were normalized and recorded as reversals per 10 s.

Microscopic agar motility assay. The population percentages of cells that remained continuously motile were calculated in 0.3% agar. Overnight liquid cultures were subcultured 1:100 in 5 ml M63 medium and incubated at 37°C for 2 h. Once cultures reached exponential phase, the cells were visually examined under a microscope to ensure the starting culture was motile. Cultures were then diluted by 1:1,000 into freshly prepared M63 agar (0.3%) without and with 1% (vol/vol) ethanol cooled to ~45°C. Two hundred fifty microliters of each agar mixture was pipetted into a chamber slide (Fig. 6A) and allowed to solidify and acclimate to treatment for 30 min. Three to four time-lapse movies per chamber slide, with two chamber slides per condition, were captured using the 40× lens objective on the Nikon Eclipse Ti microscope (Nikon Instruments Inc., Melville, NY) equipped with a Hamamatsu ORCA-Flash 4.0 camera and Nikon NIS Elements AR 4.13.04 64 bit software. Time-lapse movies were 8 s in duration, with images captured at 5-ms intervals with RAM capture and 100 fps. Fiji ImageJ TrackMate (83) was used to process, analyze, and quantify the percentages of cells that were continuously motile for the entire duration of each movie. Movies were advanced frame by frame, and individual cells were evaluated for movement. All strains were assessed on at least two separate days.

In vivo cyclic di-GMP quantification. C-di-GMP was measured as previously described (18) with modifications. Overnight liquid cultures were diluted 1:1,000 in 6 ml M63 medium with either 1% ethanol or an equivalent volume of water and grown at 37°C for 16 h on a roller drum. Cultures were then adjusted to similar densities (OD600) and their densities recorded. Five milliliters of each culture was pelleted at 4,500 g for 15 min at 4°C. C-di-GMP was extracted by vigorously suspending the pellet in 250 μl of ice-cold extraction buffer (40:40:20 methanol [MeOH]-acetonitrile-distilled water [dH2O]) and 0.1 N Formic acid, stored at ~20°C and incubating at ~20°C for 1 h with tubes positioned upright. Tubes were then centrifuged briefly prior to transfer of the entirety of each extraction mix to a preweighed ice-cold 1.5-ml Eppendorf tube. Cell debris was pelleted at 15,682 g for five min at 4°C. 250 μl of the extracted nucleotide was recovered into a clean 1.5-ml ice-cold Eppendorf tube, and samples were each neutralized with 4 μl of 15% NH4HCO3 per 100 μl of sample. Pellets were dried on high for 1 h and the liquid samples on low overnight using the Savant Speed Vac SC110. The pellet weights were measured to get sample dry weight, and the dried liquid samples containing the extracted nucleotides were each suspended in 250 μl high-pressure liquid chromatography (HPLC)-grade water. Two hundred microliters of each sample was sent to the RTSF Mass Spectrometry and Metabolomics Core at Michigan State University for liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) analysis. Each strain and treatment condition were analyzed in five replicates and reported as picomoles c-di-GMP per OD600 unit.

Statistical analysis. Unpaired Student’s t test, two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with multiple comparisons, and one-way ANOVA with multiple comparisons were performed pairwise between the wild type and each strain, as well as between ethanol and control conditions, using Prism 6 software (GraphPad, La Jolla, CA).
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